

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER
DOCKET NO.: 2005-0431-PWS-E **TCEQ ID:** RN101399905 **CASE NO.:** 24871
RESPONDENT NAME: City of Mexia

ORDER TYPE:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	

CASE TYPE:

<input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE	<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL
<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL FILTER	<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY		

SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Mexia Public Water Supply, 800 South Belknap, Mexia, Limestone County

TYPE OF OPERATION: Public water supply

SMALL BUSINESS: Yes No

OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.

INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.

COMMENTS RECEIVED: The *Texas Register* comment period expired on March 19, 2007. No comments were received.

CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:

TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: Ms. Sharon Blue, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-2223

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. J. Craig Fleming, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Section II, MC 219, (512) 239-5806; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896

TCEQ Field Investigator: Mr. Robert Ozment, Waco Regional Office, MC R-09, (254) 751-0335

Respondent: The Honorable Steve Brewer, Mayor, City of Mexia, P. O. Box 207, Mexia, Texas 76667

Respondent's Attorney: Mr. Arturo D. Rodriguez, Jr., Russell & Rodriguez, L.L.P., 102 West Morrow Street, Suite 103, Georgetown, Texas 78629

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: January 3, 2005</p> <p>Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: April 30, 2004 (NOV), February 16, 2005 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine investigation. Four violations were documented:</p> <p>WATER</p> <p>1) Failed to meet this Agency's "Minimum Water System Capacity Requirements" for purchase capacity [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(f)(4)].</p> <p>2) Failed to establish a formal cross-connection control program that is sufficient to ensure that the public water system is protected from possible contamination from actual or potential contamination hazards [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.44(h)(1)(B)(i) and 290.44(h)(4)].</p> <p>3) Failed to maintain all water treatment units, storage and pressure maintenance facilities, distribution system lines, and related appurtenances in a watertight condition [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(m)].</p> <p>4) Failed to provide where an actual or potential contamination hazard exists additional protection at the meter in the form of an air gap or backflow prevention assembly [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.44(h)(1)(A) and 290.47(i)].</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$935</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$187 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$748</p> <p>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$0</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification: <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification: <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Ordering Provisions:</p> <p>1) The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). (See SEP Attachment A)</p> <p>2) The Order will also require the Respondent to:</p> <p>a. Within 10 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:</p> <p>i. Establish and begin enforcing a formal cross-connection control program for the system;</p> <p>ii. Ensure that all water storage facilities, distribution system lines, and related appurtenances are maintained in a watertight condition. This shall include, but not be limited to the underground supply line to the ground storage tank; and</p> <p>iii. Install a backflow prevention assembly at the Blair-Stubbs Mortuary.</p> <p>b. Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, finalize the contract to purchase an additional quantity of water so as to meet the systems capacity requirements; and</p> <p>c. Within 75 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions 2.a. and 2.b.</p>



Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

PCW Revision March 02, 2005

DATES	Assigned	22-Feb-2005	Screening	02-Mar-2005	Priority Due	23-Apr-2005	EPA Due	
	PCW	02-Mar-2005						

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION	
Respondent	City of Mexia
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN101399905
Additional ID No(s)	Public Water Supply No. 1470004
Facility/Site Region	9-Waco < Major/Minor Source Major Source <

CASE INFORMATION			
Enf./Case ID No.	24871	No. of Violations	4
Docket No.	2005-0431-PWS-E	Order Type	1660 <
Case Priority	3 <	Enf. Coordinator	J. Craig Fleming
Media Program(s)	Public Water Supply <	EC's Team	Enforcement Team 3 <
Multi-Media			
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$50	Maximum	\$1,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) **Subtotal 1**

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History 10% Enhancement **Subtotals 2, 3, & 7**

Notes The administrative penalty is enhanced because the respondent has 2 written NOV's issued on August 24, 2001 and April 29, 2004 for same or similar violations for this facility.

Culpability No < 0% Enhancement **Subtotal 4**

Notes The respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply 0% Reduction **Subtotal 5**

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with a small x)

Notes The respondent is not yet in compliance.

