## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER DOCKET NO.: 2003-1298-MWD-E TCEQ ID NOS. RN102185543 AND 10734-001 ENF. ID: 9942

RESPONDENT NAME: CITY OF LOVELADY

ORDER TYPE:	· ·		
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	_FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	_AMENDED ORDER	_IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL
_SHUTDOWN ORDER	FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	ENDANGERMENT ORDER
CASE TYPE:			
AGRICULTURE	AIR	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE	MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	RADIOACTIVE WASTE
MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	USED OIL

SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: 0.5 miles southwest of the intersection of State Highway 19 and Farm-to-Market Road 1280 in Lovelady, Houston County

TYPE OF OPERATION: Domestic wastewater facility

SMALL BUSINESS: Yes x No

ODDED TYDE

USED OIL FILTER

OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no previous complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.

INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.

X WATER QUALITY

COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on May 22, 2006. No comments were received.

#### CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:

TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Shannon Strong, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0972; Ms. Jennifer Cook, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-1873

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Michael Meyer, Enforcement Division, Section III, MC 128, (512) 239-4492

TCEQ Regional Contact: Ms. Jo English, Beaumont Regional Office, MC R-10, (409) 898-3838

Respondent: The Honorable David Driskell, Mayor, City of Lovelady, P.O. Box 83, Lovelady, TX 75851

Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel.

OHEE OFERS OFFICE

60 to 14 by 15 06



### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2003-1298-MWD-E TCEQ ID NOS. RN102185543 AND 10734-001 ENF. ID: 9942 RESPONDENT NAME: CITY OF LOVELADY

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation: Complaint Routine	Total Assessed: \$19,550	Corrective Action(s) Taken
Enforcement Follow-up _X_ Records Review  Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None	Total Deferred: \$0	The Executive Director recognizes that, based on compliance documentation received by the TCEQ on July 26, 2004, the Respondent has implemented the
Date(s) of Investigation(s) Relating to this Case: May 28, 2003 (Record Review),	Expedited Settlement	following corrective measures at the Facility in response to this enforcement action:
October 23, 2003 (Record Review), May 5, 2004	Financial Inability to Pay	Removed oil and grease from the wet well and repaired the visual alarm at
Date(s) of NOV(s) /NOE(s) Relating to this Case: October 10, 2003 (NOE) and July 2, 2004 (NOE)	Amount Due/Paid to Generate Revenue: \$545/\$19,005	Lift Station #1.
Background Facts: The self- reported effluent violation was referred to Litigation	The Respondent has paid \$545 of the penalty. The	2. Repaired the damaged manhole on Southwest Commerce St.
February 10, 2004. In June 2004, the City of Lovelady requested financial inability to pay and SEP information. Lovelady requested to pursue the financial inability to	remaining amount of \$19,005 shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of \$543.	3. Recalibrated the effluent flow meter.
pay determination first. A subsequent investigation resulted in additional violations, which were referred to Litigation on September 14, 2004, and incorporated into the	Site Compliance History Classification:	4. Began maintaining an operational log which provides the name of the analyst, date and time of readings and pH measurements as well as effluent flow readings.
present proceeding. The City's audited financial statement for fiscal year ending March 31, 2004, necessary for the financial inability to pay determination, was not	High X Avg. Poor	Ordering Provision(s)
finalized and approved by the City's Council until the September 14, 2004 council meeting. In February 2005, the MUNIPAY model determined that the City did not have the adequate funds or borrowing capability to pay the penalty as well as come	Person Compliance History Classification: High _X_AvgPoor	The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
into compliance.	Minor Source: X Yes No	1. Within 30 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order, repair the erosion damage to the facultative lagoon levee.
However, after this determination was made, the City received a TCDP grant to repair its water system and in June 2005, the City received another TCDP grant for	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	2. Within 40 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order, submit
repairs to its wastewater collection system. Each of these grants required matching local funds as well as additional costs related to these repair projects.		photographs documenting completion of paragraph 1 above.
After much review of the MUNIPAY model parameters and the City's current and		3. Within 120 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order, develop and implement a plan and schedule for mitigating the effects of inflow/infiltration on
projected finances, and discussions with the City and agency representatives, the receipt of the grant funds negated the use of costs of compliance; the City does have		the collections system. The plan and schedule shall be prepared by a Texas registered professional engineer and shall include, but not be limited to obtaining
adequate funds to pay the penalty as well as come into compliance.		funding for collection system rehabilitation, a schedule for upgrading the collection system which includes interim milestone dates, an evaluation phase to
The Respondent in this case does not owe any other penalties according to the Administrative Penalty Database Report.		determine the effectiveness of the updates to the collection system, and a determination if expansion or modification to the wastewater treatment plant is required to address the hydraulic loading on the wastewater treatment plant so as
		to achieve compliance with the permitted effluent limitations of TPDES Permit  No. 10734-001 by no later than 365 days after the effective date of the Agreed
		Order. Upon review and written approval of the plan and schedule, all milestone dates will be incorporated as an enforceable condition of the Order and shall be
		complied with by the City.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2003-1298-MWD-E TCEQ ID NOS. RN102185543 AND 10734-001 ENF. ID: 9942 RESPONDENT NAME: CITY OF LOVELADY

#### VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
1. Failed to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as documented by record reviews conducted on May 28, 2003 and October 23, 2003 [Tex. WaterCode § 26.121(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), TPDES Permit No. 10734-001, Effluent and Monitoring Requirements].  2. Failed to maintain units of conveyance as evidenced by a damaged manhole and an inoperable visual alarm on Lift Station No. 1, which has resulted in the treatment facility being impacted by inflow/infiltration and has failed to initiate plans for expansion or submit an engineering report to document a request for a waiver of the "75/90" rule requirement. The Respondent also failed to properly maintain all units of treatment as evidenced by minor erosion along the facultative lagoon dike and failed to maintain the flow measurement device to provide accurate readings as documented during an investigation conducted by the TCEQ Beaumont Regional Office on May 5, 2004 [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 305.125(1) and (5), and 305.126(a) and TPDES Permit No. 10734-001, Permit Conditions No. 2.b. and Operational Requirements Nos. 1 and 8].  3. Failed to maintain pH calibration records and to record the name of the individual and the time that pH measurements and flow readings were taken as documented during an investigation conducted by the TCEQ Beaumont Regional Office on May 5, 2004 [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 319.7(a) and (c) and TPDES Permit No. 10734-001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements Nos. 3.b. and 3.c].		4. Within 15 days following the completion of each milestone/deadline required in the plan, submit written certification documenting satisfactory completion of each item, including all interim milestone dates which are part of the approved schedule in the Order.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER DOCKET NO.: 2003-1298-MWD-E TCEQ ID NOS. RN102185543 AND 10934-001 ENF. ID: 9942

