

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER
DOCKET NO.: 2006-1222-AIR-E **TCEQ ID:** RN100221662 **CASE NO.:** 30712
RESPONDENT NAME: Equistar Chemicals, LP

ORDER TYPE:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	

CASE TYPE:

<input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL
<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL FILTER	<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY		

SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Equistar Corpus Christi Plant, 1501 McKinzie Road, Corpus Christi, Nueces County

TYPE OF OPERATION: Chemical manufacturing plant

SMALL BUSINESS: Yes No

OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is one pending enforcement action regarding this facility location, a Court Order, Enforcement Case 6465.

INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the BD and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.

COMMENTS RECEIVED: The *Texas Register* comment period expired on January 29, 2007. No comments were received.

CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:

TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: Ms. Melissa Keller, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1768

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Bryan Elliott, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Section III, MC 149, (512) 239-6162; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896

Respondent: Mr. John Cain, Plant Manager, Equistar Chemicals, LP, 1501 McKinzie Road, Corpus Christi, Texas 78410

Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: May 25 and August 25, 2006</p> <p>Dates of NOEs Relating to this Case: August 1 and October 14, 2006 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine investigation. Three violations were documented.</p> <p>AIR</p> <p>1) Failed to comply with permitted emissions limits. Specifically, an emissions event occurred on September 18, 2005 at Tank F2009A (Emission Point No. 23A) that lasted six hours and thirty minutes, resulting in the release of 65 pounds ("lbs") of benzene. The authorized emissions limit for volatile organic compounds under the permit is 0.14 pounds per hour ("lbs/hr"). Since this emissions event could have been avoided by good operation practices, it failed to meet the demonstrations for affirmative defense as found in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 101.222 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and 101.20(3), Air Permit No. 4682B/PSD-TX-761M1, General Condition No. 8, and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>2) Failed to comply with permitted emissions limits. Specifically, an emissions event occurred on September 20, 2005 at a 4-inch pipeline in the Olefins Feedstock Storage Area that lasted eight hours and thirty minutes, resulting in the release of 598 lbs of volatile organic compounds. There are no authorized emissions limits for this emissions point. Since this emissions event could have been avoided by good operation practices, it failed to meet the demonstrations for affirmative defense as found in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 101.222 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and 101.20(3), Air Permit No. 4682B/PSD-TX-761M1, General Condition No. 8, and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$9,750</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$1,950 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$3,900</p> <p>Total Paid to General Revenue: \$3,900</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification: <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification: <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Corrective Actions Taken:</p> <p>1) The Executive Director recognizes that Equistar has implemented the following corrective measures at the Plant:</p> <p>a. Developed and implemented Inspection Procedure 551.138 IP- "Inspection Safety Plan for Abrasive Blasting" on March 12, 2006;</p> <p>b. Welded over weep holes and sand blasted and painted the external surface of Tank F2009A by April 28, 2006; and</p> <p>c. Implemented new procedures designed to ensure the integrity of tank welds by August 1, 2006.</p> <p>Ordering Provisions:</p> <p>2) The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). (See SEP Attachment A).</p>

RESPONDENT'S NAME: Equistar Chemicals, LP
DOCKET NO.: 2006-1222-AIR-E

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>3) Failed to comply with permitted emissions limits. Specifically, an emissions event occurred on May 1, 2006 at Tank F2009A (Emission Point No. 23A) that lasted for one hour, resulting in the release of 73 lbs of benzene. The authorized emissions limit for volatile organic compounds under the permit is 0.14 lbs/hr. Since this emissions event could have been avoided by good operation practices, it failed to meet the demonstrations for affirmative defense as found in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 101.222 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and 101.20(3), Air Permit No. 4682B/PSD-TX-761M1, General Condition No. 8, and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>		

Attachment A
Docket Number: 2006-1222-AIR-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	Equistar Chemicals, LP
Payable Penalty Amount:	Seven Thousand Eight Hundred Dollars (\$7,800)
SEP Amount:	Three Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars (\$3,900)
Type of SEP:	Pre-approved
Third-Party Recipient:	Beautify Corpus Christi Association-Cleanup of Illegal Dump Sites
Location of SEP:	Nueces County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to cleanup unauthorized dump sites, recycle materials when feasible, and properly dispose of all waste. Eligible sites will be limited to those where a responsible party cannot be found or is unable to clean the site and where reasonable efforts have been made to prevent the dumping.