Economic Benefit 0% Enhancement* **Subtotal 6**

Total EB Amounts	\$711	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$15,450	

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 **Final Subtotal**

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE **Adjustment**

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes

Final Penalty Amount

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT **Final Assessed Penalty**

DEFERRAL 20% Reduction **Adjustment**

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 02-Mar-2005	Docket No. 2005-0431-PWS-E	PCW
Respondent City of Mexia		<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>
Case ID No. 24871		<i>PCW Revision March 02, 2005</i>
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101399905		
Additional ID No(s). Public Water Supply No. 1470004		
Media [Statute] Public Water Supply		
Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fleming		
Site Address	800 South Belknap, Mexia, Limestone County	

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	2	10%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were</i>	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 10%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No <

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer <

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes The administrative penalty is enhanced because the respondent has 2 written NOVs issued on August 24, 2001 and April 29, 2004 for same or similar violations for this facility.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 10%

Screening Date 02-Mar-2005

Docket No. 2005-0431-PWS-E

PCW

Respondent City of Mexia

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 24871

PCW Revision March 02, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101399905

Additional ID No(s). Public Water Supply No. 1470004

Media [Statute] Public Water Supply

Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fleming

Violation Number

Primary Rule Cite(s)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Violation Description

Failed to meet this Agency's "Minimum Water System Capacity Requirements" for purchase capacity. Specifically, the purchase contract with Bistone MWSD stated the maximum purchase rate as 2.5 MGD or 1736.1 gallons per minute whereas 2484.6 gallons per minute is required, as documented during the investigation conducted on January 3, 2005.

Base Penalty

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

Harm

Release	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

Matrix Notes

Exceeding facilities capabilities and the inability to supply the maximum daily demand will or could expose human health to significant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment

Base Penalty Subtotal

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

<i>mark only one use a small x</i>	<i>daily</i>	<input type="text"/>
	<i>monthly</i>	<input type="text"/>
	<i>quarterly</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<i>semiannual</i>	<input type="text"/>
	<i>annual</i>	<input type="text"/>
	<i>single event</i>	<input type="text"/>

Violation Base Penalty

One quarterly event is recommended based on the investigation conducted on January 3, 2005 to the screening date of March 7, 2005.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount

Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent City of Mexia
 Case ID No. 24871
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101399905
 Additional ID No(s). Public Water Supply No. 1470004
 Media [Statute] Public Water Supply
 Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	\$10,000	03-Jan-2005	01-Sep-2005	0.7	\$22	\$440	\$462
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated additional cost for increasing the facility's purchased water capacity. The Date Required was the date of the initial investigation. The Final Date is the expected date of compliance.

Item	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering Item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs: NA

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$10,000** TOTAL **\$462**

Screening Date 02-Mar-2005
Respondent City of Mexia
Case ID No. 24871
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101399905
Additional ID No(s). Public Water Supply No. 1470004
Media [Statute] Public Water Supply
Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fleming

Docket No. 2005-0431-PWS-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision March 02, 2005

Violation Number

Primary Rule Cite(s)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Violation Description

Failure to establish a formal cross-connection control program that is sufficient to ensure that the public water system is protected from possible contamination from actual or potential contamination hazards. Specifically, the respondent did not have the required backflow prevention assemblies installed and tested as required, as documented during the investigation conducted on January 3, 2005.

Base Penalty

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

		Harm			
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Percent <input type="text" value="25%"/>
	Potential	<input type="text"/>	x	<input type="text"/>	

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	
		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Percent <input type="text"/>

Matrix Notes

Not having an adequate cross-connection control program will or could expose human health or the environment to significant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment

Base Penalty Subtotal

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

mark only one use a small x	daily	<input type="text"/>
	monthly	<input type="text"/>
	quarterly	x
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>
	annual	<input type="text"/>
	single event	<input type="text"/>

Violation Base Penalty

One quarterly event is recommended based on the investigation date of January 3, 2005 to the screening date of March 7, 2005.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount

Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent City of Mexia
 Case ID No. 24871
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101399905
 Additional ID No(s). Public Water Supply No. 1470004
 Media [Statute] Public Water Supply
 Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	\$5,000	03-Jan-2005	01-Sep-2005	0.7	\$11	\$220	\$231
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	03-Jan-2005	01-Sep-2005	0.7	\$3	n/a	\$3