RESPONDENT NAME: CITY OF LOVELADY

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation: Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-up _X Records Review	Total Assessed: \$19,550	Corrective Action(s) Taken
Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None	Total Deferred: \$0	The Executive Director recognizes that, based on compliance documentation received by the TCEQ on July 26, 2004, the Respondent has implemented the
Date(s) of Investigation(s) Relating to this Case: May 28, 2003 (Record Review), October 23, 2003 (Record Review), May 5, 2004	Expedited SettlementFinancial Inability to Pay	following corrective measures at the Facility in response to this enforcement action:
Date(s) of NOV(s) /NOE(s) Relating to this Case: October 10, 2003 (NOE) and	Amount Due/Paid to Generate Revenue:	1. Removed oil and grease from the wet well and repaired the visual alarm at Lift Station #1.
July 2, 2004 (NOE)  Background Facts: The self- reported effluent violation was referred to Litigation	\$545/\$19,005  The Respondent has paid \$545 of the penalty. The	2. Repaired the damaged manhole on Southwest Commerce St.
February 10, 2004. In June 2004, the City of Lovelady requested financial inability to pay and SEP information. Lovelady requested to pursue the financial inability to	remaining amount of \$19,005 shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of \$543.	3. Recalibrated the effluent flow meter.
pay determination first. A subsequent investigation resulted in additional violations, which were referred to Litigation on September 14, 2004, and incorporated into the present proceeding. The City's audited financial statement for fiscal year ending	Site Compliance History Classification: High X Avg. Poor	4. Began maintaining an operational log which provides the name of the analyst, date and time of readings and pH measurements as well as effluent flow readings.
March 31, 2004, necessary for the financial inability to pay determination, was not finalized and approved by the City's Council until the September 14, 2004 council	Person Compliance History Classification:	Ordering Provision(s)
meeting. In February 2005, the MUNIPAY model determined that the City did not have the adequate funds or borrowing capability to pay the penalty as well as come into compliance.	High _X_AvgPoor  Minor Source: _XYes No	The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:  1. Within 30 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order, repair the erosion
However, after this determination was made, the City received a TCDP grant to repair its water system and in June 2005, the City received another TCDP grant for	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	damage to the facultative lagoon levee.  2. Within 40 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order, submit
repair its water system and in June 2005, the City received another 1 CDP grant for repairs to its wastewater collection system. Each of these grants required matching local funds as well as additional costs related to these repair projects.		photographs documenting completion of paragraph 1 above.
After much review of the MUNIPAY model parameters and the City's current and projected finances, and discussions with the City and agency representatives, the		3. Within 120 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order, develop and implement a plan and schedule for mitigating the effects of inflow/infiltration on the collections system. The plan and schedule shall be prepared by a Texas
receipt of the grant funds negated the use of costs of compliance; the City does have adequate funds to pay the penalty as well as come into compliance.		registered professional engineer and shall include, but not be limited to obtaining funding for collection system rehabilitation, a schedule for upgrading the
The Respondent in this case does not owe any other penalties according to the Administrative Penalty Database Report.		collection system which includes interim milestone dates, an evaluation phase to determine the effectiveness of the updates to the collection system, and a determination if expansion or modification to the wastewater treatment plant is
Training auto 1 states of topole.		required to address the hydraulic loading on the wastewater treatment plant so as to achieve compliance with the permitted effluent limitations of TPDES Permit
	•	No. 10734-001 by no later than 365 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order. Upon review and written approval of the plan and schedule, all milestone dates will be incorporated as an enforceable condition of the Order and shall be
		complied with by the City.

H:\ENFORCE\DBynum\City of Lovelady (WQ)\PCW 2 of 2 4-20-05.qpw Page 1 of 4 10/06/05 Policy Revision 2 (09/02) PCW Revision 6/12/2003 DATES Priority Due 2-Nov-2003 PCW 13-Apr-2005 Screening 3-Sep-2003 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT INFORMATION Respondent City of Lovelady Respondent/Site ID No(s). Regulated Entity ID No. RN102185543; TPDES Permit No. 10734-001 Facility/Site Region 10 - Beaumont Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No(s). CCEDS Case No. 9942 Docket No. Docket No. 2003-1298-MWD-E No. Violations 1 Case Priority 2 Order Type 1660 with deferral EC's Team Enforcement Team D Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer Media Program(s) Water Quality Maximum \$10,000 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 **Penalty Calculation Section** TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History 165% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3 & 7 \$13,200 Notes The respondent had 33 self-reported monthly effluent violations. 0% Enhancement Culpability Subtotal 4 No Select Yes/No Notes The respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Subtotal 5 Good Faith Effort to Comply 0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinan х None of the above (mark with small x) The respondent is not yet in compliance. Notes 0% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6 Economic Benefit \$499 Total EB Amounts \*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$5,000 Approx. Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$21,200 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE -22.6415 Reduction Adjustment -\$4,800 (enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%) Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Recommend reduction in penalty so that monthly self-reported violations don't overly impact Notes the penalty amount. **Final Penalty Amount** \$16,400 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty DEFERRAL (enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction) Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the Indicated percentage

There is no deferral because settlement was not achieved through the expedited process.

\$16,400

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Docket Number Docket No. 2003-129 PCW

Policy Revision 2 (09/02)

Respondent City of Lovelady

Case ID No. CCEDS Case No. 9942

PCW Revision 6/12/2003

Respondent/Site ID No. Regulated Entity ID No. RN102185543; TPDES Permit No. 10734-001

Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer

Site Address

0.5 miles southwest of the intersection of State Highway 19 and Farm-to-Market Road 1280

#### Compliance History Worksheet

#### Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of Ente	r Number Here	Adjust
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	33	165%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liabilitynumber of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement order without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	S 0	0%
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	a 0	0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denia liability, of this state or the federal government	I ( 0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number counts)	0 0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted unthe Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	de 0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Saf Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	e( 0	0%
	Please el	iter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	no	0%
04	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	no	0%
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	no	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or fede government environmental requirements	no no	0%

		Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal	2)	100%
>> Repeat Violator (Sub	otal 3)		<i>j</i> .	
No Select Y	es/No	Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal	3)	0%
>> Compliance History F	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
Average Performer	Select High, Average or Poor	Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal	7)	0%
Compliance History Summary				
Compliance History Notes	The respondent had 33 self-reported mor	nthly effluent violations.		
Na El verrir di espe	Total Adjustment Perd	centage (Subtotals 2, 3 & 2	7)	165%

