

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER**  
**DOCKET NO.:** 2006-1956-MWD-E    **TCEQ ID:** RN101521557    **CASE NO.:** 31666  
**RESPONDENT NAME:** Chapel Hill Independent School District

**ORDER TYPE:**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	

**CASE TYPE:**

<input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE	<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL
<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL FILTER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY		

**SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED:** Chapel Hill Independent School District, approximately 1300 feet east of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 1735 and County Road SE-18, Titus County

**TYPE OF OPERATION:** Domestic wastewater system

**SMALL BUSINESS:**     Yes     No

**OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS:** There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.

**INTERESTED PARTIES:** No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.

**COMMENTS RECEIVED:** The *Texas Register* comment period expired on March 26, 2007. No comments were received.

**CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:**

**TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator:** Ms. Melissa Keller, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1768

**TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:** Mr. Cari-Michel La Caille, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Section II, MC 219, (512) 239-1387; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896

**Central Office Investigator:** Ms. Rosie Garza, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Section V, (512) 239-4574

**Respondent:** Mr. Marc Levesque, Superintendent, Chapel Hill Independent School District, P.O. Box 1257, Mount Pleasant, Texas 75456-1257

**Respondent's Attorney:** Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter

**VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:**

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p><b>Type of Investigation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> Routine  <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p><b>Date of Complaint Relating to this Case:</b> None</p> <p><b>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case:</b> October 5, 2006</p> <p><b>Date of NOE Relating to this Case:</b> October 25, 2006 (NOE)</p> <p><b>Background Facts:</b> This was a routine record review. Three violations were documented.</p> <p><b>WATER</b></p> <p>1) Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), and Texas Pollution Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. 13821001, Interim Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1 for Outfall 001A].</p> <p>2) Failed to submit the Discharge Monitoring Report ("DMR") for the monitoring period ending October 31, 2005 and the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2005 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and (17), and TPDES Permit No. 13821001, Interim Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1 for Outfall 001A, Sludge Provisions].</p> <p>3) Failed to submit the Flow Daily Maximum data on the DMR for the monitoring periods ending November 30, 2005 and January 31, 2006 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and TPDES Permit No. 13821001, Interim Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1 for Outfall 001A].</p>	<p><b>Total Assessed:</b> \$9,240</p> <p><b>Total Deferred:</b> \$1,848  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement  <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p><b>SEP Conditional Offset:</b> \$7,392</p> <p><b>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue:</b> \$0</p> <p><b>Site Compliance History Classification:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Person Compliance History Classification:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Major Source:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>Applicable Penalty Policy:</b> September 2002</p>	<p><b>Corrective Actions Taken:</b></p> <p>1) The Executive Director recognizes that Chapel Hill submitted the following documentation to the TCEQ on November 15, 2006:</p> <p>a. The DMR for the monitoring period ending October 31, 2005;</p> <p>b. The annual sludge report for the monitoring period of July 31, 2005; and</p> <p>c. The Flow Daily Maximum data on the DMR for the monitoring periods ending November 30, 2005 and January 31, 2006.</p> <p><b>Ordering Provisions:</b></p> <p>2) The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). (See SEP Attachment A)</p> <p>3) The Order will also require the Respondent to, Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. 13821001. The certification shall include detailed supporting documentation including receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance.</p>

Attachment A  
Docket Number: 2006-1956-MWD-E

**SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT**

<b>Respondent:</b>	<b>Chapel Hill Independent School District</b>
<b>Payable Penalty Amount:</b>	<b>Seven Thousand Three Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$7,392)</b>
<b>SEP Amount:</b>	<b>Seven Thousand Three Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$7,392)</b>
<b>Type of SEP:</b>	<b>Pre-approved</b>
<b>Third-Party Recipient:</b>	<b>Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&amp;D")- Wastewater Treatment Assistance</b>
<b>Location of SEP:</b>	<b>Titus County</b>

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

**1. Project Description**

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to provide low income rural homeowners with assistance to enable the repair or replacement of their failing on-site wastewater systems. SEP monies will be used to pay for the labor and materials costs related to repairing or replacing the failing systems. The recipients will not be charged for the cost of replacing or repairing the failing systems.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by protecting water sources for drinking, recreation, and wildlife from contamination from failing treatment systems

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.



