

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER**

**DOCKET NO.:** 2005-0795-MWD-E **TCEQ ID:** RN101212611 **CASE NO.:** 25404

**RESPONDENT NAME:** City of Electra

<b>ORDER TYPE:</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMEDIATE AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
<b>CASE TYPE:</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p><b>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED:</b> City of Electra waste water treatment facility, approximately two miles southeast of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 1739 and State Highway Loop 477, Electra, Wichita County</p> <p><b>TYPE OF OPERATION:</b> Waste water treatment facility</p> <p><b>SMALL BUSINESS:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS:</b> There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p><b>INTERESTED PARTIES:</b> No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p><b>COMMENTS RECEIVED:</b> The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on April 2, 2007. No comments were received.</p> <p><b>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:</b>  <b>TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator:</b> Ms. Sharon Blue, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-2223  <b>TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:</b> Ms. Heather Brister, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Section I, MC 169, (512) 239-1203; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896  <b>Respondent:</b> Mr. Stephen Giesbrecht, City Administrator, City of Electra, 101 North Main, Electra, Texas 76360                      The Honorable Glenn Branch, Mayor, City of Electra, 101 North Main, Electra, Texas 76360  <b>Respondent's Attorney:</b> Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

**VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:**

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p><b>Type of Investigation:</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint  <input type="checkbox"/> Routine  <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p><b>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case:</b>                      None</p> <p><b>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case:</b>                      March 1, 2005</p> <p><b>Date of NOE Relating to this Case:</b> April 18, 2005 (NOE)</p> <p><b>Background Facts:</b> This was a routine record review of self-reported discharge monitoring reports. One violation was documented.</p> <p><b>WATER</b></p> <p>Failed to meet 5-day biochemical oxygen demand, total suspended solids, and pH effluent limitations of Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. 10020001 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), TPDES Permit No. 10020001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1 and 3, and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)].</p>	<p><b>Total Assessed:</b> \$8,360</p> <p><b>Total Deferred:</b> \$1,672  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement  <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p><b>SEP Conditional Offset:</b> \$6,688</p> <p><b>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue:</b> \$0</p> <p><b>Site Compliance History Classification</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Person Compliance History Classification</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Major Source:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>Applicable Penalty Policy:</b> September 2002</p>	<p><b>Corrective Actions Taken:</b></p> <p>1) The Executive Director recognizes the City achieved compliance with TPDES Permit No. 10020001 effluent limitations on July 31, 2004.</p> <p><b>Ordering Provisions:</b></p> <p>2) The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). (See SEP Attachment A)</p>

Attachment A  
Docket Number: 2005-0795-MWD-E

**SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT**

**Respondent:** City of Electra  
**Docket Number:** 2005-0795-MWD-E  
**Penalty Amount:** Six Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-Eight Dollars [\$6,688]  
**SEP Offset Amount:** Six Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-Eight Dollars [\$6,688]  
**Type of SEP:** Custom  
**Location of SEP:** Wichita County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to perform a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The SEP Offset Amount is set forth above and such offset is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

**1. Project Description**

A. Project

The Respondent shall repair or replace broken and/or substandard private service lines within the wastewater collection system for approximately four low to moderate-income homeowners. The project shall upgrade lines to modern construction standards and prevent leakage and/or infiltration due to breaks or cracks. The Respondent will perform this project at no cost to the homeowners. The SEP will be done in accordance with all federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. The Respondent will use SEP monies only for the direct cost of implementing the project and no portion will be spent on administrative costs. Subject to previously agreed upon restrictions on the use of SEP monies and to the extent it can be documented, the Respondent will receive credit for the hours its employees and equipment are used implementing the SEP. Equipment credits will be based on the schedule of equipment rates published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. The Respondent will neither receive credit for volunteer labor or equipment nor for gratuities and/or inducements for volunteers.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project, that it has no obligation to perform the project, and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by reducing the amount of stormwater and groundwater infiltration into the sanitary sewer system, reducing the potential for health threats and pollution caused by overflows of raw sewage into the environment. This project will also assist low income homeowners to reduce the potential for backup of sewage into homes during periods of heavy rainfall or flooding.



C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall spend at least the SEP Offset Amount to complete the project described above and comply with all other provisions of this Attachment A.

2. **Performance Schedule**

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall commence the project and shall complete the project within 180 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order.

