

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2006-1632-AIR-E TCEQ ID: RN100211846 CASE NO.: 31170

RESPONDENT NAME: Benedum Gas Partners, LP and Upton Gas GP, Inc. dba Wilshire Treating Facility

## ORDER TYPE:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	

## CASE TYPE:

<input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL
<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL FILTER	<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY		

**SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED:** Wilshire Treating Facility, 1000 County Road 305, Upton County

**TYPE OF OPERATION:** Six compressor stations

**SMALL BUSINESS:**  Yes  No

**OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS:** There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.

**INTERESTED PARTIES:** No one other than the ED and the Respondents has expressed an interest in this matter.

**COMMENTS RECEIVED:** The *Texas Register* comment period expired on March 26, 2007. No comments were received.

## CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:

**TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator:** None

**TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:** Mr. Harvey Wilson, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Section II, MC 219, (512) 239-0321; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896

**TCEQ Field Investigator:** Mr. Jay Low, Midland Regional Office, MC R-07, (432) 570-1359

**Respondent:** Mr. J. L. Davis, Registered Agent, Benedum Gas Partners, LP and Upton Gas GP, Inc., dba Wilshire Treating Facility, 211 North Colorado, Midland, Texas 79701-4696

Mr. Michael K. Davis, Vice President, Benedum Gas Partners, LP and Upton Gas GP, Inc., dba Wilshire Treating Facility, 211 North Colorado, Midland, Texas 79701-4696

**Respondent's Attorney:** Mr. David Tuckfield, David J. Tuckfield, P.C., 12400 Highway 71 West, Suite 350-150, Austin, Texas 78738

**VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:**

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p><b>Type of Investigation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> Routine  <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p><b>Date of Complaint Relating to this Case:</b> None</p> <p><b>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case:</b> May 5, 2005</p> <p><b>Date of NOE Relating to this Case:</b> June 13, 2006 (NOE)</p> <p><b>Background Facts:</b> This was a routine record review. Four violations were documented.</p> <p><b>AIR</b></p> <p>1) Failed to timely complete and submit accurate initial and/or final reports for 23 emission events that occurred between February 4, 2005 and July 11, 2005 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 101.201(a), (b), and (c) and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>2) Failed to prevent 21 unauthorized emissions from entering the atmosphere that occurred between February 4, 2005 and December 8, 2005 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.110(a)(4) and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>3) Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions from entering the atmosphere that occurred between March 24, 2005 until April 5, 2005 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.110(a)(4) and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>4) Failed to prevent 3 unauthorized emissions from entering the atmosphere from May 1, 2005 until May 4, 2005, from May 25, 2005 until May 26, 2005, and from July 10, 2005 until July 11, 2005 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.110(a)(4) and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>	<p><b>Total Assessed:</b> \$41,726</p> <p><b>Total Deferred:</b> \$8,345  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement  <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p><b>SEP Conditional Offset:</b> \$0</p> <p><b>Total Paid to General Revenue:</b> \$33,381</p> <p><b>Site Compliance History Classification:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Person Compliance History Classification:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Major Source:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>Applicable Penalty Policy:</b> September 2002</p>	<p><b>Ordering Provisions:</b></p> <p>The Order will require the Respondents to:</p> <p>a. Immediately after the effective date of this Agreed Order develop and implement measures to improve reporting procedures;</p> <p>b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, develop and implement measures to improve design operations and or maintenance practices to prevent the recurrence of events similar to the events that occurred between February 4, 2005 and December 8, 2005; and</p> <p>c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions a. and b.</p>



Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

PCW Revision April 25, 2006

<b>DATES</b>	<b>Assigned</b>	25-Aug-2006	<b>Screening</b>	12-Sep-2006	<b>EPA Due</b>	
	<b>PCW</b>	12-Sep-2006				

<b>RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Respondent</b>	Benedum Gas Partners, L.P. and Upton Gas GP, Inc.
<b>Reg. Ent. Ref. No.</b>	RN100211846
<b>Facility/Site Region</b>	7-Midland
<b>Major/Minor Source</b>	Major Source

<b>CASE INFORMATION</b>			
<b>Enf./Case ID No.</b>	31170	<b>No. of Violations</b>	4
<b>Docket No.</b>	2006-1632-AIR-E	<b>Order Type</b>	1660
<b>Media Program(s)</b>	Air Quality	<b>Enf. Coordinator</b>	Harvey Wilson
<b>Multi-Media</b>		<b>EC's Team</b>	Enforcement Team 4
<b>Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum</b>	\$0	<b>Maximum</b>	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

**TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)** **Subtotal 1** \$67,300

**ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1**

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

**Compliance History** 24% Enhancement **Subtotals 2, 3, & 7** \$16,152

**Notes** A 24% enhancement is recommended because of a prior same or similar NOV, one Findings Agreed Order and one Notice of Intent.

