

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER**  
**DOCKET NO.:** 2006-2229-AIR-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102165974 **CASE NO.:** 32004  
**RESPONDENT NAME:** Rushing Paving Company, Ltd.

<b>ORDER TYPE:</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
<b>CASE TYPE:</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p><b>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED:</b> Plant No. 3, 6104 Theresa Drive, Sherman, Grayson County</p> <p><b>TYPE OF OPERATION:</b> Asphalt concrete plant</p> <p><b>SMALL BUSINESS:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS:</b> There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p><b>INTERESTED PARTIES:</b> No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p><b>COMMENTS RECEIVED:</b> The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on April 23, 2007. No comments were received.</p> <p><b>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:</b>  <b>TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator:</b> None  <b>TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:</b> Ms. Jessica Rhodes, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Section III, MC 149, (512) 239-2879; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896  <b>Respondent:</b> Mr. Richard J. Rushing, President, Rushing Paving Company, Ltd., P.O. Box 365, Denison, Texas 75021-0365  Mr. Thomas Jones, Construction Manager, Rushing Paving Company, Ltd., P.O. Box 365, Denison, Texas 75021-0365  <b>Respondent's Attorney:</b> Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

**VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:**

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p><b>Type of Investigation:</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine  <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up  <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p><b>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case:</b> None</p> <p><b>Dates of Investigations Relating to this Case:</b> July 11 and 31, 2006</p> <p><b>Date of NOE Relating to this Case:</b> November 30, 2006 (NOE)</p> <p><b>Background Facts:</b> This was a routine investigation. One violation was documented.</p> <p><b>AIR</b></p> <p>Failed to properly maintain emission control equipment during normal operations which resulted in the failure to prevent visible emissions. Specifically, TCEQ staff documented that Rushing Paving did not properly maintain the Drum Mix baghouse (EPN 1) which resulted in visible emissions that averaged 32% and 43% opacity, respectively, over a six minute period. As a result, Rushing Paving failed to meet the 20% required regulatory opacity limit [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(b), 111.111(a)(1)(B), Permit No. 18602, General Condition 9, and TEX. HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>	<p><b>Total Assessed:</b> \$2,740</p> <p><b>Total Deferred:</b> \$548  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement  <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p><b>SEP Conditional Offset:</b> \$0</p> <p><b>Total Paid to General Revenue:</b> \$2,192</p> <p><b>Site Compliance History Classification</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Person Compliance History Classification</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Major Source:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>Applicable Penalty Policy:</b> September 2002</p>	<p><b>Ordering Provisions:</b></p> <p>The Order will require the Respondent to:</p> <p>a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, design and implement improvements to procedures to ensure that emission control equipment is properly maintained and to prevent the reoccurrence of similar visible emissions exceedances; and</p> <p>b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision a.</p>



# Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

TCEQ

<b>DATES</b>	Assigned	4-Dec-2006	Screening	15-Dec-2006	EPA Due	
	PCW	11-Jan-2007				

<b>RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION</b>	
Respondent	Rushing Paving Company, Ltd.
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102165974
Facility/Site Region	4-Dallas/Fort Worth
Major/Minor Source	Minor

<b>CASE INFORMATION</b>			
Enf./Case ID No.	32004	No. of Violations	1
Docket No.	2006-2229-AIR-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Air Quality	Enf. Coordinator	Jessica Rhodes
Multi-Media		EC's Team	EnforcementTeam 5
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum
			\$10,000

## Penalty Calculation Section

<b>TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)</b>	Subtotal 1	\$2,000
---	------------	---------

### ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

<b>Compliance History</b>	37% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$740
---------------------------	-----------------	---------------------	-------

Notes: Penalty enhancement due to one 1660-style Agreed Order, three NOV's for same or similar violations, and one NOV for unrelated violations.

<b>Culpability</b>	No	0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	----------------	------------	-----

Notes: Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

<b>Good Faith Effort to Comply</b>	0% Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
------------------------------------	--------------	------------	-----

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with x)

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria.

	0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
--	-----------------	------------	-----

Total EB Amounts	\$53	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$1,000	

<b>SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7</b>	Final Subtotal	\$2,740
-----------------------------	----------------	---------

<b>OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE</b>	Adjustment	\$0
---	------------	-----

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes:

<b>Final Penalty Amount</b>	\$2,740
-----------------------------	---------

<b>STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT</b>	Final Assessed Penalty	\$2,740
-----------------------------------	------------------------	---------

<b>DEFERRAL</b>	20% Reduction	Adjustment	-\$548
-----------------	---------------	------------	--------

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes:

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

<b>PAYABLE PENALTY</b>	\$2,192
------------------------	---------

Screening Date 15-Dec-2006

Docket No: 2006-2229-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Rushing Paving Company, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 32004

PCW Revision December 8, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102165974

