

Page 1 of 2

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER
DOCKET NO.: 2007-0347-MWD-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102754199 **CASE NO.:** 32874
RESPONDENT NAME: City of Anna

ORDER TYPE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMEDIATE AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: City of Anna Slayter Creek Plant, located approximately 4,000 feet west of State Highway 5 and 4,600 feet south of Farm-to-Market Road 455, Collin County</p> <p>TYPE OF OPERATION: Wastewater treatment facility</p> <p>SMALL BUSINESS: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p>INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p>COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on September 10, 2007. No comments were received.</p> <p>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: Ms. Sharon Blue, SEP Coordinator, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-2223 TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. J. Craig Fleming, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 3, MC 149, (512) 239-5806; Mr. David Van Soest, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0468 Respondent: The Honorable Kenneth Pelham, Mayor, City of Anna, P. O. Box 776, Anna, Texas 75409 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: January 10, 2007</p> <p>Date of NOE Relating to this Case: February 23, 2007 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine records review. One violation was documented.</p> <p>WATER</p> <p>Failure to comply with the permitted effluent limits as documented during a record review conducted on January 10, 2007 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), and TPDES Permit No. 11283001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No. 1].</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$14,535</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$0 <input type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$14,535</p> <p>Total Paid to General Revenue: \$0</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p> <p>Findings Orders Justification: Environmental receptors have been exposed to pollutants which exceed levels that are protective.</p>	<p>Ordering Provisions:</p> <p>1) The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) (See Attachment A).</p> <p>2) The Order will also require the Respondent to:</p> <p>Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. 11283001.</p>

Attachment A

Docket Number: 2007-0347-MWD-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

The Respondent:	City of Anna
Penalty Amount:	Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$14,535)
SEP Offset Amount:	Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$14,535)
Type of SEP:	Custom (with pre-approved concept)
Location of SEP:	Collin County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to perform a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The SEP Offset Amount is set forth above and such offset is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall hold a one-day city-wide household hazardous waste collection and electronics and tire recycling event to include collection and proper disposal of household hazardous waste, used motor oil, filters, batteries, and tires, and recycling of electronics. The Respondent shall ensure that the event:

- occurs on a weekend during daylight hours;
- offers to the public a convenient drop-off location; and
- is advertised to the public to ensure public participation

The Respondent shall collect, transport, and recycle or properly dispose of the collected materials. SEP monies related to this Order will be used for roll-off bins, heavy equipment, waste disposal, labor, and other direct costs necessary to conduct the event.

The Respondent shall use the SEP Offset Amount only for the direct cost of implementing the project and no portion shall be spent on administrative costs.

The Respondent shall perform this project in accordance with all federal, state and local laws and regulations. The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

The recycling portion of the event will include recycling of E-waste materials. E-waste materials include computers and related information technologies such as workstations, scanners, printers, hand-held diagnostic and screening tools, cell phones, servers, and televisions, among many others. Cathode ray tubes ("CRT") are

City of Anna

Agreed Order – Attachment A Docket No. 2007-0347-MWD-E

the picture tubes in computers, monitors and TVs. With the rapid evolution of technology, computers and TVs containing CRTs become obsolete in a short period of time and are simply discarded, often in landfills. A typical 17-inch CRT contains approximately two pounds of lead and larger CRTs may contain up to ten pounds of lead. E-waste can contain lead, mercury, cadmium, hexavalent chromium and brominated flame retardants.

This SEP will limit potentially toxic or hazardous E-waste from the waste stream destined for disposal or incineration and to divert the E-waste to environmentally sensitive recycling. This will save valuable landfill capacity and allow the recycler to re-utilize E-waste components, including CRTs.

This SEP will also provide a discernible environmental benefit by providing for the proper disposal of debris, wastes, and tires that can leach chemicals into the soil, water, and air, as well as help rid the community of the dangers and health threats associated with non-regulated dumping.

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall spend at least the SEP Offset Amount to complete the project described above and comply with all other provisions of this Attachment A. The Respondent recognizes that additional funds over and above the SEP Offset Amount may be necessary to conduct the event.