3. **Reporting**

A. Progress Reports

Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall submit a progress report to the TCEQ indicating the progress made to date and setting forth a schedule for achieving completion within the time required above.

B. Final Report

Within 30 days after completion of the project, the Respondent shall submit a report to the TCEQ which includes:

1. An itemized list of expenditures and costs incurred, with receipts, copies of checks, or other verifying documentation attached, including timesheets signed by a supervisor for any labor performed by the Respondent for which the Respondent is requesting SEP credit;
2. The total amount of costs incurred;
3. A statement of quantifiable environmental benefits;
4. Number of homes for which lines were repaired or replaced;
5. Map showing specific location of lines repaired or replaced;
6. Photographs of the project; and
7. Any additional information the Respondent believes will demonstrate compliance with this Attachment A.

C. Address

The Respondent shall submit all SEP reports and any requested additional information to the following address:

Litigation Division  
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087



**4. Additional Information and Access**

The Respondent shall provide any additional information required by TCEQ staff and allow access to all records related to the receipt and expenditure of SEP funds. The Respondent shall also allow a representative of the TCEQ access to the site of any work being financed in whole or in part by SEP funds. This provision survives the termination of this Agreed Order.

**5. Failure to Fully Perform**

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the TCEQ staff may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

With the payment, the Respondent shall include the docket number of this Agreed Order and a note that it is for reimbursement of an SEP. The payment for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Financial Administration Division, Revenues  
Attention: Cashier, MC 214  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

**6. Publicity**

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

**7. Clean Texas Program**

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program. Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

**8. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies**

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other orders negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is crucial for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to ensure the validity of the findings.

3. The third part of the document describes the results of the data analysis and the key findings. It notes that the data indicates a significant trend in the market, which has implications for the organization's strategy and operations.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of the findings and provides recommendations for future actions. It suggests that the organization should focus on improving its internal processes and enhancing its customer service to remain competitive in the market.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes the report and summarizes the main points. It reiterates the importance of ongoing monitoring and evaluation to ensure that the organization remains aligned with its strategic goals and objectives.

6. The sixth part of the document provides a detailed breakdown of the data and includes several tables and charts. These visual aids help to illustrate the trends and patterns identified in the data, making it easier to understand the complex information.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the limitations of the study and the potential sources of error. It acknowledges that while the data is comprehensive, there are still some areas where further research and validation are needed to ensure the accuracy of the findings.

8. The eighth part of the document provides a final summary and a call to action. It encourages the organization to take the findings into account and to implement the recommended changes to improve its performance and achieve its long-term goals.

9. The ninth part of the document includes a list of references and a bibliography. It cites the various sources of information used in the study, including industry reports, academic journals, and internal company documents, to provide context and support for the findings.

10. The tenth part of the document is a concluding statement that expresses the author's appreciation for the support and assistance provided by the organization's management and staff throughout the course of the study.



Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

# Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

PCW Revision March 02, 2005

<b>DATES</b>	<b>Assigned</b>	25-Apr-2005	<b>Screening</b>	29-Apr-2005	<b>EPA Due</b>	
	<b>PCW</b>	04-May-2005				

<b>RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Respondent</b>	City of Electra
<b>Reg. Ent. Ref. No.</b>	RN101212611
<b>Facility/Site Region</b>	3-Abilene
<b>Major/Minor Source</b>	Minor Source

<b>CASE INFORMATION</b>			
<b>Enf./Case ID No.</b>	25404	<b>No. of Violations</b>	1
<b>Docket No.</b>	2005-0795-MWD-E	<b>Order Type</b>	1660
<b>Media Program(s)</b>	Water Quality	<b>Enf. Coordinator</b>	Brent Hurta
<b>Multi-Media</b>		<b>EC's Team</b>	Enforcement Team 1
<b>Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum</b>	\$0	<b>Maximum</b>	\$10,000

## Penalty Calculation Section

**TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)** \$4,000

**ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1**

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

**Compliance History** 149% Enhancement \$5,960

Notes: The respondent has twenty-nine months of self-reported effluent violations and two commission issued NOV's without same or similar violations.

**Culpability** No 0% Enhancement \$0

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criterion.

**Good Faith Effort to Comply** 25% Reduction -\$1,000

	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	x	
N/A		(mark with a small x)

Notes: Respondent achieved compliance with its permitted effluent limits on July 31, 2004.