**2. Performance Schedule**

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.  
1716 Briarcrest Drive, Suite 510  
Bryan, Texas 77802-2700

**3. Records and Reporting**

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division  
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

**4. Failure to Fully Perform**

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Financial Administration Division, Revenues  
Attention: Cashier, MC 214  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

**5. Publicity**

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is crucial for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to support effective decision-making.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of technology in data management and analysis. It discusses how modern software solutions can streamline data collection, storage, and reporting, thereby improving efficiency and accuracy.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data management, such as data quality, security, and integration. It provides strategies to overcome these challenges and ensure that the data remains reliable and accessible.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the importance of data governance and the role of various stakeholders in ensuring that data is used ethically and in compliance with relevant regulations.

6. The sixth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key points and emphasizing the ongoing nature of data management. It stresses that continuous monitoring and improvement are essential for maintaining the effectiveness of the data management system.

7. The seventh part of the document provides a detailed overview of the data management process, from data collection to data analysis and reporting. It includes a flowchart illustrating the sequential steps involved in the process.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the importance of data security and the measures that should be implemented to protect sensitive information from unauthorized access and breaches.

9. The ninth part of the document addresses the issue of data integration and the challenges of combining data from different sources to provide a comprehensive view of the organization's performance.

10. The tenth part of the document discusses the role of data in strategic planning and how it can be used to identify trends, opportunities, and risks that inform the organization's long-term goals.

11. The eleventh part of the document provides a final summary and reiterates the importance of a robust data management strategy for the success of the organization in a data-driven world.

**6. Clean Texas Program**

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

**7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies**

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the integrity of the financial system and for the ability to detect and prevent fraud.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the document highlights the need for a strong internal control system. This system should be designed to minimize the risk of error and to ensure that all transactions are properly recorded and reported. The document also notes that regular audits are necessary to ensure the effectiveness of these controls.



Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

# Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

<b>DATES</b>	Assigned	30-Oct-2006	Screening	08-Nov-2006	EPA Due	
	PCW	10-Nov-2006				

<b>RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION</b>	
Respondent	Chapel Hill Independent School District
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN101521557
Facility/Site Region	5-Tyler
Major/Minor Source	Minor Source

<b>CASE INFORMATION</b>			
Enf./Case ID No.	31666	No. of Violations	3
Docket No.	2006-1956-MWD-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Water Quality	Enf. Coordinator	Cari-Michel La Caille
Multi-Media		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 4
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

## Penalty Calculation Section

**TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)** Subtotal 1 \$4,200

### ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

**Compliance History** 120% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$5,040

Notes: An enhancement is recommended for having 24 self reported effluent violations within the last five years.

**Culpability** No 0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0

Notes: The respondent does not meet culpability criteria.

**Good Faith Effort to Comply** 0% Reduction Subtotal 5 \$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with a small x)

Notes: The respondent does not meet good faith criteria.

**Economic Benefit** 0% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6 \$0

Total EB Amounts	\$152	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$1,950	

**SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** Final Subtotal \$9,240

**OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE** Adjustment \$0

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes:

**Final Penalty Amount** \$9,240

**STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT** Final Assessed Penalty \$9,240

**DEFERRAL** 20% Reduction Adjustment -\$1,848

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

**PAYABLE PENALTY** \$7,392

**Screening Date** 08-Nov-2006 **Docket No.** 2006-1956-MWD-E**PCW****Respondent** Chapel Hill Independent School District

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

**Case ID No.** 31666

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN101521557**Media [Statute]** Water Quality**Enf. Coordinator** Carl-Michel La Caille**Compliance History Worksheet**>> **Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)**

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action ( <i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i> )	24	120%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability ( <i>number of orders meeting criteria</i> )	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government ( <i>number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria</i> )	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government ( <i>number of counts</i> )	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 ( <i>number of audits for which notices were</i>	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 ( <i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i> )	0	0%
Other	<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>		
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2)** 120%>> **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)**

No

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)** 0%>> **Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)**

Average Performer

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)** 0%>> **Compliance History Summary****Compliance History Notes**

An enhancement is recommended for having 24 self reported effluent violations within the last five years.

**Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)** 120%

**Screening Date** 08-Nov-2006 **Docket No.** 2006-1956-MWD-E **PCW**  
**Respondent** Chapel Hill Independent School District *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*  
**Case ID No.** 31666 *PCW Revision May 19, 2005*  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN101521557  
**Media [Statute]** Water Quality  
**Enf. Coordinator** Cari-Michel La Caille  
**Violation Number** 1  
**Primary Rule Cite(s)** 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a), TPDES Permit No. 13821001, Interim Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1 for Outfall 001A  
**Secondary Rule Cite(s)**  
**Violation Description** Failure to comply with the permitted effluent limits for Total Suspended Solids ("TSS") for the monitoring periods ending November 30, 2005 and February 28, 2006, as documented during a record review conducted on October 5, 2006. See attached effluent violation table.

**Base Penalty** \$10,000

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

		Harm			
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual			X	Percent 10%
	Potential				

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent

**Matrix Notes** Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

**Adjustment** -\$9,000

**Base Penalty Subtotal** \$1,000

**Violation Events**

**Number of Violation Events** 2

<i>mark only one use a small x</i>	<i>daily</i>	
	<i>monthly</i>	
	<i>quarterly</i>	X
	<i>semiannual</i>	
	<i>annual</i>	
	<i>single event</i>	

**Violation Base Penalty** \$2,000

Two quarterly events are recommended.

**Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation**

**Statutory Limit Test**

**Estimated EB Amount** \$126

**Violation Final Penalty Total** \$4,400

**This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)** \$4,400

### Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Chapel Hill Independent School District  
 Case ID No. 31666  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101521557  
 Media [Statute] Water Quality  
 Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,200	30-Nov-2005	30-May-2007	1.5	\$6	\$120	\$126
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated cost for additional oversight and sampling which could have reduced or alleviated the exceedances. The date required is the initial month of noncompliance and the final date is the expected date of compliance.

Item Description	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering Item (except for one-time avoided costs)	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Avoided Costs</b>					
Disposal		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$1,200** TOTAL **\$126**

**Screening Date** 08-Nov-2006 **Docket No.** 2006-1956-MWD-E **PCW**  
**Respondent** Chapel Hill Independent School District *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*  
**Case ID No.** 31666 *PCW Revision May 19, 2005*  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN101521557  
**Media [Statute]** Water Quality  
**Enf. Coordinator** Cari-Michel La Caille  
**Violation Number** 2

**Primary Rule Cite(s)** 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and (17), TPDES Permit No.13821001, Interim Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1 for Outfall 001A and Sludge Provisions  
**Secondary Rule Cite(s)**  
**Violation Description** Failure to submit the Discharge Monitoring Report ("DMR") for the monitoring period ending October 31, 2005 and failure to submit the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2005, as documented during a record review conducted on October 5, 2006.

**Base Penalty** \$10,000

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

		Harm			
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual				Percent
	Potential				

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
	X			10%

**Matrix Notes** 100% of the rule requirement was not met.

**Adjustment** -\$9,000

**Base Penalty Subtotal** \$1,000

**Violation Events**

**Number of Violation Events** 2

<i>mark only one use a small x</i>	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

**Violation Base Penalty** \$2,000

Two single events are recommended.

**Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation**

**Estimated EB Amount** \$15

**Statutory Limit Test**

**Violation Final Penalty Total** \$4,400

**This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)** \$4,400

### Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Chapel Hill Independent School District  
 Case ID No. 31666  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101521557  
 Media [Statute] Water Quality  
 Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	One-time Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$250	20-Nov-2005	15-Nov-2006	1.0	\$12	n/a	\$12
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$250	01-Sep-2006	15-Nov-2006	0.2	\$3	n/a	\$3

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated costs associated with submitting a DMR and annual sludge report. The November 20, 2005 date required is the date the DMR was due and the final date is the date of compliance. The September 1, 2005 date required is the date the reporting requirement started for the annual sludge report and the final date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs							
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)							
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$500** TOTAL **\$15**

**Screening Date** 08-Nov-2006 **Docket No.** 2006-1956-MWD-E **PCW**  
**Respondent** Chapel Hill Independent School District *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*  
**Case ID No.** 31666 *PCW Revision May 19, 2005*  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN101521557  
**Media [Statute]** Water Quality  
**Enf. Coordinator** Cari-Michel La Caille  
**Violation Number**   
**Primary Rule Cite(s)**   
**Secondary Rule Cite(s)**   
**Violation Description**

**Base Penalty**

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

		Harm			
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Percent <input type="text"/>
	Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Falsification	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>	Percent <input type="text" value="1%"/>

Matrix Notes

**Adjustment**

**Base Penalty Subtotal**

**Violation Events**

Number of Violation Events

<i>mark only one use a small x</i>	daily	<input type="text"/>
	monthly	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>
	quarterly	<input type="text"/>
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>
	annual	<input type="text"/>
	single event	<input type="text"/>

**Violation Base Penalty**

**Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation**

**Statutory Limit Test**

Estimated EB Amount

**Violation Final Penalty Total**

**This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)**

### Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Chapel Hill Independent School District  
 Case ID No. 31666  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101521557  
 Media [Statute] Water Quality  
 Violation No. 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost No commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$250	20-Dec-2005	15-Nov-2006	0.9	\$11	n/a	\$11
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated cost associated with submitting data on a DMR. The date required is the date the reporting requirement started and the final date is the date of compliance.

**Avoided Costs** ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$250**

**TOTAL \$11**

<b>Effluent Limit Violation Table for Violation No. 1</b>	
Respondent	Chapel Hill Independent School District
ID Number(s)	TPDES Permit No. 13821001; Enforcement Case No. 31666
Docket No.	2006-1956-MWD-E

**EFFLUENT PARAMETER  
Permit Limit**

Month/Year	TSS Daily Average (loading)	TSS Daily Average (concentration)	TSS Single Grab
	001A	001A	001A
	2.7 lbs/per day	20 mg/l	65 mg/L
November 2005	4.16	38.6	103
December 2005	c	c	c
January 2006	c	c	c
February 2006	3.8	49.2	118

Term	Abbreviation
milligrams per Liter	mg/L
compliant	c
total suspended solids	TSS

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. This is essential for ensuring the integrity of the financial statements and for providing a clear audit trail. The second part of the document outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze data, including interviews, focus groups, and surveys. The third part of the document describes the results of the study, which show that there is a significant correlation between the use of accurate records and the reliability of the financial statements. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of these findings for practice and for future research.

2. The second part of the document discusses the various methods used to collect and analyze data, including interviews, focus groups, and surveys.

3. The third part of the document describes the results of the study, which show that there is a significant correlation between the use of accurate records and the reliability of the financial statements. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of these findings for practice and for future research.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of these findings for practice and for future research.

# Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN602800351	Chapel Hill Independent School District	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 2.04
Regulated Entity:	RN101521557	CHAPEL HILL ISD	Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 1.17
ID Number(s):	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	WQ0013821001	
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	TPDES0118753	
Location:	Approx. 1,300 feet east of the intersection of FM 1735 and CR SE-18; Titus County			Rating Date: September 01 06 Repeat Violator: NO
TCEQ Region:	REGION 05 - TYLER			
Date Compliance History Prepared:	November 08, 2006			
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement			
Compliance Period:	November 08, 2001 to November 08, 2006			

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Cari-Michel La Caille Phone: 512-239-1387