**Culpability** No 0% Enhancement **Subtotal 4** \$0

**Notes** The respondent does not meet culpability criteria.

**Good Faith Effort to Comply** 0% Reduction **Subtotal 5** \$0

Before NOV      NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer

Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with a small x)

**Notes** The respondent does not meet good faith criteria.

**Economic Benefit** 0% Enhancement\* **Subtotal 6** \$0

Total EB Amounts	\$1,265	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$8,000	

**SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** **Final Subtotal** \$83,452

**OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE** 50% Reduction **Adjustment** -\$41,726

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

**Notes** Recommend a 50% reduction due to the small amount of contaminants released as a result of the violation described in PCW violation 2.

**Final Penalty Amount** \$41,726

**STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT** **Final Assessed Penalty** \$41,726

**DEFERRAL** 20% Reduction **Adjustment** -\$8,345

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

**Notes** Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

**PAYABLE PENALTY** \$33,381

Screening Date 12-Sep-2006

Docket No. 2006-1632-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Benedum Gas Partners, L.P. and Upton Gas GP, Inc.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 31170

PCW Revision April 25, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100211846

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Harvey Willson

**Compliance History Worksheet**

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action ( <i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i> )	1	5%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability ( <i>number of orders meeting criteria</i> )	1	20%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government ( <i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i> )	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government ( <i>number of counts</i> )	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 ( <i>number of audits for which notices were</i>	1	-1%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 ( <i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i> )	0	0%
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 24%**

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%**

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%**

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

A 24% enhancement is recommended because of a prior same or similar NOV, one Findings Agreed Order and one Notice of Intent.

**Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 24%**

revised PCW 12-12-06.wb3

Screening Date 12-Sep-2006

Docket No. 2006-1632-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Benedum Gas Partners, L.P. and Upton Gas GP, Inc.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 31170

PCW Revision April 25, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100211846

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Harvey Wilson

Violation Number 1

Primary Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.201(a), (b), and (c)

Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description The respondent failed to timely complete and/or submit accurate initial and/or final reports for 23 emissions events that occurred between February 4, 2005 and July 11, 2005. (see table A)

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Harm

Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
Actual				
Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
			X	1%

Matrix Notes Less than 30% of the rule requirements were not met.

Adjustment -\$9,900

Base Penalty Subtotal \$100

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 23 Number of violation days 23

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
single event	X	

Violation Base Penalty \$2,300

Twenty-three single events are recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$156

Violation Final Penalty Total \$1,426

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$1,426

revised PCW 12/12/06 wh3

### Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Benedum Gas Partners, L.P. and Upton Gas GP, Inc.  
 Case ID No. 31170  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100211846  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	04-Feb-2005	30-Apr-2007	2.2	\$7	\$149	\$156
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/DIsposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: The estimated cost to prepare complete and accurate, initial and final emissions events reports, and to timely submit them to the TCEQ. Date Required is the date of the first violation. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$1,000**

**TOTAL \$156**

revised PCW 12-12-06.wb3

Screening Date 12-Sep-2006

Docket No. 2006-1632-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Benedum Gas Partners, L.P. and Upton Gas GP, Inc.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 31170

PCW Revision April 25, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100211846

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Harvey Wilson

Violation Number

Primary Rule Cite(s)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Violation Description

Base Penalty

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

Matrix Notes

Adjustment

Base Penalty Subtotal

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

Number of violation days

mark only one use a small x	daily	<input type="text"/>
	monthly	<input type="text"/>
	quarterly	<input type="text"/>
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>
	annual	<input type="text"/>
	single event	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Violation Base Penalty

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount

Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

revised PCW 12-12-06.wb3

### Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Benedum Gas Partners, L.P. and Upton Gas GP, Inc.  
**Case ID No.** 31170  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN100211846  
**Media [Statute]** Air Quality  
**Violation No.** 2

<b>Percent Interest</b>	<b>Years of Depreciation</b>
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$5,000	04-Feb-2005	30-May-2007	2.3	\$39	\$772	\$810
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Romodlation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: The estimated cost of providing the equipment necessary to prevent unauthorized emissions from entering the atmosphere. Date Required is the date of the first violation. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