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Jessica Rhodes

### Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs:	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	3	15%
	Other written NOVs	1	2%
Orders:	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees:	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions:	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions:	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits:	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
Please Enter Yes or No			
Other:	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 37%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

Penalty enhancement due to one 1660-style Agreed Order, three NOVs for same or similar violations, and one NOV for unrelated violations

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 37%

Screening Date 15-Dec-2006

Docket No. 2006-2229-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Rushing Paving Company, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 32004

PCW Revision December 8, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102165974

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Jessica Rhodes

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(b), 111.111(a)(1)(B), Permit No. 18602, General Condition 9, and Tex. Health and Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to properly maintain emission control equipment during normal operations which resulted in the failure to prevent visible emissions. Specifically, during investigations conducted on July 11, 2006 and July 31, 2006, TCEQ staff documented that Rushing Paving did not properly maintain the Drum Mix baghouse (EPN 1) which resulted in visible emissions that averaged 32% and 43% opacity, respectively, each over a six minute period. As a result, Rushing Paving failed to meet the 20% required regulatory opacity limit.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			X
Potential			

Percent 10%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0%

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment was exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which did not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 2

2 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$2,000

Two single events are recommended

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$53

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,740

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,740

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: Rushing Paving Company, Ltd.

Case ID No. 32004

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102165974

Media Air Quality

Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	One-time Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

### Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	11-Jul-2006	1-Aug-2007	1.1	\$53	n/a	\$53

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost for additional oversight and management practices designed to ensure proper maintenance practices are followed. Date Required is based on the date of the violation and Final Date is the expected date of compliance.

### Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,000

TOTAL

\$53

# Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600466601 Rushing Paving Company, Ltd. Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 7.61  
Regulated Entity: RN102165974 PLANT NO 3 Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 40.17

ID Number(s): AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 18602  
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER GI0144J  
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 75214  
Location: 6104 THERESA DR, SHERMAN, TX, 75090 Rating Date: 9/1/2006 Repeat Violator: NO  
TCEQ Region: REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX  
Date Compliance History Prepared: December 04, 2006  
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement  
Compliance Period: December 04, 2001 to December 04, 2006  
TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History  
Name: Jessica Rhodes Phone: 512-239-2879

## Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A

### Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 11/06/2005

ADMINORDER 2005-0247-AIR-E

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)  
30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 12 PERMIT

Description: Failed to have operational records available for inspection.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: General Condition 9 PERMIT

Description: Failed to maintain the air pollution abatement equipment in good working order and operating properly during normal plant operations.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition No. 2 PERMIT

Description: Failed to maintain emissions below the maximum allowable emission rates, On November 5, 2004, the total suspended particulate (TSP) emissions from the baghouse stack were estimated to be 206 pounds per hour (lbs/hr). The maximum allowable emission rate of TSP from the baghouse stack is 8.58 lb/hr.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition No. 6 PERMIT

Description: Failed to obtain written authorization prior to using lime as an asphalt additive.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 111, SubChapter A 111.111(a)(1)(B)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failed to maintain opacity limits, on November 5, 2004 and December 3, 2004, the visible emissions from the baghouse stack averaged 59% and 69% opacity, respectively, over six consecutive minutes.

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1	08/25/2005	(406757)
2	07/06/2005	(396848)
3	12/22/2005	(438109)
4	11/29/2006	(509487)
5	09/19/2005	(431140)
6	06/06/2005	(393859)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 03/31/2005 (374283)

Self Report? NO

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA

Description: Failure to complete a compliance test within 60 days and failure to comply with Title 30 of the Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 116 (30 TAC §116.115 (c)).

Date: 03/31/2005 (350266)

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(a)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA

Description: At the time of the investigation, fugitive dust emissions were noted leaving the plant Eastern property line, when a RPC truck was driving on the South side of the plant.

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA

Description: At the time of the investigation, the plant road was not sprinkled with water and/or environmentally sensitive chemicals. Fugitive dust emissions from the in-plant road were noted leaving the plant property.

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.4  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(a)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: It is determined, based on the evidence collected during the investigation that odors from RPC at the frequency, intensity, duration, and offensiveness create a nuisance condition.

Date: 06/06/2005 (393859)

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)(2)(F)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(a)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA

Description: Permit MAERT exceedance for VOC

Date: 07/06/2005 (396848)

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA

Description: At the time of the investigation, the opacity of the visible emissions from the baghouse stack averaged 6.7% over a six consecutive minutes, and the pressure drop gauge for the baghouse was reading zero, which indicated that the abatement equipment was not in good working order and was not being operated properly.