2. Performance Schedule

The Respondent shall complete the project within one year after the effective date of this Agreed Order.

3. Reporting

A. Progress Reports

Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall submit a report to the TCEQ indicating the progress made to date and setting forth a schedule for achieving completion within the time required above. There are no other interim performance milestones for this one-day collection and recycling event.

B. Final Report

Within 60 days after completion of the project, the Respondent shall submit a report to the TCEQ which includes:

1. An itemized list of expenditures and costs incurred with receipts, copies of checks, or other verifying documentation attached;
2. The total amount of costs incurred;
3. A statement of quantifiable environmental benefits;
4. Number of tires, batteries, pounds of electronic waste collected;
5. Manifests or receipts, if available, to show how the materials were recycled;
6. Photographs of the project; and
7. Any additional information The Respondent believes will demonstrate compliance with this Attachment A.

C. Address

The Respondent shall submit all SEP reports and any requested additional information to the following address:

Litigation Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Additional Information and Access

The Respondent shall provide any additional information required by TCEQ staff and allow access to all records related to the receipt and expenditure of SEP funds. The Respondent shall also allow a representative of the TCEQ access to the site of any work being financed in whole or in part by SEP funds. This provision survives the termination of this Agreed Order.

5. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the TCEQ staff may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount. The Respondent agrees that it will contribute any monetary shortages in the Offset amount after performance of the project to TCEQ within 120 days of completion of the project.

In the event of incomplete performance, the Respondent shall include the docket number of this Agreed Order and a note with any payment, explaining that the payment is for a SEP reimbursement. The payment for any amount due shall be made out to the "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Litigation Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

6. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must also clearly state that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

7. Clean Texas Program

City of Anna

Agreed Order – Attachment A Docket No. 2007-0347-MWD-E

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the “Clean Texas” (or any successor) program. Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

8. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other orders negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision January 9, 2007

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	5-Mar-2007	Screening	7-Mar-2007	EPA Due	
	PCW	7-Mar-2007				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION			
Respondent	City of Anna		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102754199		
Facility/Site Region	4-Dallas/Fort Worth	Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION				
Enf./Case ID No.	32874	No. of Violations	2	
Docket No.	2007-0347-MWD-E	Order Type	Findings	
Media Program(s)	Water Quality	Enf. Coordinator	J. Craig Fleming	
Multi-Media		EC's Team	EnforcementTeam 4	
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) **Subtotal 1**

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History Enhancement **Subtotals 2, 3, & 7**

Notes

Culpability Enhancement **Subtotal 4**

Notes

Good Faith Effort to Comply Reduction **Subtotal 5**

	Before NOV	NOV to EDRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Ordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(mark with x)

Notes

Total EB Amounts **Subtotal 6**
Approx. Cost of Compliance **50% Enhancement***
***Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount**

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 **Final Subtotal**

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE **Adjustment**

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes

Final Penalty Amount

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT **Final Assessed Penalty**

DEFERRAL Reduction **Adjustment**

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 7-Mar-2007

Docket No. 2007-0347-MWD-E

PCW

Respondent City of Anna

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 32874

PCW Revision January 9, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102754199

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fleming

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust:
NOVs	Written NOV's with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOV's meeting criteria)	51	255%
	Other written NOV's	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%

Please Enter Yes or No

Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 275%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

A 275% enhancement is recommended for having 50 NOV's for self-reported effluent data, one written NOV for same or similar violations, and one agreed final enforcement order with a denial of liability.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 275%

Screening Date 7-Mar-2007

Docket No. 2007-0347-MWD-E

PCW

Respondent City of Anna

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 32874

PCW Revision January 9, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102754199

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fleming

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a), and TPDES Permit No. 12283001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No. 1

Violation Description Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits as documented during a record review conducted on January 10, 2007. See attached table.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual		x			50%
Potential					

