

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER** Page 1 of 3  
**DOCKET NO.:** 2007-0375-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN101892768 **CASE NO.:** 32939  
**RESPONDENT NAME:** Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart

<b>ORDER TYPE:</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
<b>CASE TYPE:</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p><b>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED:</b> Tully Food Mart, 945 Tully Road, Houston, Harris County</p> <p><b>TYPE OF OPERATION:</b> Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline</p> <p><b>SMALL BUSINESS:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS:</b> There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p><b>INTERESTED PARTIES:</b> No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p><b>COMMENTS RECEIVED:</b> The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on July 16, 2007. No comments were received.</p> <p><b>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:</b>  <b>TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator:</b> None  <b>TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:</b> Mr. Rajesh Acharya, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-0577;  Mr. David Van Soest, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0468  <b>Respondent:</b> Ms. Asmita Hussein Hussein, President, Tully Food Mart, 945 Tully Road, Houston, Texas 77079  <b>Respondent's Attorney:</b> Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

**VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:**

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p><b>Type of Investigation:</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine  <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up  <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p><b>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case:</b> None</p> <p><b>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case:</b> February 22, 2007</p> <p><b>Date of NOE Relating to this Case:</b> March 9, 2007 (NOE)</p> <p><b>Background Facts:</b> This was a routine investigation. Five violations were documented.</p> <p><b>WASTE</b></p> <p>1) Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system. Specifically, Stage II swivel adapters were not installed [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(3) and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>2) Failed to have a release detection method capable of detecting a release from any portion of the UST system which contained regulated substances [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(a)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].</p> <p>3) Failed to perform an automatic test for substance loss that can detect a release which equals or exceeds a rate of 0.2 gallon per hour from any portion of the UST system [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(d)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].</p> <p>4) Failed to timely renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(B)(ii)].</p> <p>5) Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery</p>	<p><b>Total Assessed:</b> \$4,500</p> <p><b>Total Deferred:</b> \$900  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement  <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p><b>SEP Conditional Offset:</b> \$0</p> <p><b>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue:</b> \$150                      (the remaining \$3,450 will be paid in 23 monthly payments of \$150 each)</p> <p><b>Site Compliance History Classification</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Person Compliance History Classification</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Major Source:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>Applicable Penalty Policy:</b> September 2002</p>	<p><b>Corrective Actions Taken:</b></p> <p>The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Station:</p> <p>a. Implemented a release detection method for all USTs at the Station on March 20, 2007;</p> <p>b. Submitted a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form and obtained a delivery certificate on March 20, 2007; and</p> <p>c. Replaced the Stage II swivel adapters and began maintaining the Stage II equipment in proper operating condition on March 22, 2007.</p>

certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a)].		
--	--	--





# Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

<b>DATES</b>	Assigned	12-Mar-2007	Screening	14-Mar-2007	EPA Due	
	PCW	25-Apr-2007				

<b>RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION</b>			
Respondent	Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN101892768		
Facility/Site Region	12-Houston	Major/Minor Source	Minor

<b>CASE INFORMATION</b>				
Enf./Case ID No.	32939	No. of Violations	4	
Docket No.	2007-0375-PST-E	Order Type	1660	
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Enf. Coordinator	Rajesh Acharya	
Multi-Media		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 7	
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

<b>Penalty Calculation Section</b>	
<b>TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)</b>	<b>Subtotal 1</b> <input type="text" value="\$5,000"/>

<b>ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1</b>	
<small>Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.</small>	
<b>Compliance History</b>	<b>Subtotals 2, 3, &amp; 7</b> <input type="text" value="\$0"/>

Notes: No adjustment for compliance history.

<b>Culpability</b>	No	0% Enhancement	<b>Subtotal 4</b> <input type="text" value="\$0"/>
--------------------	----	----------------	--

Notes: The respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

<b>Good Faith Effort to Comply</b>	10% Reduction	<b>Subtotal 5</b> <input type="text" value="\$500"/>
------------------------------------	---------------	--

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		X
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes: The respondent came into compliance on March 22, 2007.

