

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2006-2189-MWD-E **TCEQ ID:** RN101608362 **CASE NO.:** 31969

RESPONDENT NAME: City of College Station

ORDER TYPE:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Carters Creek Plant, adjacent to the west side of Carters Creek, approximately 0.75 mile east of State Highway 6, and approximately 1,800 feet east and 8,000 feet north of the intersection of State Highway 6 East and Texas Avenue, Brazos County</p> <p>TYPE OF OPERATION: Wastewater treatment</p> <p>SMALL BUSINESS: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p>INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p>COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on May 14, 2007. No comments were received.</p> <p>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: Ms. Melissa Keller, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1768 TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Ms. Merrilee Hupp, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-4490; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896 Respondent: The Honorable Ron Silvia, Mayor, City of College Station, P.O. Box 9960, College Station, Texas 77842 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: October 24, 2006</p> <p>Date of NOE Relating to this Case: November 20, 2006 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine investigation. One violation was documented.</p> <p>WATER</p> <p>Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to waters in the state [TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)(1), 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. WQ0010024006, Permit Conditions No. 2.g.].</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$4,700</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$940 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$3,760</p> <p>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$0</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Corrective Actions Taken:</p> <p>1) The Executive Director recognizes that the City has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:</p> <p>a. On October 24, 2006, removed visible wastewater debris mixed with the wastewater from Carters Creek after repairing the broken wastewater pipeline; and</p> <p>b. On November 6, 2006, sampled for fecal and total coliform to confirm that bacteria levels in Carters Creek had returned to mean levels documented by TCEQ stream assessments prior to the unauthorized discharge. By November 10, 2006, received the results of the analyses to confirm that coliform bacteria levels were lower than the mean levels documented before the unauthorized discharge.</p> <p>Ordering Provisions:</p> <p>2) The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). (See SEP Attachment A)</p>

Attachment A
Docket Number: 2006-2189-MWD-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent: City of College Station

Payable Penalty Amount: Three Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$3,760)

SEP Amount: Three Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$3,760)

Type of SEP: Pre-approved

Third-Party Recipient: Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&D")- Wastewater Treatment Assistance

Location of SEP: Brazos County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to provide low income rural homeowners with assistance to enable the repair or replacement of their failing on-site wastewater systems. SEP monies will be used to pay for the labor and materials costs related to repairing or replacing the failing systems. The recipients will not be charged for the cost of replacing or repairing the failing systems.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by protecting water sources for drinking, recreation, and wildlife from contamination from failing treatment systems

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

Department of Mathematics
Chicago, Illinois 60637

Dear Sirs:

I am pleased to inform you that your application for admission to the Ph.D. program in Mathematics for the fall semester of 1968 has been accepted. You will be admitted to the program on a full-time basis. Your admission is contingent upon your acceptance of the offer and the completion of the necessary administrative procedures.

Your advisor for the program will be Professor [Name].

Please contact the Department of Mathematics at the above address for further information and to complete the necessary paperwork.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.
1716 Briarcrest Drive
Bryan, Texas 77802-2700

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Financial Administration Division, Revenues
Attention: Cashier, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to ensure the validity of the findings.

3. The third part of the document describes the results of the data analysis. It shows that there is a significant correlation between the variables studied, indicating that the factors being investigated are indeed related.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of the findings. It suggests that the results can be used to inform decision-making and to develop strategies to address the issues identified in the study.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes the study and provides a summary of the key findings. It reiterates the importance of the research and the need for further investigation in this area.

6. The sixth part of the document provides a list of references and sources used in the study. This includes academic journals, books, and other relevant materials that informed the research.

7. The seventh part of the document includes a list of appendices and supplementary materials. These provide additional data and information that support the main findings of the study.

8. The eighth part of the document contains a list of figures and tables. These visual aids help to present the data in a clear and concise manner, making it easier to understand the results.

9. The ninth part of the document includes a list of footnotes and endnotes. These provide additional context and information about the study and its findings.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of acknowledgments. It expresses gratitude to the individuals and organizations that provided support and assistance throughout the research process.

11. The eleventh part of the document is a list of contact information for the author. This allows readers to reach out if they have any questions or need further information about the study.

12. The twelfth part of the document is a list of other relevant documents and resources. These provide additional information and context for the study and its findings.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision December 8, 2006

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	27-Nov-2006	Screening	12-Dec-2006	EPA Due	
	PCW	12-Dec-2006				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION			
Respondent	City of College Station		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN101608362		
Facility/Site Region	9-Waco	Major/Minor Source	Major

CASE INFORMATION			
Enf./Case ID No.	31969	No. of Violations	1
Docket No.	2006-2189-MWD-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Water Quality	Enf. Coordinator	Merrilee Hupp
Multi-Media		EC's Team	EnforcementTeam 1
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) **Subtotal 1**

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History **Subtotals 2, 3, & 7**

Notes: Respondent self-reported two effluent violations, received one notice of violation (NOV) for a same or similar violation and received two NOV's without same or similar violations.

Culpability **Subtotal 4**

Notes: Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply **Subtotal 5**

	Before NOV	NOV to EDRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	X	
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes: Respondent repaired broken pipeline and removed debris and wastewater resulting from the unauthorized discharge, documenting that the receiving waters had been cleaned up and returned to pre-discharge levels of coliform bacteria by November 6, 2006.

