

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER
DOCKET NO.: 2006-1462-DCL-E **TCEQ ID:** RN104998802 **CASE NO.:** 30978
RESPONDENT NAME: Mizmez, Inc. dba Coppell Cleaners

ORDER TYPE:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	

CASE TYPE:

<input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE	<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL
<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL FILTER	<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION	

SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Coppell Cleaners, 171 North Denton Tap, Suite 300, Coppell, Denton County

TYPE OF OPERATION: Dry cleaning drop station

SMALL BUSINESS: Yes No

OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.

INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.

COMMENTS RECEIVED: The *Texas Register* comment period expired on March 12, 2007. No comments were received.

CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:

TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None
TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Ms. Cheryl Thompson, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Section II, MC 219, (817) 588-5886; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896
TCEQ Field Investigator: Ms. Paula Sen, Coppell Cleaners, MC R-04, (817) 588-5848
Respondent: Mr. M. Jamal Mezanazi, Secretary, Mizmez, Inc., 171 North Denton Tap, Suite 300, Coppell, Texas 75019
Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: May 25, 2006</p> <p>Date of NOE Relating to this Case: August 10, 2006 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine investigation. One violation was documented.</p> <p>WASTE</p> <p>Failed to complete and submit the required registration form to the TCEQ for the Facility. [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 337.10(a) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 374.102].</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$1,185</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$237 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$0</p> <p>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$948</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification: <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification: <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Ordering Provisions:</p> <p>The Order will require the Respondent to:</p> <p>a. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, complete and submit the required drycleaner and/or drop station registration form for the Facility; and</p> <p>b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision a.</p>



Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

DATES	Assigned 19-Aug-2006	Screening 25-Aug-2006	EPA Due
	PCW 29-Aug-2006		

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION	
Respondent	Mizmez, Inc. dba Coppell Cleaners
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN104998802
Facility/Site Region	4-Dallas/Fort Worth
Major/Minor Source	Minor Source

CASE INFORMATION			
Enf./Case ID No.	30978	No. of Violations	1
Docket No.	2006-1462-DCL-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Drycleaner	Enf. Coordinator	Cheryl Thompson
Multi-Media		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 4
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$50

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$1,185
---	-------------------	----------------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History	0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$0
---------------------------	----------------	--------------------------------	------------

Notes The respondent does not have any other enforcement actions within the last five years.

Culpability	No	0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	----------------	-------------------	------------

Notes The respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply	0% Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
------------------------------------	--------------	-------------------	------------

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with a small x)

Notes The respondent does not meet the good faith criteria.

Economic Benefit	0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	-----------------	-------------------	------------

Total EB Amounts	\$18	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$250	

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$1,185
-----------------------------	-----------------------	----------------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	Adjustment	\$0
---	-------------------	------------

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes

Final Penalty Amount **\$1,185**

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$1,185
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------

DEFERRAL	20% Reduction	Adjustment	\$237
-----------------	---------------	-------------------	--------------

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$948
------------------------	--------------

Screening Date	25-Aug-2006	Docket No.	2006-1462-DCL-E	PCW
Respondent	Mizmez, Inc. dba Coppel Cleaners	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)		
Case ID No.	30978	PCW Revision May 19, 2005		
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN104998802			
Media [Statute]	Drycleaner			
Enf. Coordinator	Cheryl Thompson			

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action <i>(number of NOVs meeting criteria)</i>	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability <i>(number of orders meeting criteria)</i>	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government <i>(number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)</i>	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government <i>(number of counts)</i>	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events <i>(number of events)</i>	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 <i>(number of audits for which notices were</i>	0	0%
	<i>disclosures)</i> Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 <i>(number of audits for which violations were disclosed)</i>	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

<input type="text" value="No"/>	Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%
---------------------------------	--

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%
----------------------------------	--

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes	The respondent does not have any other enforcement actions within the last five years.
---------------------------------	--