## Site Compliance History Components

- |  |     |
|--|-----|
| 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? | No  |
| 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period?    | No  |
| 3. If Yes, who is the current owner?   | N/A |
| 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?  | N/A |
| 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?  | N/A |

### Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

- |     |    |            |          |
|-----|----|------------|----------|
| N/A | 1  | 11/25/2002 | (233797) |
|     | 2  | 06/05/2003 | (62004)  |
|     | 3  | 12/13/2001 | (233799) |
|     | 4  | 01/18/2002 | (233802) |
|     | 5  | 01/27/2003 | (233803) |
|     | 6  | 04/19/2004 | (327180) |
|     | 7  | 05/21/2003 | (327181) |
|     | 8  | 04/14/2006 | (506270) |
|     | 9  | 06/20/2003 | (327182) |
|     | 10 | 05/18/2006 | (506271) |
|     | 11 | 06/21/2006 | (506272) |
|     | 12 | 06/18/2004 | (327183) |
|     | 13 | 07/24/2003 | (327184) |
|     | 14 | 08/19/2003 | (327185) |
|     | 15 | 09/18/2003 | (327186) |
|     | 16 | 10/23/2003 | (327187) |
|     | 17 | 11/19/2003 | (327188) |
|     | 18 | 12/19/2003 | (327189) |
|     | 19 | 01/20/2004 | (327190) |
|     | 20 | 02/18/2005 | (389982) |
|     | 21 | 03/21/2005 | (389983) |
|     | 22 | 11/18/2004 | (389984) |
|     | 23 | 12/20/2004 | (389985) |
|     | 24 | 01/17/2005 | (389986) |
|     | 25 | 04/20/2005 | (447525) |



26 05/18/2005 (447526)  
 27 06/23/2005 (447527)  
 28 07/18/2005 (447528)  
 29 08/19/2005 (447529)  
 30 02/13/2002 (233767)  
 31 02/21/2006 (492462)  
 32 03/20/2006 (492463)  
 33 02/21/2003 (233768)  
 34 10/25/2006 (515406)  
 35 10/10/2005 (492464)  
 36 10/09/2005 (492465)  
 37 12/19/2005 (492466)  
 38 03/11/2002 (233770)  
 39 01/19/2006 (492467)  
 40 03/18/2003 (233771)  
 41 04/12/2002 (233775)  
 42 04/22/2003 (233776)  
 43 03/17/2005 (374431)  
 44 05/17/2002 (233779)  
 45 06/21/2002 (233782)  
 46 07/19/2002 (233785)  
 47 12/28/2004 (286629)  
 48 09/06/2002 (233788)  
 49 10/19/2004 (366911)  
 50 05/19/2004 (366912)  
 51 09/20/2002 (233791)  
 52 07/26/2004 (366913)  
 53 08/18/2004 (366914)  
 54 10/19/2004 (366915)  
 55 10/19/2004 (366916)  
 56 02/20/2004 (327178)  
 57 06/06/2006 (481163)  
 58 10/18/2002 (233794)  
 59 03/18/2004 (327179)  
 60 11/15/2001 (233796)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

N/A

Date: 12/31/2001 (233802)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 12/31/2002 (233803)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 03/31/2004 (327180)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 04/30/2003 (327181)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 05/31/2003 (327182)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and techniques used to collect and analyze data, including surveys, interviews, and focus groups.

3. The third part of the document provides a detailed overview of the results obtained from the data collection process. It highlights key findings and trends, as well as any limitations or challenges encountered during the study.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of the findings and offers recommendations for future research and practice. It suggests ways in which the results can be applied to improve organizational performance and decision-making.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes the report and expresses gratitude to the participants and stakeholders who supported the research throughout its duration.