>> Programmatic Matrix

	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
					0%

Matrix Notes

A simplified model was used to evaluate biochemical oxygen demand ("BOD") and ammonia nitrogen ("NH3-N") to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Daily average flow values were also considered. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to a significant amount of pollutants which exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$5,000

\$5,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 90 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	x
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$5,000

One quarterly event is recommended for the reporting periods of December 31, 2005, and January 31, 2006 for outfall 001; and for the reporting period of February 28, 2006 for outfall 002.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$150,000

Violation Final Penalty Total \$12,113

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$12,113

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent City of Anna
Case ID No. 32874
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102754199
Media Water Quality
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500,000	1-Dec-2005	1-Dec-2007	2.0	\$150,000	n/a	\$150,000

Notes for DELAYED costs

The estimated cost to permit and construct the new wastewater treatment plant. The Date Required is the date the noncompliance started. The Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

NA

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,500,000

TOTAL

\$150,000

Screening Date 7-Mar-2007

Docket No. 2007-0347-MWD-E

PCW

Respondent City of Anna

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 32874

PCW Revision January 9, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102754199

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fleming

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a), and TPDES Permit No. 12283001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No. 1

Violation Description Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits as documented during a record review conducted on January 10, 2007. See attached table.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			x
Potential			

Percent 10%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0%

Matrix Notes

A simplified model was used to evaluate biochemical oxygen demand ("BOD") and ammonia nitrogen ("NH3-N") to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Daily average flow values were also considered. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to an insignificant amount of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

89 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	x
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One quarterly event is recommended for the reporting periods of February 28 and March 31, 2006 for outfall 001; and the reporting period of April 30, 2006 for outfall 002.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$0

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,423

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,423

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: City of Anna
Case ID No.: 32874
Reg. Ent. Reference No.: RN102754199
Media: Water Quality
Violation No.: 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

See violation no. 1

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

NA

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$0

TOTAL

\$0

Effluent Violation Table
City of Anna
TPDES Permit No. 11283001 (Outfall 001)
Docket No. 2007-0347-MWD-E

Permitted Effluent Limits	Monitoring Period End Date			
	December 2005	January 2006	February 2006	March 2006
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Avg. Limit of 8.3 (in lbs/day)	56.0	49	11.7	15.1
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Avg. Limit of 4 (in mg/L)	32.6	31	32.47	19.7
Ammonia Nitrogen Single Grab Limit of 15 (in mg/L)	35.8	30	30.8	25.4
BOD Daily Avg. Limit of 63 (in lbs/day)	187.25	169.8	C	C
BOD Daily Avg. Limit of 30 (in mg/L)	109.4	104	45.7	C
BOD Single Grab Limit of 100 (in mg/L)	164	153	C	C

Avg. = average	mg/L = milligrams per Liter	lbs/day = pounds per day
C = compliant	Min. = minimum	BOD = Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5 day)

Effluent Violation Table
City of Anna
TPDES Permit No. 11283001 (Outfall 002)
Docket No. 2007-0347-MWD-E

Permitted Effluent Limits	Monitoring Period End Date	
	February 2006	April 2006
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Avg. Limit of 12 (in lbs/day)	34.5	15.5
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Avg. Limit of 3 (in mg/L)	17.7	9.8
Ammonia Nitrogen Single Grab Limit of 10 (in mg/L)	22.4	19.4
BOD Daily Avg. Limit of 10 (in mg/L)	11.7	C

Avg. = average	mg/L = milligrams per Liter	lbs/day = pounds per day
C = compliant	Min. = minimum	BOD = Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5 day)

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600737134 City of Anna Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 3.39

Regulated Entity: RN102754199 CITY OF ANNA SLAYTER CREEK PLANT Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 3.39

ID Number(s):
 WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0011283001
 WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0011283001
 WASTEWATER PERMIT TPDES0056677
 WASTEWATER PERMIT TX0056677
 WASTEWATER LICENSING LICENSE WQ0011283001

Location: LOCATED APPROXIMATELY 4,000 FEET WEST OF STATE HIGHWAY 5 AND 4,600 FEET SOUTH OF FARM-TO-MARKET ROAD 455 IN COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS Rating Date: 9/1/2006 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region: REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX

Date Compliance History Prepared: March 06, 2007

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: March 06, 2002 to March 06, 2007

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: J. Craig Fleming Phone: (512) 239-5806

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? No
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 04/29/2005 ADMINORDER 2003-0173-MWD-E
 Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)
 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Rqmt Prov: Effluent Limitations PERMIT
 Description: Failure to comply with the permit limits at Outfall 001.