Notes for DELAYED costs: This is an estimated cost to establish and enforce a formal cross-connection control program and install backflow preventers where required in the system. The Date Required was the date of the investigation. The Final Date is the expected date of compliance.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs: NA

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$5,100**

TOTAL \$234

Screening Date 02-Mar-2005

Docket No. 2005-0431-PWS-E

PCW

Respondent City of Mexia

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 24871

PCW Revision March 02, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101399905

Additional ID No(s). Public Water Supply No. 1470004

Media [Statute] Public Water Supply

Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fleming

Violation Number

Primary Rule Cite(s)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Violation Description

Base Penalty

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

		Harm			
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Percent <input type="text" value="10%"/>
	Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Falsification	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Percent <input type="text"/>

Matrix Notes

Adjustment

Base Penalty Subtotal

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

<i>mark only one use a small x</i>	<i>daily</i>	<input type="text"/>
	<i>monthly</i>	<input type="text"/>
	<i>quarterly</i>	<input type="text"/>
	<i>semiannual</i>	<input type="text"/>
	<i>annual</i>	<input type="text"/>
	<i>single event</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Violation Base Penalty

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount

Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent City of Mexia
 Case ID No. 24871
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101399905
 Additional ID No(s). Public Water Supply No. 1470004
 Media [Statute] Public Water Supply
 Violation No. 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	03-Jan-2005	01-Sep-2005	0.7	\$3	n/a	\$3

Notes for DELAYED costs: This the estimated cost to repair the underground supply line to the ground storage tank. The Date Required was the date of the investigation. The Final Date is the expected date of compliance.

Item	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Avoided Costs					
Disposal		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs	NA				

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$100** TOTAL **\$3**

Screening Date 02-Mar-2005 **Docket No.** 2005-0431-PWS-E **PCW**
Respondent City of Mexia *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*
Case ID No. 24871 *PCW Revision March 02, 2005*
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101399905
Additional ID No(s). Public Water Supply No. 1470004
Media [Statute] Public Water Supply
Enf. Coordinator J. Craig Fleming
Violation Number 4
Primary Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.44(h)(1)(A)
Secondary Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.47(i)

Violation Description
 Failed to provide where an actual or potential contamination hazard exists additional protection at the meter in the form of an air gap or backflow prevention assembly. Specifically, there was no check valve backflow prevention assembly or an air gap at the Blair-Stubbs Mortuary as required by 30 TAC § 290.47(i), as documented during the investigation conducted on January 3, 2005.

Base Penalty \$1,000

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

		Harm			
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual				Percent 25%
	Potential		x		

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Matrix Notes						Percent
Not having an adequate air gap or backflow prevention assembly will or could expose human health or the environment to pollutants of significant amounts which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.						

Adjustment -\$750

Base Penalty Subtotal \$250

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

mark only one use a small x	daily	<input type="text"/>
	monthly	<input type="text"/>
	quarterly	x
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>
	annual	<input type="text"/>
	single event	<input type="text"/>

Violation Base Penalty \$250

One quarterly event is recommended from the investigation conducted on January 3, 2005 to the screening date of March 7, 2005.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$12

Violation Final Penalty Total \$275

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$275

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent City of Mexia
 Case ID No. 24871
 Reg. Enf. Reference No. RN101399905
 Additional ID No(s). Public Water Supply No. 1470004
 Media [Statute] Public Water Supply
 Violation No. 4

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	\$250	03-Jan-2005	01-Sep-2005	0.7	\$1	\$11	\$12
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated cost to install an approved backflow prevention device. The Date required was the date of the investigation. The Final date is the expected date of compliance.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs: NA

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$250** TOTAL **\$12**

Compliance History (For Penalty Purposes Only)

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600510218 City of Mexia Classification: AVERAGE Rating:1.980

Regulated Entity: RN101399905 CITY OF MEXIA Classification: Site Rating:

ID Number(s): WATER LICENSING LICENSE
1470004
PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM/SUPPLY REGISTRATION
1470004

Location: P. O. Box 207, Mexia, Texas 76667 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region: REGION 09 - WACO

Date Compliance History Prepared: March 03, 2005

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: March 01, 2000 to March 01, 2005

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Craig Fleming Phone: 239-5806

Site Compliance History Components

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? | Yes |
| 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? | No |
| 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? | N/A |
| 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? | N/A |
| 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? | N/A |

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

- B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

- C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1 05/12/2004 (269539)

2 02/16/2005 (344409)

3 08/24/2001 (349357)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1. Date: 04/30/2004 (269539)

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.46(j)(G)

Description: Failure to establish a customer service inspection program.