**Economic Benefit** Enhancement\* \$0

\$292	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
\$5,000	

**SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** \$8,960

**OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE** 6.70% Reduction -\$600

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes: Recommend reduction in penalty so that monthly self-reported violations do not overly impact the penalty amount.

\$8,360

**STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT** \$8,360

**DEFERRAL** 20% -\$1,672

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

**PAYABLE PENALTY** \$6,688

**Screening Date:** 29-Apr-2005 **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3):** 2005-0795-MWD-E **X**  
**Approx. Cost of Compliance:** City of Electra *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*  
**Case ID No.:** 25404 *PCW Revision March 02, 2005*  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.:** RN101212611  
**Media [Statute]:** Water Quality  
**Screening Date:** Brent Hurta

**Compliance History Worksheet**

>> **Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)**

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action <i>(number of NOVs meeting criteria)</i>	29	145%
	Other written NOVs	2	4%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability <i>(number of orders meeting criteria)</i>	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government <i>(number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)</i>	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government <i>(number of counts)</i>	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events <i>(number of events)</i>	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 <i>(number of audits for which notices were)</i>	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 <i>(number of audits for which violations were disclosed)</i>	0	0%
Other	<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>		
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 149%**

>> **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)**

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%**

>> **Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)**

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%**

>> **Compliance History Summary**

**Compliance History Notes** The respondent has twenty-nine months of self-reported effluent violations and two commission issued NOVs without same or similar violations.

**Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 149%**

**Screening Date** 29-Apr-2005 **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)** 2005-0795-MWD-E   
**Approx. Cost of Compliance** City of Electra *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*  
**Case ID No.** 25404 *PCW Revision March 02, 2005*  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN101212611  
**Media [Statute]** Water Quality  
**Screening Date** Brent Hurta

**Violation Number**   
**Primary Rule Cite(s)** 30 TAC § 305.125(1), TPDES Permit No. 10020001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1 and 3, and TWC § 26.121(a)  
**Secondary Rule Cite(s)**  
**Violation Description** Failure to comply with the permitted effluent limits as shown in the attached violation table, as documented during a record review conducted on March 1, 2005.  
**Base Penalty**

**>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**  

		Harm			
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Percent</b> <input type="text" value="10%"/>
	Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	

  
**>> Programmatic Matrix**  

		Major	Moderate	Minor	
Falsification	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<b>Percent</b> <input type="text"/>

  
**Matrix Notes**

A simplified model was utilized to evaluate the values for five day biochemical oxygen demand ("BOD<sub>5</sub>") to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Total suspended solids ("TSS") and pH were also considered. The amounts discharged at the time of the violations were insignificant and did not exceed levels protective of human health or the environment.

  
**Adjustment**

**Base Penalty Subtotal**

**Violation Events**  
**Number of Violation Events**   

<i>daily</i>	<input type="text"/>
<i>monthly</i>	<input type="text"/>
<i>quarterly</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<i>semiannual</i>	<input type="text"/>
<i>annual</i>	<input type="text"/>
<i>single event</i>	<input type="text"/>

  

Four quarterly events are recommended for the non-compliances from September until June 2004.

  
**Violation Base Penalty**

<b>Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation</b>	<b>Statutory Limit Test</b>
Estimated EB Amount <input type="text" value="\$292"/>	Violation Final Penalty Total <input type="text" value="\$8,360"/>
<b>This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)</b> <input type="text" value="\$8,360"/>	

**Economic Benefit Worksheet**

Approx. Cost of Compliance City of Electra  
 Case ID No. 25404  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101212611  
 e Enhancement (Subtotal 2) TPDES Permit No. 10020001  
 Media [Statute] Water Quality  
 Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$5,000	30-Sep-2003	31-Jul-2004	0.8	\$14	\$279	\$292
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated cost for additional oversight and sampling which could have reduced or alleviated the exceedances. The date required is the date the noncompliances started and the final date is the July 31, 2004 date of compliance.

