

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER**  
**DOCKET NO.:** 2006-1433-AIR-E    **TCEQ ID:** RN100222512    **CASE NO.:** 30908  
**RESPONDENT NAME:** Delek Refining, Ltd.

**ORDER TYPE:**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	

**CASE TYPE:**

<input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL
<input type="checkbox"/> USED OIL FILTER	<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY		

**SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED:** Delek Tyler Refinery, 1702 East Commerce Street, Tyler, Smith County

**TYPE OF OPERATION:** Petroleum refinery

**SMALL BUSINESS:**     Yes     No

**OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS:** There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.

**INTERESTED PARTIES:** No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.

**COMMENTS RECEIVED:** The *Texas Register* comment period expired on April 2, 2007. No comments were received.

**CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:**

**TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator:** Ms. Melissa Keller, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1768

**TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:** Mr. Terry Murphy, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Section III, MC 149, (512) 239-5025; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896

**TCEQ Field Investigator:** Ms. Celeste Lane, Tyler Regional Office, MC R-05, (903) 535-5126

**Respondent:** Delek US Refining GP, LLC, Registered Agent, Delek Refining, Ltd., Attn: General Counsel, 425 McMurrey Drive, Tyler, Texas 75702

Mr. Scott Snedden, Environmental Manager, Delek Tyler Refinery, 1702 East Commerce Street, Tyler, Texas 75702

Mr. Michael Norman, Vice President-Environmental & Regulatory Affairs, Delek Refining, Ltd., 425 McMurrey Drive, Tyler, Texas 75702

Mr. Frederec Green, Chief Operating Officer, Delek Refining, Ltd., 425 McMurrey Drive, Tyler, Texas 75702

**Respondent's Attorney:** Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p><b>Type of Investigation:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p><b>Date of Complaint Relating to this Case:</b> None</p> <p><b>Dates of Investigations Relating to this Case:</b> July 12 to July 26, 2006 and December 13, 2006</p> <p><b>Date of NOE Relating to this Case:</b> August 11, 2006 (NOE)</p> <p><b>Background Facts:</b> These were routine investigations. Twenty five violations were documented.</p> <p><b>AIR</b></p> <p>1) Failed to maintain records of quarterly opacity observations. Specifically, Delek reported that it failed to record observations from May 6, 2005 through May 5, 2006 [Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") O-01257, Special Terms and Conditions ("STC"), 3.A.iii. and 3.B.iii., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 122.143(4), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>2) Failed to submit semiannual startup, shutdown, and malfunction ("SSM") reports. Specifically, Delek reported that it failed to submit the SSM reports from May 6, 2005 through May 5, 2006 [FOP O-01257, STC-1.A. and 8.G., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(2) and 122.143(4), 40 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS ("CFR") §§ 63.10(d)(5)(i) and 63.654(h), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>3) Loaded tank trucks not having current leak test certifications. Specifically, Delek reported that, on April 11, 2006 and May 4, 2006, two trucks were loaded without having been leak tested within the last year [New Source Review ("NSR") Air Permit No. 72, Special Conditions ("SC") 5., FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 115.214(b)(1)(C) and 116.115(c), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>	<p><b>Total Assessed:</b> \$288,395</p> <p><b>Total Deferred:</b> \$57,679 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p><b>SEP Conditional Offset:</b> \$115,358</p> <p><b>Total Paid to General Revenue:</b> \$115,358</p> <p><b>Site Compliance History Classification:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Person Compliance History Classification:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avg. <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Major Source:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>Applicable Penalty Policy:</b> September 2002</p>	<p><b>Corrective Actions Taken:</b></p> <p>1) The Executive Director recognizes that Delek has implemented the following corrective measures at the Plant:</p> <p>a. By April 1, 2006, completed improvements to record keeping procedures and began recording quarterly opacity observations;</p> <p>b. By September 22, 2006, began submitting semiannual SSM reports;</p> <p>c. By September 22, 2006, completed improvements to reporting procedures designed to ensure MACT reports are sent to the proper address;</p> <p>d. By September 22, 2006, completed improvements to procedures designed to ensure weekly cooling tower sampling;</p> <p>e. NSR Air Permit No. 5955A was amended effective April 24, 2006 to allow for an increase in sulfur production levels;</p> <p>f. By December 5, 2005, completed improvements to procedures that ensure offsite shipments of waste containing benzene will include a notice that the waste contains benzene and needs to be treated as required;</p> <p>g. By September 22, 2006, initiated a program to obtain the necessary data and records to identify benzene-containing waste streams;</p> <p>h. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to vent system visual inspection procedures and completed visual inspections;</p> <p>i. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to tank seal inspection procedures and completed seal inspections;</p> <p>j. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to record keeping procedures designed to provide complete information on Leak Detection and Repair ("LDAR") reports;</p> <p>k. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to the quarterly CEMS ("continuous emissions monitoring systems") reports;</p>

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>9) Failed to conduct vent system visual inspections. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not perform quarterly visual inspections of the closed vent system on the stripper overheads (ID No. PRO-WWS) from May 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, nor of the vent system from the separator to the wastewater flare (ID No. WWSFUSEPR) from May 6, 2005 to November 5, 2005 [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(2), 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 61.349(f) and 63.647(a), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>10) Failed to conduct yearly internal floating roof tank seal inspections on tanks in Hazardous Air Pollutant ("HAP") service. Specifically, Delek reported that, during the period May 6, 2005 to November 5, 2005, it did not visually inspect the internal floating roof seals on 23 tanks in HAP service (Tank Nos. 2, 4, 30, 31, 37, 53, 54, 55, 59, 61, 62, 63, 116, 122, 123, 124, 125, 136, 137, 160, 161, 162, and 163) within 12 months of the previous inspection [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.120(a)(3)(ii), 63.646(a), and 63.646(g), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>11) Failed to timely perform visual tank seal inspections on tanks in benzene service within 12 months of the previous inspections. Specifically, Delek reported that it failed to conduct visual inspections of the primary and secondary seals on three tanks (Tank Nos. 34, 35, and 154) in benzene service [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1), 101.20(2), and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 60.113b(a)(2) and 61.351(a)(1), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>12) Failed to perform a timely visual tank inspection of primary and secondary seals within ten years of the previous inspection. Specifically, Delek reported that it failed to conduct timely inspections on Tank No. 122, a tank in HAP service [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.120(a)(2)(i), 63.120(a)(3)(iii), and 63.646(a), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>13) Failed to install an instrument to continuously monitor and record hydrogen sulfide ("H<sub>2</sub>S") on fuel gas combustion devices. Specifically, Delek reported that it failed to install H<sub>2</sub>S monitors on Flares 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and the wastewater flare. The investigation also documented that a monitor was not installed on the Platformer Heater [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), 40 CFR § 60.105(a)(4), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>		<p>iii. Daily H<sub>2</sub>S drift calculations on the H<sub>2</sub>S monitor on the Vacuum Unit Heater are being performed;</p> <p>iv. All 54 OELs referenced in Section II, Allegation No. 15 have been equipped with a cap, blind flange, plug, or a second valve; and</p> <p>v. A stripper overhead flare design and operation certification has been produced and is being maintained.</p>

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>4) Failed to submit a Maximum Achievable Control Technology ("MACT") report to the proper address. Specifically, on September 14, 2005, Delek submitted the report to an address different from the address specified in the rule [FOP O-01257, STC 11.F., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.13(a) and 63.642(f), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>5) Failed to conduct weekly cooling tower sampling. Specifically, during the week ending August 8, 2005, Delek failed to sample water from Cooling Tower No.1, and during the week ending October 9, 2005, failed to sample from Cooling Tower No. 5 [NSR Air Permit No. 5955, SC 11.F., FOP O-01257, STC 13., and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>6) Failed to maintain sulfur production levels below the maximum limit. Specifically, the permit requires that the permit holder not exceed a sulfur production limit of 16.6 long tons per day ("LTD"), but on May 9, 2005 and on March 25, 2006, Delek reported that the production levels were 17.7 LTD on both days, respectively. Additionally, a review of Delek's records showed production exceedances on May 25 and December 26, 2005 and on January 1, January 15, and March 15, 2006 of 16.8, 16.9, 17.6, 18.4, and 16.7 LTD, respectively [NSR Air Permit No. 5955A, SC 4., FOP O-01257, STC 13., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>7) Failed to include a notice with offsite benzene waste shipments. Specifically, Delek reported that, between May 6 and July 15, 2005, it shipped wastes containing benzene without including a notice that they contained benzene and needed to be treated as required [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A. and 10.E., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(2) and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 61.342(f)(2), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>8) Failed to identify each benzene-containing waste stream. Specifically, Delek reported that, for the period May 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, its records do not identify each uncontrolled waste, the annual waste quantity of those wastes, nor do they document that the total annual benzene quantity in exempt waste streams does not exceed two megagrams per year [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A. and 10., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(2), 113.340, and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 61.356(a), 61.356(b)(1), 61.356(b)(2), and 63.654(a), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>		<p>l. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to procedures designed to ensure the submittal of complete and timely MACT CC reports;</p> <p>m. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to procedures designed to ensure the timely submittal of MACT UUU reports;</p> <p>n. By June 5, 2006, completed improvements to procedures that ensure the regular monthly sampling of carbon monoxide ("CO") in the gas feed to Boiler EPN54;</p> <p>o. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to procedures designed to ensure timely storage tank refilling notifications;</p> <p>p. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to a system for ensuring the timely inspections of storage tank seal gap measurements; and</p> <p>q. By November 29, 2006, sealed the vent on the junction box in the wastewater drain system that had been venting to the atmosphere.</p> <p><b>Ordering Provisions:</b></p> <p>2) The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete Supplemental Environmental Projects (SEPs). (See SEP Attachments A and B)</p> <p>3) The Order will also require the Respondent to:</p> <p>a. Within 180 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Delek shall submit written certification that:</p> <p>i. Improvements have been implemented to procedures that address the loading of tank trucks not having current leak test certifications;</p> <p>ii. Installation of H<sub>2</sub>S monitors on Flares 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, the wastewater flare, and the Platformer Heater has been completed, or an Alternative Monitoring Program has been approved;</p>

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>14) Failed to perform daily H<sub>2</sub>S calculations. Specifically, Delek reported that it had not performed daily drift calculations on the H<sub>2</sub>S monitor on the Vacuum Unit Heater since May 6, 2005 [FOP O-01257, STC 6.F., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 60.105(a)(4) and 60.13(d)(1), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>15) Failed to equip open-ended lines ("OELs") or valves with a cap, blind flange, plug, or a second valve. Specifically, Delek reported that 36 OELs in HAP service in Units 2HD (ten OELs), Sat #2 (two OELs), Crude (sixteen OELs), Tank Farm (four OELs), and Coker (four OELs), had not been equipped with caps, blind flanges, plugs, or second valves. During the physical investigation, a further nine OELs in the Alky Unit and nine OELs in the Sat Gas Unit, all in VOC service, were documented [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1), 113.340, and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 60.482-6(a)(1) and 63.648(a), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>16) Failed to provide complete information on a Leak Detection and Repair ("LDAR") report. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not record instrument operator identification, instrument number, nor the expected date of repair on the monthly Delay of Repair list [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1), 113.340, and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 60.486(c)(1), 60.486(c)(7), and 63.648(a), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>17) Failed to certify the accuracy and completeness of quarterly continuous emissions monitoring (CEMS) reports. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not include language in the quarterly CEMS reports for the period January 24 to May 5, 2006 certifying their accuracy and completeness [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 60.105(e)(3)(ii), 60.7(c)(2), and 60.107(f), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>18) Failed to submit a timely and complete MACT CC report. Specifically, Delek reported that it needed to submit a MACT CC report (concerning flares not meeting general control device requirements) by March 15, 2006, but did not do so until April 12, 2006, that the report did not include the period of December 31, 2005 through January 15, 2006, and that the absence of the flare pilot on December 17, 2005 was not included in the report [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR § 63.654(g) and 63.654(g)(5)(iii), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>19) Failed to timely submit a MACT UUU report. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not submit the MACT UUU report (concerning catalytic cracking, catalytic reforming, and sulfur recovery units) that was due on January 31, 2006 until July 31, 2006 [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.10(d) and 63.1575(b)(2), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>		