Respondent City of Lovelady Respondent City of Lovelady Respondent City of Lovelady Respondent City of Lovelady Policy Revision 2 (09/02) Policy Revision 2 (09/02) Policy Revision 2 (09/02) Respondent/Site ID No. CCEDS Case No. 9942 Respondent/Site ID No. Regulated Entity ID No. RN102185543; TPDES Permit No. 10734-001 Media   Statute  Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer Violation Number Primary Rule Cite Secondary Cite(s) Violation Description The respondent failed to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as detailed in the attached Effluent Limit Violations table, as documented by record reviews conducted on 5/28/2003 and 10/23/2003.  Base Penalty  Stoom  Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential  Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Percent  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving the receiving significant impact upon the receiving the receiving significant impact upon the receiving significant significant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.
Respondent/Site ID No. CCEDS Case No. 9942  Respondent/Site ID No. Regulated Entity ID No. RN102185543; TPDES Permit No. 10734-001  Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Violation Number  Primary Rule Cite Secondary Cite(s)  Violation Description  The respondent falled to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as detailed in the attached Effluent Limit Violations table, as documented by record reviews conducted on 5/28/2003 and 10/23/2003.  Base Penalty  \$10,000  Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix  Harm  Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Protential  Programmatic Matrix  Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Actual Potential  Procent  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Number of Violation Events  Number of Violation Events  Number of Violation Events  Number of Violation Events  Semianual Adalty monthly quarterry semianual annual  Violation Base Penalty \$8,000
Respondent/Site ID No. Regulated Entity ID No. RN102185543; TPDES Permit No. 10734-001 Media   Statute  Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer Violation Number  Primary Rule Cite Secondary Cite(s) Violation Description The respondent failed to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as detailed in the attached Effluent Limit Violations table, as documented by record reviews conducted on 5/28/2003 and 10/23/2003.  Base Penalty \$10,000  ***Programmatic Matrix** Release Major Moderate Minor  OR Actual Selsification Major Moderate Minor  OR Actual Selsification Major Moderate Minor  Percent  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. The is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to
Media   Statute   Water Quality Enf. Coordinator   Michael Meyer   Violation Number   1   Primary Rule Cite   Secondary Cite(s)   Violation Description   The respondent failed to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as detailed in the attached Effluent Limit Violations table, as documented by record reviews conducted on 5/28/2003 and 10/23/2003.  Base Penalty   \$10,000
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number Violation Number Primary Rule Cite Secondary Cite(s) Violation Description The respondent failed to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as detailed in the attached Effluent Limit Violations table, as documented by record reviews conducted on 5/28/2003 and 10/23/2003.  Base Penalty \$10,000  Base Penalty \$10,000  Base Penalty \$10,000  Percent  Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Number of Violation Events  Number of Violation Events  Mark only one; use small x  daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual  Violation Base Penalty \$8,000
Primary Rule Cite Secondary Cite(s) Violation Description The respondent failed to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as detailed in the attached Effluent Limit Violations as documented by record reviews conducted on 5/28/2003 and 10/23/2003.  Base Penalty \$10,000  Base Penalty \$10,000  Base Penalty \$10,000  Percent Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Potential Potential Potential Potential Potential Aditrix Notes  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000  Violation Events Number of Violation Events  Matrix permiannual Number of Violation Events
Primary Rule Cite Secondary Cite(s) Violation Description  The respondent failed to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as detailed in the attached Effluent Limit Violations table, as documented by record reviews conducted on 5/28/2003 and 10/23/2003.  Base Penalty  \$10,000  Base Penalty  \$10,000  Base Penalty  \$10,000  Programmatic Matrix  Falsification  Major Moderate Minor  OR  Programmatic Matrix  Falsification Major Moderate Minor  OR  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Number of Violation Events  Number of Violation Events  **Number of Violation Events**  **Number of Violation Events**  **Semiannual**  **Violation Base Penalty**  **Violation Base Penalty**  **Violation Base Penalty**  **Semiannual**  **Semiannual**  **Violation Base Penalty**  **Semiannual**
Secondary Cite(s)  Violation Description  The respondent failed to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as detailed in the attached Effluent Limit Violations table, as documented by record reviews conducted on 5/28/2003 and 10/23/2003.  Base Penalty  \$10,000  **Base Penalty**  **Percent**  Release Major Moderate Minor  OR Actual Act
The respondent failed to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as detailed in the attached Effluent Limit Violations table, as documented by record reviews conducted on 5/28/2003 and 10/23/2003.  Base Penalty \$10,000  Base Penalty \$10,000  Base Penalty \$10,000  Base Penalty \$10,000  Percent 10%  Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Percent 10%  Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Percent 10%  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment \$9,000  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 8  mark only one; use small x  dially monthly quarterly x  semiannual Niolation Base Penalty \$8,000  \$8,000
The respondent failed to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as detailed in the attached Effluent Limit Violations table, as documented by record reviews conducted on 5/28/2003 and 10/23/2003.  Base Penalty \$10,000  Base Penalty \$10,000  Base Penalty \$10,000  Base Penalty \$10,000  Percent 10%  Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Percent 10%  Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Percent 10%  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment \$9,000  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 8  mark only one; use small x  dially monthly quarterly x  semiannual Niolation Base Penalty \$8,000  \$8,000
detailed in the attached Effluent Limit Violations table, as documented by record reviews conducted on 5/28/2003 and 10/23/2003.    Base Penalty   \$10,000
Base Penalty  Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix  Harm  Release Major Moderate Minor  Actual Potential X Percent 10%  Percent 10%  Percent  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment \$\frac{\$\\$9,000}{\\$8\$ Base Penalty Subtotal \$\\$1,000}  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events \$\frac{\\$8}{\\$1,000}  Matrix Notes Violation Events \$\frac{\\$8}{\\$2,000}  Violation Base Penalty \$\frac{\\$8}{\\$2,000}  **Violation Base Penalty \$\frac{\\$8}{\\$3,000}
Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix
Release Major Moderate Minor  Actual Potential X Percent 10%  Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor  OR Percent  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment \$\frac{-\\$9,000}{\\$9,000}\$  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events \$\frac{8}{3}\$  mark only one; use small x daily monthly quarterly x semiannual annual Violation Base Penalty \$\\$8,000\$
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Potential Percent 10%  Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor OR  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment \$-\$9,000  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events \$  **Mark only one; use small x**  daily monthly quarterly x  semiannual **  Violation Base Penalty \$8,000  \$8,000
OR Actual Potential X Percent 10%  Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor OR Percent  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment \$-\$9,000 Stream Subtotal \$1,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events \$-\$0.000 Stream Subtotal St
Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor  OR  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment -\$9,000  Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 8  mark only one; use small x daily monthly quarterly x semiannual annual  Violation Base Penalty \$8,000
>> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor OR  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment Sase Penalty Subtotal  Violation Events Number of Violation Events  Mark only one; use small x  Maily monthly quarterly semiannual annual  Violation Base Penalty \$8,000
Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Percent  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment -\$9,000  Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 8  mark only one; use small x daily monthly quarterly x semiannual annual Violation Base Penalty \$8,000
Falsification Major Moderate Minor  Percent  The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment \$\_\$9,000\$.  Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 8  mark only one; use small x daily monthly quarterly x semiannual annual Violation Base Penalty \$8,000
The loading ratio calculator was used in determining the impact of the BOD violations. There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment\$9,000
There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment
There is no documentation which demonstrates a significant impact upon the receiving stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment \$\_\$9,000 \cdots  Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events \$\_\$\$.  mark only one; use small x daily monthly quarterly x semiannual annual \$\_\$\$ Violation Base Penalty \$8,000
Stream. Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violations.  Adjustment -\$9,000  Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000  Violation Events  Number of Violation Events 8  mark only one; use small x daily monthly quarterly x semiannual annual x violation Base Penalty \$8,000
Adjustment -\$9,000
Base Penalty Subtotal   \$1,000
Base Penalty Subtotal   \$1,000
Violation Events  Number of Violation Events  **mark only one; use small x   daily
Number of Violation Events 8
mark only one; use small x  daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual  Violation Base Penalty \$8,000
monthly quarterly x
monthly quarterly x
quarterly × . semiannual Violation Base Penalty \$8,000
semiannual Violation Base Penalty \$8,000
annual
Single Cyclic   Paris
Events Eight quarterly events are recommended for the violation months of 8/01, 10/01-12/01,
Notes 4/02-8/02, 10/02-12/02, 2/03, 4/03 and 6/03-7/03.
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test
Madimundad DD Amanus (dt)   Date   Marie   Mar
Estimated EB Amount (\$) \$499 Violation Final Penalty total \$16,400
Estimated EB Amount (\$) \$499 Violation Final Penalty total \$16,400  This Violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$16,400