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 0%

Screening Date	25-Aug-2006	Docket No.	2006-1462-DCL-E	PCW
Respondent	Mizmez, Inc. dba Coppell Cleaners	<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>		
Case ID No.	30978	<i>PCW Revision May 19, 2005</i>		
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN104998802			
Media [Statute]	Drycleaner			
Enf. Coordinator	Cheryl Thompson			
Violation Number	1			
Primary Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 337.10(a)			
Secondary Rule Cite(s)	Tex. Health & Safety Code § 374.102			
Violation Description	The respondent failed to complete and submit the required registration form to the TCEQ for a dry cleaning and/or drop station facility.			
Base Penalty				\$50

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

OR	Harm			Percent <input type="text"/>	
	Release	Major	Moderate		Minor
	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		<input type="text"/>
	Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent <input type="text"/>
	<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.				

Adjustment -\$45

Base Penalty Subtotal \$5

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 237

mark only one use a small x	daily	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	monthly	<input type="checkbox"/>
	quarterly	<input type="checkbox"/>
	semiannual	<input type="checkbox"/>
	annual	<input type="checkbox"/>
	single event	<input type="checkbox"/>

Violation Base Penalty \$1,185

Two hundred thirty-seven daily events are recommended from the September 1, 2005 deadline to the April 26, 2006 deadline established by the TCEQ letter dated March 24, 2006.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation	Statutory Limit Test
Estimated EB Amount <input type="text"/> \$18	Violation Final Penalty Total <input type="text"/> \$1,185
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) <input type="text"/> \$1,185	

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: Mizmez, Inc. dba Coppell Cleaners
Case ID No.: 30978
Reg. Ent. Reference No.: RN104998802
Media [Statute]: Drycleaner
Violation No.: 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime	EB
						Costs	Amount
Description: No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Item	Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$250	01-Sep-2005	30-Jan-2007	1.4	\$18	n/a	\$18
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

The estimated cost to register a dry cleaning or drop station facility annually. The date required is the date that the completed registration form was due and the final date is the date the respondent is projected to come into compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Item	Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$250

TOTAL \$18

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN603063520 Mizmez, Inc.	Classification:	Rating:
Regulated Entity:	RN104998802 COPPELL CLEANERS	Classification:	Site Rating:
ID Number(s):	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE EPA ID GENERATION		TXR000074807
Location:	171 N DENTON TAP STE 300, COPPELL, TX, 75019		

TCEQ Region: REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX

Date Compliance History Prepared: August 28, 2006

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: August 28, 2001 to August 28, 2006

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Cheryl Thompson Phone: (817)588-5886

Site Compliance History Components

- | | |
|--|------------|
| 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? | Yes |
| 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? | No |
| 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? | <u>N/A</u> |
| 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? | N/A |
| 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? | N/A |

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
 - B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
 - C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A
 - D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
1 08/14/2006 (487500)
N/A
 - E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
N/A
 - F. Environmental audits.
N/A
 - G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
N/A
 - H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.
N/A
 - I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.
N/A
 - J. Early compliance.
N/A
- Sites Outside of Texas
N/A

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE: 10/15/54

TO: SAC, NEW YORK

FROM: SA [Name], NEW YORK

SUBJECT: [Subject Name]

[Faded text block]

[Faded text block]

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

[Faded text block]

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
MIZMEZ, INC. DBA COPPELL
CLEANERS
RN104998802**

§
§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2006-1462-DCL-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Mizmez, Inc. dba Coppel Cleaners ("Mizmez, Inc.") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 374 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Mizmez, Inc. appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. Mizmez, Inc. owns and operates a dry cleaning drop station at 171 North Denton Tap, Suite 300 in Coppell, Denton County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. The TCEQ has general authority to regulate the Facility pursuant to TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 374.051.
3. The Commission and Mizmez, Inc. agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Mizmez, Inc. is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. Mizmez, Inc. received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about August 15, 2006.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Mizmez, Inc. of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of One Thousand One Hundred Eighty-Five Dollars (\$1,185) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Mizmez, Inc. has paid Nine Hundred Forty-Eight Dollars (\$948) of the administrative penalty and Two Hundred Thirty-Seven Dollars (\$237) is deferred contingent upon Mizmez, Inc.'s timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If

Mizmez, Inc. fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Mizmez, Inc. to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Mizmez, Inc. have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Mizmez, Inc. has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, Mizmez, Inc. is alleged to have failed to complete and submit the required registration form to the TCEQ for the Facility, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 337.10(a) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 374.102, as documented during an investigation conducted on May 25, 2006.