RESPONDENT'S NAME: Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 DOCKET NO.: 2006-1433-AIR-E

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>20) Failed to conduct monthly carbon monoxide ("CO") sampling. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not sample for CO in the gas fed to Boiler EPN 54 during the month of May 2005 [NSR Air Permit No. 4902, SC 7., FOP O-01257, STC 13., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>21) Failed to notify the TCEQ at least 30 days prior to refilling storage tanks in HAP service. Specifically, Delek reported that it refilled Tank Nos. 118 and 122, during the period November 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, without prior notification [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.120(a)(5) and 63.646(a), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>22) Failed to perform timely tank seal gap measurements on tanks in HAP service. Specifically, Delek reported that, during the period November 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, it failed to timely perform annually required, secondary seal gap measurements on Tank Nos. 151, 155, and 156 [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.120(b)(1)(iii) and 63.646(a), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>23) Failed to perform timely tank seal gap measurements on a tank in volatile organic compounds ("VOC") service. Specifically, Delek reported that, during the period November 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, it failed to timely inspect Tank No. 172's secondary seal gap measurements (required annually) [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), 40 CFR § 60.113b(b)(1)(ii), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>24) Failed to maintain a signed and dated flare certification. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not have a certification for the stripper overhead flare stating it is designed to operate at the desired performance level at the highest expected loads [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(2), 113.340, and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 61.356(f)(1) and 63.654(a), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>25) Failed to install a flow indicator. Specifically, the vent pipe on one junction box in the wastewater drain system was vented to the atmosphere, and a flow indicator was not installed to ensure that no venting of organic vapors was occurring [FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340, and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 61.346(b)(2)(ii)(A) and 63.654(a), and TEX. HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>		

Attachment A  
Docket Number: 2006-1433-AIR-E

**SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT**

**Respondent:** Delek Refining, Ltd.

**Payable Penalty Amount:** Two Hundred Thirty Thousand Seven Hundred Sixteen Dollars (\$230,716)

**SEP Amount:** Ninety-Two Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Eight Dollars (\$92,358)

**Type of SEP:** Pre-approved

**Third-Party Recipient:** Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. (RC&D)-Household Hazardous Waste Clean-Up

**Location of SEP:** Smith County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

**1. Project Description**

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to provide local residents with a means of properly disposing household hazardous wastes such as paint, thinners, pesticides, oil and gas, corrosive cleaners, and fertilizers in one day collection events. SEP monies will be used to pay for the associated labor, materials, and disposal costs. Citizens will not be charged disposal fees. The project is administered in accordance with TCEQ guidance on household hazardous waste and in compliance with federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations. All dollars contributed will be used solely for the direct cost of the project and no portion will be spent on administrative costs.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by providing a means of properly disposing household hazardous waste which might otherwise be disposed of in storm drains, the sewage system, or other means detrimental to the environment.

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

2. The second part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

3. The third part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

7. The seventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

8. The eighth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

9. The ninth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

11. The eleventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

12. The twelfth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

13. The thirteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

14. The fourteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

15. The fifteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

16. The sixteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

17. The seventeenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

18. The eighteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

19. The nineteenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

20. The twentieth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

21. The twenty-first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

22. The twenty-second part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

**2. Performance Schedule**

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.  
1716 Briarcrest Drive, Suite 510  
Bryan, Texas 77802

**3. Records and Reporting**

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division  
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

**4. Failure to Fully Perform**

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Financial Administration Division, Revenues  
Attention: Cashier, MC 214  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

### Introduction

The purpose of this report is to analyze the data collected from the experiment and to discuss the results in relation to the theoretical background.

### Methodology

The experiment was conducted using a standard procedure. The data was collected over a period of 10 days.

The results of the experiment are presented in the following table. The data shows a clear trend over time.

### Results

The data shows a significant increase in the measured values over the 10-day period. This is consistent with the theoretical predictions.

The following table provides a detailed breakdown of the data. The values are presented in ascending order.

The data indicates that the measured values are significantly higher than the theoretical values.

This discrepancy may be due to experimental errors or limitations in the theoretical model.

The results of the experiment are summarized in the following table. The data shows a clear trend over time.

The data indicates that the measured values are significantly higher than the theoretical values.

**5. Publicity**

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

**6. Clean Texas Program**

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

**7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies**

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.



Attachment B  
Docket Number: 2006-1433-AIR-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

<b>Respondent:</b>	<b>Delek Refining, Ltd.</b>
<b>Payable Penalty Amount:</b>	<b>Two Hundred Thirty Thousand Seven Hundred Sixteen Dollars (\$230,716)</b>
<b>SEP Amount:</b>	<b>Twenty-Three Thousand Dollars (\$23,000)</b>
<b>Type of SEP:</b>	<b>Pre-approved</b>
<b>Third-Party Recipient:</b>	<b>Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&amp;D")- Wastewater Treatment Assistance</b>
<b>Location of SEP:</b>	<b>Smith County</b>

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment B.

**1. Project Description**

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to provide low income rural homeowners with assistance to enable the repair or replacement of their failing on-site wastewater systems. SEP monies will be used to pay for the labor and materials costs related to repairing or replacing the failing systems. The recipients will not be charged for the cost of replacing or repairing the failing systems

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by protecting water sources for drinking, recreation, and wildlife from contamination from failing treatment systems

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

PHILOSOPHY DEPARTMENT

PHILOSOPHY 101

INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHY

LECTURE 1

THE PHILosopher

What is Philosophy?

Philosophy is the study of the fundamental nature of knowledge, reality, existence, and values, as well as the basic concepts upon which they are based. It is a discipline that seeks to understand the world and our place in it through critical thinking and logical analysis.

The philosopher is one who is concerned with the pursuit of wisdom and the understanding of the truth. This pursuit is often done through the use of reason and logic, and the philosopher is one who is committed to the search for knowledge and the understanding of the world.

Philosophy is a discipline that is concerned with the study of the fundamental nature of knowledge, reality, existence, and values. It is a discipline that seeks to understand the world and our place in it through critical thinking and logical analysis.

The philosopher is one who is concerned with the pursuit of wisdom and the understanding of the truth. This pursuit is often done through the use of reason and logic, and the philosopher is one who is committed to the search for knowledge and the understanding of the world.

Philosophy is a discipline that is concerned with the study of the fundamental nature of knowledge, reality, existence, and values. It is a discipline that seeks to understand the world and our place in it through critical thinking and logical analysis.

The philosopher is one who is concerned with the pursuit of wisdom and the understanding of the truth. This pursuit is often done through the use of reason and logic, and the philosopher is one who is committed to the search for knowledge and the understanding of the world.

Philosophy is a discipline that is concerned with the study of the fundamental nature of knowledge, reality, existence, and values. It is a discipline that seeks to understand the world and our place in it through critical thinking and logical analysis.

The philosopher is one who is concerned with the pursuit of wisdom and the understanding of the truth. This pursuit is often done through the use of reason and logic, and the philosopher is one who is committed to the search for knowledge and the understanding of the world.

Delek Refining, Ltd.  
Agreed Order – Attachment B

## **2. Performance Schedule**

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.  
1716 Briarcrest Drive  
Bryan, Texas 77802-2700

## **3. Records and Reporting**

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division  
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

## **4. Failure to Fully Perform**

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Financial Administration Division, Revenues  
Attention: Cashier, MC 214  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

## **5. Publicity**

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for transparency and accountability, particularly in the context of public administration and financial management.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used for data collection and analysis. It highlights the need for standardized procedures to ensure the reliability and validity of the information gathered. This includes the use of surveys, interviews, and statistical software.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the ethical considerations surrounding data collection and analysis. It stresses the importance of obtaining informed consent from participants and ensuring that their data is used only for the purposes stated. Additionally, it discusses the need for data protection and confidentiality measures.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the challenges and limitations of data collection and analysis. It notes that data collection can be time-consuming and costly, and that there may be biases or errors in the data. It also mentions that the analysis of large datasets can be complex and requires specialized skills and software.

5. The fifth part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and conclusions. It reiterates the importance of accurate record-keeping and standardized data collection methods. It also emphasizes the need for ethical considerations and the recognition of the challenges and limitations of data collection and analysis.

6. The sixth part of the document discusses the implications of the findings for future research and practice. It suggests that further research is needed to explore the effectiveness of different data collection methods and to develop more robust and efficient data analysis techniques. It also suggests that the findings should be used to inform the design and implementation of data collection and analysis procedures in public administration and financial management.

7. The seventh part of the document provides a list of references and sources used in the document. It includes books, articles, and online resources that provide additional information on the topics discussed. This list is intended to help readers further explore the topics and to provide a starting point for their own research.

8. The eighth part of the document provides a list of appendices and supplementary materials. These include additional data, tables, and figures that are not included in the main text but are available for reference. This section is intended to provide readers with access to the raw data and to the detailed results of the analysis.

9. The ninth part of the document provides a list of acknowledgments and a list of authors. This section is intended to recognize the individuals and organizations that have provided support and assistance throughout the research process. It also provides information on the authors' contact information and their affiliations.

10. The tenth part of the document provides a list of contact information and a list of distribution channels. This section is intended to provide readers with information on how to contact the authors and to provide information on where the document can be accessed. This includes information on the authors' email addresses, phone numbers, and the locations of the authors' offices.

11. The eleventh part of the document provides a list of additional resources and information. This includes information on the authors' websites, social media profiles, and other online resources. This section is intended to provide readers with additional information and to provide a starting point for their own research.

12. The twelfth part of the document provides a list of additional resources and information. This includes information on the authors' websites, social media profiles, and other online resources. This section is intended to provide readers with additional information and to provide a starting point for their own research.

Delek Refining, Ltd.  
Agreed Order – Attachment B

**6. Clean Texas Program**

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

**7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies**

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

10/10/10  
10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10  
10/10/10  
10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10  
10/10/10  
10/10/10



DATES	Assigned	14-Aug-2006	Screening	24-Aug-2006	EPA Due	22-Jul-2007
	PCW	11-Jan-2007				

<b>RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION</b>	
Respondent	Delek Refining, Ltd.
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN100222512
Facility/Site Region	5-Tyler
Major/Minor Source	Major Source

<b>CASE INFORMATION</b>			
Enf./Case ID No.	30908	No. of Violations	26
Docket No.	2006-1433-AIR-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Air Quality	Enf. Coordinator	Terry Murphy
Multi-Media		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 5
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

## Penalty Calculation Section

**TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)** Subtotal 1

### ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

**Compliance History** 132% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7

Notes

**Culpability** 0% Enhancement Subtotal 4

Notes

**Good Faith Effort to Comply** 0% Reduction Subtotal 5

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Ordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
N/A	X	(mark with a small x)

Notes

**Economic Benefit** 50% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6

Total EB Amounts	<input type="text" value="\$35,283"/>	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	<input type="text" value="\$148,750"/>	

**SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** Final Subtotal

**OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE** Adjustment

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes

**Final Penalty Amount**

**STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT** Final Assessed Penalty

**DEFERRAL** 20% Reduction Adjustment

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes

**PAYABLE PENALTY**

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

**Compliance History Worksheet**

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%
	Other written NOVs	6	12%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	3	60%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	1	25%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	1	35%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	0	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	0	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	0	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	Yes	-5%

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 132%**

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%**

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

**Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%**

>> Compliance History Summary

**Compliance History Notes** The penalty was enhanced by three 1660 orders, one findings order, one EPA order without a denial of liability, one same or similar NOV, and six dissimilar NOVs. The penalty was reduced by early compliance.

**Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 132%**

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number

1

Primary Rule Cite(s)

Federal Operating Permit (FOP) O-01257, Special Terms and Conditions (STC) 3.A.iii. and 3.B.iii., and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 122.143(4)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to maintain records of quarterly opacity observations, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it failed to record observations from May 6, 2005 through May 5, 2006.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Harm

Release	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent

OR

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
		X	

Percent 10%

Matrix Notes The Respondent failed to comply with between 30% and 70% of the rule.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
single event	X	

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$1,095

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,643

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,643

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$1,000	06-May-2005	01-Apr-2006	0.9	\$45	n/a	\$45
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated costs to revise recording procedures and maintain records. The Date Required is the date of the initial reporting period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent began recording opacity observations and maintaining those records.

Item Description	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$1,000	06-May-2005	01-Apr-2006	1.0	\$50	\$1,000	\$1,050
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs: Estimated costs to have recorded opacity observations and maintained the records. The Date Required is the date of the initial reporting period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent began recording opacity observations and maintaining those records.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$2,000
TOTAL \$1,095

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 2

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A. and 8.G., 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §§ 63.10(d)(5)(i) and 63.654(h), and 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(2) and 122.143(4)

Primary Rule Cite(s)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to submit semiannual startup, shutdown, and malfunction (SSM) reports, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it failed to submit the SSM reports from May 6, 2005 through May 5, 2006.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
	X		

Percent 25%

Matrix Notes

The Respondent failed to comply with 100% of the rule.

Adjustment -\$7,500

Base Penalty Subtotal \$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
single event	X	

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$569

Violation Final Penalty Total \$6,608

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$6,608

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Delek Refining, Ltd.  
**Case ID No.** 30908  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN100222512  
**Media [Statute]** Air Quality  
**Violation No.** 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$1,000	06-Nov-2005	22-Sep-2006	0.9	\$44	n/a	\$44
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated costs to revise reporting procedures, prepare and submit reports. The Date Required is the date of the initial reporting period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent began submitting the required reports.

Item Description	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$500	06-Nov-2005	22-Sep-2006	1.0	\$25	\$500	\$525
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated costs to have prepared and submitted reports. The Date Required is the date of the initial reporting period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent began submitting the required reports.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$1,500

**TOTAL** \$569

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 3

New Source Review (NSR) Air Permit No. 72, Special Condition (SC) 5., FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., and 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 115.214(b)(1)(C) and 116.115(c)

Primary Rule Cite(s)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Loaded tank trucks not having current leak test certifications, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that, on April 11, 2006 and May 4, 2006, two trucks were loaded without having been leak tested within the last year.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential		X	

Percent 25%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment could be exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$7,500

Base Penalty Subtotal \$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 2

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
single event	X	

Violation Base Penalty \$5,000

Two single events are recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$81

Violation Final Penalty Total \$13,217

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$13,217

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Delek Refining, Ltd.  
**Case ID No.** 30908  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN100222512  
**Media [Statute]** Air Quality  
**Violation No.** 3

<b>Percent Interest</b>	<b>Years of Depreciation</b>
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost No commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	11-Apr-2006	01-Apr-2007	1.0	\$3	\$65	\$68
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

**Notes for DELAYED costs**  
 Estimated costs to revise procedures to ensure only timely tested tank trucks are loaded. The Date Required is the date of the initial reported violation, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent is expected to have completed improved procedures/oversight.

Item Description	Item Cost No commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Avoided Costs</b>							
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)							
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel	\$200	11-Apr-2006	04-May-2006	0.1	\$1	\$13	\$13
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Notes for AVOIDED costs**  
 Estimated costs to have had improved procedures/oversight. The Date Required is the date of the first violation, and the Final Date is the date of the second violation.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$1,200 **TOTAL** \$81

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 4

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 11.F., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 113.340, 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 63.13(a) and 63.642(f)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to submit a Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) report to the proper address, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, on September 14, 2005, the Respondent submitted the report to an address different from the address specified in the rule.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm			Percent
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual				
	Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

		Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
Falsification				X	

Matrix Notes

The Respondent failed to comply with less than 30% of the rule.

Adjustment -\$9,900

Base Penalty Subtotal \$100

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
single event	X	

Violation Base Penalty \$100

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$20

Violation Final Penalty Total \$264

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$264

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 4

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$400	14-Sep-2005	22-Sep-2006	1.0	\$20	n/a	\$20

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated cost to re-mail the report to the proper address and to improve reporting procedures. The Date Required is the date of the mailing to the wrong address, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent created a new reporting template.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering Item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$400

**TOTAL** \$20

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 5

Primary Rule Cite(s)

NSR Air Permit No. 5955, SC 11.F., FOP O-01257, STC 13., and 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to conduct weekly cooling tower sampling, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, during the week ending August 8, 2005, the Respondent failed to sample water from Cooling Tower No. 1, and during the week ending October 9, 2005, failed to sample from Cooling Tower No. 5.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Harm

Release	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			X

Percent 10%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment could be exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 2

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$2,000

Two single events are recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$266

Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,287

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$5,287

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 5

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$1,000	08-Aug-2005	22-Sep-2006	1.1	\$56	n/a	\$56
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated costs to improve procedures/oversight to ensure weekly samples are collected. The Date Required is the date of the initial reported violation, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent completed improved procedures/oversight.

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)							
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$200	08-Aug-2005	09-Oct-2005	1.0	\$10	\$200	\$210
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated costs to have had improved procedures/oversight. The Date Required is the date of the initial reported violation, and the Final Date is the last date of the reported violation.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$1,200

**TOTAL** \$266

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 6

Primary Rule Cite(s)

NSR Air Permit No. 5955A, SC 4., FOP O-01257, STC 13., and 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to maintain sulfur production levels below the maximum limit, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the permit requires that the permit holder not exceed a sulfur production limit of 16.6 long tons per day (LTD), but on May 9, 2005 and on March 25, 2006, the Respondent reported that the production levels were 17.7 on both days. Additionally, a review of the Respondent's records showed production exceedances on May 25 and December 26, 2005 and on January 1, January 15, and March 15, 2006 of 16.8, 16.9, 17.6, 18.4, and 16.7 LTD, respectively.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			X

Percent 10%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment could be exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 7

daily	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$7,000

Seven single events are recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$153

Violation Final Penalty Total \$18,504

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$18,504

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 6

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost No commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$1,000	09-May-2005	24-Apr-2006	1.0	\$48	n/a	\$48
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated costs to improve procedures/oversight to ensure production levels are not exceeded. The Date Required is the date of the first reported violation, and the Final Date is the date NSR Air Permit No. 5955A was amended to allow for an increase in the sulfur production level.

Avoided Costs		ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)					
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$100	09-May-2005	25-Mar-2006	1.0	\$5	\$100	\$105
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs: Estimated costs to have had improved procedures/oversight. The Date Required is the date of the first reported violation, and the Final Date is the date of the last documented exceedance.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$1,100

**TOTAL** \$153

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 7

Primary Rule Cite(s) FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 10.E., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(2) and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR § 61.342(f)(2)

Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description Failed to include a notice with an offsite benzene waste shipment, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that, between May 6 and July 15, 2005, it sent one shipment of waste containing benzene without including a notice that it contained benzene and needed to be treated as required.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm			
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual				Percent
	Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
		x		10%

Matrix Notes The Respondent failed to comply with between 30% and 70% of the rule.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
single event	x	

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$653

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,643

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,643

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Delek Refining, Ltd.  
**Case ID No.** 30908  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN100222512  
**Media [Statute]** Air Quality  
**Violation No.** 7

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Item	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)			Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel	\$200	06-May-2005	15-Jul-2005	0.2	\$2	\$38	\$40
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	\$1,000	06-May-2005	05-Dec-2005	0.6	\$29	\$584	\$613
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated costs (\$200) for additional personnel oversight. The Date Required is the date the Respondent reported the beginning of the violation period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent reported the end of the violation period. Estimated costs (\$1,000) to have had improved procedures. The Date Required is the date the Respondent reported the beginning of the violation period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent reported that improved procedures had been implemented.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$1,200

**TOTAL** \$653

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 8

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A. and 10., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(2), 113.340, and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 61.356(a), 61.356(b)(1), 61.356(b)(2), and 63.654(a)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to identify each benzene-containing waste stream, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that, for the period May 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, its records do not identify each uncontrolled waste, the annual waste quantity of those wastes, nor do they document that the total annual benzene quantity in exempt waste streams does not exceed 2 megagrams per year.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
		X	

Percent 10%

Matrix Notes

The Respondent failed to comply with more than 70% of the rule.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$1,169

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,643

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,643

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 8

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$1,000	06-May-2005	22-Sep-2006	1.4	\$69	n/a	\$69
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated costs to improve records and documentation. The Date Required is the date of the initial reported violation period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent initiated a program to obtain the necessary data and records that had been maintained by the prior owner.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$1,000	06-May-2005	05-Jun-2006	2.0	\$100	\$1,000	\$1,100
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs: Estimated costs to have documented the waste streams and maintained the records. The Date Required is the date of the initial, reported violation period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent reported the last failure to properly identify the waste.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$2,000

**TOTAL** \$1,169

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 9

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(2), 113.340 and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 61.349(f) and 63.647(a)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to conduct vent system visual inspections, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it did not perform quarterly visual inspections of the closed vent system on the stripper overheads (ID No. PRO-WWS) from May 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, nor of the vent system from the separator to the wastewater flare (ID No. WWSFUSEPR) from May 6, 2005 to November 5, 2005.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm			
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual				Percent 10%
	Potential			X	

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment could be exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 2

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$2,000

Two single events are recommended (one for the stripper overheads and one for the separator to wastewater system).

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$1,186

Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,287

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$5,287

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 9

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Visual Inspections	\$500	06-May-2005	22-Sep-2006	1.4	\$2	\$46	\$48
Visual inspections	\$500	06-May-2005	05-Jun-2006	1.1	\$2	\$36	\$38
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated costs to revise visual inspection procedures. The Date Required is the date of the initial violation reporting period. June 5, 2006 is the date the Respondent added the stripper overheads system to its visual inspection program, and September 22, 2006 is the date the Respondent made improvements to inspection procedures and began conducting visual inspections of the other system.

Item Description	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Avoided Costs</b>							
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Stripper overheads Inspections - ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$500	06-May-2005	22-Sep-2006	2.0	\$50	\$500	\$550
Separator-to flare system Inspections	\$500	06-May-2005	05-Jun-2006	2.0	\$50	\$500	\$550

Notes for AVOIDED costs: Estimated costs to have performed visual inspections. The Date Required is the date of the initial violation reporting period. The Final Date for the stripper overheads (June 5, 2006) is the date the Respondent added that system to its visual inspection program. The Final Date for the separator-to-wastewater-flare system is the date the Respondent reported all systems being inspected.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$2,000 TOTAL \$1,186

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 10

Primary Rule Cite(s) FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 63.120(a)(3)(ii), 63.646(a), and 63.646(g)  
Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description Failed to timely conduct yearly internal floating roof tank seal inspections on tanks in hazardous air pollutants (HAP) service, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that, during the period May 6, 2005 to November 5, 2005, it had not visually inspected the internal floating roof seals on 23 tanks in HAP service (Tank Nos. 2, 4, 30, 31, 37, 53, 54, 55, 59, 61, 63, 116, 122, 123, 124, 125, 136, 137, 160, 161, 162, and 163) within 12 months of the previous inspection.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm			
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual				Percent
	Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Falsification		X		Percent 10%

Matrix Notes: The Respondent complied with between 30% and 70% of the rule, and none of the tanks that were subsequently inspected had damaged or deteriorated seals.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 22

daily	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$22,000

Twenty-two single events are recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$5,443

Violation Final Penalty Total \$58,155

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$58,155

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Delek Refining, Ltd.  
**Case ID No.** 30908  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN100222512  
**Media [Statute]** Air Quality  
**Violation No.** 10

<b>Percent Interest</b>	<b>Years of Depreciation</b>
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost <small>No commas or \$</small>	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$2,000	06-May-2005	22-Sep-2006	1.4	\$9	\$184	\$193
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

**Notes for DELAYED costs** Estimated costs to revise annual tank seal visual inspection procedures. The Date Required is the date of the initial violation reporting period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent improved procedures to ensure timely visual inspections.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$5,000	06-May-2005	05-Nov-2005	1.0	\$250	\$5,000	\$5,250
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Notes for AVOIDED costs** Estimated costs to have performed visual inspections on tanks. The Date Required is the date of the initial violation reporting period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent last reported inspection violations.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$7,000

**TOTAL** \$5,443

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 11

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(1), 101.20(2), and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 60.113b(a)(2) and 61.351(a)(1)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to timely perform visual tank seal inspections on tanks in benzene service within 12 months of the previous inspections, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it failed to conduct visual inspections of the primary and secondary seals on three tanks (Tank Nos. 34, 35, and 154) in benzene service.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
		X	

Percent 10%

Matrix Notes

The Respondent complied with between 30% and 70% of the rule, and none of the tanks that were subsequently inspected had damaged or deteriorated seals.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 3

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
single event	X	

Violation Base Penalty \$3,000

Three single events are recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$0

Violation Final Penalty Total \$7,930

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$7,930

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 11

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Economic benefits for this violations are included in Violation No. 10.

### Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$0

TOTAL \$0

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 12

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 63.120(a)(2)(i), 63.120(a)(3)(iii), and 63.646(a)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to perform a timely visual tank inspection of primary and secondary seals within ten years of the previous inspection, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it failed to conduct a timely inspection of the seals on Tank No. 122, a tank in HAP service.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Harm

Release	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent

OR

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
		X	

Percent 10%

Matrix Notes

The Respondent complied with between 30% and 70% of the rule. When the tank was subsequently inspected, it did not have damaged or deteriorated seals.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
single event	X	

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$0

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,643

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,643

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 12

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Economic benefits for this violation are included in Violation 10.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$0
TOTAL \$0

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 13

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR § 60.105(a)(4)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to install an instrument to continuously monitor and record hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) on emergency flares, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a record review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it failed to install H<sub>2</sub>S monitors on Flares 1, 2, 3, and 4.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				25%
Potential		X		

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment could be exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$7,500

Base Penalty Subtotal \$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 12

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	X
	annual	
single event		

Violation Base Penalty \$30,000

Twelve semiannual events are recommended (4 devices X 3 semiannual periods), from the initial deviation report date (May 6, 2005) through the enforcement screening date (August 24, 2006).

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$10,625

Violation Final Penalty Total \$79,302

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$79,302

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent:** Delek Refining, Ltd.  
**Case ID No.:** 30908  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.:** RN100222512  
**Media [Statute]:** Air Quality  
**Violation No.:** 13

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost <small>No commas or \$</small>	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	---	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

### Delayed Costs

Equipment	\$100,000	24-Sep-2005	01-Apr-2007	1.5	\$506	\$10,119	\$10,625
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated costs to install monitors or demonstrate exemption for 7 devices. The Date Required is the date of an ordering provision applicable to the previous refinery owner requiring installation or demonstrated exemption, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent is expected to achieve compliance. The economic benefits for Violation 14 are included here.

### Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

**TOTAL**

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 14

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR § 60.105(a)(4)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to install an instrument to continuously monitor and record hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) on fuel gas combustion devices, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it failed to install H<sub>2</sub>S monitors on Flare 5, the wastewater flare, and the Platformer Heater.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm		
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor
OR	Actual			
	Potential			X

Percent 10%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment could be exposed to insignificant amounts (the streams to these three devices have a sulfur content of less than 160 ppm) of pollutants which would not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 3

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$3,000

Three single events are recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$0

Violation Final Penalty Total \$7,930

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$7,930

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 14

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Economic benefits for this violation are included in Violation 13.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$0
TOTAL \$0

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 15

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 6.F., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 60.105(a)(4) and 60.13(d)(1)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to perform daily H2S calculations, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it had not performed daily drift calculations on the H2S monitor on the Vacuum Unit Heater since May 6, 2005.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			X

Percent 10%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment could be exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
single event	X	

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$9,289

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,643

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,643

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Delek Refining, Ltd.  
**Case ID No.** 30908  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN100222512  
**Media [Statute]** Air Quality  
**Violation No.** 15

<b>Percent Interest</b>	<b>Years of Depreciation</b>
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost <small>No commas or \$</small>	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment	\$7,300	06-May-2005	01-Apr-2007	1.9	\$46	\$927	\$973
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated costs to perform daily calculations. The Date Required is the date of the initial violation reporting period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent is expected to begin doing daily calculations or have approval for an alternate monitoring plan.

Item Description	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	\$7,300	06-May-2005	06-Jun-2006	1.1	\$396	\$7,920	\$8,316
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs: Estimated costs to have performed daily calculations. The Date Required is the date of the initial violation reporting period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent reported the last failure to do daily calculations or have approval for an alternate monitoring plan.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$14,600

**TOTAL** \$9,289

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 16

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(1), 113.340, and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 60.482-6(a)(1) and 63.648(a)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to equip open-ended lines (OELS) or valves with a cap, blind flange, plug, or a second valve, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that 36 OELs in HAP service in Units 2HD (10 OELs), Sat #2 (2 OELs), Crude (16 OELs), Tank Farm (4 OELs), and Coker (4 OELs), had not been equipped with caps, blind flanges, plugs, or second valves. During the physical investigation, a further nine OELs in the Alky Unit and nine OELs in the Sat Gas Unit, all in volatile organic compounds (VOC) service, were documented.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm		
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor
OR	Actual			X
	Potential			

Percent 25%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants not exceeding levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$7,500

Base Penalty Subtotal \$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 6

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	X
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$15,000

Six quarterly events are recommended, from the initial deviation report date (May 6, 2005) through the enforcement screening date (August 24, 2006).

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$360

Violation Final Penalty Total \$39,651

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$39,651

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 16

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost <small>No commas or \$</small>	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment	\$2,700	06-May-2005	01-Apr-2007	1.9	\$17	\$343	\$360
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated costs to cap open ended lines. The Date Required is the date of the initial reporting period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent is expected to have completed capping open ended lines.

Item Description	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)</b>				
Disposal	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$2,700 TOTAL \$360

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 17

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(1), 113.340, and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 60.486(c)(1), 60.486(c)(7), and 63.648(a)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to provide complete information on a Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) report, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it did not record instrument operator identification, instrument number, and the expected date of repair on the monthly Delay of Repair list.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Harm

Release	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent

OR

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
			X

Percent 1%

Matrix Notes The Respondent provided more than 70% of the required information.

Adjustment -\$9,900

Base Penalty Subtotal \$100

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$100

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$2,338

Violation Final Penalty Total \$264

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$264

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent **Delek Refining, Ltd.**  
 Case ID No. **30908**  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. **RN100222512**  
 Media [Statute] **Air Quality**  
 Violation No. **17**

<b>Percent Interest</b>	<b>Years of Depreciation</b>
5.0	15

Item Description:	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$2,000	06-May-2005	22-Sep-2006	1.4	\$138	n/a	\$138
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated costs for improvements to the Delay of Repair record keeping system. The Date Required is the date of the initial reporting period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent completed improvements to record keeping procedures.

**Avoided Costs**

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$2,000	06-May-2005	06-Jun-2006	2.0	\$200	\$2,000	\$2,200
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated savings for not having kept complete records. The Date Required is the date of the initial reporting period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent reported the last failure to provide a complete record.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$4,000

**TOTAL** \$2,338

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 18

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 60.105(e)(3)(ii), 60.7(c)(2), and 60.107(f)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to certify the accuracy and completeness of quarterly CEMS reports, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it did not include language in the quarterly CEMS reports for the period January 24 to May 5, 2006 certifying their accuracy and completeness.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Harm

Release	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

OR

Percent

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
			X

Percent 1%

Matrix Notes

The Respondent provided more than 70% of the required information.

Adjustment -\$9,900

Base Penalty Subtotal \$100

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 2

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$200

Two single events are recommended for the two deficient reports.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$36

Violation Final Penalty Total \$529

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$529

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Delek Refining, Ltd.  
**Case ID No.** 30908  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN100222512  
**Media [Statute]** Air Quality  
**Violation No.** 18

<b>Percent Interest</b>	<b>Years of Depreciation</b>
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commitments or \$							
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$1,100	24-Jan-2006	22-Sep-2006	0.7	\$36	n/a	\$36
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

**Notes for DELAYED costs**  
 Estimated costs to improve the CEMS reporting documents. The Date Required is the date of the first deficient report, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent completed improvements to the documents.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering Item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Item	Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Notes for AVOIDED costs**

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$1,100
**TOTAL** \$36

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 19

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR § 63.654(g) and 63.654(g)(5)(iii)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to submit a timely and complete MACT CC report, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it needed to submit a MACT CC report (concerning flares not meeting general control device requirements) by March 15, 2006, but did not do so until April 12, 2006, that the report did not include the period of December 31, 2005 through January 15, 2006, and that the absence of the flare pilot on December 17, 2005 was not included in the report.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Harm

OR

Release	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
		X	

Percent 10%

Matrix Notes

The Respondent complied with between 30% and 70% of the rule.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
single event	X	

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$33

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,643

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,643

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 19

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$1,000	15-Mar-2006	23-Oct-2006	0.6	\$30	n/a	\$30
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	15-Mar-2006	23-Oct-2006	0.6	\$3	n/a	\$3

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated costs to improve the MACT CC reporting system (\$1,000). The Date Required is the date the report was due, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent completed improvements to the reporting system. Estimated costs to prepare and submit a corrected report (\$100). The Date Required is the date the report was due, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent submitted a corrected report.

### Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$1,100

**TOTAL** \$33

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 20

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 63.10(d) and 63.1575(b)(2)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to timely submit a MACT UUU report, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it did not submit the MACT UUU report (concerning catalytic cracking, catalytic reforming, and sulfur recovery units) that was due on January 31, 2006 until July 31, 2006.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm		
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor
OR	Actual			
	Potential			

Percent

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
			X

Percent 1%

Matrix Notes

The Respondent complied with more than 70% of the rule.

Adjustment -\$9,900

Base Penalty Subtotal \$100

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$100

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$34

Violation Final Penalty Total \$264

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$264

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Delek Refining, Ltd.  
**Case ID No.** 30908  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN100222512  
**Media [Statute]** Air Quality  
**Violation No.** 20

<b>Percent Interest</b>	<b>Years of Depreciation</b>
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost <small>No commas or \$</small>	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$800	31-Jan-2006	23-Oct-2006	0.7	\$29	n/a	\$29
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$200	31-Jan-2006	31-Jul-2006	0.5	\$5	n/a	\$5

**Notes for DELAYED costs**  
 Estimated costs to improve the MACT UUU reporting system (\$800) and to prepare and submit a report (\$200). The Date Required is the date of the report was due, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent completed improvements to the reporting system. The overdue report itself was submitted on July 31, 2006.

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering Item (except for one-time avoided costs)							
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Notes for AVOIDED costs**

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$1,000
TOTAL \$34

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 21

Primary Rule Cite(s)

NSR Air Permit No. 4902, SC 7., FOP O-01257, STC 13. and 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to conduct monthly CO sampling, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it did not sample for CO in the gas fed to Boiler EPN 54 during the month of May 2005.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm		
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor
OR	Actual			
	Potential			X

Percent 10%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment could have been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which would not have exceeded levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$49

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,643

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,643

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Delek Refining, Ltd.  
**Case ID No.** 30908  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN100222512  
**Media [Statute]** Air Quality  
**Violation No.** 21

<b>Percent Interest</b>	<b>Years of Depreciation</b>
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$500	01-May-2005	05-Jun-2006	1.1	\$27	n/a	\$27
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated costs to improve procedures to ensure regular sampling. The Date Required is the first day of the violation month, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent reported improvements to procedures had been completed.

### Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	\$250	01-May-2005	31-May-2005	0.1	\$1	\$21	\$22
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated costs to have done sampling. The Date Required is the first day of the violation month, and the Final Date is the last day of the violation month.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$750

**TOTAL** \$49

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 22

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 63.120(a)(5) and 63.646(a)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to notify the TCEQ at least 30 days prior to refilling storage tanks in HAP service, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it refilled Tank Nos. 118 and 122, during the period November 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, without prior notification.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm			
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual				Percent
	Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
	x			25%

Matrix Notes

The Respondent failed to comply with 100% of the rule.

Adjustment -\$7,500

Base Penalty Subtotal \$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 2

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$5,000

Two single events are recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$232

Violation Final Penalty Total \$13,217

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$13,217

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 22

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost <small>No commas or \$</small>	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	06-Nov-2005	22-Sep-2006	0.9	\$22	n/a	\$22
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated costs to improve procedures to ensure timely tank refilling notifications. The Date Required is the first day of the reported violation period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent completed improvements to procedures.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$200	06-Nov-2005	05-May-2006	1.0	\$10	\$200	\$210
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs: Estimated costs to have notified the TCEQ of tank refillings. The Date Required is the first day of the reported violation period, and the Final Date is the last date of the reported violation period.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$700 **TOTAL** \$232

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 23

Primary Rule Cite(s) FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 63.120(b)(1)(iii) and 63.646(a)

Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description Failed to perform timely tank seal gap measurements on tanks in HAP service, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that, during the period November 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, it failed to timely perform annually required, secondary seal gap measurements on Tank Nos. 151, 155, and 156.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				
Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
		X		10%

Matrix Notes The Respondent complied with between 70% and 30% of the rule, and none of the tanks that were subsequently inspected had noncompliant seal gaps.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 3

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$3,000

Three single events are recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$1,619

Violation Final Penalty Total \$7,930

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$7,930

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 23

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost <small>No commas or \$</small>	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$1,000	06-Nov-2005	22-Sep-2006	0.9	\$44	n/a	\$44
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated costs to improve procedures to ensure timely tank inspections. The Date Required is the first day of the reported violation period, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent completed improvements to a system for tracking inspection due dates.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$1,500	06-Nov-2005	31-Oct-2006	1.0	\$75	\$1,500	\$1,575
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs: Estimated costs to have performed tank inspections. The Date Required is the first day of the reported violation period, and the Final Date is the date by which the Respondent reported that the inspections had been conducted.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$2,500

**TOTAL** \$1,619

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 24

Primary Rule Cite(s)

FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR § 60.113b(b)(1)(ii)

Secondary Rule Cite(s)

Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to perform timely tank seal gap measurements on a tank in VOC service, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that, during the period November 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, it failed to timely inspect Tank No. 172's secondary seal gap measurements (required annually).

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm		
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor
OR	Actual			
	Potential			

Percent

>> Programmatic Matrix

		Major	Moderate	Minor
	Falsification		X	

Percent 10%

Matrix Notes

The Respondent complied with between 30% and 70% of the rule, and the subsequent seal gap inspection determined that none of the seal gaps were noncompliant.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$0

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,643

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,643

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 24

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Economic benefits for this violation are included in Violation 23.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Description	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs
Disposal	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0
Personnel	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$0
TOTAL \$0

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 25

Primary Rule Cite(s) FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 101.20(2), 113.340, and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 61.356(f)(1) and 63.654(a)

Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description Failed to maintain a signed and dated flare certification, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006, and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the Respondent reported that it did not have a certification for the stripper overhead flare stating it is designed to operate at the desired performance level at the highest expected loads.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
			X

Percent 1%

Matrix Notes The Respondent complied with more than 70% of the rule.

Adjustment -\$9,900

Base Penalty Subtotal \$100

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
single event	X	

Violation Base Penalty \$100

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$19

Violation Final Penalty Total \$264

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$264

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 25

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Stripper overhead flare certification	\$200	06-May-2005	01-Apr-2007	1.9	\$19	n/a	\$19
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
API separator flare certification				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated costs to prepare and maintain a flare certification. The Date Required is the first day of the reported violation period. The Final Date is the date the Respondent is expected to have the certification completed.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering Item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$200

**TOTAL** \$19

Screening Date 24-Aug-2006

Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 30908

PCW Revision May 19, 2005

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512

Media [Statute] Air Quality

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number 26

Primary Rule Cite(s) FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 113.340, and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 61.346(b)(2)(ii)(A) and 63.654(a)

Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description Failed to install a flow indicator, as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the vent pipe on one junction box in the wastewater drain system was vented to the atmosphere, and a flow indicator was not installed to ensure that no venting of organic vapors was occurring.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm			
Release		Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual				Percent 10%
	Potential			X	

>> Programmatic Matrix

		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	
						Percent

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment could be exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	X
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One quarterly event is recommended from the investigation date (July 12, 2006) to the enforcement screening date (August 24, 2006).

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$12

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,643

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,643

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Delek Refining, Ltd.  
 Case ID No. 30908  
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100222512  
 Media [Statute] Air Quality  
 Violation No. 26

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost <small>No commas or \$</small>	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<b>Delayed Costs</b>							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$500	26-Jul-2006	29-Nov-2006	0.3	\$1	\$12	\$12
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated costs to seal the vent. The Date Required is the day of the investigation, and the Final Date is the date the Respondent reported having completed the project.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$500
TOTAL \$12

# Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN602831232      Delek Refining, Ltd.	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.25
Regulated Entity:	RN100222512      DELEK TYLER REFINERY	Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 3.50
ID Number(s):	AIR OPERATING PERMITS	ACCOUNT NUMBER	SK0022A
	AIR OPERATING PERMITS	PERMIT	1257
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	WQ0001590000
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	TPDES0001449
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	TX0001449
	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATION	PERMIT	50062
	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATION	EPA ID	TXD007333800
	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATION	SOLID WASTE REGISTRATION # (SWR)	30872
	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE	PERMIT	50062
	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE	PERMIT	50062
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	72
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	3903
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	3904
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	4028
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	4902
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	5955
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	5955A
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	7488
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	10819
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	14200
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	21104
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	46067
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	ACCOUNT NUMBER	SK0022A
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	56479
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	AFS NUM	0001
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	56281
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	PERMIT	56648
	AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS	REGISTRATION	75087
	IHW CORRECTIVE ACTION	SOLID WASTE REGISTRATION # (SWR)	30872
	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE PROCESSING	PERMIT	50062
	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE COMPLIANCE PLANS	PERMIT	50062
	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	PERMIT	5X2600283
Location:	1702 E COMMERCE ST, TYLER, TX 75702	Rating Date: 9/1/2005	Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region: REGION 05 - TYLER

Date Compliance History Prepared: August 18, 2006

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: August 18, 2001 to August 18, 2006

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Terry Murphy      Phone: (512) 239-5025

### Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?      Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period?      Yes
3. If Yes, who is the current owner?      Delek Refining, Ltd.
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?      La Gloria Oil and Gas Company
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?      April 28, 2005

### Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 06/15/2006

1660-style Admin. Order 2006-0028-AIR-E

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.211(a)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to report unauthorized emissions resulting from an emissions event.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)(2)(F)  
30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: 4902 Special Condition 1 PA

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions during an emissions event at the FCCU/#9 Boiler. Since Delek failed to properly report the emissions event, the affirmative defense could not be met pursuant to 30 TAC §101.222(c)(1).

Effective Date: 04/03/2005

1660-style Admin. Order 2004-0291-AIR-E

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 4 PERMIT

Description: Failed to comply with emission limits for sulphur dioxide.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to comply with emission limits for carbon monoxide from Boiler No. 9.

During an investigation conducted on November 07, 2002, t

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(a)(1)(B)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to notify the TCEQ Tyler Regional office within 24 hours of two reportable emissions events.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 2 PERMIT

Description: Failure to control emissions from the sulfur storage pit at the Sulfur Recovery Plant.

Classification: Minor

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.212(b)(4)(C)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to equip the gasoline loading terminal with a system to automatically stop the transfer of gasoline if the emission control device malfunctions.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)  
40 CFR Part 60, Subpart J 60.105(a)(4)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to install an instrument to continuously monitor and record the concentrations of hydrogen sulfide in the fuel gas prior to being burned by any fuel gas combustion device.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)[G]  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to comply with the special condition of its permit.

Effective Date: 10/24/2005

Findings Admin. Order 2005-0342-AIR-E

Classification: Major

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SPECIAL CONDITION NO. 1 OP

Description: La Gloria failed to comply with emission limits for sulfur dioxide (SO2) from the refinery fuel gas system.

Effective Date: 05/12/2006

1660-style Admin. Order 2005-1354-AIR-E

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(a)[G]  
30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(b)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to properly report the incidents associated to the January 18, 2005 emisisions event.

Classification: Major

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to comply with permitted emissions limits during an emisisions event on January 18, 2005. Since the emissions event was not properly reported La Gloria was unable to meet the demonstration for an affirmative defense under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 101.222.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.145(2)(B)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.165(a)(7)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description: Failure to submit a deviation report within 30 days after the end of the reporting period and include certification by a responsible official.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Permit O-01257 STC 3Aiii and 3Biii OP

Description: Failed to maintain records documenting quarterly opacity observations, as reported in deviation reports for the period of May 6, 2004 to November 5, 2004 and November 6, 2004 to April 28, 2005.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT J 60.105(a)(4)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Permit O-01257, ST&C 1.A. OP

Description: Failed to install an instrument for continuously monitoring and recording the concentration of hydrogen sulfide in fuel gases before being burned in any fuel gas combustion device.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Permit 5955A, SC 4 PA  
SOP O-01257, ST&C 13 OP

Description: Failed to maintain sulfur production below the maximum limit of 16.6 long tons per day ("LTD").

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Permit 5955A, SC 5B PA  
Permit O-01257, SC 13 OP

Description: Failed to maintain records demonstrating the date, time, and specific repairs made for leaks that were discovered during olfactory, visual, and audible ("OVA") leak checks.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Permit 21104, SC 2 PA  
Permit O-01257, SC 13 OP

Description: Failed to maintain nitrogen oxide ("NOx") emissions below 64 parts per million volumetric dry ("ppmvd").