- B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

- C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

- 1 03/25/2002 (188434)
- 2 04/22/2002 (188439)
- 3 05/20/2002 (188443)
- 4 06/10/2002 (IE0018259001001)
- 5 06/21/2002 (188446)
- 6 07/22/2002 (188449)
- 7 08/26/2002 (188452)
- 8 08/29/2002 (7309)
- 9 09/23/2002 (188455)
- 10 10/21/2002 (188456)
- 11 11/20/2002 (188461)

12 12/18/2002 (188464)
 13 01/03/2003 (20660)
 14 01/21/2003 (188468)
 15 02/24/2003 (188432)
 16 03/24/2003 (188435)
 17 04/22/2003 (188440)
 18 05/27/2003 (308315)
 19 06/26/2003 (308316)
 20 07/18/2003 (308317)
 21 09/22/2003 (308319)
 22 10/17/2003 (308320)
 23 11/18/2003 (308318)
 24 11/24/2003 (308321)
 25 12/22/2003 (308322)
 26 01/23/2004 (308323)
 27 02/20/2004 (308312)
 28 03/22/2004 (308313)
 29 04/23/2004 (308314)
 30 05/25/2004 (357204)
 31 06/14/2004 (357205)
 32 07/21/2004 (357206)
 33 09/03/2004 (357207)
 34 09/27/2004 (357208)
 35 10/22/2004 (357209)
 36 11/23/2004 (384721)
 37 12/22/2004 (384722)
 38 01/21/2005 (384723)
 39 02/17/2005 (422682)
 40 03/16/2005 (384720)
 41 04/08/2005 (376703)
 42 04/22/2005 (422683)
 43 05/17/2005 (422684)
 44 06/16/2005 (422685)
 45 07/20/2005 (443592)
 46 08/16/2005 (443593)
 47 09/23/2005 (443594)
 48 10/18/2005 (473607)
 49 11/22/2005 (473608)
 50 12/27/2005 (473609)
 51 01/24/2006 (473610)
 52 02/22/2006 (473605)
 53 03/22/2006 (473606)
 54 04/24/2006 (501688)
 55 04/27/2006 (431388)
 56 05/24/2006 (501689)
 57 06/19/2006 (501690)
 58 07/18/2006 (523958)
 59 08/21/2006 (523959)
 60 09/20/2006 (523960)
 61 02/26/2007 (488050)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 03/31/2002 (188439)
 Self Report? YES Classification Moderate
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter
 Date: 04/30/2002 (188443)
 Self Report? YES Classification Moderate
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter
 Date: 06/30/2002 (188449)

Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	07/31/2002 (188452)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	08/29/2002 (7309)		
Self Report?	NO	Classification	Minor
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)		
Description:	Failure to comply with permitted effluent limitations.		
Self Report?	NO	Classification	Minor
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)		
Description:	Failure to submit noncompliance notifications for effluent deviations greater than 40%.		
Date:	08/31/2002 (188455)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	09/10/2002 (188456)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	10/31/2002 (188461)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	11/30/2002 (188464)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	12/31/2002 (188468)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	01/31/2003 (188432)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	02/28/2003 (188435)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	03/31/2003 (188440)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	04/30/2003 (308315)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	05/31/2003 (308316)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate

Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	06/30/2003 (308317)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	08/31/2003 (308319)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	09/30/2003 (308320)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	10/31/2003 (308321)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	11/30/2003 (308322)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	12/10/2003 (254058)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)		
Description:	Failure to comply with permitted effluent violations		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Minor
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)		
Description:	Failure to comply with NH3N grab limit		
Self Report?	NO	Classification	Minor
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)		
Description:	Failure to submit notification		
Date:	12/31/2003 (308323)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	01/31/2004 (308312)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	02/29/2004 (308313)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	03/31/2004 (308314)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	04/30/2004 (357204)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	05/31/2004 (357205)		

Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	06/30/2004 (357206)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	07/31/2004 (357207)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	08/31/2004 (357208)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	09/30/2004 (357209)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	10/31/2004 (384721)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	11/30/2004 (384722)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	12/31/2004 (384723)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	01/31/2005 (422682)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	02/28/2005 (384720)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	03/31/2005 (422683)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	04/08/2005 (376703)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)		
Description:	Failure to comply with permitted effluent violations		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	TWC Chapter 26 26.121		
Rqmt Prov:	PERMIT WQ0011283-001		
Description:	Failure to prevent any discharge which has reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.		
Date:	05/31/2005 (422685)		

Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	06/30/2005 (443592)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	07/31/2005 (443593)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	08/31/2005 (443594)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	09/30/2005 (473607)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	10/31/2005 (473608)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	11/30/2005 (473609)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	12/31/2005 (473610)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	01/31/2006 (473605)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	02/28/2006 (473606)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	03/31/2006 (501688)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	04/30/2006 (501689)		
Self Report?	YES	Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]		
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter		
Date:	12/31/2006		
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)(G)		

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

- F. Environmental audits.
N/A
- G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
N/A
- H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.
N/A
- I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.
N/A
- J. Early compliance.
N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
CITY OF ANNA
RN102754199**

§ **BEFORE THE**
§
§ **TEXAS COMMISSION ON**
§
§ **ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-0347-MWD-E

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding City of Anna ("the City") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the City presented this agreement to the Commission.

The City understands that it has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Agreed Order, the City agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Order represents the complete and fully-integrated settlement of the parties. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Agreed Order are binding upon the City.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The City owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility located approximately 4,000 feet west of State Highway 5 and 4,600 feet south of Farm-to-Market Road 455 in Collin County, Texas (the "Facility").

2. The City has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
3. During a record review on January 10, 2007, TCEQ staff documented that the City failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits. See the tables below.

Effluent Violation Table				
City of Anna				
TPDES Permit No. 11283001 (Outfall 001)				
Permitted Effluent Limits	Monitoring Period End Date			
	December 2005	January 2006	February 2006	March 2006
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Avg. Limit of 8.3 (in lbs/day)	56.0	49	11.7	15.1
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Avg. Limit of 4 (in mg/L)	32.6	31	32.47	19.7
Ammonia Nitrogen Single Grab Limit of 15 (in mg/L)	35.8	30	30.8	25.4
BOD Daily Avg. Limit of 63 (in lbs/day)	187.25	169.8	C	C
BOD Daily Avg. Limit of 30 (in mg/L)	109.4	104	45.7	C
BOD Single Grab Limit of 100 (in mg/L)	164	153	C	C

Avg. = average	mg/L = milligrams per Liter	lbs/day = pounds per day
C = compliant	Min. = minimum	BOD = Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5 day)

Effluent Violation Table		
City of Anna		
TPDES Permit No. 11283001 (Outfall 002)		
Permitted Effluent Limits	Monitoring Period End Date	
	February 2006	April 2006
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Avg. Limit of 12 (in lbs/day)	34.5	15.5
Ammonia Nitrogen Daily Avg. Limit of 3 (in mg/L)	17.7	9.8
Ammonia Nitrogen Single Grab Limit of 10 (in mg/L)	22.4	19.4
BOD Daily Avg. Limit of 10 (in mg/L)	11.7	C

Avg. = average	mg/L = milligrams per Liter	lbs/day = pounds per day
C = compliant	Min. = minimum	BOD = Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5 day)

4. The City received notice of the violations on February 28, 2007.
5. The City decommissioned the previous facility in December 2005, and activated a new facility online in January 2006.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The City is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the Commission.
2. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 3, the City failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits, as documented during a record review conducted on January 10, 2007, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), and TPDES Permit No. 11283001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No. 1.
3. Pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against the City for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.