Avoided Costs							
ANNUALIZE (1) avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)							
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs: NOVs

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$5,000**

**TOTAL \$292**

**Effluent Limit Violation Table**

table rev. 12/11/2000

Response	City of Electra
ID Number	TPDES Permit No. 10020001
Docket #	2005-0795-MWD-E
Enf. Code	Brent Hurta

Corresponds to Violation Number:

1

**EFFLUENT PARAMETER**  
**Permit Limit**

Month/Year	BOD <sub>5</sub> Daily Average	TSS Daily Average	pH									
	30 mg/L limit	90 mg/L limit	9 maximum									
September 2003	c	c	9.2									
October 2003	c	125.5	9.1									
November 2003	42.13	97	c									
December 2003	33.1025	c	c									
February 2004	34.6075	c	c									
March 2004	42.3725	c	c									
April 2004	45.38	c	c									
June 2004	c	c	9.1									

Name	Abbreviation
milligrams per liter	mg/L
pounds per day	lbs/day
million gallons per day	MGD
total suspended solids	TSS
5-day biochemical oxygen demand	BOD <sub>5</sub>
carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand	CBOD
ammonia-nitrogen	NH <sub>3</sub> -N
dissolved oxygen	DO
compliant (no excursions)	c



## Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN600655534 City of Electra	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 2.430
Regulated Entity:	RN101212611 CITY OF ELECTRA	Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 2.05
ID Number(s):	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	TPDES0026964
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	WQ0010020001
	WASTEWATER LICENSING	LICENSE	WQ0010020001
Location:	2 miles southeast of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 1739 and State Highway 477 in Electra, Wichita County, Texas		Rating Date: 9/1/04 Repeat Violator: NO
TCEQ Region:	REGION 03 - ABILENE		
Date Compliance History Prepared:	May 19, 2005		
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement		
Compliance Period:	May 19, 2000 to May 19, 2005		

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Brent Hurta Phone: 512-239-6589

### Site Compliance History Components

- |  |            |
|--|------------|
| 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? | Yes        |
| 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period?    | No         |
| 3. If Yes, who is the current owner?   | <u>N/A</u> |
| 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?  | <u>N/A</u> |
| 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?  | <u>N/A</u> |

### Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

- 1 11/15/2001 (174838)
- 2 11/12/2002 (174839)
- 3 12/17/2001 (174840)
- 4 01/14/2002 (174841)
- 5 01/16/2003 (174842)
- 6 10/11/2004 (383419)
- 7 11/15/2004 (383420)
- 8 12/13/2004 (383421)
- 9 01/14/2005 (383422)
- 10 04/30/2001 (39090)
- 11 02/17/2004 (302455)
- 12 03/12/2004 (302456)
- 13 04/10/2003 (302457)
- 14 05/13/2003 (37879)
- 15 04/15/2004 (302458)
- 16 05/19/2003 (302459)
- 17 08/27/2004 (292613)

18 06/10/2003 (302460)  
 19 04/09/2003 (31921)  
 20 07/17/2003 (302461)  
 21 08/11/2003 (302462)  
 22 09/11/2003 (302463)  
 23 10/17/2003 (302464)  
 24 04/21/2005 (372569)  
 25 11/14/2003 (302465)  
 26 12/13/2002 (302466)  
 27 12/12/2003 (302467)  
 28 01/12/2004 (302468)  
 29 02/14/2002 (174824)  
 30 02/12/2003 (174825)  
 31 03/18/2002 (174826)  
 32 03/14/2003 (174827)  
 33 04/17/2002 (174828)  
 34 05/20/2002 (174829)  
 35 08/20/2002 (8638)  
 36 06/17/2002 (174830)  
 37 05/12/2004 (354914)  
 38 07/15/2002 (174831)  
 39 06/10/2004 (354915)  
 40 10/06/2004 (336783)  
 41 07/16/2004 (354916)  
 42 08/29/2001 (174832)  
 43 08/20/2004 (354917)  
 44 09/13/2004 (354918)  
 45 08/16/2002 (174833)  
 46 09/21/2001 (174834)  
 47 09/16/2002 (174835)  
 48 10/11/2001 (174836)  
 49 10/14/2002 (174837)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 09/30/2002 (174837) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 10/31/2001 (174838) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 10/31/2002 (174839) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 11/30/2001 (174840) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 12/31/2001 (174841) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 04/30/2001 (39090) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? NO  
Rqmt Prov: OP IA  
Description: FAILURE TO COMPLY  
Date: 02/29/2004 (302456) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? YES  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 03/31/2003 (302457) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? YES  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 03/31/2004 (302458) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? YES  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 04/30/2003 (302459) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? YES  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 07/31/2003 (302462) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? YES  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 08/31/2003 (302463) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? YES  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 09/30/2003 (302464) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? YES  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 10/31/2003 (302465) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? YES  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 11/30/2002 (302466) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? YES  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 11/30/2003 (302467) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? YES  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
Date: 12/31/2003 (302468) Classification: Moderate  
Self Report? YES  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 01/31/2002 (174824) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 02/28/2002 (174826) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 02/28/2003 (174827) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 03/31/2002 (174828) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 04/30/2002 (174829) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 05/31/2002 (174830) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 04/30/2004 (354914) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/30/2002 (174831) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/30/2004 (354916) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 07/31/2001 (174832) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 08/26/2004 (292613) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? NO  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 291, SubChapter F 291.83(3)(A)  
 Description: FAILURE TO PROVIDE 85% CAPACITY REPORT FOR GROUND AND CLEARWELL STORAGE FACILITIES.