SEP monies will be used for the direct cost of collection and disposal of waste. No SEP monies will be spent on administrative costs.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by reducing the potential of health threats and diseases associated with illegal dump sites.

C. Minimum Expenditure

Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Beautify Corpus Christi Association
Daiquiri Richard, Executive Director
545 North Upper Broadway
Corpus Christi, Texas 78746

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Financial Administration Division, Revenues
Attention: Cashier, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.



Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

PCW Revision April 25, 2006

DATES	Assigned	07-Aug-2006	Screening	09-Aug-2006	EPA Due	28-Apr-2007
	PCW	31-Oct-2006				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION	
Respondent	Equistar Chemicals, LP
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN100221662
Facility/Site Region	14-Corpus Christi
Major/Minor Source	Major Source

CASE INFORMATION			
Enf./Case ID No.	30712	No. of Violations	3
Docket No.	2006-1222-AIR-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Air Quality	Enf. Coordinator	Bryan Elliott
Multi-Media		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 5
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$7,500
---	------------	---------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History	55% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$4,125
---------------------------	-----------------	---------------------	---------

Notes: The penalty was enhanced due to two NOVs for same or similar violations, ten NOVs for dissimilar violations, and one Findings Order.

Culpability	No	0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	----------------	------------	-----

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply	25% Reduction	Subtotal 5	-\$1,875
------------------------------------	---------------	------------	----------

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	X	
N/A		(mark with a small x)

Notes: The Respondent came into compliance by August 1, 2006.

Economic Benefit	0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	-----------------	------------	-----

Total EB Amounts	\$86	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$4,000	

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$9,750
-----------------------------	----------------	---------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	Adjustment	\$0
---	------------	-----

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes:	Final Penalty Amount	\$9,750
--------	----------------------	---------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$9,750
-----------------------------------	------------------------	---------

DEFERRAL	20% Reduction	Adjustment	-\$1,950
-----------------	---------------	------------	----------

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY		\$7,800
------------------------	--	---------

Screening Date 09-Aug-2006	Docket No. 2006-1222-AIR-E	PCW
Respondent Equistar Chemicals, LP	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)	
Case ID No. 30712	PCW Revision April 25, 2006	
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100221662		
Media [Statute] Air Quality		
Enf. Coordinator Bryan Elliott		

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History *Site Enhancement* (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	2	10%
	Other written NOVs	10	20%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	1	25%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were</i>	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
Other	<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>		
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 55%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History *Person Classification* (Subtotal 7)

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

The penalty was enhanced due to two NOVs for same or similar violations, ten NOVs for dissimilar violations, and one Findings Order.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 55%

Screening Date 09-Aug-2006 **Docket No.** 2006-1222-AIR-E **PCW**
Respondent Equistar Chemicals, LP *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*
Case ID No. 30712 *PCW Revision April 25, 2006*
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100221662
Media [Statute] Air Quality
Enf. Coordinator Bryan Elliott

Violation Number 1
Primary Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and 101.20(3) and Air Permit No. 4682B/PSD-TX-761M1, General Condition No. 8
Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health and Safety Code § 382.085(b)
Violation Description
 The Respondent failed to comply with permitted emissions limits. Specifically, as documented during an investigation conducted on May 25, 2006, an emissions event occurred on September 18, 2005 at Tank F2009A (Emission Point No. 23A) that lasted six hours and 30 minutes, resulting in the release of 65 lbs of benzene. The authorized emissions limit for volatile organic compounds under the permit is 0.14 pounds per hour ("lbs/hr"). Since this emissions event could have been avoided by good operation practices, it failed to meet the demonstrations for affirmative defense as found in 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

		Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual			X	
	Potential				

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

		Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
	Falsification				

Matrix Notes
 Human health or the environment was exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which did not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$7,500