<b>Avoided Costs</b>		ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering Item (except for one-time avoided costs)					
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$5,000** **TOTAL \$810**

revised PCW 12-12-06.wb3

Screening Date 12-Sep-2006

Docket No. 2006-1632-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Benedum Gas Partners, L.P. and Upton Gas GP, Inc.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 31170

PCW Revision April 25, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100211846

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Harvey Wilson

Violation Number 3

Primary Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 116.110(a)(4)

Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

The respondent failed to prevent unauthorized emissions from entering the atmosphere. Specifically, the respondent failed to prevent an emissions event that lasted from March 24, 2005 until April 5, 2005 which released 11,026 pounds of VOCs, 16,170 pounds of carbon monoxide, 2,025 pounds of nitrogen dioxide, 6,075 pounds of nitrogen oxide, and 30 pounds of sulfur dioxide. Since this emissions event was avoidable, as defined by 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222, and affirmative defense may not be claimed for the emissions.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Harm

Release	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual		X	
Potential			

Percent 50%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment has been exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors.

Adjustment -\$5,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$5,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

12 Number of violation days

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$5,000

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$153

Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,100

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$3,100

revised PCW 12-12-06 w/b3

### Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Benedum Gas Partners, L.P. and Upton Gas GP, Inc.  
**Case ID No.** 31170  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN100211846  
**Media [Statute]** Air Quality  
**Violation No.** 3

<b>Percent Interest</b>	<b>Years of Depreciation</b>
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	EB	
						Onetime Costs	Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	24-Mar-2005	30-May-2007	2.2	\$7	\$146	\$153
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: The estimated cost of providing the equipment necessary to prevent unauthorized emissions from entering the atmosphere. Date Required is the date the violation occurred. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)							
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$1,000** **TOTAL \$153**

revised PCW 12-12-06.wb3

Screening Date 12-Sep-2006

Docket No. 2006-1632-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Benedum Gas Partners, L.P. and Upton Gas GP, Inc.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 31170

PCW Revision April 25, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100211846

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Harvey Wilson

Violation Number 4

Primary Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 116.110(a)(4)

Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description The respondent failed to prevent unauthorized emissions from entering the atmosphere. Specifically, the respondent failed to prevent 3 emissions events that occurred May 1 - May 4, 2005, May 25 - 26, 2005, and July 10 - 11, 2005. During the May 1 - May 4, 2005 emissions event 2,057 pounds of VOCs, 3,037 pounds of carbon monoxide, 318 pounds of nitrogen dioxide, 1,141 pounds of nitrogen oxide, and 5 pounds of sulfur dioxide were released. During the May 25 - 26, 2005 emissions event 7,672 pounds of VOCs, 13,405 pounds of carbon monoxide, 1,679 pounds of nitrogen dioxide, 5,036 pounds of nitrogen oxide, and 125 pounds of sulfur dioxide were released. During the July 10 - 11, 2005 event 2,453 pounds of VOCs, 3,584 pounds of carbon monoxide, 450 pounds of nitrogen dioxide, 1,350 pounds of nitrogen oxide, and 8 pounds of sulfur dioxide were released. Since these emissions events were avoidable, as defined by 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222, an affirmative defense may not be claimed for the emissions.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			X
Potential			

Percent 25%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors.

Adjustment -\$7,500

Base Penalty Subtotal \$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 3

7 Number of violation days

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$7,500

Three single events are recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$146

Violation Final Penalty Total \$4,650

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$4,650

revised PCW 12-12-06 wb3

### Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Benedum Gas Partners, L.P. and Upton Gas GP, Inc.  
 Case ID No. 31170  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100211846  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 4

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost No commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	01-May-2005	30-May-2007	2.1	\$7	\$139	\$146
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: The estimated cost of providing the equipment necessary to prevent unauthorized emissions from entering the atmosphere. Date Required is the date of the first violation. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs		ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)					
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$1,000** TOTAL **\$146**

Benedum Gas Partners, LP and Upton Gas GP, Inc. dba Wilshire Treating Facility  
TCEQ Docket No. 2006-1632-AIR-E Enforcement ID No. 31170  
Corresponds to PCW Violation No. 1  
Summary of Reporting Deficiencies  
Table A