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A



# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN  
ENFORCEMENT ACTION  
CONCERNING  
RUSHING PAVING COMPANY, LTD.  
RN102165974**

**§ BEFORE THE  
§  
§ TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
§  
§ ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

## **AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2006-2229-AIR-E**

### **I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS**

At its \_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Rushing Paving Company, Ltd. ("Rushing Paving") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Rushing Paving appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. Rushing Paving owns and operates an asphalt concrete plant at 6104 Theresa Drive in Sherman, Grayson County, Texas (the "Plant").
2. The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
3. The Commission and Rushing Paving agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Rushing Paving is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. Rushing Paving received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about December 5, 2006.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Rushing Paving of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Two Thousand Seven Hundred Forty Dollars (\$2,740) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Rushing Paving has paid Two Thousand One Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars



(\$2,192) of the administrative penalty and Five Hundred Forty-Eight Dollars (\$548) is deferred contingent upon Rushing Paving's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Rushing Paving fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Rushing Paving to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Rushing Paving have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Rushing Paving has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

## II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Plant, Rushing Paving is alleged to have failed to properly maintain emission control equipment during normal operations which resulted in the failure to prevent visible emissions, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(b), 111.111(a)(1)(B), Permit No. 18602, General Condition 9, and TEX. HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during investigations conducted on July 11, 2006 and July 31, 2006. Specifically, TCEQ staff documented that Rushing Paving did not properly maintain the Drum Mix baghouse (EPN 1) which resulted in visible emissions that averaged 32% and 43% opacity, respectively, over a six minute period. As a result, Rushing Paving failed to meet the 20% required regulatory opacity limit.

## III. DENIALS

Rushing Paving generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

The second part of the document outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze data. It includes a detailed description of the sampling process and the statistical techniques employed.

The third part of the document presents the results of the study. It includes a series of tables and graphs that illustrate the findings and trends observed during the research.

The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of the study. It explores the potential applications of the findings and offers suggestions for further research in this area.

The fifth part of the document provides a conclusion and summarizes the key points of the study. It reiterates the importance of the research and the value of the data collected.

The sixth part of the document includes a list of references and a bibliography. It cites the various sources used in the study and provides information on how to access these resources.

The seventh part of the document contains a list of appendices and supplementary materials. These include additional data, charts, and tables that provide further detail on the study's findings.

Appendix A

This appendix contains a list of the various data sources used in the study. It includes information on the location of the data, the date of collection, and the method of acquisition.

This appendix contains a list of the various statistical tests used in the study. It includes information on the type of test, the significance level, and the results of the test.

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Rushing Paving pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Rushing Paving's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Rushing Paving Company, Ltd., Docket No. 2006-2229-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section  
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that Rushing Paving shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, design and implement improvements to procedures to ensure that emission control equipment is properly maintained and to prevent the reoccurrence of similar visible emissions exceedances; and
  - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team  
Enforcement Division, MC 149A  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

On 10/10/2013, the following information was received from the [redacted] regarding the [redacted] case. The [redacted] advised that the [redacted] had been [redacted] and that the [redacted] was currently [redacted]. The [redacted] also advised that the [redacted] was currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] was currently [redacted].

It is noted that the [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted]. The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted].

The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted]. The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted].

The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted]. The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted].

The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted]. The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted].

The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted]. The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted].

The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted]. The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted].

The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted]. The [redacted] is currently [redacted] and that the [redacted] is currently [redacted].

with a copy to:

Manager, Air Section  
Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
2309 Gravel Drive  
Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Rushing Paving. Rushing Paving is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If Rushing Paving fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Rushing Paving's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Rushing Paving shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Rushing Paving shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Rushing Paving becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Rushing Paving shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Rushing Paving receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Rushing Paving in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Rushing Paving, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Rushing Paving, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Dear Sir,

I am writing to you regarding the matter of the late Mr. John Doe, who passed away on the 15th day of the month of January, 1925.

The estate of the late Mr. Doe is being administered by me, the undersigned, as executor of his will. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th day of the month of February, 1925, in relation to the matter of the late Mr. Doe.

I am sorry to hear of the death of your father, and I am sure that you will understand the necessity of the administration of his estate. I will be glad to do all in my power to assist you in the matter.

I am, Sir, very respectfully,  
Yours truly,  
John Doe

Witness my hand and seal this 15th day of the month of February, 1925.

Very truly yours,  
John Doe

Witness my hand and seal this 15th day of the month of February, 1925.

## SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Commission

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Executive Director

5/15/07  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me;
- and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

2-16-07  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Richard J. Rushing  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Name (Printed or typed)  
Authorized Representative of  
Rushing Paving Company, Ltd.

President  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

**Instructions:** Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

### Section 1: Introduction

The following information is provided for your reference.

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

The purpose of this document is to provide a comprehensive overview of the project's objectives and scope. It is intended for the use of all stakeholders involved in the project.

The project will be managed in accordance with the principles of transparency and accountability. All decisions will be made in a timely and efficient manner.

We are committed to ensuring the highest quality of work and to providing excellent customer service throughout the project.

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

The project team is pleased to announce the successful completion of the initial phase of the project. We look forward to continuing our work together.

Thank you for your support and cooperation. We appreciate your contribution to the success of the project.