Base Penalty Subtotal \$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days 1

mark only one use a small x

daily	
monthly	
quarterly	
semi-annual	
annual	
single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation	Statutory Limit Test
Estimated EB Amount \$61	Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,250
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$3,250	

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: Equistar Chemicals, LP
 Case ID No: 30712
 Reg. Ent. Reference No: RN100221662
 Media [Statute]: Air Quality
 Violation No: 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$2,000	18-Sep-2005	28-Apr-2006	0.6	\$61	n/a	\$61

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated cost for additional oversight and management practices designed to ensure proper maintenance practices are followed. Date Required is based on the date of the emissions event and Final Date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs							
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)							
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$2,000

TOTAL \$61

Screening Date 09-Aug-2006 **Docket No.** 2006-1222-AIR-E **PCW**
Respondent Equistar Chemicals, LP *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*
Case ID No. 30712 *PCW Revision April 25, 2006*
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100221662
Media [Statute] Air Quality
Enf. Coordinator Bryan Elliott

Violation Number 2
Primary Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and 101.20(3) and Air Permit No. 4682B/PSD-TX-761M1, General Condition No. 8
Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health and Safety Code § 382.085(b)
Violation Description The Respondent failed to comply with permitted emissions limits. Specifically, as documented during an investigation conducted on May 25, 2006, an emissions event occurred on September 20, 2005 at a 4-inch pipeline in the Olefins Feedstock Storage Area that lasted eight hours and 30 minutes, resulting in the release of 598 lbs of volatile organic compounds. There are no authorized emissions limits for this emissions point. Since this emissions event could have been avoided by good operation practices, it failed to meet the demonstrations for affirmative defense as found in 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

		Harm				
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor		
OR	Actual			X	Percent	25%
	Potential					

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor		
						Percent	

Matrix Notes: Human health or the environment was exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which did not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$7,500

Base Penalty Subtotal \$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events Number of violation days

mark only one use a small x

daily	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation	Statutory Limit Test
Estimated EB Amount \$0	Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,250
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$3,250	

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: Equistar Chemicals, LP
 Case ID No: 30712
 Reg. Ent. Reference No: RN100221662
 Media [Statute]: Air Quality
 Violation No: 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buldngs				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Economic Benefit is included in violation No. 1

Avoided Costs							
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)							
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$0

TOTAL \$0

Screening Date 09-Aug-2006 **Docket No.** 2006-1222-AIR-E **PCW**
Respondent Equistar Chemicals, LP *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*
Case ID No. 30712 *PCW Revision April 25, 2006*
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100221662
Media [Statute] Air Quality
Enf. Coordinator Bryan Elliott
Violation Number 3

Primary Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and 101.20(3) and Air Permit No. 4682B/PSD-TX-761M1, General Condition No. 8
Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health and Safety Code § 382.085(b)
Violation Description The Respondent failed to comply with permitted emissions limits. Specifically, as documented during an investigation conducted on August 25, 2006, an emissions event occurred on May 1, 2006 at Tank F2009A (Emission Point No. 23A) that lasted for one hour, resulting in the release of 73 lbs of benzene. The authorized emissions limit for volatile organic compounds under the permit is 0.14 pounds per hour ("lbs/hr"). Since this emissions event could have been avoided by good operation practices, it failed to meet the demonstrations for affirmative defense as found in 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual			X	25%
Potential				

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment was exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which did not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$7,500

Base Penalty Subtotal \$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events Number of violation days

mark only one use a small x

daily	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$25

Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,250

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$3,250

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: Equistar Chemicals, LP
 Case ID No: 30712
 Reg. Ent. Reference No: RN100221662
 Media [Statute]: Air Quality
 Violation No: 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$2,000	01-May-2006	01-Aug-2006	0.3	\$25	n/a	\$25

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated cost for additional oversight and management practices designed to ensure proper maintenance practices are followed. Date Required is based on the date of the emissions event and Final Date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs							
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)							
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$2,000 **TOTAL** \$25