Date of Event	Timely	Complete	Date of Event	Timely	Complete
02/04/2005	NO	NO	05/11/2005	NO	NO
02/20/2005	NO	NO	05/17/2005	YES	NO
02/23/2005	NO	NO	05/19/2005	NO	YES
03/12/2005	NO	YES	05/20/2005	NO	NO
03/24/2005	YES	NO	05/22/2005	NO	YES
03/29/2005	NO	NO	05/24/2005	NO	NO
03/31/2005	YES	NO	05/25/2005	YES	NO
04/25/2005	YES	NO	06/03/2005	NO	NO
04/28/2005	YES	NO	06/15/2005	YES	NO
05/01/2005	NO	NO	07/10/2005	NO	YES
05/03/2005	NO	NO	07/11/2005	NO	YES
05/04/2005	NO	NO			



Benedum Gas Partners, LP and Upton Gas GP, Inc. Dba Wilshire Treating Facility  
TCEQ Docket No. 2006-1632-AIR-E Enforcement ID No. 31170  
Corresponds to PCW Violation No. 2  
Summary of Emission Events  
Table B

Date of Event	Amount of Pollutants Emitted (in total pounds)					Duration	Authorized Limits
	VOCs	CO	NO <sub>2</sub>	NO	SO <sub>2</sub>		
02/04/2005	247	364	46	137	1	5 hours	0.00
02/20/2005	1,165	1708	121	642	3	16 hrs., 30 min.	0.00
03/12/2005	257	375	47	141	1	19 hrs., 30 min.	0.00
03/21-22/2005	2,855	4,184	524	1,572	8	48 hours	0.00
04/09/2005	494	722	90	271	3	8 hours	0.00
04/14/2005	320	468	59	176	2	10 hours	0.00
04/13/2005	1,344	1973	247	741	18	15 hours	0.00
02/23-24/2005	1,441	2,075	260	780	4	48 hours	0.00
04/26/2005	941	1,381	173	519	3	11 hrs., 15 min.	0.00
04/28-29/2005	638	1,319	165	495	2	31 hrs., 6 min.	0.00
05/11/2005	359	529	66	199	1	1 hr., 15 min.	0.00
05/17-18/2005	1,424	2,078	261	784	4	48 hours	0.00
05/19/2005	1,013	1,619	203	608	3	23 hrs., 30 min.	0.00
05/22/2005	240	352	44	132	1	8 hrs., 30 min.	0.00
05/24/2005	225	330	41	124	1	16 hrs., 20 min.	0.00
06/03/2005	2,419	3,611	452	1,357	34	18 hours	0.00
06/15/2005	361	771	96	289	1	3 hrs., 30 min.	0.00
04/25/2005	907	1,330	167	500	2	16 hrs., 45 min.	0.00
09/25/2005	282	414	52	155	1	5 hrs., 45 min.	0.00
12/05/2005	331	480	60	180	1	4 hours	0.00
12/08/2005	241	361	45	136	1	5 hours	0.00

**Key:** VOC-butane, hexane, isobutane, pentane, propane; CO-carbon monoxide; NO<sub>2</sub>-nitrogen dioxide;  
NO-nitrogen oxide; SO<sub>2</sub>-sulfur dioxide



# Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN603076787 Benedum Gas Partners, L.P. Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 38.56  
 Regulated Entity: RN100211846 WILSHIRE TREATING Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 17.13

ID Number(s):	AIR OPERATING PERMITS	ACCOUNT NUMBER	UB0002K
	AIR OPERATING PERMITS	PERMIT	945
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	17659
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	18217
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	47229
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	ACCOUNT NUMBER	UB0002K
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	REGISTRATION	51524
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	REGISTRATION	51525
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	AFS NUM	0003

Location: 1000 COUNTY ROAD 305, UPTON COUNTY, TX Rating Date: September 01 06  
 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region: REGION 07 - MIDLAND  
 Date Compliance History Prepared: October 18, 2006  
 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance Enforcement  
 Compliance Period: October 17, 2001 to October 17, 2006

**TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History**

Name: Harvey Wilson Phone: 239-0321

### Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? Yes
3. If Yes, who is the current owner? Upton Gas GP, Inc.  
Benedum Gas Partners, LP
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? Enogex Products Corporation
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?  
01/23/2003  
05/05/2005

**Components (Multimedia) for the Site :**

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.
- Effective Date: 08/02/2002 ADMINORDER 2001-1205-AIR-E  
 Classification: Moderate
- Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.146(2)  
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)
- Description: Failure to submit a Title V Compliance Certification (period from 1/15/98 to 1/14/99) for the Wilshire Treating Facility in a timely manner.  
 Classification: Moderate
- Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.145(2)(B)  
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)
- Description: Failure to submit required deviation reports (periods: 1/15/99 to 7/14/99, 7/15/99 to 1/14/00, 1/15/00 to 7/14/00, 7/15/00 to 1/14/01, and 1/15/01 to 07/14/01) for the Wilshire Treating Facility  
 Classification: Moderate
- Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.146(5)(D)  
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)
- Description: Failure to identify and include all deviations in Title V Compliance Certifications (periods from 1/15/99 to 1/14/00 and 1/15/00 to 1/14/01) for the Wilshire Treating Facility.