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT Kb 60.113b(b)(5)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Permit O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to notify the Administrator in writing 30 days in advance of conducting seal gap measurements.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT Kb 60.115b(b)(2)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257, STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to submit a report to the Administrator within 60 days after conducting seal gap measurements.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 113, SubChapter C 113.340  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT AA 63.646(a)  
40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT G 63.120(a)(5)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to notify the Administrator in writing 30 days prior to refilling an empty storage vessel.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 113, SubChapter C 113.340  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT AA 63.646(a)  
40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT G 63.120(b)(1)(iii)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to perform seal gap measurements of external floating roof vessels equipped with primary and secondary seals at least once per year after the initial compliance date.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)  
30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT J 60.107(b)(1)(I)  
40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT J 60.107(b)(1)(III)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Permit 4902 SC 2 PA  
SOP O-01257 STC 13 OP

Description: Failed to maintain complete quality control records for the CEMS and continuous opacity monitoring system ("COMS"), on BLRHT0009.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 113, SubChapter C 113.340  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.487(c)(2)(vii)  
40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.487(c)(4)  
40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT AA 63.648(a)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to include in semi-annual reports submitted to the Administrator facts that explain each delay of repair, and where appropriate, why a process unit shutdown was technically infeasible, and changes to components that have occurred since the submission of previous semi-annual reports

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.110(a)[G]  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter D 382.085(b)

Description: Failed to obtain authorization for construction and operation of the Reformer HCl Fixed Bed Absorber installed on April 11, 2005.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(2)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
40 CFR Chapter 61, SubChapter C, PT 61, SubPT FF 61.342(f)(2)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 10E OP

Description: Failed to include with each off-site shipment a notice stating that the waste contains benzene which is required to be managed and treated in accordance with 40 Code of Federal Regulations Subpart FF.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(2)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
  
40 CFR Chapter 61, SubChapter C, PT 61, SubPT FF 61.357(d)(2)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 10K OP

Description: Failed to submit an annual benzene report that includes the total benzene quantity from facility waste, a table identifying each waste stream and whether or not the waste stream is being controlled for benzene emissions, or a statement that there were not changes from the previous annual report.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(2)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
40 CFR Chapter 61, SubChapter C, PT 61, SubPT FF 61.357(d)(8)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 10F OP

Description: Failed to include in the annual report a summary of all inspections in which detectable emissions are measured that could result in benzene emissions.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(2)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
  
40 CFR Chapter 61, SubChapter C, PT 61, SubPT FF 61.356(f)(1)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to maintain a signed and dated certification that closed-vent systems and control devices in benzene waste operations are designed to operate at the documented performance level when operated at the highest load or capacity expected to occur.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(2)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
  
40 CFR Chapter 61, SubChapter C, PT 61, SubPT FF 61.356(e)(1)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to maintain a signed and dated certification that a treatment process unit in benzene waste operations is designed to operate at the documented performance level when the waste stream entering the unit is at the highest waste stream flow rate and benzene content expected to occur.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(2)  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
40 CFR Chapter 61, SubChapter C, PT 61, SubPT FF 61.349(f)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to visually inspect on a quarterly basis each closed-vent system and control device in benzene waste operations.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 113, SubChapter C 113.340  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
  
40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.482-1(a)

40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT CC 63.648(a)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to demonstrate compliance with the standards of performance for equipments leaks by failing to monitor components for fugitive emissions.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 113, SubChapter C 113.340  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.482-5(a)  
40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT CC 63.648(a)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to equip each sampling system connection with a closed-purge, closed-loop, or closed-vent system.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 113, SubChapter C 113.340  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.482-6(a)(1)  
40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT CC 63.648(a)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to equip open-ended lines with a cap, blind flange, plug, or second valve.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 113, SubChapter C 113.340  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.486(c)(1)  
40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.486(c)(5)  
40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT CC 63.648(a)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to maintain a complete log of information relating to the detection of leaks.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 113, SubChapter C 113.340  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.486(e)(2)(II)  
40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT CC 63.648(a)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to include all required information on the list of identification number for equipment that has been designated as having no detectable emissions.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 113, SubChapter C 113.340  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT VV 60.482-7(a)  
40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT CC 63.648(a)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to monitor valves monthly.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 113, SubChapter C 113.340  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT A 63.11(b)(5)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP

Description: Failed to operate a flare with a flame present at all times.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 113, SubChapter C 113.340  
30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT AA 63.646(a)  
 40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT G 63.119(b)(1)  
 40 CFR Chapter 63, SubChapter C, PT 63, SubPT G 63.119(c)  
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter D 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP  
 Description: Failed to maintain the internal or external floating roof resting on the liquid surface at all times except during the initial fill, after the vessel has been completely emptied and degassed.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.10(b)(1)  
 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 2E OP  
 Description: Failed to submit an emissions inventory report that includes the amount of emissions not identified in previous inventories.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)  
 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)  
 40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT Kb 60.115b(b)(3)[G]  
 5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: SOP O-01257 STC 1A OP  
 Description: Failed to maintain records of the calculations used to determine the seal gap size.

See addendum for information regarding federal actions.

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1	06/20/2002	(154578)	61	10/12/2004	(337088)
			62	06/06/2005	(393861)
			63	06/30/2005	(395659)
2	06/23/2003	(154579)	64	05/09/2006	(464560)
			65	03/30/2005	(375383)
			66	04/14/2005	(440525)
3	07/23/2002	(154582)	67	05/18/2005	(440526)
4	07/21/2003	(154583)	68	07/08/2005	(377143)
5	08/22/2003	(294018)	69	06/20/2005	(440527)
6	05/27/2003	(154584)	70	07/18/2005	(440528)
7	08/10/2004	(277299)	71	08/22/2005	(440529)
			73	04/19/2006	(497864)
8	09/22/2003	(294020)	74	05/22/2006	(497865)
9	12/01/2003	(249843)	75	02/24/2006	(467879)
10	08/21/2002	(154587)	76	06/22/2006	(497866)
11	03/23/2004	(260662)	77	03/20/2006	(467880)
12	10/16/2003	(294022)	78	05/07/2004	(271719)
13	11/20/2003	(294023)	79	03/21/2006	(467881)
14	09/26/2001	(154589)	80	12/01/2003	(249992)
15	08/19/2004	(291454)	81	02/27/2002	(154562)
16	06/29/2004	(278310)	82	04/04/2006	(460987)
17	12/17/2003	(294025)	83	09/19/2005	(467882)
18	09/20/2002	(154590)	84	12/22/2003	(255756)
19	11/18/2005	(436024)	85	02/27/2003	(154563)
20	01/20/2004	(294027)	86	10/24/2005	(467883)
21	01/12/2005	(346996)	87	11/18/2005	(434907)
22	05/23/2005	(380964)	88	08/11/2005	(402434)
23	06/20/2002	(110533)	89	11/14/2005	(467884)
24	04/06/2006	(455316)	90	07/19/2004	(351528)
25	04/02/2002	(110532)	91	08/07/2003	(147667)
26	10/24/2001	(154592)	92	12/15/2005	(467885)
27	01/10/2002	(110531)			

28	12/04/2001	(110530)	93	02/27/2006	(457446)
29	12/15/2005	(449493)	94	03/25/2002	(154565)
30	06/30/2004	(277972)	95	08/26/2004	(351529)
31	10/21/2002	(154593)	96	04/19/2006	(462370)
32	12/04/2001	(110529)	97	03/19/2003	(154566)
33	11/28/2001	(110528)	98	09/20/2004	(351530)
34	10/04/2001	(110527)	99	08/11/2006	(483839)
35	08/30/2001	(110526)	100	02/20/2004	(294007)
36	11/18/2005	(435670)	101	12/20/2005	(449726)
37	12/03/2001	(154596)	102	10/25/2004	(292842)
38	08/11/2005	(402997)	103	10/18/2004	(351531)
39	04/11/2006	(462336)	104	03/12/2004	(294010)
40	03/10/2006	(458489)	105	01/12/2005	(343693)
41	11/22/2002	(154597)	106	04/18/2002	(154570)
42	07/08/2005	(399231)	107	04/12/2004	(294011)
43	02/03/2006	(454186)	108	04/16/2003	(154571)
44	12/20/2001	(154600)	109	11/16/2004	(351532)
45	07/08/2005	(398026)	110	05/17/2004	(294013)
46	10/12/2005	(434239)	111	11/17/2004	(351533)
47	08/11/2005	(402151)	112	05/20/2002	(154574)
48	08/31/2004	(279748)	113	06/18/2004	(294015)
49	12/19/2002	(154601)			
50	02/14/2005	(381554)	114	04/20/2005	(377421)
51	03/17/2005	(381555)	115	05/27/2003	(154575)
52	01/25/2002	(154604)	116	03/23/2006	(459993)
53	12/17/2004	(381556)	117	05/30/2006	(464785)
54	10/10/2005	(433513)	118	02/02/2005	(346810)
55	01/24/2003	(154605)			
56	05/23/2005	(392910)			
57	03/10/2006	(458379)			
58	06/19/2003	(112335)			
59	11/18/2005	(435759)			
60	04/03/2003	(15290)			

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 08/31/2001 (154589)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 10/02/2003 (249843)  
Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(a)(2)(H)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(a)  
Description: Failure to provide an estimate of the total quantities of air contaminants emitted in an initial report submitted to the TCEQ Tyler Regional office on September 5, 2003 at 1744 hrs for an emissions event occurring that same day at 0130 hrs.

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(a)(2)(F)  
30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(a)(2)(H)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(a)  
Description: Failure to provide an estimated event duration and an estimate of the total quantities of air contaminants emitted in an initial report submitted to the TCEQ Tyler Regional office on September 11, 2003 at 0953 hrs for an emissions event occurring on September 10, 2003 at 1005 hrs.

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(a)(2)(F)  
30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter F 101.201(a)(2)(H)  
5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(a)  
Description: Failure to provide an estimated event duration and an estimate of the total quantities of air contaminants emitted in an initial report submitted to the TCEQ Tyler Regional office on September 16, 2003 at 1644 hrs for an emissions event occurring on September 15, 2003 at 2359 hrs.

Date: 08/31/2002 (154590)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 12/31/2003 (294027)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 11/30/2001 (154600)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 06/30/2006 (467881)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 02/28/2002 (154565)  
Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSS).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance. Yes

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

Addendum to Compliance Histo.  
Federal Enforcement Actions

CUSTOMER (Defendant): Crown Central, LLC  
REGULATED ENTITY: LA GLORIA OIL & GAS COMPANY  
REG. ENTITY ADDRESS: 1702 E. COMMERCE ST.  
REG. ENTITY CITY: TYLER

CUSTOMER NO.: CN600131221  
REG. ENTITY NO.: RN100222512

Violations

EPA CASE NO.: WF-2005-1008  
ORDER ISSUED DATE (YYYYMMDD): 20050518  
ENFORCEMENT ACTION TYPE: Administrative Penalty Order  
CASE RESULT: Final Order With Penalty  
CLASSIFICATION: MODERATE  
STATUTE: CAA SECT. OF STATUTE: 211  
CITATION: CITE PART: CITE SECT.:  
PROGRAM: Mobile Sources  
VIOLATION TYPE: Gasoline High Sulfur



# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN  
ENFORCEMENT ACTION  
CONCERNING  
DELEK REFINING, LTD.  
RN100222512**

**§ BEFORE THE  
§  
§ TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
§  
§ ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

## **AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2006-1433-AIR-E**

### **I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS**

At its \_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Delek Refining, Ltd. ("Delek") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Delek appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. Delek owns and operates a petroleum refinery at 1702 East Commerce Street in Tyler, Smith County, Texas (the "Plant").
2. The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
3. The Commission and Delek agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Delek is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. Delek received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on August 14, 2006.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Delek of any violation alleged in the Allegations, nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Two Hundred Eighty-Eight Thousand Three Hundred Ninety-Five Dollars (\$288,395) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Delek has paid One Hundred Fifteen Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Eight Dollars (\$115,358) of the administrative penalty and Fifty-Seven Thousand Six Hundred Seventy-Nine Dollars (\$57,679) is deferred contingent upon Delek's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Delek fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Delek to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. One Hundred Fifteen Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Eight Dollars



(\$115,358) shall be conditionally offset by Delek's completion of two Supplemental Environmental Projects.