D Number(s)		. 9942	•			Percent	Years of
Media [Statute]	Water Quality				13.00 14.00	Interest	Depreciation
Violation Number	1 5 to 35 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5		k faratri i dhi Gisa Petrumatrik She	enver ned		5.0	
ltem	Item Cost	Date	Final		nterest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB
Description	No commas or \$	Required	Date		Saveu	GUSIS	Amount
nd earling himsel aminimum er ar see 📭 s de ears e			andriae ee i e i e i e i e i e i e i e i e i e i e i e i e i e i	e nemera e e como deserv	aprovinski kipi, ma jedno 1969. artor	er tours dit promisers a motodistable.	
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	(
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	9
Other (As needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	(
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	9
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	9
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	9
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	
Remediation/Disposar							
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs		1-Aug-2001 ate of the cost of con	plant into	2.0 , including op o compliance	\$499 peration and m	n/a aintenance, need	\$49 led to bring the
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	This is an estima	ate of the cost of con	rective measures plant inte	2.0 , including op o compliance	\$499 peration and made in the state of the s	n/a aintenance, need	\$49 led to bring the bided costs)
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	This is an estima	ate of the cost of con	rective measures plant inte	2.0 , including op o compliance ore entering	\$499 peration and m	n/a aintenance, need or one-time avo	\$49 led to bring the bided costs)
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	This is an estima	ate of the cost of con	rective measures plant inte	2.0 , including op o compliance pre entering 0.0	\$499 Deration and makes.	n/a aintenance, need or one-time avo	\$49 ded to bring the olded costs) \$
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	This is an estima	ate of the cost of con	rective measures plant inte	2.0 , including of o compliance ore entering 0.0 0.0	\$499 Deration and makes:  item (except f \$0 \$0	n/a aintenance, need or one-time avo	\$49 ded to bring the bided costs) \$
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	This is an estima	ate of the cost of con	rective measures plant inte	2.0  , including of o compliance  ore entering  0.0  0.0  0.0	\$499 Deration and make.  item (except f \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a aintenance, need or one-time avo \$0 \$0 \$0	\$45 ded to bring the bided costs) \$ \$ \$
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equip	This is an estima	ate of the cost of con	rective measures plant inte	2.0  , including op o compliance  ore entering  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0	\$499 peration and make.	n/a aintenance, need or one-time avo \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$49 ded to bring the bided costs) \$ \$ \$
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equip Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	This is an estima	ate of the cost of con	rective measures plant inte	2.0  n, including op o compliance  ore entering  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0	stem (except f so	n/a aintenance, need or one-time avo \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$49 ded to bring the bided costs) \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equip Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	This is an estima	ANNUALIZE [1] av	rective measures plant inte	2.0 compliance complia	item (except f \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a aintenance, need or one-time ave \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$45 ded to bring the sided costs) \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equip Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	This is an estima	ANNUALIZE [1] av	rective measures plant inte	2.0 compliance complia	item (except f \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a aintenance, need or one-time ave \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$45 ded to bring the sided costs) \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equip Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	This is an estima	ANNUALIZE [1] av	rective measures plant inte	2.0 compliance complia	item (except f \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a aintenance, need or one-time ave \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Permit Costs Other (As Needed) Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equip Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	This is an estima	ANNUALIZE [1] av	rective measures plant inte	2.0 compliance complia	\$499	n/a aintenance, need or one-time ave \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$49 ded to bring the sided costs) \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Effluent Limit Viole Respondent	The state of the s	ly	table rev. 12/11/2000
ID Number(s)	CCEDS Case No.	9942	
Docket Number	2003-1298-MWD-E		
Enf. Coordinator	Michael Meyer		
Corresponds to Vi	olation Number:	A STATE OF THE STA	TABLE CARE TO SECURE

#### EFFLUENT PARAMETER

						P	ermit Lin	nit				
	Daily Average BOD5 (mg/L)	Daily Average BOD5 (lbs/day)	Single Grab BOD5 (mg/L)	Daily Average TSS (mg/L)	Daily Average TSS (lbs/day)	Daily Max. pH (s.u.)	Min. Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Daily Average Flow (MGD)			M, 4 14	
Month/Year	30	26	100	90	79	9	4	0,105				
August 2001	С	c	c	c	c	c	3.5	С				
October 2001	49.4	c	c	c	С	С	С	c				
November 2001	40.75	С	c	c	С	С	С	c				
December 2001	c	c	c	c	С	9.07	c	0.1095				
April 2002	35.2	c	c	129.6	c	9.18	С	c				
May 2002	32.25	c	c	c	c	9.2	С	c		.,,		
June 2002	С	c	c	c .	c '	9.8	С	c	 			
July 2002	С	c	c	c	c	9.07	С	c				
August 2002	c	c	c .	c	С	c	2.8	С				
October 2002	43.2	68.624	c	92.806	c	9.3	С	С				
November 2002	c	С	c	c	С	9.27	С	С				
December 2002	c	c	c	c	c	С	С	0.1337				
February 2003	С	c	c	c	c	c	c	0.1129	 			
April 2003	С	С	С	c	c	9.89	С	с	 ·			
May 2003		c	С	c	С	9.31	С	c	 			
June 2003	c	c	c	С	c	С	3.7	c				
July 2003	31.8	С	c	c	c	c	2.7	С				

Name Abb	reviation
milligrams per liter	mg/L
pounds per day	lbs/day
million gallons per day	MGD
total suspended solids	TSS
5-day biochemical oxygen demand	BOD5
carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand	CBOD
ammonia-nitrogen	NH3-N
dissolved oxygen	DO
compliant (no excursions)	c

Page 1	of 6 10/06/05 H:\ENFORCE	E\DBynum\City of Lovelady (WQ)\PCW 1	of 2 4-20-2005.qpw
	Penalty Calc	ulation Worksheet (PCW)	
Policy Revision 2		,	PCW Revision May 17, 2004
TCEQ			**************************************
DATES	13-Apr-2005   Screening 12	2-Jul-2004   <b>Priority Due</b> 10-Sep-2004	EPA Due
	13-Api-2003   Screening 12	2-3di-2004   Filority Due   10-3ep-2004	EFA DUE
RESPONDENT/FACIL			
	City of Lovelady	,	
	Regulated Entity ID No. RN1021 TPDES Permit No. 10734-001	85543	
Facility/Site Region		Major/Minor Source	Minor Source
	To Doddinon	Gainer .	jamin ja
CASE INFORMATION			
	CCEDS Case No. 9942	No. of Violations	
Case Priority	Docket No. 2003-1298-MWD-E	Enf. Coordinator	1660 without deferral
Media Program(s)			Enforcement Team 8
Multi-Media	3,	Asserted	Lenning
Admin. Penalty \$ Li	mit Minimum \$0	<b>Maximum</b> \$10,000	
	D 1t	0-11	
	Penaity	Calculation Section	
TOTAL DASE DEN			C) (L4-4-14
TOTAL BASE PER	IALTY (Sum of violation b	ase penames)	Subtotal 1 \$1,000
AD HISTMENTS /-	-/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1		
. 그는 사람은 함께 가는 것이 있는데 하는 사람 사람이 100kg 전략을 하는데, 그런 가능한 경험이 하는데	Proprietable specified at the color from the propriet in the color of	alty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.	
Compliance F	en la companya de la	er ar Bandinger eine eine granden in Arena eine zur der andere ein Arena terring zuweren der der aus aus aus d	otals 2, 3, & 7 \$1,650
Notes	The rearrandent had 22 celf	reported monthly effluent violations.	. '
Notes	The respondent had 35 sen-	reported monthly emdent violations.	
Culpability	No · S	0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4 \$0
Notes	The Respondent does	not meet the culpability criteria.	
Good Faith E	fort to Comply	0% Reduction	Subtotal 5 \$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settler	vanit is tid 248 s. 1 . 1995 a vanit is die frank is a vanit 2 . 1971 is 1970 aug 1970 aug 1970 aug 1980 aug 1	Assessment Dynamic Commission of Commission Designation of Commission Commiss
Extraordinary			
Ordinary			
N/A	X (mark with a small x)		1
Notes	The Respondent	is not yet in compliance.	
			<b>l</b> .
Economic Be	nefit	50% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6 \$500
	Total EB Amounts \$23,306	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount	Section of the sectio
Арргох. С	ost of Compliance \$75,100		•
a.wordenatanden	<u>호텔 (1875년 전 경험) (1885년</u> 제작 (1885년 - 1885년 - 1885년 - 1885년 - 1885년 (1885년 - 1885년 - 1885	1985년 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985	
SUM OF SUBTOT	ALS 1-/		Final Subtotal \$3,150
OTHER EXCTORS	AS JUSTICE MAY REQUI	DE L	Adjustment \$0
	al Subtotal by the indicated percentage.	the second control of	
		3	
Notes		,	
1		Final Pe	nalty Amount \$3,150
and a company of the second of the second	Marcularramage in the analysis of the SAC Committee	ing seem the second of the control o	es, secondador o antido a ser estado en la fina de la compansión de la compansión de la compansión de la compa
STATUTORY LIMI	T ADJUSTMENT	Final Asse	essed Penalty \$3,150
perepaktor w	· ···································		
DEFERRAL	Dangity by the indicted percentage (F-t-	Reduction Reduction	Adjustment \$0
Reduces the Final Assessed		er number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	
Notes		ettlement was not achieved through the dited process.	
	САРСС		