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.44(h)(1)(B)(ii)

Description: Failure to provide annual test results of backflow prevention assemblies.

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.43(c)(1)

Description: Failure to screen the roof vent on the ground storage tank.

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.46(m)(1)(G)

Description: Failure to conduct an inspection of the elevated storage tank.

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.43(c)(3)

Description: Failure to provide a proper overflow for the ground storage and elevated storage tanks.

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.45(f)(4)

Description: Failure to provide adequate purchase water capacity.

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.46(m)(4)

Description: Failure to maintain all related water system appurtenances in a watertight condition.

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.44(h)(1)(B)(i)

30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.44(h)(4)(G)

Description: Failure to establish and enforce a formal cross-connection control program.

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290, SubChapter D 290.46(m)(4)

Description: Failure to maintain all related water system appurtenances in a watertight condition.

2. Date: August 24, 2001 (349357)

Self Reporting? NO

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 290.46(m)(4)

Description: Failure to maintain all water storage facilities in a water tight condition.

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

Attachment A

Docket Number 2005-0431-PWS-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	City of Mexia
Penalty Amount:	Seven Hundred Forty-Eight Dollars (\$748)
SEP Offset Amount:	Seven Hundred Forty-Eight Dollars (\$748)
Type of SEP:	Custom
Location of SEP:	Limestone County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to perform a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The SEP Offset Amount is set forth above and such offset is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall repair or replace sanitary sewer service lines, cleanouts, and caps on private property in an environmental justice community (low income, minority homeowners) in the City of Mexia to reduce inflow and infiltration into the sanitary sewer collection system. Approximately 5 lines will be repaired or replaced as needed. The homeowners are low income and would not be able to afford the repairs themselves. The Respondent will not charge the homeowners for the costs of the SEP.

The Respondent shall perform this project in accordance with all federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. The Respondent shall use the SEP Offset Amount only for the direct cost of implementing the project and no portion shall be spent on administrative costs.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by reducing the amount of storm water and groundwater infiltration into the sanitary sewer system, reducing the potential for health threats and pollution caused by overflows of raw sewage into the environment. This project will also assist low income homeowners to reduce the potential for failure of their sanitary plumbing systems due to backup during periods of heavy rainfall or flooding.

C. Minimum Expenditure

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

1900

The Respondent shall spend at least the SEP Offset Amount to complete the project described above and comply with all other provisions of this Attachment A.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall begin implementation of this project. The Respondent shall complete the project within 180 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order.

3. Reporting

A. Progress Reports

Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall submit a report to the TCEQ indicating the progress made to date and setting forth a schedule for achieving completion within the time required above.

B. Final Report

Within 30 days after completion of the project, the Respondent shall submit a report to the TCEQ which includes:

1. An itemized list of expenditures and costs incurred with receipts, copies of checks, or other verifying documentation attached;
2. The total amount of costs incurred;
3. A statement of quantifiable environmental benefits;
4. Number of homes where the lines were repaired or replaced;
5. Map showing specific location of home sites;
6. Photographs of the project; and
7. Any additional information the Respondent believes will demonstrate compliance with this Attachment A.

C. Address

The Respondent shall submit all SEP reports and any requested additional information to the following address:

Litigation Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Additional Information and Access

The Respondent shall provide any additional information required by TCEQ staff and allow access to all

records related to the receipt and expenditure of SEP funds. The Respondent shall also allow a representative of the TCEQ access to the site of any work being financed in whole or in part by SEP funds. This provision survives the termination of this Agreed Order.

5. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the TCEQ staff may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

With the payment, the Respondent shall include the docket number of this Agreed Order and a note that it is for reimbursement of an SEP. The payment for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Financial Administration Division, Revenues
Attention: Cashier, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

6. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

7. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program. Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

8. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other orders negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
CITY OF MEXIA
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY NO.
1470004
RN101399905

§ BEFORE THE
§
§
§ TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§
§ ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
§

AGREED ORDER
DOCKET NO. 2005-0431-PWS-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding the City of Mexia ("the City") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 341. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the City appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The City owns and operates a public water supply at 800 South Belknap in Mexia, Limestone County, Texas (the "Facility") that has approximately 2750 service connections and serves at least 25 people per day for at least 60 days per year.
2. The Commission and the City agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the City is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
3. The City received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about February 21, 2005.
4. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the City of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
5. An administrative penalty in the amount of Nine Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$935) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). One Hundred Eighty-Seven Dollars (\$187) is deferred contingent upon the City's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the City fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the City to pay all

100

100

100

or part of the deferred penalty. Seven Hundred Forty-Eight Dollars (\$748) shall be conditionally offset by the City's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP").

6. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the City have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
8. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the City has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
9. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
10. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the City is alleged to have:

1. Failed to meet this Agency's "Minimum Water System Capacity Requirements" for purchase capacity, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(f)(4), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 3, 2005;
2. Failed to establish a formal cross-connection control program that is sufficient to ensure that the public water system is protected from possible contamination from actual or potential contamination hazards, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.44(h)(1)(B)(i) and 290.44(h)(4), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 3, 2005;
3. Failed to maintain all water treatment units, storage and pressure maintenance facilities, distribution system lines, and related appurtenances in a watertight condition, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(m), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 3, 2005; and
4. Failed to provide where an actual or potential contamination hazard exists additional protection at the meter in the form of an air gap or backflow prevention assembly, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 290.44(h)(1)(A) and 290.47(i), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 3, 2005.

The Court has previously held that the "discovery" of a claim is not limited to the actual discovery of the claim, but also includes the discovery of the facts that give rise to the claim. See *Amgen v. Hoechst Celanese*, 102 F.3d 1532, 1540 (9th Cir. 1997).

In *Amgen*, the court held that the "discovery" of a claim is not limited to the actual discovery of the claim, but also includes the discovery of the facts that give rise to the claim. See *Amgen v. Hoechst Celanese*, 102 F.3d 1532, 1540 (9th Cir. 1997).

The court in *Amgen* also held that the "discovery" of a claim is not limited to the actual discovery of the claim, but also includes the discovery of the facts that give rise to the claim. See *Amgen v. Hoechst Celanese*, 102 F.3d 1532, 1540 (9th Cir. 1997).

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the Court concludes that the claimant has established that the claim was discovered on the date of the claimant's discovery of the facts that give rise to the claim.

The Court has previously held that the "discovery" of a claim is not limited to the actual discovery of the claim, but also includes the discovery of the facts that give rise to the claim. See *Amgen v. Hoechst Celanese*, 102 F.3d 1532, 1540 (9th Cir. 1997).

In *Amgen*, the court held that the "discovery" of a claim is not limited to the actual discovery of the claim, but also includes the discovery of the facts that give rise to the claim. See *Amgen v. Hoechst Celanese*, 102 F.3d 1532, 1540 (9th Cir. 1997).

The court in *Amgen* also held that the "discovery" of a claim is not limited to the actual discovery of the claim, but also includes the discovery of the facts that give rise to the claim. See *Amgen v. Hoechst Celanese*, 102 F.3d 1532, 1540 (9th Cir. 1997).