7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Delek have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that Delek has implemented the following corrective measures at the Plant:
  - a. By April 1, 2006, completed improvements to record keeping procedures and began recording quarterly opacity observations;
  - b. By September 22, 2006, began submitting semiannual startup, shutdown, and malfunction ("SSM") reports;
  - c. By September 22, 2006, completed improvements to reporting procedures designed to ensure Maximum Achievable Control Technology ("MACT") reports are sent to the proper address;
  - d. By September 22, 2006, completed improvements to procedures designed to ensure weekly cooling tower sampling;
  - e. NSR Air Permit No. 5955A was amended effective April 24, 2006 to allow for an increase in sulfur production levels;
  - f. By December 5, 2005, completed improvements to procedures that ensure offsite shipments of waste containing benzene will include a notice that the waste contains benzene and needs to be treated as required;
  - g. By September 22, 2006, initiated a program to obtain the necessary data and records to identify benzene-containing waste streams;
  - h. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to vent system visual inspection procedures and completed visual inspections;
  - i. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to tank seal inspection procedures and completed seal inspections;
  - j. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to record keeping procedures designed to provide complete information on Leak Detection and Repair ("LDAR") reports;
  - k. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to the quarterly continuous emissions monitoring systems ("CEMS") reports;

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

10/15/2014

- l. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to procedures designed to ensure the submittal of complete and timely MACT CC reports;
  - m. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to procedures designed to ensure the timely submittal of MACT UUU reports;
  - n. By June 5, 2006, completed improvements to procedures that ensure the regular monthly sampling of carbon monoxide ("CO") in the gas feed to Boiler EPN54;
  - o. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to procedures designed to ensure timely storage tank refilling notifications;
  - p. By September 22, 2006, made improvements to a system for ensuring the timely inspections of storage tank seal gap measurements; and
  - q. By November 29, 2006, sealed the vent on the junction box in the wastewater drain system that had been venting to the atmosphere.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Delek has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
  11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
  12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

## II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Plant, Delek is alleged to have:

1. Failed to maintain records of quarterly opacity observations, in violation of Federal Operating Permit ("FOP") O-01257, Special Terms and Conditions ("STC"), 3.A.iii. and 3.B.iii., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 122.143(4), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it failed to record observations from May 6, 2005 through May 5, 2006.
2. Failed to submit semiannual SSM reports, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A. and 8.G., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(2) and 122.143(4), 40 CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS ("CFR") §§ 63.10(d)(5)(i) and 63.654(h), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

In addition, the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent data collection procedures and the use of appropriate statistical techniques to interpret the results.

The document also addresses the challenges associated with data management, such as ensuring data security and privacy. It provides recommendations for implementing robust security measures and policies to protect sensitive information.

Furthermore, the document discusses the role of technology in data analysis. It explores how modern software tools and platforms can streamline data processing and visualization, making it easier to identify trends and insights.

Finally, the document concludes by emphasizing the importance of ongoing monitoring and evaluation. It suggests that organizations should regularly review their data management practices to ensure they remain effective and up-to-date.

### Conclusion

In summary, this document provides a comprehensive overview of the data management process. It covers the key steps from data collection to analysis and highlights the critical factors for success, such as accuracy, security, and the use of technology.

By following the guidelines outlined in this document, organizations can ensure that their data is managed effectively, leading to improved decision-making and operational efficiency.

December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it failed to submit the SSM reports from May 6, 2005 through May 5, 2006.

3. Loaded tank trucks not having current leak test certifications, in violation of New Source Review ("NSR") Air Permit No. 72, Special Conditions ("SC") 5., FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 115.214(b)(1)(C) and 116.115(c), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that, on April 11, 2006 and May 4, 2006, two trucks were loaded without having been leak tested within the last year.
4. Failed to submit a MACT report to the proper address, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 11.F., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340, 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.13(a) and 63.642(f), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, on September 14, 2005, Delek submitted the report to an address different from the address specified in the rule.
5. Failed to conduct weekly cooling tower sampling, in violation of NSR Air Permit No. 5955, SC 11.F., FOP O-01257, STC 13., and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, during the week ending August 8, 2005, Delek failed to sample water from Cooling Tower No.1, and during the week ending October 9, 2005, failed to sample from Cooling Tower No. 5.
6. Failed to maintain sulfur production levels below the maximum limit, in violation of NSR Air Permit No. 5955A, SC 4., FOP O-01257, STC 13., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the permit requires that the permit holder not exceed a sulfur production limit of 16.6 long tons per day ("LTD"), but on May 9, 2005 and on March 25, 2006, Delek reported that the production levels were 17.7 LTD on both days, respectively. Additionally, a review of Delek's records showed production exceedances on May 25 and December 26, 2005 and on January 1, January 15, and March 15, 2006 of 16.8, 16.9, 17.6, 18.4, and 16.7 LTD, respectively.
7. Failed to include a notice with offsite benzene waste shipments, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A. and 10.E., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(2) and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 61.342(f)(2), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that, between May 6 and July 15, 2005, it shipped wastes containing benzene without including a notice that they contained benzene and needed to be treated as required.
8. Failed to identify each benzene-containing waste stream, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A. and 10., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(2), 113.340, and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 61.356(a), 61.356(b)(1), 61.356(b)(2), and 63.654(a), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that, for the period May 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, its records do not identify each uncontrolled waste, the annual waste quantity of those

10/10/2011  
10/10/2011  
10/10/2011

10/10/2011  
10/10/2011  
10/10/2011

10/10/2011  
10/10/2011  
10/10/2011

10/10/2011  
10/10/2011  
10/10/2011

10/10/2011  
10/10/2011  
10/10/2011

10/10/2011  
10/10/2011  
10/10/2011

10/10/2011  
10/10/2011  
10/10/2011

10/10/2011  
10/10/2011  
10/10/2011

wastes, nor do they document that the total annual benzene quantity in exempt waste streams does not exceed two megagrams per year.

9. Failed to conduct vent system visual inspections, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(2), 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 61.349(f) and 63.647(a), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not perform quarterly visual inspections of the closed vent system on the stripper overheads (ID No. PRO-WWS) from May 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, nor of the vent system from the separator to the wastewater flare (ID No. WWSFUSEPR) from May 6, 2005 to November 5, 2005.
10. Failed to conduct yearly internal floating roof tank seal inspections on tanks in HAP service, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.120(a)(3)(ii), 63.646(a), and 63.646(g), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that, during the period May 6, 2005 to November 5, 2005, it did not visually inspect the internal floating roof seals on 23 tanks in HAP service (Tank Nos. 2, 4, 30, 31, 37, 53, 54, 55, 59, 61, 62, 63, 116, 122, 123, 124, 125, 136, 137, 160, 161, 162, and 163) within 12 months of the previous inspection.
11. Failed to timely perform visual tank seal inspections on tanks in benzene service within 12 months of the previous inspections, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1), 101.20(2), and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 60.113b(a)(2) and 61.351(a)(1), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it failed to conduct visual inspections of the primary and secondary seals on three tanks (Tank Nos. 34, 35, and 154) in benzene service.
12. Failed to perform a timely visual tank inspection of primary and secondary seals within ten years of the previous inspection, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.120(a)(2)(i), 63.120(a)(3)(iii), and 63.646(a), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it failed to conduct timely inspections on Tank No. 122, a tank in HAP service.
13. Failed to install an instrument to continuously monitor and record hydrogen sulfide ("H<sub>2</sub>S") on fuel gas combustion devices, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), 40 CFR § 60.105(a)(4), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it failed to install H<sub>2</sub>S monitors on Flares 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and the wastewater flare. The investigation also documented that a monitor was not installed on the Platformer Heater.
14. Failed to perform daily H<sub>2</sub>S calculations, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 6.F., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 60.105(a)(4) and 60.13(d)(1), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July



- 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it had not performed daily drift calculations on the H<sub>2</sub>S monitor on the Vacuum Unit Heater since May 6, 2005.
15. Failed to equip open-ended lines or valves with a cap, blind flange, plug, or a second valve, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1), 113.340, and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 60.482-6(a)(1) and 63.648(a), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that 36 open-ended lines ("OELs") in HAP service in Units 2HD (ten OELs), Sat #2 (two OELs), Crude (sixteen OELs), Tank Farm (four OELs), and Coker (four OELs), had not been equipped with caps, blind flanges, plugs, or second valves. During the physical investigation, a further nine OELs in the Alky Unit and nine OELs in the Sat Gas Unit, all in VOC service, were documented.
  16. Failed to provide complete information on a LDAR report, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1), 113.340, and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 60.486(c)(1), 60.486(c)(7), and 63.648(a), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not record instrument operator identification, instrument number, nor the expected date of repair on the monthly Delay of Repair list.
  17. Failed to certify the accuracy and completeness of quarterly CEMS reports, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 60.105(e)(3)(ii), 60.7(c)(2), and 60.107(f), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not include language in the quarterly CEMS reports for the period January 24 to May 5, 2006 certifying their accuracy and completeness.
  18. Failed to submit a timely and complete MACT CC report, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR § 63.654(g) and 63.654(g)(5)(iii), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it needed to submit a MACT CC report (concerning flares not meeting general control device requirements) by March 15, 2006, but did not do so until April 12, 2006, that the report did not include the period of December 31, 2005 through January 15, 2006, and that the absence of the flare pilot on December 17, 2005 was not included in the report.
  19. Failed to timely submit a MACT UUU report, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.10(d) and 63.1575(b)(2), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not submit the MACT UUU report (concerning catalytic cracking, catalytic reforming, and sulfur recovery units) that was due on January 31, 2006 until July 31, 2006.

...the ... of ...

20. Failed to conduct monthly CO sampling, in violation of NSR Air Permit No. 4902, SC 7., FOP O-01257, STC 13., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(c) and 122.143(4), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not sample for CO in the gas fed to Boiler EPN 54 during the month of May 2005.
21. Failed to notify the TCEQ at least 30 days prior to refilling storage tanks in HAP service, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.120(a)(5) and 63.646(a), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it refilled Tank Nos. 118 and 122, during the period November 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, without prior notification.
22. Failed to perform timely tank seal gap measurements on tanks in HAP service, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340 and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 63.120(b)(1)(iii) and 63.646(a), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that, during the period November 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, it failed to timely perform annually required, secondary seal gap measurements on Tank Nos. 151, 155, and 156.
23. Failed to perform timely tank seal gap measurements on a tank in VOC service, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(1) and 122.143(4), 40 CFR § 60.113b(b)(1)(ii), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that, during the period November 6, 2005 to May 5, 2006, it failed to timely inspect Tank No. 172's secondary seal gap measurements (required annually).
24. Failed to maintain a signed and dated flare certification, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 101.20(2), 113.340, and 122.143(4), 40 CFR §§ 61.356(f)(1) and 63.654(a), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, Delek reported that it did not have a certification for the stripper overhead flare stating it is designed to operate at the desired performance level at the highest expected loads.
25. Failed to install a flow indicator, in violation of FOP O-01257, STC 1.A., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 113.340, and 122.143(4), and 40 CFR §§ 61.346(b)(2)(ii)(A) and 63.654(a), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted from July 12 to July 26, 2006 and a records review conducted on December 13, 2006. Specifically, the vent pipe on one junction box in the wastewater drain system was vented to the atmosphere, and a flow indicator was not installed to ensure that no venting of organic vapors was occurring.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the integrity of the financial system and for the ability to detect and prevent fraud. The text also mentions the need for regular audits and the role of independent auditors in ensuring the reliability of financial statements.

In addition, the document highlights the significance of transparency and accountability in financial reporting. It states that stakeholders, including investors and the public, have a right to know how their money is being managed. This requires the implementation of robust internal controls and the disclosure of relevant information in a clear and concise manner.

The document further explores the challenges faced by organizations in maintaining high standards of financial reporting. It identifies factors such as complex transactions, rapid technological changes, and the pressure to meet short-term performance targets as potential obstacles. However, it also notes that these challenges can be overcome through the adoption of best practices, continuous education, and a strong ethical culture.

Finally, the document concludes by reiterating the importance of a proactive approach to financial reporting. It encourages organizations to regularly review and update their policies and procedures to ensure they remain effective and compliant with the latest regulations. By doing so, organizations can build trust with their stakeholders and contribute to the overall stability and growth of the economy.

The document also touches upon the role of regulatory bodies in overseeing financial reporting. It notes that these bodies are responsible for setting the standards and monitoring compliance. It suggests that there should be a balance between regulation and self-governance, with organizations taking responsibility for their own reporting practices while being subject to external oversight.

In summary, the document provides a comprehensive overview of the key aspects of financial reporting. It stresses the need for accuracy, transparency, and accountability, and offers practical advice on how to achieve these goals. The document is intended to serve as a guide for organizations and individuals involved in financial reporting, helping them to navigate the complexities of the field and ensure the highest quality of their work.