	0% Enhancement*	<b>Subtotal 6</b> <input type="text" value="\$0"/>
--	-----------------	--

Total EB Amounts	\$1,109	<small>*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount</small>
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$2,800	

<b>SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7</b>	<b>Final Subtotal</b> <input type="text" value="\$4,500"/>
-----------------------------	--

<b>OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE</b>	<b>Adjustment</b> <input type="text" value="\$0"/>
---	--

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes:

<b>Final Penalty Amount</b>	<input type="text" value="\$4,500"/>
-----------------------------	--------------------------------------

<b>STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT</b>	<b>Final Assessed Penalty</b> <input type="text" value="\$4,500"/>
-----------------------------------	--

<b>DEFERRAL</b>	20% Reduction	<b>Adjustment</b> <input type="text" value="-\$900"/>
-----------------	---------------	---

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

<b>PAYABLE PENALTY</b>	<input type="text" value="\$3,600"/>
------------------------	--------------------------------------

Screening Date 14-Mar-2007

Docket No. 2007-0375-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 32939

PCW Revision January 9, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101892768

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

### Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
Please Enter Yes or No			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

No adjustment for compliance history.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 0%

Screening Date 14-Mar-2007

Docket No. 2007-0375-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 32939

PCW Revision January 9, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101892768

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system. Specifically, Stage II swivel adapters were not installed.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				10%
Potential		x		

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
				0%

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days 20

mark only one with an x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	x
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One quarterly event is recommended from the investigation date of February 22, 2007 to the screening date of March 14, 2007.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$3

Violation Final Penalty Total \$900

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$900

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent:** Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart  
**Case ID No.:** 32939  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.:** RN101892768  
**Media:** Petroleum Storage Tank  
**Violation No.:** 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<small>No commas or \$</small>							

### Delayed Costs

Equipment	\$500	22-Feb-2007	22-Mar-2007	0.1	\$0	\$3	\$3
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to install swivel adapters and maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition. Date Required is the investigation date. Final Date is the date of compliance.

### Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$500

**TOTAL**

\$3

Screening Date 14-Mar-2007

Docket No. 2007-0375-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 32939

PCW Revision January 9, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101892768

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(a)(1)(A) and 334.50(d)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)

Violation Description

Failed to have a release detection method capable of detecting a release from any portion of the UST system which contained regulated substances. Failed to perform an automatic test for substance loss that can detect a release which equals or exceeds a rate of 0.2 gallon per hour from any portion of the UST system.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual					25%
Potential	x				

>> Programmatic Matrix

	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
					0%

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$7,500

\$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days 20

mark only one with an x	daily	
	monthly	x
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One monthly event is recommended from the investigation date of February 22, 2007 to the screening date of March 14, 2007.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$1,100

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,250

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,250

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent:** Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart  
**Case ID No.:** 32939  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.:** RN101892768  
**Media:** Petroleum Storage Tank  
**Violation No.:** 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

### Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,200	22-Feb-2007	20-Mar-2007	0.1	\$4	n/a	\$4

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to provide release detection for the USTs. Date Required is the date of investigation. Final Date is the date of compliance.

### Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	22-Feb-2006	22-Feb-2007	1.9	\$96	\$1,000	\$1,096

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Avoided cost for tightness testing. Date Required is one year prior to the investigation date and the Final Date is the investigation date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$2,200

**TOTAL**

\$1,100

Screening Date 14-Mar-2007

Docket No. 2007-0375-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 32939

PCW Revision January 9, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101892768

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Violation Number 3

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(B)(ii)

Violation Description Failed to timely renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on February 28, 2006.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				0%
Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
	x			10%

Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 409 Number of violation days

daily	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$6

Violation Final Penalty Total \$900

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$900

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent:** Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart  
**Case ID No.:** 32939  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.:** RN101892768  
**Media:** Petroleum Storage Tank  
**Violation No.:** 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<small>No commas or \$</small>							

### Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	29-Jan-2006	20-Mar-2007	1.1	\$6	n/a	\$6

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to renew a delivery certificate by submitting an updated registration. Date Required is 30 days prior to the expiration date of the delivery certificate. Final Date is the date of compliance.

### Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$100

TOTAL

\$6

Screening Date 14-Mar-2007

Docket No. 2007-0375-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 32939

PCW Revision January 9, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101892768

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Violation Number 4

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3467(a)

Violation Description Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs. Specifically, one fuel delivery was accepted without a delivery certificate.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				5%
Potential			x	

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
				0%

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$9,500

\$500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 1 Number of violation days

daily	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$500

One single event (one per drop) is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$0

Violation Final Penalty Total \$450

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$450

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent:** Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart  
**Case ID No.:** 32939  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.:** RN101892768  
**Media:** Petroleum Storage Tank  
**Violation No.:** 4

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<small>No commas or \$</small>							

### Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Economic benefit included in Violation No.3.

### Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$0

TOTAL \$0

# Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN603162454 Naz Stores, Inc.	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.01
Regulated Entity:	RN101892768 TULLY FOOD MART	Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Site Rating: 3.01
ID Number(s):	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION	REGISTRATION	36596
Location:	945 TULLY RD, HOUSTON, TX, 77079	Rating Date: September 01 06	Repeat Violator: NO
TCEQ Region:	REGION 12 - HOUSTON		
Date Compliance History Prepared:	August 15, 2007		
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement		
Compliance Period:	March 14, 2002 to March 14, 2007		

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Rajesh Acharya Phone: (512) 239-0577

## Site Compliance History Components

- |  |     |
|--|-----|
| 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? | Yes |
| 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period?    | No  |
| 3. If Yes, who is the current owner?   | N/A |
| 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?  | N/A |
| 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?  | N/A |

### Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.  
N/A
- B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.  
N/A
- C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  
N/A
- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)  
1 03/09/2007 (542097)
- E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
- F. Environmental audits.  
N/A
- G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).  
N/A
- H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.  
N/A
- I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.  
N/A
- J. Early compliance.  
N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A



# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN  
ENFORCEMENT ACTION  
CONCERNING  
NAZ STORES INC. DBA TULLY  
FOOD MART  
RN101892768**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**BEFORE THE  
TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

## **AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-0375-PST-E**

### **I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS**

At its \_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart ("Naz Stores") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Naz Stores appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. Naz Stores owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 945 Tully Road in Houston, Harris County, Texas (the "Station").
2. Naz Stores' two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission. The Station consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
3. The Commission and Naz Stores agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Naz Stores is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. Naz Stores received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about March 14, 2007.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Naz Stores of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.



6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Four Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$4,500) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Naz Stores has paid One Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$150) of the administrative penalty and Nine Hundred Dollars (\$900) is deferred contingent upon Naz Stores' timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Naz Stores fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require Naz Stores to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Three Thousand Four Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$3,450) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 23 monthly payments of One Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$150) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If Naz Stores fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of Naz Stores to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by Naz Stores to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Naz Stores have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that Naz Stores has implemented the following corrective measures at the Station:
  - a. Implemented a release detection method for all USTs at the Station on March 20, 2007;
  - b. Submitted a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form and obtained a delivery certificate on March 20, 2007; and
  - c. Replaced the Stage II swivel adapters and began maintaining the Stage II equipment in proper operating condition on March 22, 2007.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Naz Stores has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the success of any business and for the protection of the interests of all parties involved. The document outlines the various methods and systems that can be used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of financial records.

One of the key aspects of record-keeping is the use of standardized accounting principles and practices. This ensures that all transactions are recorded in a consistent and comparable manner, making it easier to analyze and interpret the financial data. The document also discusses the importance of regular audits and reviews to identify any discrepancies or errors in the records.

In addition to maintaining accurate records, it is also important to ensure that all records are properly stored and protected. This includes implementing robust security measures to prevent unauthorized access to the data and ensuring that the records are backed up regularly to prevent data loss.