\$3,150

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 12-Jul-2004

Docket No. Docket No. 2003-129

Respondent City of Lovelady

Case ID No. CCEDS Case No. 9942

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision May 17, 2004

Reg. Ent. Reference No. Regulated Entity ID No. RN102185543

Additional ID No(s). TPDES Permit No. 10734-001

Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Michael Meyer

Site Address 0.5 miles southwest of the intersection of StateHighway 19 and Farm-to-Market Road 1280

#### **Compliance History Worksheet**

#### >> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component		nter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	33	165%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement ord without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	lers 0	0%
Judgments and	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	ng O	0%
Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a der of liability, of this state or the federal government	nial 0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (numbe of counts)	<i>r</i> 0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were	0	0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
- Control of the Cont		e Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	no	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	no	0%
Otilei	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	no	0%
1 1	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	no	0%

		Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 1	65%
>> Repeat Violator (Subt	otal 3)		
No	· Š	Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)	0%
>> Compliance History F	Person Cla	ssification (Subtotal 7)	
Average Performer	[8]	Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)	0%
>> Compliance History S	Summary		
Compliance History Notes	T	The respondent had 33 self-reported monthly effluent violations.	

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 165%

federal government environmental requirements

Page 3 of 6 10/06/05 H:\ENFORCE\DBynum\City of Lovelady (WQ)\PCW 1 of 2 4-20-2005.qpw

Screening Date	12-Jul-2004	Docket No. Docket No.	2003-129	PCW
	City of Lovelady		Policy Rev	vision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.	CCEDS Case No. 994	2 .	PC	W Revision May 17, 2004
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	•			A page provides
Additional ID No(s).		734-001		Yan Yan
Media [Statute]				
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number				900
Violation Number		lo 10724 001 Pormit Conditions	s No. 2 h. and	1
· Constant		lo. 10734-001, Permit Conditions irements Nos. 1 and 8, 30 Tex. /		
Primary Rule Cite(s)		d (5) and 30 Tex. Admin. Code §		
Secondary Rule Cite(s)				
Violation Description	by a damaged manhol 1, which has resu inflow/infiltration and L submit an engineerin "75/90" rule requireme all units of treatment a lagoon dike and failed accurate readings, as	failed to maintain units of convey le and an inoperable visual alarm lited in the treatment facility bein covelady has failed to initiate plang report to document a request that. The Respondent also failed the se evidenced by minor erosion aloto maintain the flow measuremes documented during an investigation of the regional Office conducted	n on Lift Station No.  Ig impacted by Ins for expansion or If a waiver of the Ito properly maintain Itong the facultative Int device to provide Itoria in the state of the provide Itoria in the state of the provide Itoria in the state of th	
	L.		Base Penalty	\$10,000
Matrix Notes of polluta	Harm Major Moderate	Minor  Percent  Could be exposed to significant ceed levels that are protective of septors as a result of the violation	ustment -\$9,500	\$500
Violation Events		Dds	e Penalty Subtotal	\$500
Number of Violat mark only one use a small x	datiy datiy quarteriy X semiannual annual	viola	ation Base Penalty[	. \$500
This is a	single event	ented during the 5/5/2004 investi	igation.	
Economic Benefit	(EB) for this violati	on Statutory	Limit Test	
Estimated E	B Amount \$23,201		Final Penalty Total	\$1,575
	This viols	ation Final Assessed Penalty (a	adjusted for limits)	\$1.575

#### 10/06/05 H:\ENFORCE\DBynum\City of Lovelady (WQ)\PCW 1 of 2 4-20-2005.qpw **Economic Benefit Worksheet** Respondent City of Lovelady Case ID No. CCEDS Case No. 9942 Reg. Ent. Reference No. Regulated Entity ID No. RN102185543 Additional ID No(s). TPDES Permit No. 10734-001 Media [Statute] Water Quality Percent Years of Violation No. 1 Interest Depreciation 5.0 15 Item Date Final Interest Onetime EB Item Cost Required Date Saved Costs Amount Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** 0.0 Equipment \$0 \$0 \$0 Buildings 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$75,000 01-Aug-2001 31-Dec-2005 Engineering/construction 4.4 \$1,105 \$22,096 \$23,201 0.0 \$0 \$0 n/a Record Keeping System 0.0 \$0 \$0 n/a Training/Sampling 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 Remediation/Disposal 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 Permit Costs 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 Other (as needed) 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 This is an estimate of the cost of compliance and includes the cost for evaluating the collection system as well as the cost for rehabilitation of the collection system and the cost for Notes for DELAYED costs recalibrating the flow meter and repairing the minor erosion along the facultative lagoon dike. The Date Required is the date of the regional investigation and the Final Date is the projected date of compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** Disposal 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Notes for AVOIDED costs TOTAL Approx. Cost of Compliance \$75,000

Page 5 of 6 10/06/05 H:\ENFORCE\DBynum\City of Lovelady (WQ)\PCW 1 of 2 4-20-2005.qpw

	Screeni	ng Date	12-Jul-2004	Doo	ket No.	Docket No. 2003-129		PCW
	Resp	ondent	City of Lovelady				Policy Rev	ision 2 (September 2002)
	Case	e ID No.	CCEDS Case No. 9	942			PC	W Revision May 17, 2004
Reg.	Ent. Refere	nce No.	Regulated Entity ID	No. RN1021	85543			•
	Additional II	No(s).	TPDES Permit No.	10734-001				
	Media [	Statute]	Water Quality					
	Enf. Coor	dinator	Michael Meyer					
	Violation	Number						
						and Reporting Requi		
	Primary Ru		No. 3.b. and 3	3.c. and 30 Te	ex. Admin.	Code §§ 319.7(a) and	d (c)	•
	Secondary Ru	le Cite(s)						
	Violation De	scription	individual and the taken, as docume	time that pH ented during a	measurer in investig	and to record the namenents and flow reading ation conducted by the end on 5/5/2004.	gs were	
		•				Bas	e Penalty	\$10,000
>>	Environme	ntal, Pro	perty and Huma	in Health N	/latrix			A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
		<b>D</b> .1	Harm					
OR		Release Actual	Major Moderate	Minor				
OIX.		Potential				Percent	:	·
		<u>F</u>						4
>>	Programma	atic Matr	ix					
		Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor				Y
	· L		L X			Percent 5%	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	F	The Resno	andent failed to com	nly with two o	f the five c	components required		*
		for meas	surement of pH and	monitoring of	flow as we	ell as the failure to		a and a second
	Matrix Notes	maint	ain pH calibration re	cords. A tota	al of 5 out o	of a possible 11		
		mo	onitoring activity req	uirments (45%	%) were no	t being met.		
							<u> </u>	
						Adjustment	-\$9,500	-
						Base Penalty	Subtotal	\$500
alarka a saraka Ambarra, kwasaka						,	L.	
	Violation E	vents						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Numbé	r of Violatio	on Events 1	1				
	T G T T G	· Or Violatio						
			, daily	]				
		·	monthly			Maria Caran	<b>.</b>	<b>A</b> #0.0
		ark only one se a small x	quarterly X semiannual	4		Violation Base	Penalty	\$500
	as	se a sirian x	annual	1				
		j	single event	j				,
	f==				mh ar navranna meanaile	1		
		A single	e event as documen		investigati	on conducted on		
				5/5/2004.				1
	Economic i	Benefit (	EB) for this viol	ation		Statutory Limit Te	est :	
	Est	imated EE	Amount \$105			Violation Final Pena	alty Total	\$1,575
			This vi	olation Final	Assesse	d Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits)	\$1,575