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

- 1 07/11/2002 (111430)
- 2 04/22/2003 (31251)
- 3 10/16/2003 (252153)
- 4 06/14/2006 (380311)
- 5 09/30/2002 (286308)
- 6 07/28/2006 (488603)
- 7 10/16/2003 (252233)
- 8 09/25/2006 (513689)
- 9 06/30/2004 (264208)
- 10 05/02/2005 (347230)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 07/10/2002 (111430)

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.6(a)(1)(A)

Description: LATE UPSET NOTIFICATIO

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.6(a)(1)(A)

Description: INADEQUATE CAUSES/UPSE

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.6(a)(1)(A)

Description: INADEQUATE PROCESS/EQU

F. Environmental audits.

Notice of Intent Date: 03/03/2006 (459599)

No DOV Associated

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

# Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN603076761 Upton Gas GP, Inc. Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 38.56  
 Regulated Entity: RN100211846 WILSHIRE TREATING FACILITY Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 17.13

ID Number(s):	AIR OPERATING PERMITS	ACCOUNT NUMBER	UB0002K
	AIR OPERATING PERMITS	PERMIT	945
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	17659
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	18217
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	47229
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	ACCOUNT NUMBER	UB0002K
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	REGISTRATION	51524
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	REGISTRATION	51525
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	AFS NUM	0003

Location: 1000 COUNTY ROAD 305, UPTON COUNTY, TX Rating Date: September 01 06  
 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region: REGION 07 - MIDLAND

Date Compliance History Prepared: October 18, 2006

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance Enforcement

Compliance Period: October 17, 2001 to October 17, 2006

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Harvey Wilson Phone: 239-0321

### Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance Yes
3. If Yes, who is the current owner? Upton Gas GP, Inc  
Benedum Gas Partners, LP
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? Enogex Products Corporation
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?  
01/23/2003  
05/05/2005

### Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 08/02/2002 ADMINORDER 2001-1205-AIR-E  
 Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.146(2)  
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to submit a Title V Compliance Certification (period from 1/15/98 to 1/14/99) for the Wilshire Treating Facility in a timely manner.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.145(2)(B)  
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to submit required deviation reports (periods: 1/15/99 to 7/14/99, 7/15/99 to 1/14/00, 1/15/00 to 7/14/00, 7/15/00 to 1/14/01, and 1/15/01 to 07/14/01) for the Wilshire Treating Facility.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.146(5)(D)  
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to identify and include all deviations in Title V Compliance Certifications (periods from 1/15/99 to 1/14/00 and 1/15/00 to 1/14/01 for the Wilshire Treating Facility.

**B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.**

N/A

**C. Chronic excessive emissions events.**

N/A

**D. The approval dates of investigations. (CEEDS Inv. Track. No.)**

- 1 07/11/2002 (111430)
- 2 04/22/2003 (31251)
- 3 10/16/2003 (252153)
- 4 06/14/2006 (380311)
- 5 09/30/2002 (286308)
- 6 07/28/2006 (488603)
- 7 10/16/2003 (252233)
- 8 09/25/2006 (513689)
- 9 06/30/2004 (264208)
- 10 05/02/2005 (347230)

**E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CEEDS Inv. Track. No.)**

Date: 07/10/2002 (111430)

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.6(a)(1)(A)

Description: LATE UPSET NOTIFICATIO

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.6(a)(1)(A)

Description: INADEQUATE CAUSES/UPSE

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.6(a)(1)(A)

Description: INADEQUATE PROCESS/EQU

**F. Environmental audits.**

Notice of Intent Date: 03/03/2006 (459599)

No DOV Associated

**G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).**

N/A

**H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.**

N/A

**I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.**

N/A

**J. Early compliance.**

N/A

**Sites Outside of Texas**

N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN  
ENFORCEMENT ACTION  
CONCERNING  
BENEDUM GAS PARTNERS, LP  
AND UPTON GAS GP, INC. DBA  
WILSHIRE TREATING FACILITY  
RN100211846**