Date: 08/31/2001 (174834) Classification: Moderate  
 Self Report? YES  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 08/31/2002 (174835)

Self Report? YES

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 09/30/2001 (174836)

Self Report? YES

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

Description:

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A



# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN  
ENFORCEMENT ACTION  
CONCERNING  
CITY OF ELECTRA  
RN101212611**

**§ BEFORE THE  
§  
§ TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
§  
§ ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

## **AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2005-0795-MWD-E**

### **I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS**

At its \_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding the City of Electra ("the City") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the City, appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The City owns and operates a waste water treatment facility located approximately two miles southeast of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 1739 and State Highway Loop 477, Electra, Wichita County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. The City has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
3. The Commission and the City agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the City is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The City received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about April 23, 2005.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the City of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Thousand Three Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$8,360) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). One Thousand Six Hundred Seventy-Two Dollars (\$1,672) is deferred contingent upon the City's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the City fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the City to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. Six Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-Eight Dollars



(\$6,688) shall be conditionally offset by the City's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project.

7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the City have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes the City achieved compliance with Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. 10020001 effluent limitations on July 31, 2004.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the City has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

## II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the City is alleged to have failed to meet 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>), total suspended solids (TSS), and pH effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. 10020001, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), TPDES Permit No. 10020001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements Nos. 1 and 3, and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), as documented during a record review conducted on March 1, 2005 and shown in the following table:



**EFFLUENT PARAMETER  
 Permit Limit**

	BOD <sub>5</sub> Daily Average	TSS Daily Average	pH
<i>Month/Year</i>	30 mg/L limit	90 mg/L limit	9 maximum
September 2003	c	c	9.2
October 2003	c	125.5	9.1
November 2003	42.13	97	c
December 2003	33.1025	c	c
February 2004	34.6075	c	c
March 2004	42.3725	c	c
April 2004	45.38	c	c
June 2004	c	c	9.1

Term	Abbreviation
milligrams per liter	mg/L
compliant	c
total suspended solids	TSS
biochemical oxygen demand	BOD <sub>5</sub>

**III. DENIALS**

The City generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

**IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS**

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the City pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The imposition of this administrative penalty and the City's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Electra, Docket No. 2005-0795-MWD-E" to:



Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section  
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. The City shall implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") in accordance with TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above, Six Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-Eight Dollars (\$6,688) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the City implement the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. The City's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.
3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the City. The City is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If the City fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the City's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The City shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The City shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the City becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the City shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the City receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the City in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the City, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the City, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.



## SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Commission

\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Executive Director



\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

5/24/07

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

1/23/2007

Stephen Giesbrecht

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of  
City of Electra

\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

City Administrator

**Instructions:** Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

STATE OF NEW YORK

IN SENATE  
January 12, 1911.

REPORT

OF THE

COMMISSIONERS OF THE LAND OFFICE  
IN ANSWER TO A RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE SENATE  
MAY 11, 1909.

ALBANY:  
J. B. WARD, STATE PRINTER,  
1911.