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 05/31/2004 (327183)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 06/30/2003 (327184)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 09/30/2003 (327187)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 11/30/2003 (327189)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 12/31/2003 (327190)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 11/30/2004 (389985)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 12/31/2004 (389986)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 01/31/2002 (233767)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 02/28/2006 (492463)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

---

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 01/31/2003 (233768)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 11/30/2005 (492466)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for transparency and accountability, particularly in the context of public administration and government operations. This section outlines the various methods and tools used to collect, store, and analyze data, ensuring that information is readily accessible and reliable.

2. The second part of the document focuses on the implementation of data management systems. It details the process of selecting appropriate software solutions, configuring databases, and establishing protocols for data entry and maintenance. This section also addresses the challenges of data integration and interoperability, providing strategies to ensure that different systems can work together effectively to support organizational goals.

3. The third part of the document discusses the role of data in decision-making and performance evaluation. It highlights how data-driven insights can be used to identify trends, assess risks, and optimize processes. This section includes examples of how data analysis has been used to improve service delivery and reduce costs, demonstrating the value of data in driving organizational success.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the security and privacy of data. It outlines the measures taken to protect sensitive information from unauthorized access, theft, and loss. This section also discusses the importance of complying with data protection regulations and the role of data governance in ensuring that data is used ethically and responsibly.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the future of data management and the impact of emerging technologies. It explores the potential of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and cloud computing to transform data management practices. This section also addresses the need for ongoing training and development to ensure that staff are equipped with the skills needed to work with advanced data management tools.

6. The sixth part of the document discusses the importance of data literacy and the role of data in building a data-driven culture. It emphasizes that data is not just a tool but a mindset, and that organizations must foster a culture where data is used to inform decisions and drive innovation. This section includes strategies for promoting data literacy and encouraging staff to embrace data as a key asset.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the role of data in public service and the impact of data on society. It highlights how data can be used to improve the lives of citizens and address social challenges. This section includes examples of how data has been used to identify and address social inequalities, improve public services, and promote sustainable development.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the importance of data in the private sector and the role of data in business success. It highlights how data can be used to gain a competitive edge, improve customer experience, and optimize operations. This section includes examples of how data has been used by private companies to drive growth and innovation.

9. The ninth part of the document discusses the role of data in research and the impact of data on scientific discovery. It highlights how data can be used to test hypotheses, identify patterns, and make new discoveries. This section includes examples of how data has been used in various fields of research, from medicine to environmental science.

10. The tenth part of the document discusses the importance of data in the digital age and the role of data in shaping the future. It highlights how data is becoming an increasingly important part of our lives and how it is shaping the way we work, live, and play. This section includes examples of how data is being used to create new products, services, and experiences.

Date: 02/28/2002 (233770) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 03/31/2002 (233775) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 04/30/2002 (233779) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 05/31/2002 (233782) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 07/31/2002 (233788) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 08/31/2002 (233791) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 09/30/2004 (366916) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 02/29/2004 (327179) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

- F. Environmental audits.  
N/A
  - G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).  
N/A
  - H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.  
N/A
  - I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.  
N/A
  - J. Early compliance.  
N/A
- Sites Outside of Texas  
N/A

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in financial operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and techniques used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to ensure the validity of the results.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the analysis and interpretation of the collected data. It discusses the various statistical and analytical tools used to identify trends, patterns, and correlations within the data.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications and conclusions drawn from the analysis. It highlights the key findings and their potential impact on the organization's operations and decision-making processes.

5. The fifth part of the document provides a summary of the overall findings and recommendations. It emphasizes the need for continuous monitoring and evaluation to ensure the effectiveness of the implemented measures.

6. The sixth part of the document discusses the challenges and limitations encountered during the study. It highlights the need for further research and development to address these challenges and improve the overall quality of the data and analysis.

7. The seventh part of the document provides a conclusion and final thoughts on the study. It emphasizes the importance of maintaining high standards of accuracy and reliability in all financial and operational activities.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the future directions and potential areas for further research. It highlights the need for ongoing collaboration and communication between all stakeholders involved in the process.

9. The ninth part of the document provides a list of references and sources used in the study. It includes a variety of academic journals, books, and online resources related to the field of finance and operations.