**§ BEFORE THE  
§  
§  
§ TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
§  
§  
§ ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

## **AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2006-1632-AIR-E**

### **I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS**

At its \_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Benedum Gas Partners, LP and Upton Gas GP, Inc. dba Wilshire Treating Facility ("Benedum and Upton") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Benedum and Upton, represented by David Tuckfield of the Law Office of David J. Tuckfield, P.C., appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. Benedum and Upton own and operate six compressor stations at 1000 County Road 305, in Upton County, Texas (the "Stations").
2. The Stations consist of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
3. The Commission and Benedum and Upton agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Benedum and Upton are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. Benedum and Upton received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 18, 2006.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Benedum and Upton of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Forty-One Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Six Dollars (\$41,726) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Benedum and Upton have paid Thirty-Three Thousand Three Hundred Eighty-One Dollars (\$33,381) of the administrative penalty and Eight Thousand Three Hundred Forty-Five Dollars (\$8,345) is deferred contingent upon Benedum and Upton's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Benedum and Upton fail to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Benedum and Upton to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.



7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Benedum and Upton have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Benedum and Upton have not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

## II. ALLEGATIONS

As owners and operators of the Stations, Benedum and Upton are alleged to have:

1. Failed to timely complete and submit accurate initial and/or final reports for 23 emission events that occurred between February 4, 2005 and July 11, 2005, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 101.201(a), (b), and (c) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during a record review that began on May 5, 2005 (see Table A below).

**Table A**

Date of Event	Timely	Complete	Date of Event	Timely	Complete
02/04/2005	NO	NO	05/11/2005	NO	NO
02/20/2005	NO	NO	05/17/2005	YES	NO
02/23/2005	NO	NO	05/19/2005	NO	YES
03/12/2005	NO	YES	05/20/2005	NO	NO
03/24/2005	YES	NO	05/22/2005	NO	YES
03/29/2005	NO	NO	05/24/2005	NO	NO
03/31/2005	YES	NO	05/25/2005	YES	NO
04/25/2005	YES	NO	06/03/2005	NO	NO
04/28/2005	YES	NO	06/15/2005	YES	NO
05/01/2005	NO	NO	07/10/2005	NO	YES
05/03/2005	NO	NO	07/11/2005	NO	YES
05/04/2005	NO	NO			

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is crucial for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to support effective decision-making.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of technology in data management and analysis. It discusses how modern software solutions can streamline data collection, storage, and reporting, thereby improving efficiency and accuracy.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data management, such as data quality, security, and integration. It provides strategies to overcome these challenges and ensure the integrity and reliability of the data.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the importance of data governance and compliance. It outlines the necessary policies and procedures to ensure that data is managed in accordance with relevant laws and regulations.

6. The sixth part of the document explores the future of data management and analysis. It discusses emerging trends and technologies that will shape the way organizations handle their data in the coming years.

7. The seventh part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and recommendations. It emphasizes the need for a holistic approach to data management that encompasses all aspects of the organization's operations.

8. The eighth part of the document concludes with a call to action, urging organizations to take immediate steps to improve their data management practices and ensure long-term success.

Section	Key Points
1. Introduction	Importance of accurate records and transparency.
2. Data Collection Methods	Consistent and reliable data collection processes.
3. Technology in Data Management	Streamlining data collection, storage, and reporting.
4. Challenges in Data Management	Data quality, security, and integration.
5. Data Governance and Compliance	Ensuring data management aligns with laws and regulations.
6. Future of Data Management	Emerging trends and technologies.
7. Summary and Recommendations	Need for a holistic approach to data management.
8. Conclusion	Call to action for improved data management practices.

2. Failed to prevent 21 unauthorized emissions from entering the atmosphere that occurred between February 4, 2005 and December 8, 2005, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.110(a)(4) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during a record review that began on May 5, 2005 (see Table B below).
3. Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions from entering the atmosphere that occurred between March 24, 2005 until April 5, 2005, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.110(a)(4) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during a record review that began on May 5, 2005 (see Table B below).
4. Failed to prevent 3 unauthorized emissions from entering the atmosphere from May 1, 2005 until May 4, 2006, from May 25, 2005 until May 26, 2005, and from July 10, 2005 until July 11, 2005, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.110(a)(4) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during a record review that began on May 5, 2005 (see Table B below).