III. DENIALS

The City generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the City pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 5 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the City's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Mexia, Docket No. 2005-0431-PWS-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088
2. The City shall implement and complete a SEP in accordance with TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 5 above, Seven Hundred Forty-Eight Dollars (\$748) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the City implement the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. The City's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.
3. It is further ordered that the City shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 10 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order:
 - i. Establish and begin enforcing a formal cross-connection control program for the system, as required by 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.44(h)(1)(B)(i) and 290.44(h)(4);
 - ii. Ensure that all water storage facilities, distribution system lines, and related appurtenances are maintained in a watertight condition, as required by 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.46(m). This shall include, but not be limited to the underground supply line to the ground storage tank; and
 - iii. Install a backflow prevention assembly at the Blair-Stubbs Mortuary, as required by 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 290.44(h)(1)(A) and 290.47(i).
 - b. Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, finalize the contract to purchase an additional quantity of water so as to meet the systems capacity requirements, as required by 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(f)(4); and

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for transparency and accountability, particularly in the context of public administration and financial management.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used for data collection and analysis. It highlights the need for standardized procedures to ensure the reliability and validity of the information gathered. This includes the use of surveys, interviews, and statistical software to process and interpret the data.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the ethical considerations surrounding data collection and analysis. It stresses the importance of obtaining informed consent from participants and ensuring that their personal information is protected. Additionally, it discusses the potential for bias and the need for researchers to remain objective and impartial throughout the process.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges and limitations of data collection and analysis. It notes that gathering accurate and complete data can be a time-consuming and costly process. Furthermore, it discusses the potential for data manipulation and the need for rigorous quality control measures to minimize errors and ensure the integrity of the findings.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key points discussed and emphasizing the overall importance of data collection and analysis in decision-making. It encourages the use of data-driven insights to inform policy and practice, while also recognizing the need for ongoing evaluation and improvement of the data collection process.

6. The sixth part of the document provides a detailed overview of the specific steps involved in the data collection process. This includes identifying the research objectives, developing a data collection plan, selecting appropriate methods and tools, and implementing the data collection strategy. It also discusses the importance of documenting the process and ensuring that all data is properly labeled and organized.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the various methods used for data analysis, including descriptive statistics, inferential statistics, and qualitative analysis. It explains how these methods are used to identify patterns, trends, and relationships within the data, and how they can be used to test hypotheses and draw conclusions. It also discusses the importance of interpreting the results in the context of the research objectives and the overall findings of the study.

8. The eighth part of the document addresses the issue of data visualization and the use of charts and graphs to present the findings. It discusses the importance of choosing appropriate visualization techniques that clearly and effectively communicate the data, and provides examples of common visualization methods such as bar charts, line graphs, and pie charts.

9. The ninth part of the document concludes by discussing the overall impact of data collection and analysis on the field of research. It emphasizes the value of data-driven insights in advancing knowledge and informing decision-making, and encourages the continued use and improvement of data collection and analysis methods in future research.

- c. Within 75 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions 3.a. and 3.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

- d. Submit all correspondence, reports, and documentation required by these Ordering Provisions to:

Work Leader
Team 5, Section III
Enforcement Division, MC 149
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Frank Burleson, Manager
Water Section
Waco Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
6801 Sanger Avenue, Suite 2500
Waco, Texas 76710-7826

4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the City. The City is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the City shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the City receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the City in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the success of any business and for the protection of the interests of all parties involved. The text outlines the various methods and tools that can be used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of financial data.

The second part of the document provides a detailed overview of the different types of financial statements that are commonly used in business. It explains the purpose and content of each statement, including the balance sheet, income statement, and cash flow statement. The text also discusses the importance of reconciling these statements and ensuring that they are consistent and accurate.

The third part of the document discusses the various methods and tools that can be used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of financial data. It covers topics such as internal controls, audits, and the use of technology in financial reporting.

Internal controls are a key component of any financial reporting system. They are designed to prevent and detect errors and fraud, and to ensure that all transactions are properly recorded and reported. The text discusses the various types of internal controls that can be implemented, such as segregation of duties, authorization, and reconciliation.

Audits are another important tool for ensuring the accuracy and reliability of financial data. They involve a thorough review of the company's financial records and internal controls, and the preparation of an audit report. The text discusses the different types of audits, such as internal audits and external audits, and the role of auditors in the financial reporting process.

The use of technology in financial reporting is becoming increasingly common. It allows companies to automate many of the manual tasks involved in financial reporting, such as data entry and reconciliation. The text discusses the various types of financial reporting software that are available, and the benefits of using such software.

In conclusion, the document emphasizes the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and the various methods and tools that can be used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of financial data. It also discusses the importance of internal controls, audits, and the use of technology in financial reporting.