III. DENIALS

Mizmez, Inc. generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Mizmez, Inc. pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mizmez, Inc.'s compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Mizmez, Inc. dba Coppell Cleaners, Docket No. 2006-1462-DCL-E" to:

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for a systematic approach to data collection and the importance of using reliable and valid measurement instruments.

3. The third part of the document discusses the ethical considerations that must be taken into account when conducting research. It stresses the importance of obtaining informed consent from participants and ensuring that their privacy and confidentiality are protected throughout the study.

4. The fourth part of the document describes the various types of research designs that can be used to investigate different research questions. It compares and contrasts experimental, quasi-experimental, and non-experimental designs, highlighting their strengths and limitations.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the various methods of data analysis that can be used to interpret research findings. It covers both quantitative and qualitative methods, highlighting the importance of choosing the most appropriate method for the research design and data type.

6. The sixth part of the document discusses the various ways in which research findings can be disseminated and shared with the academic community and the public. It highlights the importance of writing clear and concise reports and presenting findings in a way that is accessible and understandable to a wide range of audiences.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the various challenges and limitations that can be encountered in the research process. It highlights the importance of being aware of these challenges and taking steps to minimize their impact on the research findings.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the various ways in which research can be used to inform practice and policy. It highlights the importance of translating research findings into actionable insights and recommendations that can be used to improve the effectiveness of programs and services.

9. The ninth part of the document discusses the various ways in which research can be used to advance the field of knowledge. It highlights the importance of conducting high-quality research that contributes to the understanding of human behavior and the development of new theories and models.

10. The tenth part of the document discusses the various ways in which research can be used to address social and public health issues. It highlights the importance of conducting research that is relevant and useful to the community and that can be used to inform policy and practice.

11. The eleventh part of the document discusses the various ways in which research can be used to improve the quality of life for individuals and communities. It highlights the importance of conducting research that is focused on understanding and addressing the needs and challenges of vulnerable populations.

12. The twelfth part of the document discusses the various ways in which research can be used to advance the field of knowledge and to improve the quality of life for individuals and communities. It highlights the importance of conducting research that is rigorous, ethical, and relevant to the needs of the community.



Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that Mizmez, Inc. shall undertake the following technical requirements:
- a. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, complete and submit the required drycleaner and/or drop station registration form for the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE ch. 337 to:

Dry Cleaning Registration Team
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with Ordering Provision 2.a. as described below:

The certification shall, include detailed supporting documentation including receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance, be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

1000
1000
1000

1000
1000
1000
1000
1000

1000
1000
1000
1000
1000

1000
1000
1000
1000
1000

1000
1000
1000
1000
1000

1000
1000
1000
1000
1000

1000
1000
1000
1000
1000

with a copy to:

Manager, Waste Section
Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
2309 Gravel Road
Fort Worth, Texas 76053

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mizmez, Inc. Mizmez, Inc. is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If Mizmez, Inc. fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Mizmez, Inc.'s failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Mizmez, Inc. shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Mizmez, Inc. shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Mizmez, Inc. becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Mizmez, Inc. shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Mizmez, Inc. receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Mizmez, Inc. in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Mizmez, Inc., or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Mizmez, Inc., whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

1911

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission



For the Executive Director

4/12/07


Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.



Signature

11/7/06

Date

M. Jamal Mezanazi

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
Mizmez, Inc. dba Coppell Cleaners

SECRETARY

Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10