#### **Economic Benefit Worksheet** Respondent City of Lovelady Case ID No. CCEDS Case No. 9942 Reg. Ent. Reference No. Regulated Entity ID No. RN102185543 Additional ID No(s). TPDES Permit No. 10734-001 Media [Statute] Water Quality Percent Years of Violation No. 2 Interest Depreciation 5.0 Date Interest Onetime ΕB Item Cost Required Date Saved Costs Amount Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** Equipment 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Buildings 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Engineering/construction 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.0 \$0 \$0 n/a Record Keeping System 0.0 \$0 \$0 n/a Training/Sampling 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 Remediation/Disposal 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 **Permit Costs** 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 0.0 Other (as needed) \$0 n/a \$0 Notes for DELAYED costs **Avoided Costs** ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) Disposal 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Personnel 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$100 05-May-2004 31-Dec-2004 Other (as needed) 1.0 \$5 \$105 This is an estimate of the cost to maintain pH calibration records and to record that name of Notes for AVOIDED costs the individual and the time that pH measurements and flow readings were taken. Approx. Cost of Compliance \$100 TOTAL \$105

#### **Compliance History**

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN600658025 City of Lovelady		Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 1.960
Regulated Entity:	RN102185543 CITY OF LOVELADY	7	Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 1.96
ID Number(s):	WASTEWATER	PERMIT		TPDES0027138
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT		WQ0010734001
	WASTEWATER LICENSING	LICENSE		WQ0010734001
Location:	PO BOX 83, LOVELADY, TX, 75851		Rating Date: 9/1/04 Repeat	Violator: NO
TCEQ Region:	REGION 10 - BEAUMONT			
Date Compliance History Prepared:	April 13, 2005			
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement			
Compliance Period:	October 10, 1998 to October 10, 2003			
TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Info	rmation Regarding this Compliance History			
Name: Michael Meyer	Phone: (512) 239-4492			
				and Medical Control of the Control o
	Site Compliance History Comp	onents		
1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation	A SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T	No		
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership	of the site during the compliance period?	No		
3. If Yes, who is the current owner?		N/A		
4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?		N/A	and the second second	
	And the second of the second o			
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?		N/A		
<ul><li>5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?</li><li>6. Comments:</li></ul>		N/A		
6. Comments:		N/A		
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:	ments, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and		ernment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judge	ments, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and		ernment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:	ments, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and		priment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judge.  N/A			emment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judge.  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of			ernment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judge.  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A			Priment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judge.  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of			ernment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judge.  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A			ernment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, count judges  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of  N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.	Texas and the federal government.		Priment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judges  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of  N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A	Texas and the federal government.		priment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judge.  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A  D. The approval dates of investigations. (6)	Texas and the federal government.		ernment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judges  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of  N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A  D. The approval dates of investigations. (6)  1 05/16/2003 (302599)	Texas and the federal government.		Priment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judges  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of  N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A  D. The approval dates of investigations. (4  1 05/16/2003 (302599)  2 09/11/2003 (302600)	Texas and the federal government.		rinment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judges  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A  D. The approval dates of investigations. (6  1 05/16/2003 (302599)  2 09/11/2003 (302600)  3 06/18/2001 (175107)	Texas and the federal government.		priment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judge.  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A  D. The approval dates of investigations. (0  1 05/16/2003 (302599)  2 09/11/2003 (302600)  3 06/18/2001 (175107)  4 02/14/2000 (175108)	Texas and the federal government.		ernment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judges  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A  D. The approval dates of investigations. (4)  1 05/16/2003 (302599) 2 09/11/2003 (302600) 3 06/18/2001 (175107) 4 02/14/2000 (175108) 5 09/12/2003 (302601)	Texas and the federal government.		ernment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judges  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A  D. The approval dates of investigations. (0  1 05/16/2003 (302599)  2 09/11/2003 (302600)  3 06/18/2001 (175107)  4 02/14/2000 (175108)  5 09/12/2003 (302601)  6 02/16/2001 (175109)  7 09/12/2003 (302602)	Texas and the federal government.		Priment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judges  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A  D. The approval dates of investigations. (0  1 05/16/2003 (302599)  2 09/11/2003 (302600)  3 06/18/2001 (175107)  4 02/14/2000 (175108)  5 09/12/2003 (302601)  6 02/16/2001 (175109)  7 09/12/2003 (302602)	Texas and the federal government.		Priment.	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judges  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A  D. The approval dates of investigations. (0  1 05/16/2003 (302599) 2 09/11/2003 (302600) 3 06/18/2001 (175107) 4 02/14/2000 (175108) 5 09/12/2003 (302601) 6 02/16/2001 (175109) 7 09/12/2003 (302602) 8 02/19/2002 (175110) 9 02/21/2003 (175111)	Texas and the federal government.		priment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judges  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A  D. The approval dates of investigations. (0  1 05/16/2003 (302599)  2 09/11/2003 (302600)  3 06/18/2001 (175107)  4 02/14/2000 (175108)  5 09/12/2003 (302601)  6 02/16/2001 (175109)  7 09/12/2003 (302602)  8 02/19/2002 (175110)  9 02/21/2003 (175111)  10 01/18/2000 (175146)	Texas and the federal government.		ernment	
6. Comments:  Components (Multimedia) for the Site:  A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judge.  N/A  B. Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A  C. Chronic excessive emissions events.  N/A  D. The approval dates of investigations. (0  1 05/16/2003 (302599) 2 09/11/2003 (302600) 3 06/18/2001 (175107) 4 02/14/2000 (175108) 5 09/12/2003 (302601) 6 02/16/2001 (175109) 7 09/12/2003 (302602) 8 02/19/2002 (175110) 9 02/21/2003 (175111)	Texas and the federal government.		amment	

(175144)

(175114)

(175143)

14 01/22/200215 04/04/2003

16 02/01/2001

17 03/20/2000

```
18 12/30/2002
     19 04/14/2000
                       (175116)
                       (175141)
     20 12/15/2001
     21 06/03/2003
                       (250511)
     22 04/27/2001
                       (175117)
                       (175140)
        12/15/2000
                       (175118)
     24 04/12/2002
        11/25/2002
                       (175139)
                       (175119)
        04/23/2003
                       (175120)
     27 05/22/2000
        11/16/2001
                       (175138)
                       (175121)
     29 05/14/2001
     30 04/20/2001
                       (175137)
                       (175136)
        11/01/2002
        10/10/2001
                       (175135)
                       (175122)
     33 05/20/2002
                       (175134)
     34 10/23/2000
     35 06/21/2000
                       (175123)
                       (175133)
     36 09/20/2002
     37--09/19/2001
                       (175132)
                       (175124)
     38 06/24/2002
     39 07/31/2000
                       (175125)
                       (175131)
     40 09/25/2000
     41 08/16/2002
                       (175130)
                       (175126)
     42 07/16/2001
                       (175127)
     43 07/26/2002
    44-08/20/2001
                       (175129)
     45 08/28/2000
                       (175128)
Written notices of violations (NOV), (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
Date: 04/30/2003
                         (302599)
                                                                         Classification: Moderate
   Self Report?
   YES
    Citation:
                       30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
                       TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
                    Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter
Date: 05/31/2003
                         (302600)
                                                                         Classification: Moderate
   Self Report?
   YES
   Citation:
                       30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
                       TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
                    Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter
Date: 06/30/2003
                         (302601)
                                                                         Classification: Moderate
   Self Report?
   YES
                       30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
   Citation:
                       TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
                     Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter
Date: 07/31/2003
                         (302602)
                                                                         Classification: Moderate
   Self Report?
   YES
                       30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
   Citation:
                       TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
                     Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter
Date: 12/31/2002
                         (175145)
                                                                         Classification: Moderate
   Self Report?
```

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

(175142)

YES

Citation:

Date: 08/31/2003

(302603)

Self Report?

YES

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Fallure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 09/30/2003

Self Report?

(302604)

YES

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

(175112)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 12/31/2001 (175144)

Self Report?

YES

Citation'

Date: 02/28/2001

Self Report?

YES

Citation:

Date: 11/30/2002

Self Report? YES

Citation:

Date: 02/28/2003

Self Report? YES

Citation:

Date: 11/30/2001

Self Report? YES

Citation:

Date: 02/29/2000

Self Report?

YES

Citation:

Date: 11/30/2000

Self Report?

YES

Citation:

Date: 03/31/2000

Self Report? YES

Citation:

Date: 10/31/2002 Self Report?

YES

Citation:

Date: 03/31/2001

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

(175117)

(175140)

(175116)

(175139)

Classification: Moderate

Classification: Moderate

Classification: Moderate

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Classification: Moderate

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Classification: Moderate

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter (175114)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305, 125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter (175141)

Classification: Moderate

TWC Chapter 26 26 121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter (175115)

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26,121(a)[G] Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Classification: Moderate

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Classification: Moderate

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Classification: Moderate

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Date: 10/31/2001 (175138)Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,125(1) Citation: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Date: 04/30/2000 (175120) Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Date: 09/30/2000 (175134) Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Date: 04/30/2001 Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Date: 08/31/2002 . (175133) -Classification: Moderate Self Report? 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter (175122) Date: 04/30/2002 Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Date: 08/31/2001 (175132) Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Date: 08/31/2000 (175131)Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G] Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Date: 05/31/2000 (175123) Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 05/31/2002 (175124)

Classification: Moderate

Self Report?

YES

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 07/31/2002 (175130)

Classification: Moderate Self Report? YES

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter (175125)

Date: 06/30/2000

Self Report?

Classification: Moderate

YES

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 07/31/2001

(175129)

Classification: Moderate

Self Report? YES

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Citation:

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/30/2001

(175126)

Classification: Moderate

Self Report?

YES Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 07/31/2000

Self Report?

Classification: Moderate

YES

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/30/2002 (175127)

Self Report?

Classification: Moderate

YES

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Environmental audits.

N/A

Description:

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING CITY OF LOVELADY	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
<b>TPDES PERMIT NO. 10734-001</b>	§	
RN102185543	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2003-1298-MWD-E

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its \_\_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding City of Lovelady ("Lovelady") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division, and Lovelady appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Lovelady owns and operates a domestic wastewater treatment plant located approximately 0.5 miles southwest of the intersection of State Highway 19 and Farm-to-Market Road 1280 in Lovelady, Houston County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. WATER CODE ch. 26 and TCEQ rules.
- 3. The Commission and Lovelady agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Lovelady is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Lovelady received notices of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about October 15, 2003 and July 7, 2004.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Lovelady of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of nineteen thousand five hundred fifty dollars (\$19,550.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Lovelady has paid five hundred forty-five dollars (\$545.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of nineteen thousand five dollars (\$19,005.00)

of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of five hundred forty-three dollars (\$543.00) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If Lovelady fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of Lovelady to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by Lovelady to timely and satisfactorily comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Lovelady have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that, based on compliance documentation received by the TCEQ on July 26, 2004, Lovelady has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility in response to this enforcement action:
  - a. removed oil and grease from the wet well and repaired the visual alarm at Lift Station # 1;
  - b. repaired the damaged manhole on Southwest Commerce St.;
  - c. recalibrated the effluent flow meter; and
  - d. began maintaining an operational log which provides the name of the analyst, date and time of readings and pH measurements as well as effluent flow readings
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Lovelady has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.

12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

Lovelady is alleged to have violated:

1. TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), and TPDES Permit No. 10734-001, Effluent and Monitoring Requirements by failing to comply with its permitted effluent quality limitations as documented by record reviews conducted on May 28, 2003 and October 23, 2003 and reflected in the table below:

	Daily Average BOD5 (mg/L)	Daily Average BOD5 (lbs/day)	Single Grab BOD5 (mg/L)	Daily Average TSS (mg/L)	Daily Average TSS (lbs/day)	Max. pH (s.u.)	Min. Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Daily Average Flow (MGD)
Month/Year	30	26	100	_ 90	79	9	4	0.105
August 2001			3.5				3.5	
October 2001	49.4							
November 2001	40.75							
December 2001						9.07		0.1095
April 2002	35.2			129.6		9.18		
May 2002	32.25					9.2		
June 2002						9.8		
July 2002						9.07		
August 2002							2.8	
October 2002	43.2	68.624		92.806		9.3		
November 2002	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					9.27		
December 2002								0.1337
February 2003								0.1129
April 2003						9.89		
May 2003						9.31		
	Daily Average BOD5 (mg/L)	Daily Average BOD5 (lbs/day)	Single Grab BOD5 (mg/L)	Daily Average TSS (mg/L)	Daily Average TSS (lbs/day)	Max. pH (s.u.)	Min. Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Daily Average Flow (MGD)
Month/Year	30	26	100	90	79	9	4	0.105
<b>June 2003</b>							3.7	
July 2003	31.8						2.7	

**Abbreviations:** 

five-day biochemical oxygen demand - BOD5 total suspended solids - TSS milligrams per liter - (mg/L) pounds per day - lbs/day million gallons per day - MGD

- 2. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and (5), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.126(a), and TPDES Permit No. 10734-001, Permit Conditions No. 2.b. and Operational Requirements Nos. 1 and 8 by failing to maintain units of conveyance as evidenced by a damaged manhole and an inoperable visual alarm on Lift Station No. 1, which has resulted in the treatment facility being impacted by inflow/infiltration and Lovelady has failed to initiate plans for expansion or submit an engineering report to document a request for a waiver of the "75/90" rule requirement. Lovelady also failed to properly maintain all units of treatment as evidenced by minor erosion along the facultative lagoon dike and failed to maintain the flow measurement device to provide accurate readings as documented during an investigation conducted by the TCEQ Beaumont Regional Office on May 5, 2004.
- 3. 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 319.7(a) and (c) and TPDES Permit No. 10734-001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 3.b. and 3.c. by failing to maintain pH calibration records and to record the name of the individual and the time that pH measurements and flow readings were taken as documented during an investigation conducted by the TCEQ Beaumont Regional Office on May 5, 2004.

#### III. DENIALS

Lovelady generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDER

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Lovelady pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The imposition of this administrative penalty and Lovelady compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from considering requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Lovelady, Docket No. 2003-1298-MWD-E" to:

> Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. Lovelady shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Lovelady shall repair the erosion damage to the facultative lagoon levee.
  - b. Within 40 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Lovelady shall submit photographs documenting completion of Ordering Provision No. 2.a. to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

With a copy to:

Heather Ross, Manager Water Section Beaumont Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 3870 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, TX 77703-1892

c. Within 120 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Lovelady shall develop and implement a plan and schedule for mitigating the effects of inflow/infiltration on the collection system. The plan and schedule shall be prepared by a Texas registered professional engineer and shall include: obtaining funding for collection system rehabilitation, a schedule for upgrading the collection system which includes interim milestone dates, an evaluation phase to determine the effectiveness of the upgrades to the collection system, and a determination if expansion or modification to the wastewater treatment plant is required to address the hydraulic loading on the wastewater treatment plant so as to achieve compliance with the permitted effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. 10734-001 by no later than 365 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. Upon review and written approval of the plan and schedule, all milestone dates will be incorporated as an enforceable condition of this Order and shall be complied with by the City.

- d. Within 15 days following the completion of each milestone/deadline date in Ordering Provision 2.c., Lovelady shall submit written certification documenting satisfactory completion of each item, including all interim milestone dates which are part of the approved schedule in Ordering Provision No. 2.c. to the same two addresses set forth in paragraph 2.b., above.
- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Lovelady. Lovelady is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If Lovelady fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Lovelady's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Lovelady shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Lovelady shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Lovelady becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Lovelady shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Lovelady receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Lovelady in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Lovelady, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Lovelady, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

#### SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Jobnseron Perdue	5/11/06
For the Executive Director	Date
,	
to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behal	e attached Agreed Order. I represent that I am authorized lf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and fied therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ is
<ul> <li>failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may</li> <li>A negative impact on my compliance</li> <li>Greater scrutiny of any permit applica</li> <li>Referral of this case to the Attorney Go</li> <li>penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a</li> <li>Increased penalties in any future enfor</li> <li>Automatic referral to the Attorney Go</li> </ul>	history; tions submitted by me; eneral's office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional collection agency;
<ul> <li>me; and</li> <li>TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized</li> <li>In addition, any falsification of any compliance</li> </ul>	ed by law. ce documents may result in criminal prosecution.
Malke! Dueswell Signature	2-21-06 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized representative of City of Lovelady	Title

Kathleen Hartnett White, Chairman Larry R. Soward, Commissioner H. S. Buddy Garcia, Commissioner Glenn Shankle, Executive Director



#### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

April 10, 2007

All Persons on Mailing List TO:

TCEQ Docket No. 2003-1298-MWD-E; In the matter of an Agreed Order concerning the RE:

City of Lovelady.

The above-referenced matter is scheduled to be considered by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality on April 25, 2007 at 9:30 A.M. in Room 201S, Building E, 12100 Park 35 Circle, Austin, Texas.

Should you need any additional information, please contact Paul Munguía at the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Office of the Chief Clerk, (512) 239-3300.

Sincerely,

LaDoma Castañuela

Chief Clerk

LDC/pm

#### MAILING LIST CITY OF LOVELADY TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2003-1298-MWD-E

The Honorable Michael Broxson Mayor, City of Lovelady P.O. Box 83 Lovelady, Texas 75851

Shannon Strong
Jennifer Cook
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Legal Division, MC-175
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239-3400
Fax: (512) 239-3434

Joe English TCEQ Beaumont Regional Office MC-R-10 3870 Eastex Fwy. Beaumont, Texas 77703-1830 Tel: (409) 898-3838 Fax: (409) 892-2119

Michael Meyer TCEQ Enforcement Division MC-128 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Tel: (512) 239-2545

Tel: (512) 239-2545 Fax: (512) 239-2550

Blas Coy, Jr.
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office Public Interest Counsel, MC-103
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239-6363
Fax: (512) 239-6377

Bridget Bohac, Acting Director TCEQ Office of Public Assistance MC-108 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Tel: (512) 239-4000

Fax: (512) 239-4000

Docket Clerk
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of the Chief Clerk, MC-105
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239-3300

Fax: (512) 239-3311

Kathleen Hartnett White, Chairman Larry R. Soward, Commissioner Martin A. Hubert, Commissioner Glenn Shankle, Executive Director



#### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

November 14, 2006

To:

Persons on the attached mailing list (by mail and facsimile as indicated)

Re:

Agreed Order concerning the City of Lovelady; TCEQ Docket No. 2003-1298-MWD-E.

The above-named matter is scheduled to be considered by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (Commission) at its November 15, 2006, public meeting. On November 14, 2006, the City of Lovelady filed a request to continue this matter until the January 10, 2007 agenda to allow for a city representative to attend.

Accordingly, this matter is hereby continued to the Commission's public meeting scheduled on Wednesday, January 10, 2007, beginning at 9:30a.m. The meeting will take place in Room 201S of Building E, 12118 N. Interstate 35, Austin, Texas.

If you have any questions about this matter, please contact Matt Beeter, Assistant General Counsel, at 512/239-1406.

Respectfully,

Derek Seal General Counsel

# Mailing List City of Lovelady TCEQ Docket No. 2003-1298-MWD-E

The Honorable Michael Broxson Mayor, City of Lovelady P.O. Box 83 Lovelady, Texas 75851 936/636-7313 FAX 936/636-7087

Shannon Strong Jennifer Cook TCEQ Litigation Division MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 512/239-3400 FAX 512/239-3434

Joe English TCEQ Beaumont Regional Office MC R-10 3870 Eastex Fwy. Beaumont, Texas 77703-1892 409/898-3838 FAX 409/892-2119

Michael Meyer TCEQ Enforcement Division MC 128 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 512/239-2545 FAX 512/239-2550

Blas Coy TCEQ Office of Public Interest Counsel MC 103 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 512/239-6363 FAX 512/239-6377

Docket Clerk TCEQ Office of Chief Clerk MC 105 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 512/239-3300 FAX 512/239-3311

Jody Henneke TCEQ Office of Public Assistance MC 108 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 512/239-4000 FAX 512/239-4007 FROM:

14-2006 12:56

۲. U I



CITY OF LOVELADY

November 14, 2006

Agenda Coordinator
Office of the Chief Clerk
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Fax: 512-239-3311

RE: Agenda November 15, 2006, Docket # 2003-1298-MWD -E

#### To Whom It May Concern:

This item was last scheduled for consideration on June 14, 2006. Representatives of the City were prepared to attend after having received prior notice on May 26, 2006. We were notified on June 13, 2006 that the matter was to be continued until a future time.

The City of Lovelady is very concerned about the resolution of this case and would like to have a representative at the meeting when it is considered. However due to the fact that we received first notice of this meeting on Monday, November 13 it will not be possible for anyone to attend. I am hereby requesting a continuance of the above named item until the Commissioners' meeting scheduled for January 10, 2007.

Thank you

Michael R Brofran

Michael R. Broxson, Mayor.

P.O. BOX 83 LOYELADY, TEXAS 75851

Phone: 936-636-7313 FAX: 936-636-7087 Kathleen Hartnett White, *Chairman* R. B. "Ralph" Marquez, *Commissioner* Larry R. Soward, *Commissioner* Glenn Shankle, *Executive Director* 



#### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

June 13, 2006

OTHER CLERKS OFFICE

To: Persons on the attached mailing list (by mail and facsimile as indicated)

Re: Agreed Order concerning the City of Lovelady; TCEQ Docket No. 2003-1298-MWD-E.

The above-named matter is scheduled to be considered by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (Commission) at its June 14, 2006, public meeting. In accordance with 30 TAC §10.4, I have determined to continue this matter until a future Commission agenda.

If you have any questions about this matter, please contact Matt Beeter, Assistant General Counsel, at 512/239-1406.

Respectfully,

Derek Seal General Counsel

# Mailing List City of Lovelady TCEQ Docket No. 2003-1298-MWD-E

The Honorable Michael Broxson Mayor, City of Lovelady P.O. Box 83 Lovelady, Texas 75851 FAX 936/636-7087

Shannon Strong Jennifer Cook TCEQ Litigation Division MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 512/239-3400 FAX 512/239-3434

Joe English TCEQ Beaumont Regional Office MC R-10 3870 Eastex Fwy. Beaumont, Texas 77703-1892 409/898-3838 FAX 409/892-2119

Michael Meyer TCEQ Enforcement Division MC 128 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 512/239-2545 FAX 512/239-2550

Blas Coy TCEQ Office of Public Interest Counsel MC 103 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 512/239-6363 FAX 512/239-6377

Docket Clerk TCEQ Office of Chief Clerk MC 105 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 512/239-3300 FAX 512/239-3311

Jody Henneke TCEQ Office of Public Assistance MC 108 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 512/239-4000 FAX 512/239-4007