City of Mexia

DOCKET NO. 2005-0431-PWS-E

Page 5

7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the City, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the City, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.



Signature

12/15/06

Date

Steve Brewer

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
City of Mexia

MAYOR

Title



For the Executive Director

2/27/07

Date

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Attachment A

Docket Number 2005-0431-PWS-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	City of Mexia
Penalty Amount:	Seven Hundred Forty-Eight Dollars (\$748)
SEP Offset Amount:	Seven Hundred Forty-Eight Dollars (\$748)
Type of SEP:	Custom
Location of SEP:	Limestone County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to perform a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The SEP Offset Amount is set forth above and such offset is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall repair or replace sanitary sewer service lines, cleanouts, and caps on private property in an environmental justice community (low income, minority homeowners) in the City of Mexia to reduce inflow and infiltration into the sanitary sewer collection system. Approximately 5 lines will be repaired or replaced as needed. The homeowners are low income and would not be able to afford the repairs themselves. The Respondent will not charge the homeowners for the costs of the SEP.

The Respondent shall perform this project in accordance with all federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. The Respondent shall use the SEP Offset Amount only for the direct cost of implementing the project and no portion shall be spent on administrative costs.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by reducing the amount of storm water and groundwater infiltration into the sanitary sewer system, reducing the potential for health threats and pollution caused by overflows of raw sewage into the environment. This project will also assist low income homeowners to reduce the potential for failure of their sanitary plumbing systems due to backup during periods of heavy rainfall or flooding.

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall spend at least the SEP Offset Amount to complete the project described above and comply with all other provisions of this Attachment A.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall begin implementation of this project. The Respondent shall complete the project within 180 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order.

3. Reporting

A. Progress Reports

Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall submit a report to the TCEQ indicating the progress made to date and setting forth a schedule for achieving completion within the time required above.

B. Final Report

Within 30 days after completion of the project, the Respondent shall submit a report to the TCEQ which includes:

1. An itemized list of expenditures and costs incurred with receipts, copies of checks, or other verifying documentation attached;
2. The total amount of costs incurred;
3. A statement of quantifiable environmental benefits;
4. Number of homes where the lines were repaired or replaced;
5. Map showing specific location of home sites;
6. Photographs of the project; and
7. Any additional information the Respondent believes will demonstrate compliance with this Attachment A.

C. Address

The Respondent shall submit all SEP reports and any requested additional information to the following address:

Litigation Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Additional Information and Access

The Respondent shall provide any additional information required by TCEQ staff and allow access to all

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions.

2. It is essential to ensure that all entries are supported by appropriate documentation and receipts.

3. Regular reconciliation of accounts is necessary to identify any discrepancies or errors in a timely manner.

4. The second part of the document outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze financial data.

5. These methods include direct observation, interviews, and the use of specialized software tools.

6. The results of these analyses are used to identify trends, patterns, and areas for improvement.

7. The final part of the document provides a summary of the findings and recommendations for future action.

8. It is recommended that the organization implement the suggested changes to enhance its financial management practices.

9. The document concludes by emphasizing the ongoing nature of financial management and the need for continuous monitoring and evaluation.

10. The authors express their appreciation to the management and staff for their cooperation and assistance throughout the project.

11. The document is intended to serve as a guide for other organizations seeking to improve their financial reporting and control systems.

12. The authors hope that this report will provide valuable insights and practical advice to all those concerned with the effective management of financial resources.

13. The document is available for review and use by all interested parties upon request.

14. The authors reserve the right to make changes to this document without notice.

15. The document is the property of the organization and should be kept confidential.

records related to the receipt and expenditure of SEP funds. The Respondent shall also allow a representative of the TCEQ access to the site of any work being financed in whole or in part by SEP funds. This provision survives the termination of this Agreed Order.

5. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the TCEQ staff may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

With the payment, the Respondent shall include the docket number of this Agreed Order and a note that it is for reimbursement of an SEP. The payment for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Financial Administration Division, Revenues
Attention: Cashier, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

6. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

7. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program. Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

8. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other orders negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
THE DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
THE DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
THE DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
THE DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
THE DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
THE DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
THE DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
THE DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY