

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER** Page 1 of 2  
**DOCKET NO.:** 2007-0986-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN104711734 **CASE NO.:** 33872  
**RESPONDENT NAME:** Pringka Corporation dba Speedys Food Store

<b>ORDER TYPE:</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
<b>CASE TYPE:</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p><b>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED:</b> Speedys Food Store, 1300 East Long Avenue, Fort Worth, Tarrant County</p> <p><b>TYPE OF OPERATION:</b> Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline</p> <p><b>SMALL BUSINESS:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS:</b> A complaint was received on April 17, 2007, alleging that water with fuel was coming from behind the building of Speedys Food Store. Respondent was concerned that the main water line going through the property was leaking. The Ft. Worth Water Department was unable to determine if it was potable water as there was a small amount of fuel mixed with the water. On April 18, 2007, the investigator did not observe any evidence of free product being mixed with the water running behind the facility. The monitoring wells and secondary containment sumps did not reveal evidence of free product. No violations were documented in relation to the complaint, but one unrelated violation was documented during the investigation. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p><b>INTERESTED PARTIES:</b> A complaint was received, but the complainant has not expressed a desire to protest this action or to speak at Agenda.</p> <p><b>COMMENTS RECEIVED:</b> The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on October 1, 2007. No comments were received.</p> <p><b>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:</b>  <b>TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator:</b> None  <b>TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:</b> Ms. Elvia Maske, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-0789; Mr. David Van Soest, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0468  <b>Respondent:</b> Mr. Anwar Hossain, Owner, Speedys Food Store, 1300 East Long Avenue, Fort Worth, Texas 76106  <b>Respondent's Attorney:</b> Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

**VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:**

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p><b>Type of Investigation:</b>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Complaint  <input type="checkbox"/> Routine  <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up  <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p><b>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case:</b> April 17, 2007</p> <p><b>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case:</b> April 18, 2007</p> <p><b>Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case:</b> June 5, 2007 (NOE)</p> <p><b>Background Facts:</b> This was a complaint investigation. One violation was documented.</p> <p><b>WASTE</b></p> <p>Failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least once each month, sufficiently accurate to detect a release which equals or exceeds the sum of 1.0 percent of the total substance flow-through for the month plus 130 gallons [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(d)(1)(B) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].</p>	<p><b>Total Assessed:</b> \$2,550</p> <p><b>Total Deferred:</b> \$510  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement  <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p><b>SEP Conditional Offset:</b> \$0</p> <p><b>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue:</b> \$170 (remaining \$1,870 due in 11 monthly payments of \$170 each)</p> <p><b>Site Compliance History Classification</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Person Compliance History Classification</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Major Source:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>Applicable Penalty Policy:</b> September 2002</p>	<p><b>Ordering Provisions:</b></p> <p>The Order will require the Respondent to:</p> <p>a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin performing reconciliation of inventory control records at least once each month; and</p> <p>b. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision a.</p>

Additional ID No(s): PST Registration No. 77472



# Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision May 25, 2007

<b>DATES</b>	<b>Assigned</b>	11-Jun-2007	<b>Screening</b>	17-Jun-2007	<b>EPA Due</b>	
	<b>PCW</b>	21-Jun-2007				

<b>RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION</b>			
<b>Respondent</b>	Pringka Corporation dba Speedys Food Store		
<b>Reg. Ent. Ref. No.</b>	RN104711734		
<b>Facility/Site Region</b>	4-Dallas/Fort Worth	<b>Major/Minor Source</b>	Minor

<b>CASE INFORMATION</b>			
<b>Enf./Case ID No.</b>	33872	<b>No. of Violations</b>	1
<b>Docket No.</b>	2007-0986-PST-E	<b>Order Type</b>	1660
<b>Media Program(s)</b>	Petroleum Storage Tank	<b>Enf. Coordinator</b>	Elvia Maske
<b>Multi-Media</b>		<b>EC's Team</b>	EnforcementTeam 6
<b>Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum</b>	\$0	<b>Maximum</b>	\$10,000

## Penalty Calculation Section

**TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)** **Subtotal 1**

**ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1**

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

**Compliance History** **Subtotals 2, 3, & 7**

**Notes**

**Culpability** **Subtotal 4**

**Notes**

**Good Faith Effort to Comply** **Subtotal 5**

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with x)

**Notes**

**0% Enhancement\*** **Subtotal 6**

Approx. Cost of Compliance  Total EB Amounts

*\*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount*

**SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** **Final Subtotal**

**OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE** **Adjustment**

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

**Notes**

**Final Penalty Amount**

**STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT** **Final Assessed Penalty**

**DEFERRAL** **Adjustment**

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

**Notes**

**PAYABLE PENALTY**

**Screening Date** 17-Jun-2007

**Docket No.** 2007-0986-PST-E

**PCW**

**Respondent** Pringka Corporation dba Speedys Food Store

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

**Case ID No.** 33872

PCW Revision May 25, 2007

**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN104711734

**Media [Statute]** Petroleum Storage Tank

**Enf. Coordinator** Elvia Maske

### Compliance History Worksheet

>> **Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)**

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action ( <i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i> )	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	1	2%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability ( <i>number of orders meeting criteria</i> )	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government ( <i>number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria</i> )	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government ( <i>number of counts</i> )	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events ( <i>number of events</i> )	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 ( <i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i> )	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 ( <i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i> )	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2)** 2%

>> **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)**

No

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)** 0%

>> **Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)**

Average Performer

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)** 0%

>> **Compliance History Summary**

**Compliance History Notes**

Enhancement due to one NOV for different violations.

**Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)** 2%

<b>Screening Date</b> 17-Jun-2007	<b>Docket No.</b> 2007-0986-PST-E	<b>PCW</b>
<b>Respondent</b> Pringka Corporation dba Speedys Food Store	<small>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</small>	
<b>Case ID No.</b> 33872	<small>PCW Revision May 25, 2007</small>	
<b>Reg. Ent. Reference No.</b> RN104711734		
<b>Media [Statute]</b> Petroleum Storage Tank		
<b>Enf. Coordinator</b> Elvia Maske		
<b>Violation Number</b> <input type="text" value="1"/>		
<b>Rule Cite(s)</b>	<input type="text" value="30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(d)(1)(B) and Tex. Water Code § 26.345(c)(1)"/>	
<b>Violation Description</b>	<input type="text" value="Failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least once each month, sufficiently accurate to detect a release which equals or exceeds the sum of 1.0 percent of the total substance flow-through for the month plus 130 gallons."/>	
<b>Base Penalty</b>		<input type="text" value="\$10,000"/>

**>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

<b>OR</b>	<b>Harm</b>				
	<b>Release</b>	<b>Major</b>	<b>Moderate</b>		<b>Minor</b>
	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		<input type="text"/>
Potential	<input type="text" value="x"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<b>Percent</b> <input type="text" value="25%"/>	

**>> Programmatic Matrix**

	<b>Falsification</b>	<b>Major</b>	<b>Moderate</b>	<b>Minor</b>	
	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
					<b>Percent</b> <input type="text" value="0%"/>
<b>Matrix Notes</b>	<input type="text" value="Failure to conduct inventory control as required at retail facilities can result in the exposure of a significant amount of contaminants which may exceed levels that are protective of human health and the environment."/>				

**Adjustment**

**Violation Events**

Number of Violation Events        Number of violation days

<small>mark only one with an x</small>	daily	<input type="text"/>
	monthly	<input type="text"/>
	quarterly	<input type="text" value="x"/>
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>
	annual	<input type="text"/>
	single event	<input type="text"/>

**Violation Base Penalty**

One quarterly event is recommended for the violation from the date of the investigation (April 18, 2007) to the date of screening (June 17, 2007).

<b>Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation</b>	<b>Statutory Limit Test</b>
<b>Estimated EB Amount</b> <input type="text" value="\$16"/>	<b>Violation Final Penalty Total</b> <input type="text" value="\$2,550"/>
<b>This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)</b> <input type="text" value="\$2,550"/>	

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Pringka Corporation dba Speedys Food Store  
**Case ID No.** 33872  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN104711734  
**Media** Petroleum Storage Tank  
**Violation No.** 1

<b>Percent Interest</b>	<b>Years of Depreciation</b>
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$500	18-Apr-2007	1-Dec-2007	0.6	\$16	n/a	\$16

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to conduct proper inventory control procedures. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the projected date of compliance.

**Avoided Costs**

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$500

TOTAL \$16





TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN  
ENFORCEMENT ACTION  
CONCERNING  
PRINGKA CORPORATION DBA  
SPEEDYS FOOD STORE  
RN104711734**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**BEFORE THE  
TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

**AGREED ORDER  
DOCKET NO. 2007-0986-PST-E**

**I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS**

At its \_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Pringka Corporation dba Speedys Food Store ("Pringka Corp") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Pringka Corp appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. Pringka Corp owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 1300 East Long Avenue in Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. Pringka Corp's one underground storage tank ("UST") is not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
3. The Commission and Pringka Corp agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Pringka Corp is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. Pringka Corp received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 10, 2007.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Pringka Corp of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Two Thousand Five Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$2,550) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Pringka Corp has paid One Hundred Seventy Dollars (\$170) of the administrative penalty and



Five Hundred Ten Dollars (\$510) is deferred contingent upon Pringka Corp's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If Pringka Corp fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require Pringka Corp to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of One Thousand Eight Hundred Seventy Dollars (\$1,870) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 11 monthly payments of One Hundred Seventy Dollars (\$170) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If Pringka Corp fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of Pringka Corp to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by Pringka Corp to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Pringka Corp have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Pringka Corp has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

## II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, Pringka Corp is alleged to have failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least once each month, sufficiently accurate to detect a release which equals or exceeds the sum of 1.0 percent of the total substance flow-through for the month plus 130 gallons, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(d)(1)(B) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 18, 2007.

## III. DENIALS

Pringka Corp generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

Furthermore, it highlights the role of internal controls in preventing fraud and ensuring the integrity of the financial statements. The document also touches upon the importance of regular audits and reviews.

In addition, the document addresses the challenges faced by organizations in managing their financial resources effectively. It provides insights into various strategies and best practices for financial management.

The document also discusses the impact of external factors, such as market conditions and regulatory changes, on an organization's financial performance. It offers guidance on how to navigate these challenges successfully.

Overall, the document provides a comprehensive overview of financial management principles and practices. It is intended to serve as a valuable resource for anyone involved in the financial aspects of an organization.

The document concludes by reiterating the importance of a strong financial foundation for long-term success. It encourages organizations to continuously monitor and improve their financial performance.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the document emphasizes that effective financial management is essential for the growth and sustainability of any organization. It provides a clear framework for understanding and implementing best practices in this field.

### References

The following references were consulted during the preparation of this document:

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Pringka Corp pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Pringka Corp's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Pringka Corporation dba Speedys Food Store, Docket No. 2007-0986-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section  
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that Pringka Corp shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, Pringka Corp shall begin performing reconciliation of inventory control records at least once each month, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and
  - b. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team  
Enforcement Division, MC 149A  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager  
Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
2309 Gravel Drive  
Fort Worth, TX 76118-6951



3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Pringka Corp. Pringka Corp is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If Pringka Corp fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Pringka Corp's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Pringka Corp shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Pringka Corp shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Pringka Corp becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Pringka Corp shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Pringka Corp receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Pringka Corp in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Pringka Corp, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Pringka Corp, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

The second part of the document details the various methods used to collect and analyze data. It includes a discussion on the reliability of different sources and the potential biases that can affect the results of an analysis.

The third part of the document focuses on the interpretation of the data and the drawing of conclusions. It provides a framework for evaluating the evidence and making informed decisions based on the findings.

The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of the findings and the potential for future research. It highlights the need for continued monitoring and evaluation to ensure the long-term success of the project.

The fifth part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and conclusions. It reiterates the importance of the research and the need for further action to address the identified issues.

The final part of the document includes a list of references and a list of appendices. The references provide a comprehensive overview of the literature related to the study, while the appendices contain additional data and supporting information.

## SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Commission

John Szolier  
For the Executive Director

10/9/07  
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Anwar Hossain  
Signature

07-23-07  
Date

ANWAR HOSSAIN  
Name (Printed or typed)  
Authorized Representative of  
Pringka Corporation dba Speedys Food Store

OWNER  
Title

**Instructions:** Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Handwritten text at the top of the page, possibly a header or title, which is mostly illegible due to fading and bleed-through.

Second section of handwritten text, appearing as several lines of a list or notes.

Third section of handwritten text, continuing the list or notes from the previous section.

Fourth section of handwritten text, possibly containing a signature or a specific entry.

Fifth and final section of handwritten text at the bottom of the page.