4. An administrative penalty in the amount of Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$14,535) is justified by the facts recited in this Agreed Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in TEX. WATER CODE § 7.053. Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$14,535) shall be conditionally offset by the City's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project.

III. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The City is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$14,535) as set forth in Section II, Paragraph 4 above, for violations of TCEQ rules and state statutes. The payment of this administrative penalty and the City's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order completely resolve the violations set forth by this Agreed Order in this action. However, the Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations that are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Anna, Docket No. 2007-0347-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. The City shall implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") in accordance with TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section II, Paragraph 4 above, Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$14,535) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the City implements the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. The City's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.

3. The City shall undertake the following technical requirements:

Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. 11283001. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate,

and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section, Manager
Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
2301 Gravel Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the City. The City is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
5. If the City fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the City's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The City shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The City shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the City becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the City shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the City receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
7. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas (“OAG”) for further enforcement proceedings without notice to the City if the Executive Director determines that the City has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
8. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is crucial for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to support effective decision-making.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of technology in data management and analysis. It discusses how modern software solutions can streamline data collection, storage, and reporting, thereby improving efficiency and accuracy.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data management, such as data quality, security, and integration. It provides strategies to overcome these challenges and ensure the integrity and reliability of the data.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the importance of data governance and compliance. It outlines the necessary policies and procedures to ensure that data is handled in a responsible and lawful manner, protecting the organization's reputation and legal interests.

6. The sixth part of the document explores the benefits of data-driven decision-making. It illustrates how access to accurate and timely data can lead to better strategic planning, improved operational performance, and enhanced customer satisfaction.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the role of data in innovation and growth. It highlights how data analysis can identify new market opportunities, optimize product offerings, and drive the development of innovative solutions.

8. The eighth part of the document addresses the importance of data literacy and training. It emphasizes that all employees should have the necessary skills and knowledge to effectively use data in their work, fostering a data-driven culture throughout the organization.

9. The ninth part of the document discusses the future of data management and analysis. It explores emerging trends such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, and big data, and their potential impact on the way organizations collect, analyze, and use data.

10. The tenth part of the document provides a summary of the key points discussed and offers final thoughts on the importance of data in the modern business landscape. It encourages organizations to embrace data as a strategic asset and to continuously invest in their data management capabilities.

9. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the City in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
10. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
11. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the third day after the mailing date, as provided by 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b) and TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.142.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission



For the Executive Director

10/3/07

Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order in the matter of City of Anna. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of City of Anna, and do agree to the specified terms and conditions. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I understand that by entering into this Agreed Order, City of Anna waives certain procedural rights, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations addressed by this Agreed Order, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and the right to appeal. I agree to the terms of the Agreed Order in lieu of an evidentiary hearing. This Agreed Order constitutes full and final adjudication by the Commission of the violations set forth in this Agreed Order.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me;
- and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.



Signature

7/03/07

Date

Kenneth Pelham

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
City of Anna

Mayer

Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

1911

1911

The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been
 named in the report of the committee on the subject of the
 proposed amendment to the constitution of the State of
 New York, as passed by the Senate on the 14th day of
 March, 1911, and by the Assembly on the 15th day of
 March, 1911, and which was approved by the Governor
 on the 16th day of March, 1911.

1911

1911

1911

The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been
 named in the report of the committee on the subject of the
 proposed amendment to the constitution of the State of
 New York, as passed by the Senate on the 14th day of
 March, 1911, and by the Assembly on the 15th day of
 March, 1911, and which was approved by the Governor
 on the 16th day of March, 1911.