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600124705 Equistar Chemicals, LP Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 2.29
 Regulated Entity: RN100221662 EQUISTAR CORPUS CHRISTI PLANT Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 3.29

ID Number(s):	AIR OPERATING PERMITS	ACCOUNT NUMBER	NE0051B
	AIR OPERATING PERMITS	PERMIT	1486
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	WQ0002075000
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	TPDES0076996
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	TX0076996
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	4682B
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	6745B
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	10664A
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	18358
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	26401
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	ACCOUNT NUMBER	NE0051B
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	51781
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	AFS NUM	0089
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	EPA ID	PSDTX761
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	EPA ID	PSDTX732
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	REGISTRATION	78877
	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	PERMIT	WDW152
	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	PERMIT	WDW153
	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATION	EPA ID	TXD000836445
	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATION	SOLID WASTE REGISTRATION # (SWR)	31685
	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATION	EPA ID	TXD000836445
	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATION	EPA ID	TXD000836445
	STORMWATER	PERMIT	TXR05L891
	IHW CORRECTIVE ACTION	SOLID WASTE REGISTRATION # (SWR)	31685

Location: 1501 MCKINZIE RD, CORPUS CHRISTI, TX, 78410 Rating Date: September 01 05 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region: REGION 14 - CORPUS CHRISTI

Date Compliance History Prepared: August 09, 2006

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: August 09, 2001 to August 09, 2006

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Bryan Elliott Phone: 239-6162

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 03/14/2004 ADMINORDER 95-0386-AIR-E
 Classification: Major

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.4
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085[G]

Description: Failure to prevent emissions of butadiene and other hydrocarbons from a butadiene flare at such a concentration and duration as to cause a nuisance.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.6(a)
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failed to report an upset condition.

Classification: Major

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.110(a)[G]
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.0518(a)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Unpermitted utilization of the butadiene flare.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.116(a)(G)
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failed to make representations for using a butadiene flare system in TNRCC Permit No. R-6745.

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations: (COEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1	03/11/2003	(24643)
2	01/17/2003	(203322)
3	02/21/2002	(203321)
4	12/18/2002	(203318)
5	12/18/2001	(203317)
6	02/26/2003	(25659)
7	01/14/2005	(345350)
8	07/20/2005	(401021)
9	12/08/2003	(255383)
10	11/22/2002	(203314)
11	06/28/2004	(275725)
12	08/23/2003	(149148)
13	11/26/2001	(203313)
14	05/29/2003	(31476)
15	04/03/2002	(247332)
16	10/15/2002	(203310)
17	10/19/2001	(203309)
18	02/23/2005	(350977)
19	11/18/2005	(436148)
20	07/15/2004	(277896)
21	09/20/2002	(203307)
22	09/23/2005	(444969)
23	05/12/2006	(464792)
24	09/23/2005	(444970)
25	12/08/2003	(255391)
26	09/19/2001	(203306)
27	08/29/2005	(406863)
28	09/23/2005	(444971)
29	05/21/2006	(461856)
30	06/24/2003	(203304)
31	08/23/2002	(203303)
32	01/06/2004	(255527)
33	08/17/2001	(203302)
34	07/07/2006	(485712)
35	11/14/2005	(435247)
36	07/17/2003	(203300)
37	07/18/2002	(203299)
38	06/24/2003	(203296)
39	08/20/2003	(149175)
40	06/14/2002	(203295)
41	05/21/2006	(461850)
42	05/20/2003	(203292)
43	05/14/2002	(203291)
44	04/22/2003	(203288)
45	10/08/2005	(433519)
46	07/27/2005	(399203)
47	04/22/2002	(203287)
48	12/14/2004	(359960)
49	10/20/2004	(359961)
50	02/14/2006	(453564)
51	07/15/2004	(274093)

52	03/10/2003	(23634)
53	11/29/2004	(359962)
54	12/09/2004	(359963)
55	03/20/2003	(203283)
56	06/11/2003	(28890)
57	03/21/2002	(203282)
58	12/20/2005	(449950)
59	06/30/2005	(397650)
60	09/23/2004	(359964)
61	02/11/2003	(247330)
62	03/22/2005	(386284)
63	02/17/2003	(203279)
64	03/22/2005	(386285)
65	02/21/2002	(203278)
66	03/26/2004	(265559)
67	07/14/2004	(274396)
68	05/12/2006	(464782)
69	02/14/2006	(453558)
70	08/29/2001	(109078)
71	03/06/2002	(109079)
72	02/14/2006	(475935)
73	02/27/2004	(262634)
74	06/29/2006	(484695)
75	04/17/2002	(109080)
76	01/26/2003	(22073)
77	10/14/2005	(475936)
78	07/09/2002	(109081)
79	02/23/2005	(350968)
80	12/27/2005	(475937)
81	02/23/2004	(314438)
82	02/26/2003	(25668)
83	12/23/2003	(258139)
84	07/15/2004	(278257)
85	12/14/2005	(438818)
86	12/16/2004	(339654)
87	03/19/2004	(314440)
88	03/22/2005	(372684)
89	04/22/2004	(314441)
90	03/22/2005	(372663)
91	05/21/2004	(314443)
92	01/23/2003	(22010)
93	06/21/2004	(314445)
94	07/21/2004	(314447)
95	03/26/2004	(265555)
96	06/21/2004	(314449)
97	04/19/2005	(424181)
98	09/19/2003	(314451)
99	06/20/2005	(424182)
100	01/03/2006	(497005)
101	04/25/2005	(424183)
102	07/21/2004	(314452)
103	06/20/2005	(424184)
104	10/24/2003	(314454)
105	07/27/2005	(398968)
106	11/21/2003	(314455)
107	01/26/2003	(22080)
108	12/15/2003	(314456)
109	01/20/2004	(314457)
110	02/09/2005	(349203)
111	08/01/2006	(487607)
112	03/23/2004	(266070)

113 04/24/2003 (27282)
114 01/03/2003 (20565)
115 07/21/2005 (396829)
116 06/05/2006 (466665)
117 02/27/2004 (262638)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 04/03/2002 (247332)
Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Date: 01/06/2004 (255527)
Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(b)
Description: Failure to create a complete final record for an excess emissions event within the time allotted by rule.

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)(2)(G)
Rqmt Prov: PA IA
Description: Failure to obtain regulatory authority or meet the demonstration requirements of § 101.222 for emissions resulting from an emissions event which occurred on October 17, 2003.

Date: 08/31/2001 (203306)
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/11/2003 (28890)
Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 111, SubChapter A 111.111(a)(4)(B)
30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)(2)(G)
Description: Failure to obtain regulatory authority for, or satisfy all criteria[30 Texas Admin. Code § 101.222(b)] to exempt from compliance limitations, the emissions released from the Olefins Unit during an emissions event on February 14, 2003.

Date: 06/30/2003 (203300)
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 07/25/2005 (396829)
Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
Rqmt Prov: OP IA
Description: Failure to comply with self-monitored effluent quality limitations.

Date: 02/11/2003 (247330)
Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Date: 11/30/2004 (359963)
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 05/31/2004 (314445)
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 08/31/2003 (314451)
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 01/03/2006 (497005)
Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(17)

Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Date: 12/31/2003 (314457)
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP
RN100221662**

§ **BEFORE THE**
§
§ **TEXAS COMMISSION ON**
§
§ **ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2006-1222-AIR-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Equistar Chemicals, LP ("Equistar") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Equistar appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. Equistar owns and operates a chemical manufacturing plant at 1501 McKinzie Road in Corpus Christi, Nueces County, Texas (the "Plant").
2. The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
3. The Commission and Equistar agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Equistar is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. Equistar received notices of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about August 6, 2006 and October 19, 2006.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Equistar of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Nine Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$9,750) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Equistar has paid Three Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars (\$3,900) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$1,950) is deferred contingent upon Equistar's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Equistar fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Equistar to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. Three Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars (\$3,900) shall be conditionally offset by Equistar's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project.