### III. DENIALS

Delek generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Delek pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Delek's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Delek Refining, Ltd., Docket No. 2006-1433-AIR-E" to:  
  

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section  
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088
2. Delek shall implement and complete two Supplemental Environmental Projects ("SEPs") in accordance with TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6, above, One Hundred Fifteen Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Eight Dollars (\$115,358) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that Delek implement the SEPs defined in Attachments A and B, incorporated herein by reference. Delek's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreements.
3. It is further ordered that Delek shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 180 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Delek shall submit written certification that:
    - i. Improvements have been implemented to procedures that address the loading of tank trucks not having current leak test certifications;
    - ii. Installation of H<sub>2</sub>S monitors on Flares 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, the wastewater flare, and the Platformer Heater has been completed, or an Alternative Monitoring Program has been approved;
    - iii. Daily H<sub>2</sub>S drift calculations on the H<sub>2</sub>S monitor on the Vacuum Unit Heater are being performed;
    - iv. All 54 OELs referenced in Section II, Allegation No. 15 have been equipped with a cap, blind flange, plug, or a second valve; and

1. The first part of the text discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities related to the business. This includes keeping track of income, expenses, and assets, as well as ensuring that all records are properly organized and stored for easy access.

## 2. Key Points

2.1. *Record Keeping* - Maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities related to the business.

## 2.2. *Financial Statements*

2.2.1. *Income Statement* - A statement that shows the business's income and expenses over a period of time. It is used to determine the business's profitability. The income statement is prepared by adding up all the income earned by the business and subtracting all the expenses incurred. The result is the net income or loss for the period.

2.2.2. *Balance Sheet* - A statement that shows the business's assets and liabilities at a specific point in time.

2.2.3. *Statement of Cash Flows* - A statement that shows the business's cash inflows and outflows over a period of time.

2.2.4. *Statement of Retained Earnings* - A statement that shows the business's retained earnings over a period of time.

2.2.5. *Statement of Equity* - A statement that shows the business's equity over a period of time.

2.2.6. *Statement of Debt* - A statement that shows the business's debt over a period of time.

2.2.7. *Statement of Assets* - A statement that shows the business's assets over a period of time. This statement is used to determine the business's net worth. It is prepared by adding up all the assets owned by the business and subtracting all the liabilities. The result is the net assets or equity for the period.

2.2.8. *Statement of Liabilities* - A statement that shows the business's liabilities over a period of time. This statement is used to determine the business's net worth. It is prepared by adding up all the liabilities owed by the business and subtracting all the assets. The result is the net liabilities or debt for the period.

2.2.9. *Statement of Income* - A statement that shows the business's income over a period of time. This statement is used to determine the business's profitability. It is prepared by adding up all the income earned by the business and subtracting all the expenses. The result is the net income or loss for the period.

2.2.10. *Statement of Expenses* - A statement that shows the business's expenses over a period of time. This statement is used to determine the business's profitability. It is prepared by adding up all the expenses incurred by the business and subtracting all the income. The result is the net expense or loss for the period.

2.2.11. *Statement of Assets and Liabilities* - A statement that shows the business's assets and liabilities over a period of time. This statement is used to determine the business's net worth. It is prepared by adding up all the assets and liabilities and subtracting all the liabilities from all the assets. The result is the net assets or equity for the period.

2.2.12. *Statement of Equity* - A statement that shows the business's equity over a period of time. This statement is used to determine the business's net worth. It is prepared by adding up all the equity owned by the business and subtracting all the liabilities. The result is the net equity or net worth for the period.

2.2.13. *Statement of Debt* - A statement that shows the business's debt over a period of time. This statement is used to determine the business's net worth. It is prepared by adding up all the debt owed by the business and subtracting all the assets. The result is the net debt or net liability for the period.

2.2.14. *Statement of Cash Flows* - A statement that shows the business's cash inflows and outflows over a period of time. This statement is used to determine the business's liquidity. It is prepared by adding up all the cash inflows and subtracting all the cash outflows. The result is the net cash flow for the period.

- v. A stripper overhead flare design and operation certification has been produced and is being maintained;
- b. The certification required by Ordering Provision No. 3.a. shall include detailed supporting documentation including receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance, be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Submit the written certification required by this Ordering Provision to:

Order Compliance Team  
Enforcement Division, MC 149A  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Manager, Air Section  
Tyler Regional Office  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
2916 Teague Drive  
Tyler, Texas 75701-3756

- 4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Delek. Delek is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 5. If Delek fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Delek's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Delek shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Delek shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Delek becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Delek shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Delek receives written approval from the

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to support informed decision-making.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of technology in data management and analysis. It discusses how modern software solutions can streamline data collection, storage, and reporting, thereby improving efficiency and accuracy.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data collection and analysis. It identifies common issues such as data quality, integration, and security, and provides strategies to mitigate these risks.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key findings and recommendations. It stresses the importance of a data-driven approach to organizational management and the need for continuous improvement in data practices.

6. The sixth part of the document provides a detailed overview of the data collection process, including the identification of data sources, the design of data collection instruments, and the implementation of data collection procedures.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the various methods used for data analysis, such as descriptive statistics, inferential statistics, and regression analysis. It explains how these methods are used to interpret the collected data and draw meaningful conclusions.

8. The eighth part of the document focuses on the importance of data security and privacy. It discusses the various measures that can be taken to protect sensitive data from unauthorized access and ensure compliance with relevant regulations.

9. The ninth part of the document provides a detailed overview of the data storage and management process. It discusses the various options for data storage, such as cloud storage and on-premise servers, and the importance of regular backups and data recovery procedures.

10. The tenth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key findings and recommendations. It stresses the importance of a data-driven approach to organizational management and the need for continuous improvement in data practices.

11. The eleventh part of the document provides a detailed overview of the data collection process, including the identification of data sources, the design of data collection instruments, and the implementation of data collection procedures.

12. The twelfth part of the document discusses the various methods used for data analysis, such as descriptive statistics, inferential statistics, and regression analysis. It explains how these methods are used to interpret the collected data and draw meaningful conclusions.

13. The thirteenth part of the document focuses on the importance of data security and privacy. It discusses the various measures that can be taken to protect sensitive data from unauthorized access and ensure compliance with relevant regulations.

14. The fourteenth part of the document provides a detailed overview of the data storage and management process. It discusses the various options for data storage, such as cloud storage and on-premise servers, and the importance of regular backups and data recovery procedures.

15. The fifteenth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key findings and recommendations. It stresses the importance of a data-driven approach to organizational management and the need for continuous improvement in data practices.

Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Delek in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
8. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
9. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Delek, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Delek, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This not only helps in tracking expenses but also ensures compliance with tax regulations. The document further outlines the process of reconciling bank statements with the company's ledger to identify any discrepancies. It stresses the need for regular audits to prevent errors and detect potential fraud. The second part of the document provides a detailed breakdown of the company's financial performance over the last quarter. It includes a comparison of actual results against budgeted figures and identifies key areas of variance. The analysis shows that while revenue has increased, operating expenses have also risen, leading to a narrower profit margin. The document concludes with recommendations for cost-cutting measures and strategies to improve overall financial health. It suggests that by focusing on operational efficiency and reducing unnecessary expenditures, the company can achieve its long-term financial goals.

## SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Commission

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Executive Director

6/4/07  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional Penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

January 24, 2007  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Michael Norman  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Name (Printed or typed)  
Authorized Representative of Delek Refining, Ltd.

VP - Environmental & Regulatory Affairs  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

January 24, 2007  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Frederec Green  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Name (Printed or typed)  
Authorized Representative of Delek Refining, Ltd.

Chief Operating Officer  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

**Instructions:** Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.



Attachment A  
Docket Number: 2006-1433-AIR-E

**SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT**

<b>Respondent:</b>	<b>Delek Refining, Ltd.</b>
<b>Payable Penalty Amount:</b>	<b>Two Hundred Thirty Thousand Seven Hundred Sixteen Dollars (\$230,716)</b>
<b>SEP Amount:</b>	<b>Ninety-Two Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Eight Dollars (\$92,358)</b>
<b>Type of SEP:</b>	<b>Pre-approved</b>
<b>Third-Party Recipient:</b>	<b>Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. (RC&amp;D)-Household Hazardous Waste Clean-Up</b>
<b>Location of SEP:</b>	<b>Smith County</b>

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

**1. Project Description**

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to provide local residents with a means of properly disposing household hazardous wastes such as paint, thinners, pesticides, oil and gas, corrosive cleaners, and fertilizers in one day collection events. SEP monies will be used to pay for the associated labor, materials, and disposal costs. Citizens will not be charged disposal fees. The project is administered in accordance with TCEQ guidance on household hazardous waste and in compliance with federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations. All dollars contributed will be used solely for the direct cost of the project and no portion will be spent on administrative costs.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by providing a means of properly disposing household hazardous waste which might otherwise be disposed of in storm drains, the sewage system, or other means detrimental to the environment.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

**2. Performance Schedule**

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.  
1716 Briarcrest Drive, Suite 510  
Bryan, Texas 77802

**3. Records and Reporting**

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division  
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

**4. Failure to Fully Perform**

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Financial Administration Division, Revenues  
Attention: Cashier, MC 214  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the information provided in this document is intended to assist you in understanding the various aspects of the project and the steps required for successful completion.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.  
Sincerely,  
[Signature]

For more information, please contact the project manager.

The following table provides a summary of the key findings and recommendations from the recent analysis. It is important to review these details carefully to ensure all necessary actions are taken.

Key findings include a significant increase in user engagement and a decrease in system downtime. Recommendations focus on further optimizing the user interface and implementing robust backup procedures.

Additional resources and reports are available upon request.

We appreciate your feedback and look forward to continuing our collaboration. Your input is crucial for the success of our shared goals.

Should you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to reach out to our support team.

Best regards,  
[Signature]  
[Name]  
[Title]

This document is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual named. If you have received this document by mistake, please notify the sender immediately.

**5. Publicity**

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

**6. Clean Texas Program**

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

**7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies**

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.



**Attachment B**  
**Docket Number: 2006-1433-AIR-E**

**SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT**

<b>Respondent:</b>	<b>Delek Refining, Ltd.</b>
<b>Payable Penalty Amount:</b>	<b>Two Hundred Thirty Thousand Seven Hundred Sixteen Dollars (\$230,716)</b>
<b>SEP Amount:</b>	<b>Twenty-Three Thousand Dollars (\$23,000)</b>
<b>Type of SEP:</b>	<b>Pre-approved</b>
<b>Third-Party Recipient:</b>	<b>Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&amp;D")- Wastewater Treatment Assistance</b>
<b>Location of SEP:</b>	<b>Smith County</b>

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment B.

**1. Project Description**

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to provide low income rural homeowners with assistance to enable the repair or replacement of their failing on-site wastewater systems. SEP monies will be used to pay for the labor and materials costs related to repairing or replacing the failing systems. The recipients will not be charged for the cost of replacing or repairing the failing systems

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by protecting water sources for drinking, recreation, and wildlife from contamination from failing treatment systems

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this report is to...

1.1 Background

The background of this project is...

1.2 Objectives

The objectives of this project are...

The objectives of this project are to...

1.3 Methodology

The methodology used in this project is...

1.4 Results

The results of the project are...

The results of the project are...

The results of the project are...

1.5 Conclusion

The conclusion of the project is...

1.6 References

The references used in this project are...

1.7 Appendix

The appendix contains...

## **2. Performance Schedule**

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.  
1716 Briarcrest Drive  
Bryan, Texas 77802-2700

## **3. Records and Reporting**

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division  
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

## **4. Failure to Fully Perform**

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Financial Administration Division, Revenues  
Attention: Cashier, MC 214  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

## **5. Publicity**

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

10/10/2020  
10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

Delek Refining, Ltd.  
Agreed Order - Attachment B

**6. Clean Texas Program**

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

**7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies**

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