10. The tenth part of the document provides a list of appendices and supplementary materials. These materials include detailed data tables, charts, and graphs that provide further insight into the study's findings.

11. The eleventh part of the document discusses the overall impact and significance of the study. It highlights the potential for the findings to inform and improve financial and operational practices across various industries and organizations.

12. The twelfth part of the document provides a final summary and key takeaways. It emphasizes the importance of maintaining a strong focus on accuracy, transparency, and accountability in all financial and operational activities.

13. The thirteenth part of the document discusses the role of technology in enhancing data collection and analysis. It highlights the potential for artificial intelligence and machine learning to revolutionize the way data is processed and analyzed.

14. The fourteenth part of the document provides a list of contact information for the authors and researchers involved in the study. It includes email addresses and phone numbers for further inquiries and collaboration.

15. The fifteenth part of the document provides a list of acknowledgments and thanks to the individuals and organizations that supported the study. It expresses gratitude for their contributions and assistance throughout the research process.

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN  
ENFORCEMENT ACTION  
CONCERNING  
CHAPEL HILL INDEPENDENT  
SCHOOL DISTRICT  
RN101521557**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**BEFORE THE  
  
TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

## **AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2006-1956-MWD-E**

### **I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS**

At its \_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Chapel Hill Independent School District ("Chapel Hill ISD") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Chapel Hill ISD appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. Chapel Hill ISD owns and operates a domestic wastewater system located approximately 1300 feet east of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 1735 and County Road SE-18 in Titus County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. Chapel Hill ISD has discharged wastewater into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
3. The Commission and Chapel Hill ISD agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Chapel Hill ISD is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. Chapel Hill ISD received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about October 30, 2006.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Chapel Hill ISD of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Nine Thousand Two Hundred Forty Dollars (\$9,240) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Chapel Hill ISD has paid Seven Thousand Three Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$7,392) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Eight Hundred Forty-Eight Dollars (\$1,848) is deferred contingent upon Chapel Hill ISD's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this



Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Chapel Hill ISD fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Chapel Hill ISD to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Chapel Hill ISD have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that Chapel Hill submitted the following documentation to the TCEQ on November 15, 2006:
  - a. The Discharge Monitoring Report ("DMR") for the monitoring period ending October 31, 2005;
  - b. The annual sludge report for the monitoring period of July 31, 2005; and
  - c. The Flow Daily Maximum data on the DMR for the monitoring periods ending November 30, 2005 and January 31, 2006.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Chapel Hill ISD has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

## II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, Chapel Hill ISD is alleged to have:

1. Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits as detailed in the effluent violation table below, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), and Texas Pollution Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. 13821001, Interim Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1 for Outfall 001A, as documented during a record review conducted on October 5, 2006.

...the ... of ...

... ..

...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...

	TSS Daily Average (loading)	TSS Daily Average (concentration)	TSS Single Grab
	001A	001A	001A
<b>Permitted Effluent Limits</b>	2.7 lbs/per day	20 mg/l	65 mg/L
<b>November 2005</b>	4.16	38.6	103
<b>December 2005</b>	c	c	c
<b>January 2006</b>	c	c	c
<b>February 2006</b>	3.8	49.2	118

Term	Abbreviation
milligrams per Liter	mg/L
compliant	c
total suspended solids	TSS

- Failed to submit the DMR for the monitoring period ending October 31, 2005 and the annual sludge report for the monitoring period ending July 31, 2005, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and (17), and TPDES Permit No. 13821001, Interim Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1 for Outfall 001A, Sludge Provisions, as documented during a record review conducted on October 5, 2006.
- Failed to submit the Flow Daily Maximum data on the DMR for the monitoring periods ending November 30, 2005 and January 31, 2006, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and TPDES Permit No. 13821001, Interim Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 1 for Outfall 001A, as documented during a record review conducted on October 5, 2006.

### III. DENIALS

Chapel Hill ISD generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

- It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Chapel Hill ISD pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Chapel Hill ISD's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Chapel Hill Independent School District, Docket No. 2006-1956-MWD-E" to:

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures that the financial statements are reliable and can be audited without issue.