**Attachment A**  
**Docket Number: 2005-0795-MWD-E**

**SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT**

<b>Respondent:</b>	City of Electra
<b>Docket Number:</b>	2005-0795-MWD-E
<b>Penalty Amount:</b>	Six Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-Eight Dollars [\$6,688]
<b>SEP Offset Amount:</b>	Six Thousand Six Hundred Eighty-Eight Dollars [\$6,688]
<b>Type of SEP:</b>	Custom
<b>Location of SEP:</b>	Wichita County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to perform a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The SEP Offset Amount is set forth above and such offset is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

**1. Project Description**

A. Project

The Respondent shall repair or replace broken and/or substandard private service lines within the wastewater collection system for approximately four low to moderate-income homeowners. The project shall upgrade lines to modern construction standards and prevent leakage and/or infiltration due to breaks or cracks. The Respondent will perform this project at no cost to the homeowners. The SEP will be done in accordance with all federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. The Respondent will use SEP monies only for the direct cost of implementing the project and no portion will be spent on administrative costs. Subject to previously agreed upon restrictions on the use of SEP monies and to the extent it can be documented, the Respondent will receive credit for the hours its employees and equipment are used implementing the SEP. Equipment credits will be based on the schedule of equipment rates published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. The Respondent will neither receive credit for volunteer labor or equipment nor for gratuities and/or inducements for volunteers.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project, that it has no obligation to perform the project, and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by reducing the amount of stormwater and groundwater infiltration into the sanitary sewer system, reducing the potential for health threats and pollution caused by overflows of raw sewage into the environment. This project will also assist low income homeowners to reduce the potential for backup of sewage into homes during periods of heavy rainfall or flooding.

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall spend at least the SEP Offset Amount to complete the project described above and comply with all other provisions of this Attachment A.

2. **Performance Schedule**

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall commence the project and shall complete the project within 180 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order.

3. **Reporting**

A. Progress Reports

Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall submit a progress report to the TCEQ indicating the progress made to date and setting forth a schedule for achieving completion within the time required above.

B. Final Report

Within 30 days after completion of the project, the Respondent shall submit a report to the TCEQ which includes:

1. An itemized list of expenditures and costs incurred, with receipts, copies of checks, or other verifying documentation attached, including timesheets signed by a supervisor for any labor performed by the Respondent for which the Respondent is requesting SEP credit;
2. The total amount of costs incurred;
3. A statement of quantifiable environmental benefits;
4. Number of homes for which lines were repaired or replaced;
5. Map showing specific location of lines repaired or replaced;
6. Photographs of the project; and
7. Any additional information the Respondent believes will demonstrate compliance with this Attachment A.

C. Address

The Respondent shall submit all SEP reports and any requested additional information to the following address:

Litigation Division  
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

**4. Additional Information and Access**

The Respondent shall provide any additional information required by TCEQ staff and allow access to all records related to the receipt and expenditure of SEP funds. The Respondent shall also allow a representative of the TCEQ access to the site of any work being financed in whole or in part by SEP funds. This provision survives the termination of this Agreed Order.

**5. Failure to Fully Perform**

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the TCEQ staff may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

With the payment, the Respondent shall include the docket number of this Agreed Order and a note that it is for reimbursement of an SEP. The payment for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Financial Administration Division, Revenues  
Attention: Cashier, MC 214  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

**6. Publicity**

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

**7. Clean Texas Program**

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program. Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

**8. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies**

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other orders negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to ensure the validity of the findings.

3. The third part of the document describes the results of the data analysis. It shows that there is a significant correlation between the variables studied, indicating that the factors being investigated have a strong impact on the outcomes.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of the findings. It suggests that the results can be used to inform decision-making and to develop strategies that address the identified issues and challenges.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes the report and provides a summary of the key findings. It reiterates the importance of ongoing monitoring and evaluation to ensure that the organization remains effective and responsive to changing circumstances.

6. The sixth part of the document includes a list of references and sources used in the research. This provides a clear and concise way to cite the information used in the report and allows readers to explore the topic further.

7. The seventh part of the document contains a list of appendices and additional information. This includes detailed data tables, charts, and other supporting materials that provide a more comprehensive view of the research findings.

8. The eighth part of the document provides a final summary and conclusion. It emphasizes the value of the research and the potential for future studies to build on the current findings and address related issues.

9. The ninth part of the document includes a list of acknowledgments and a list of authors. This recognizes the contributions of all those who have supported and assisted in the completion of the report.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of contact information and a list of distribution channels. This provides a way for readers to reach out to the authors and to learn more about the organization and its work.