The document also highlights the importance of transparency and communication in the record-keeping process. All parties involved in the business should be kept informed of the status of the records and any changes or updates. This helps to build trust and ensures that everyone is working with the most up-to-date information.

Finally, the document stresses the importance of staying up-to-date with the latest accounting standards and regulations. The financial landscape is constantly evolving, and businesses must adapt to these changes to remain compliant and successful. Regular training and education for staff are essential to ensure that everyone is knowledgeable about the current requirements.

In conclusion, maintaining accurate and reliable financial records is a critical component of any business's success. By following the guidelines outlined in this document, businesses can ensure that their records are accurate, secure, and transparent, leading to better financial performance and greater trust from all stakeholders.

## II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Station, Naz Stores is alleged to have:

1. Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(3) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 22, 2007. Specifically, Stage II swivel adapters were not installed.
2. Failed to have a release detection method capable of detecting a release from any portion of the UST system which contained regulated substances, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(a)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 22, 2007.
3. Failed to perform an automatic test for substance loss that can detect a release which equals or exceeds a rate of 0.2 gallon per hour from any portion of the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(d)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 22, 2007.
4. Failed to timely renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(B)(ii), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 22, 2007. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on February 28, 2006.
5. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 22, 2007.

## III. DENIALS

Naz Stores generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

## IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Naz Stores pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Naz Stores' compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart, Docket No. 2007-0375-PST-E" to:



Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section  
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Naz Stores. Naz Stores is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
3. If Naz Stores fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Naz Stores' failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Naz Stores shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Naz Stores shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Naz Stores becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Naz Stores shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Naz Stores receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Naz Stores in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
6. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
7. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Naz Stores, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Naz Stores, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/10/10. The letter discusses the author's interest in the journal and the specific topic they wish to explore.

2. The second part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 10/15/10. The editor responds to the author's letter and provides feedback on the proposed topic.

3. The third part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/20/10. The author responds to the editor's feedback and provides further details on the proposed topic.

4. The fourth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 10/25/10. The editor provides further feedback on the proposed topic and suggests some changes to the manuscript.

5. The fifth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/30/10. The author responds to the editor's feedback and provides a revised manuscript.

6. The sixth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 11/05/10. The editor provides final feedback on the revised manuscript and approves it for publication.

7. The seventh part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 11/10/10. The author expresses their gratitude to the editor and the journal for the opportunity to publish their work.

8. The eighth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 11/15/10. The editor expresses their pleasure in publishing the author's work and wishes them well.

9. The ninth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 11/20/10. The author provides a final copy of the manuscript and a copy of the letter of approval.

10. The tenth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 11/25/10. The editor provides a copy of the published manuscript and a copy of the letter of approval.

11. The eleventh part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 12/01/10. The author expresses their appreciation for the editor's assistance and support throughout the process.

12. The twelfth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 12/05/10. The editor expresses their appreciation for the author's contribution to the journal and wishes them well.

13. The thirteenth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 12/10/10. The author provides a final copy of the manuscript and a copy of the letter of approval.

14. The fourteenth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 12/15/10. The editor provides a copy of the published manuscript and a copy of the letter of approval.

15. The fifteenth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 12/20/10. The author expresses their appreciation for the editor's assistance and support throughout the process.

16. The sixteenth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 12/25/10. The editor expresses their appreciation for the author's contribution to the journal and wishes them well.

17. The seventeenth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 12/30/10. The author provides a final copy of the manuscript and a copy of the letter of approval.

18. The eighteenth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 1/05/11. The editor provides a copy of the published manuscript and a copy of the letter of approval.

Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart  
DOCKET NO. 2007-0375-PST-E  
Page 5

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

*John Srdin*  
For the Executive Director

Date 8/29/07

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me;
- and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

*Asmita Hussein Hussein*  
Signature

08/14/07  
Date

\* ASMITA HUSSEIN HUSSEIN  
Name (Printed or typed)  
Authorized Representative of  
Naz Stores Inc. dba Tully Food Mart

\* PRESIDENT  
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