Next, the document outlines the process of reconciling bank statements with the company's ledger. This involves comparing the ending balance of the bank statement with the ending balance of the ledger. Any discrepancies should be investigated immediately to identify errors or unauthorized transactions.

The document also covers the preparation of financial statements, including the balance sheet, income statement, and cash flow statement. It provides a step-by-step guide on how to calculate each component and how to format the statements according to industry standards.

Finally, the document discusses the importance of reviewing the financial statements regularly. This allows management to identify trends, assess the company's financial health, and make informed decisions about future operations.

In conclusion, maintaining accurate financial records is essential for the success of any business. By following the guidelines outlined in this document, you can ensure that your financial statements are accurate, reliable, and compliant with all applicable regulations.

For more information on financial management, please contact our accounting department at (555) 123-4567. We are here to help you with any questions or concerns you may have.

Thank you for your attention. We look forward to serving you in the future.

Yours faithfully,  
 John Doe, Chief Financial Officer

ABC Company, 123 Main Street, Suite 456, New York, NY 10001

Phone: (555) 123-4567 | Email: info@abc.com

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section  
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that Chapel Hill ISD shall undertake the following technical requirements:

Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. 13821001. The certification shall include detailed supporting documentation including receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance, be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Order Compliance Team  
Enforcement Division, MC 149A  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Manager, Water Section  
Tyler Regional Office  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
2916 Teague Drive  
Tyler, Texas 75701-3756

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Chapel Hill ISD. Chapel Hill ISD is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If Chapel Hill ISD fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Chapel Hill ISD's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Chapel Hill ISD shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Chapel Hill ISD shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Chapel Hill ISD becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...

5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Chapel Hill ISD shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Chapel Hill ISD receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Chapel Hill ISD in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Chapel Hill ISD, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Chapel Hill ISD, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.



## SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Commission

\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Executive Director

4/18/07  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

1-29-07  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name (Printed or typed)  
Authorized Representative of  
Chapel Hill Independent School District

\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

**Instructions:** Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Handwritten notes at the top of the page, including a date and possibly a title or subject line. The text is very faint and difficult to read.

Main body of handwritten text, consisting of several lines of notes. The handwriting is cursive and somewhat illegible due to fading.

Handwritten notes at the bottom of the page, possibly a conclusion or a signature block. The text is also very faint.

Attachment A  
Docket Number: 2006-1956-MWD-E

**SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT**

**Respondent:** Chapel Hill Independent School District

**Payable Penalty Amount:** Seven Thousand Three Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$7,392)

**SEP Amount:** Seven Thousand Three Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$7,392)

**Type of SEP:** Pre-approved

**Third-Party Recipient:** Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&D")- Wastewater Treatment Assistance

**Location of SEP:** Titus County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

**1. Project Description**

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to provide low income rural homeowners with assistance to enable the repair or replacement of their failing on-site wastewater systems. SEP monies will be used to pay for the labor and materials costs related to repairing or replacing the failing systems. The recipients will not be charged for the cost of replacing or repairing the failing systems.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by protecting water sources for drinking, recreation, and wildlife from contamination from failing treatment systems

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PH.D. PROGRAM IN POLITICAL SCIENCE

THESIS TITLE: [Illegible]

BY: [Illegible]

ADVISOR: [Illegible]

DATE: [Illegible]

[Illegible text]

**2. Performance Schedule**

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.  
1716 Briarcrest Drive, Suite 510  
Bryan, Texas 77802-2700

**3. Records and Reporting**

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division  
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

**4. Failure to Fully Perform**

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Financial Administration Division, Revenues  
Attention: Cashier, MC 214  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

**5. Publicity**

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

**6. Clean Texas Program**

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

**7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies**

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

PHYSICS 354: QUANTUM MECHANICS  
PROBLEM SET 10

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

NAME: \_\_\_\_\_