7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Equistar have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that Equistar has implemented the following corrective measures at the Plant:
 - a. Developed and implemented Inspection Procedure 551.138 IP- "Inspection Safety Plan for Abrasive Blasting" on March 12, 2006;
 - b. Welded over weep holes and sand blasted and painted the external surface of Tank F2009A by April 28, 2006; and
 - c. Implemented new procedures designed to ensure the integrity of tank welds by August 1, 2006.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Equistar has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Plant, Equistar is alleged to have:

1. Failed to comply with permitted emissions limits, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and 101.20(3), Air Permit No. 4682B/PSD-TX-761M1, General Condition No. 8, and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 25, 2006. Specifically, an emissions event occurred on September 18, 2005 at Tank F2009A (Emission Point No. 23A) that lasted six hours and thirty minutes, resulting in the release of 65 lbs of benzene. The authorized emissions limit for volatile organic compounds under the permit is 0.14 pounds per hour ("lbs/hr"). Since this emissions event could have been avoided by good operation practices, it failed to meet the demonstrations for affirmative defense as found in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 101.222.

...the ... of ...

2. Failed to comply with permitted emissions limits in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and 101.20(3), Air Permit No. 4682B/PSD-TX-761M1, General Condition No. 8, and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 25, 2006. Specifically, an emissions event occurred on September 20, 2005 at a 4-inch pipeline in the Olefins Feedstock Storage Area that lasted eight hours and thirty minutes, resulting in the release of 598 lbs of volatile organic compounds. There are no authorized emissions limits for this emissions point. Since this emissions event could have been avoided by good operation practices, it failed to meet the demonstrations for affirmative defense as found in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 101.222.
3. Failed to comply with permitted emissions limits, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(b)(2)(F) and 101.20(3), Air Permit No. 4682B/PSD-TX-761M1, General Condition No. 8, and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 25, 2006. Specifically, an emissions event occurred on May 1, 2006 at Tank F2009A (Emission Point No. 23A) that lasted for one hour, resulting in the release of 73 lbs of benzene. The authorized emissions limit for volatile organic compounds under the permit is 0.14 lbs/hr. Since this emissions event could have been avoided by good operation practices, it failed to meet the demonstrations for affirmative defense as found in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 101.222.

III. DENIALS

Equistar generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Equistar pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Equistar's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Equistar Chemicals, LP, Docket No. 2006-1222-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. Equistar shall implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") in accordance with TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above, Three Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars (\$3,900) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that Equistar implement the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. Equistar's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes the need for transparency and accountability in all financial dealings.

2. The second part of the document outlines the specific procedures and protocols that must be followed to ensure the integrity and accuracy of the records. This includes the use of standardized forms and the implementation of strict controls over access and modification of the data.

3. The third part of the document provides a detailed overview of the various systems and tools that are used to support the record-keeping process. This includes the use of specialized software and the integration of different data sources to ensure a comprehensive and up-to-date view of the organization's activities.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the document highlights the critical role of accurate record-keeping in the success of any organization. It stresses the need for a robust and reliable system to manage and analyze the vast amount of data generated by the organization's operations.

The document also identifies key areas for improvement and provides recommendations for enhancing the record-keeping process. This includes the need for regular audits and reviews to ensure compliance with relevant regulations and standards.

Overall, the document serves as a comprehensive guide for organizations looking to optimize their record-keeping practices and improve their overall operational efficiency. It provides a clear framework for developing and implementing a successful record-keeping strategy.

The document is intended to be a living document, subject to regular updates and revisions as the organization's needs and the regulatory environment evolve. It is the responsibility of the management to ensure that the record-keeping system remains current and effective.

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Equistar. Equistar is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If Equistar fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Equistar's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Equistar shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Equistar shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Equistar becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Equistar shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Equistar receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Equistar in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Equistar, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Equistar, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

The present study investigated the effects of a 10-week, self-paced, computerized program on the self-esteem of 117 young adults. The program was designed to help participants understand and accept their own strengths and weaknesses, and to develop a positive self-image. The program was based on the theory of self-determination and the concept of self-efficacy.