**Table B**

Date of Event	Amount of Pollutants Emitted (in total pounds)					Duration	Authorized Limits
	VOCs	CO	NO <sub>2</sub>	NO	SO <sub>2</sub>		
<b>PCW-VIOLATION 2</b>							
02/04/2005	247	364	46	137	1	5 hours	0.00
02/20/2005	1,165	1708	121	642	3	16 hrs., 30 min.	0.00
03/12/2005	257	375	47	141	1	19 hrs., 30 min.	0.00
03/21-22/2005	2,855	4,184	524	1,572	8	48 hours	0.00
04/09/2005	494	722	90	271	3	8 hours	0.00
04/14/2005	320	468	59	176	2	10 hours	0.00
04/13/2005	1,344	1973	247	741	18	15 hours	0.00
02/23-24/2005	1,441	2,075	260	780	4	48 hours	0.00
04/26/2005	941	1,381	173	519	3	11 hrs., 15 min.	0.00
04/28-29/2005	638	1,319	165	495	2	31 hrs., 6 min.	0.00
05/11/2005	359	529	66	199	1	1 hr., 15 min.	0.00
05/17-18/2005	1,424	2,078	261	784	4	48 hours	0.00
05/19/2005	1,013	1,619	203	608	3	23 hrs., 30 min.	0.00
05/22/2005	240	352	44	132	1	8 hrs., 30 min.	0.00
05/24/2005	225	330	41	124	1	16 hrs., 20 min.	0.00
06/03/2005	2,419	3,611	452	1,357	34	18 hours	0.00
06/15/2005	361	771	96	289	1	3 hrs., 30 min.	0.00

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and techniques used to collect and analyze data. It includes a detailed description of the experimental procedures and the statistical tools employed.

3. The third part of the document presents the results of the study, including a comparison of the different methods and a discussion of the implications of the findings. It also includes a section on the limitations of the study and suggestions for future research.

4. The fourth part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and conclusions. It highlights the main points of the study and offers a final perspective on the overall results.

Year	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total
2018	120	150	180	200	650
2019	130	160	190	210	690
2020	140	170	200	220	730
2021	150	180	210	230	770
2022	160	190	220	240	810
2023	170	200	230	250	850
2024	180	210	240	260	890
2025	190	220	250	270	930
2026	200	230	260	280	970
2027	210	240	270	290	1010
2028	220	250	280	300	1050
2029	230	260	290	310	1090
2030	240	270	300	320	1130

Date of Event	Amount of Pollutants Emitted (in total pounds)					Duration	Authorized Limits
04/25/2005	907	1,330	167	500	2	16 hrs., 45 min.	0.00
09/25/2005	282	414	52	155	1	5 hrs., 45 min.	0.00
12/05/2005	331	480	60	180	1	4 hours	0.00
12/08/2005	241	361	45	136	1	5 hours	0.00
<b>PCW - VIOLATION 3</b>							
03/24-04/05/2005	11,026	16,170	2,025	6,075	30	284 hrs., 45 min.	0.00
<b>PCW - VIOLATION 4</b>							
05/01-04/2005	2,057	3,037	318	1,141	5	62 hrs., 15 min.	0.00
05/25-26/2005	7,672	13,405	1,679	5,036	125	36 hours	0.00
07/10-11/2005	2,453	3,584	450	1,350	8	28 hrs., 30 min.	0.00

**Key:** VOC-butane, hexane, isobutane, pentane, propane; CO-carbon monoxide; NO2-nitrogen dioxide; NO-nitrogen oxide; SO2-sulfur dioxide

### III. DENIALS

Benedum and Upton generally deny each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Benedum and Upton pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Benedum and Upton's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Benedum Gas Partners, LP and Upton Gas GP, Inc. dba Wilshire Treating Stations, Docket No. 2006-1632-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section  
 Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214  
 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
 P.O. Box 13088  
 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. Benedum Gas Partners, LP and Upton Gas GP, Inc. are jointly and severally liable for the violations documented in this Agreed Order, and are jointly and severally liable for timely and satisfactory compliance with all terms and conditions of this Agreed Order and are jointly liable for the requirements of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 334.7 and 334.22.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the integrity of the financial system and for the ability to detect and prevent fraud.

2. The second part of the document outlines the specific requirements for record-keeping. It states that all transactions must be recorded in a clear and concise manner, and that the records must be maintained for a minimum of five years. It also notes that the records must be accessible and available for review at any time.

3. The third part of the document discusses the consequences of failing to comply with the record-keeping requirements. It states that individuals or organizations that fail to maintain accurate records may be subject to penalties, including fines and imprisonment. It also notes that failure to comply may result in the loss of the right to participate in certain financial activities.