Attachment A

Docket Number: 2007-0347-MWD-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

The Respondent:	City of Anna
Penalty Amount:	Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$14,535)
SEP Offset Amount:	Fourteen Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$14,535)
Type of SEP:	Custom (with pre-approved concept)
Location of SEP:	Collin County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (“TCEQ”) agrees to offset the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to perform a Supplemental Environmental Project (“SEP”). The SEP Offset Amount is set forth above and such offset is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall hold a one-day city-wide household hazardous waste collection and electronics and tire recycling event to include collection and proper disposal of household hazardous waste, used motor oil, filters, batteries, and tires, and recycling of electronics. The Respondent shall ensure that the event:

- occurs on a weekend during daylight hours;
- offers to the public a convenient drop-off location; and
- is advertised to the public to ensure public participation

The Respondent shall collect, transport, and recycle or properly dispose of the collected materials. SEP monies related to this Order will be used for roll-off bins, heavy equipment, waste disposal, labor, and other direct costs necessary to conduct the event.

The Respondent shall use the SEP Offset Amount only for the direct cost of implementing the project and no portion shall be spent on administrative costs.

The Respondent shall perform this project in accordance with all federal, state and local laws and regulations. The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

The recycling portion of the event will include recycling of E-waste materials. E-waste materials include computers and related information technologies such as workstations, scanners, printers, hand-held diagnostic and screening tools, cell phones, servers, and televisions, among many others. Cathode ray tubes (“CRT”) are

the picture tubes in computers, monitors and TVs. With the rapid evolution of technology, computers and TVs containing CRTs become obsolete in a short period of time and are simply discarded, often in landfills. A typical 17-inch CRT contains approximately two pounds of lead and larger CRTs may contain up to ten pounds of lead. E-waste can contain lead, mercury, cadmium, hexavalent chromium and brominated flame retardants.

This SEP will limit potentially toxic or hazardous E-waste from the waste stream destined for disposal or incineration and to divert the E-waste to environmentally sensitive recycling. This will save valuable landfill capacity and allow the recycler to re-utilize E-waste components, including CRTs.

This SEP will also provide a discernible environmental benefit by providing for the proper disposal of debris, wastes, and tires that can leach chemicals into the soil, water, and air, as well as help rid the community of the dangers and health threats associated with non-regulated dumping.

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall spend at least the SEP Offset Amount to complete the project described above and comply with all other provisions of this Attachment A. The Respondent recognizes that additional funds over and above the SEP Offset Amount may be necessary to conduct the event.

2. Performance Schedule

The Respondent shall complete the project within one year after the effective date of this Agreed Order.

3. Reporting

A. Progress Reports

Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall submit a report to the TCEQ indicating the progress made to date and setting forth a schedule for achieving completion within the time required above. There are no other interim performance milestones for this one-day collection and recycling event.

B. Final Report

Within 60 days after completion of the project, the Respondent shall submit a report to the TCEQ which includes:

1. An itemized list of expenditures and costs incurred with receipts, copies of checks, or other verifying documentation attached;
2. The total amount of costs incurred;
3. A statement of quantifiable environmental benefits;
4. Number of tires, batteries, pounds of electronic waste collected;
5. Manifests or receipts, if available, to show how the materials were recycled;
6. Photographs of the project; and
7. Any additional information The Respondent believes will demonstrate compliance with this Attachment A.

C. Address

The Respondent shall submit all SEP reports and any requested additional information to the following address:

Litigation Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Additional Information and Access

The Respondent shall provide any additional information required by TCEQ staff and allow access to all records related to the receipt and expenditure of SEP funds. The Respondent shall also allow a representative of the TCEQ access to the site of any work being financed in whole or in part by SEP funds. This provision survives the termination of this Agreed Order.

5. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the TCEQ staff may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount. The Respondent agrees that it will contribute any monetary shortages in the Offset amount after performance of the project to TCEQ within 120 days of completion of the project.

In the event of incomplete performance, the Respondent shall include the docket number of this Agreed Order and a note with any payment, explaining that the payment is for a SEP reimbursement. The payment for any amount due shall be made out to the "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Litigation Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

6. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must also clearly state that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

7. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the “Clean Texas” (or any successor) program. Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

8. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other orders negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