Results showed that the program had a significant positive effect on self-esteem. Participants who completed the program showed a significant increase in self-esteem compared to those who did not. The program also had a significant positive effect on self-efficacy. Participants who completed the program showed a significant increase in self-efficacy compared to those who did not. The program also had a significant positive effect on self-compassion. Participants who completed the program showed a significant increase in self-compassion compared to those who did not.

The program was designed to help participants understand and accept their own strengths and weaknesses, and to develop a positive self-image. The program was based on the theory of self-determination and the concept of self-efficacy. The program was self-paced and computerized, and it was available to participants at any time and from any location. The program was designed to be user-friendly and engaging, and it was evaluated using a pretest-posttest design.

The program was evaluated using a pretest-posttest design. Participants completed a self-esteem scale before and after the program. The self-esteem scale was a 10-item scale that measured self-esteem. The program was evaluated using a pretest-posttest design. Participants completed a self-esteem scale before and after the program. The self-esteem scale was a 10-item scale that measured self-esteem.

The program was evaluated using a pretest-posttest design. Participants completed a self-esteem scale before and after the program. The self-esteem scale was a 10-item scale that measured self-esteem. The program was evaluated using a pretest-posttest design. Participants completed a self-esteem scale before and after the program. The self-esteem scale was a 10-item scale that measured self-esteem.

The program was evaluated using a pretest-posttest design. Participants completed a self-esteem scale before and after the program. The self-esteem scale was a 10-item scale that measured self-esteem. The program was evaluated using a pretest-posttest design. Participants completed a self-esteem scale before and after the program. The self-esteem scale was a 10-item scale that measured self-esteem.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission



For the Executive Director

2/26/07

Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

John M. Cain

Signature

10/25/06

Date

JOHN M. CAIN

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
Equistar Chemicals, LP

PLANT MANAGER

Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Attachment A
Docket Number: 2006-1222-AIR-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	Equistar Chemicals, LP
Payable Penalty Amount:	Seven Thousand Eight Hundred Dollars (\$7,800)
SEP Amount:	Three Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars (\$3,900)
Type of SEP:	Pre-approved
Third-Party Recipient:	Beautify Corpus Christi Association-Cleanup of Illegal Dump Sites
Location of SEP:	Nueces County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to cleanup unauthorized dump sites, recycle materials when feasible, and properly dispose of all waste. Eligible sites will be limited to those where a responsible party cannot be found or is unable to clean the site and where reasonable efforts have been made to prevent the dumping.

SEP monies will be used for the direct cost of collection and disposal of waste. No SEP monies will be spent on administrative costs.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by reducing the potential of health threats and diseases associated with illegal dump sites.

C. Minimum Expenditure

Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY
RESEARCH REPORT

1. Title of the Report: [Faint text]

2. Author(s): [Faint text]

3. Date: [Faint text]

4. Abstract: [Faint text]

5. Introduction: [Faint text]

6. Experimental: [Faint text]

7. Results: [Faint text]

8. Discussion: [Faint text]

9. Conclusions: [Faint text]

10. References: [Faint text]

11. Appendix: [Faint text]

12. Acknowledgments: [Faint text]

13. Distribution: [Faint text]

14. Summary: [Faint text]

15. Notes: [Faint text]

16. Bibliography: [Faint text]

17. Index: [Faint text]

18. Glossary: [Faint text]

19. Appendix: [Faint text]

20. Acknowledgments: [Faint text]

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Beautify Corpus Christi Association
Daiquiri Richard, Executive Director
545 North Upper Broadway
Corpus Christi, Texas 78746

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Financial Administration Division, Revenues
Attention: Cashier, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

Handwritten text at the top of the page, possibly a header or title.

Handwritten text in the upper middle section.

Handwritten text in the center of the page.

Handwritten text on the right side of the page.

Handwritten text in the lower middle section.

Handwritten text in the lower center.

Handwritten text in the lower center.

Handwritten text on the right side of the page.

Handwritten text in the lower middle section.

Handwritten text in the lower middle section.

Handwritten text in the lower center.

Handwritten text in the lower middle section.

Handwritten text on the right side of the page.

Handwritten text in the lower middle section.

Handwritten text at the bottom center.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