4. The fourth part of the document provides guidance on how to ensure compliance with the record-keeping requirements. It suggests that individuals and organizations should establish a system of record-keeping that is designed to meet the requirements of the law. It also notes that it is important to regularly review and update the system to ensure that it remains effective.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the role of the regulatory authorities in enforcing the record-keeping requirements. It states that the regulatory authorities have the responsibility to monitor and enforce compliance with the law, and that they may take action against individuals or organizations that fail to comply.

6. The sixth part of the document provides a summary of the key points discussed in the document. It reiterates the importance of maintaining accurate records and the consequences of failing to do so. It also notes that the regulatory authorities are committed to ensuring that the financial system remains transparent and trustworthy.

3. It is further ordered that Benedum and Upton shall undertake the following technical requirements:
- a. Immediately after the effective date of this Agreed Order develop and implement measures to improve reporting procedures;
  - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, develop and implement measures to improve design operations and or maintenance practices to prevent the recurrence of events similar to the events that occurred between February 4, 2005 and December 8, 2005; and
  - c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions No. 3.a. and 3.b.

The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Submit certification required by these Ordering Provisions to:

Order Compliance Team  
Enforcement Division, MC 149A  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Air Section Manager  
Midland Regional Office  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
3300 North A Street, Suite 107  
Midland, Texas 79705-1892

4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Benedum and Upton. Benedum and Upton are ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Stations operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
5. If Benedum and Upton fail to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Benedum and Upton's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Benedum and Upton shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and techniques used to collect and analyze data. It includes a detailed description of the experimental procedures and the tools used for data collection.

3. The third part of the document presents the results of the study, including a comparison of the different methods and techniques used. It discusses the strengths and weaknesses of each method and provides a summary of the findings.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of the study and provides recommendations for future research. It highlights the need for further investigation into the effectiveness of the different methods and techniques used.

5. The fifth part of the document provides a conclusion and a summary of the key findings. It reiterates the importance of maintaining accurate records and the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

6. The sixth part of the document provides a detailed description of the experimental procedures and the tools used for data collection. It includes a list of the equipment and materials used and a description of the experimental setup.

7. The seventh part of the document presents the results of the study, including a comparison of the different methods and techniques used. It discusses the strengths and weaknesses of each method and provides a summary of the findings.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the implications of the study and provides recommendations for future research. It highlights the need for further investigation into the effectiveness of the different methods and techniques used.

9. The ninth part of the document provides a conclusion and a summary of the key findings. It reiterates the importance of maintaining accurate records and the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

10. The tenth part of the document provides a detailed description of the experimental procedures and the tools used for data collection. It includes a list of the equipment and materials used and a description of the experimental setup.

11. The eleventh part of the document presents the results of the study, including a comparison of the different methods and techniques used. It discusses the strengths and weaknesses of each method and provides a summary of the findings.

12. The twelfth part of the document discusses the implications of the study and provides recommendations for future research. It highlights the need for further investigation into the effectiveness of the different methods and techniques used.

such an event has occurred. Benedum and Upton shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Benedum and Upton become aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Benedum and Upton shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Benedum and Upton receive written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Benedum and Upton in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
8. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
9. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Benedum and Upton, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Benedum and Upton, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to support effective decision-making.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of technology in data management and analysis. It discusses how modern software solutions can streamline data collection, storage, and reporting, thereby improving efficiency and accuracy.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data management, such as data quality, security, and integration. It provides strategies to overcome these challenges and ensure the integrity and availability of data.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the importance of data governance and compliance. It outlines the necessary policies and procedures to ensure that data is handled in accordance with relevant laws and regulations.

6. The sixth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key points and emphasizing the overall importance of data management in achieving organizational success. It encourages a data-driven culture where information is used to inform and improve all aspects of the organization's performance.

\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Commission

\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Executive Director



\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

5/2/07

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature



\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

1/9/07

MICHAEL K. DAVIS

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of Benedum Gas Partners, LP  
dba Wilshire Treating Facility

VICE PRESIDENT

Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature



\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

1/9/07

MICHAEL K. DAVIS

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of Upton Gas GP, Inc.  
dba Wilshire Treating Facility

VICE PRESIDENT

Title

**Instructions:** Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Handwritten scribble

Handwritten scribble

Faint, illegible text

Large block of faint, illegible text

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Faint, illegible text

Faint, illegible text