Total EB Amounts **Subtotal 6**
Approx. Cost of Compliance ***Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount**

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 **Final Subtotal**

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE **Adjustment**

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes:

Final Penalty Amount

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT **Final Assessed Penalty**

DEFERRAL **Adjustment**

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY **Final Assessed Penalty**

Screening Date 12-Dec-2006

Docket No. 2006-2189-MWD-E

PCW

Respondent City of College Station

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 31969

PCW Revision December 8, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101608362

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Merrilee Hupp

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	3	15%
	Other written NOVs	2	4%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 19%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

Respondent self-reported two effluent violations, received one notice of violation (NOV) for a same or similar violation and received two NOVs without same or similar violations.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 19%

Screening Date 12-Dec-2006

Docket No. 2006-2189-MWD-E

PCW

Respondent City of College Station

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 31969

PCW Revision December 8, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101608362

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Merrilee Hupp

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and TPDES Permit No. WQ0010024006, Permit Conditions No. 2.g.

Violation Description Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to waters in the state, as documented during an October 24, 2006 investigation. Specifically, a 21-inch diameter concrete wastewater collection line ruptured after a large section of the creek bank had washed away leaving the line unsupported.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual		x		50%
Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
				0%

Matrix Notes

A significant amount (over 100,000 gallons) of wastewater was discharged as a result of this violation.

Adjustment \$5,000

\$5,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days 18

mark only one with an x	daily	
	monthly	x
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$5,000

One monthly event is recommended from October 24, 2006 when discharge was documented during the TCEQ investigation to the date compliance was documented on November 10, 2006.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$54

Violation Final Penalty Total \$4,700

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$4,700

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: City of College Station
Case ID No.: 31969
Reg. Ent. Reference No.: RN101608362
Media: Water Quality
Violation No.: 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	\$28,000	23-Oct-2006	6-Nov-2006	0.0	\$54	n/a	\$54
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Cost is approximate based on the labor, equipment, materials, waste transportation, sampling and analysis associated with repairs and cleanup of the unauthorized discharge. Date required is the date discharge was first observed and final date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering Item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$28,000

TOTAL

\$54

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN600732598	City of College Station	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 4.05
Regulated Entity:	RN101608362	CARTERS CREEK PLANT	Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 0.43
ID Number(s):	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	WQ0010024006	
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	TPDES0047163	
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	TX0047163	
	WASTEWATER	PERMIT	WQ0010024006	
	WASTEWATER LICENSING	LICENSE	WQ0010024006	
Location:	ADJ TO W SIDE OF CARTERS CREEK APPROX 0.75 MI E OF HWY 6 & APPROX 1800 FT E & 8000 FT N OF INTX SH-6 E & TX AVE, BRAZOS CO.		Rating Date: September 01 06	Repeat Violator: NO
TCEQ Region:	REGION 09 - WACO			
Date Compliance History Prepared:	December 13, 2006			
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement			
Compliance Period:	December 13, 2001 to December 13, 2006			

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Merrilee Hupp Phone: 512-239-4490

Site Compliance History Components

- | | |
|--|-----|
| 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? | Yes |
| 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? | No |
| 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? | N/A |
| 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? | N/A |
| 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? | N/A |

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

- | | | |
|----|------------|----------|
| 1 | 12/13/2002 | (182205) |
| 2 | 12/19/2001 | (182204) |
| 3 | 11/20/2002 | (182201) |
| 4 | 10/22/2002 | (182197) |
| 5 | 01/09/2002 | (182196) |
| 6 | 09/19/2002 | (182194) |
| 7 | 01/09/2002 | (182193) |
| 8 | 08/20/2002 | (182191) |
| 9 | 01/09/2002 | (182190) |
| 10 | 07/18/2003 | (182188) |
| 11 | 04/20/2005 | (422060) |
| 12 | 07/18/2002 | (182187) |
| 13 | 05/20/2005 | (422061) |
| 14 | 06/20/2005 | (422062) |
| 15 | 04/19/2005 | (422063) |
| 16 | 06/23/2003 | (182184) |
| 17 | 11/21/2006 | (518293) |
| 18 | 06/17/2002 | (182183) |
| 19 | 05/22/2003 | (182180) |
| 20 | 05/13/2002 | (182179) |
| 21 | 04/22/2003 | (182176) |
| 22 | 04/18/2002 | (182175) |

23 03/19/2003 (182171)
 24 03/19/2002 (182170)
 25 02/24/2003 (182168)
 26 02/19/2002 (182167)
 27 02/20/2004 (305489)
 28 08/27/2004 (271354)
 29 03/22/2004 (305491)
 30 04/19/2004 (305492)
 31 05/14/2004 (305494)
 32 06/17/2004 (305496)
 33 07/19/2004 (305498)
 34 08/19/2003 (305500)
 35 09/19/2003 (305502)
 36 10/21/2003 (305504)
 37 11/19/2003 (305505)
 38 12/18/2003 (305506)
 39 03/22/2002 (246995)
 40 08/29/2003 (305507)
 41 09/03/2004 (356164)
 42 09/20/2004 (356165)
 43 10/18/2004 (356166)
 44 11/15/2004 (356167)
 45 07/16/2004 (356168)
 46 08/30/2006 (482017)
 47 09/01/2005 (442944)
 48 09/19/2005 (442945)
 49 02/17/2005 (384127)
 50 03/18/2005 (384128)
 51 01/17/2003 (182209)
 52 12/20/2004 (384129)
 53 01/22/2002 (182208)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

N/A

Date: 06/23/2004 (271354)
 Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 317 317.6(c)(2)(E)
 Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA
 Description: Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are properly operated and maintained.
 Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 319, SubChapter A 319.11(e)
 Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA
 Description: Failure by the permittee to comply with procedures specified in chapters 319.11 - 319.12.
 Date: 08/30/2006 (482017)
 Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 317 317.6(c)(2)(E)
 Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA
 Description: Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are properly operated and maintained.
 Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)
 30 TAC Chapter 317 317.4(d)[G]
 Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA
 Description: Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are properly operated and maintained.
 Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
 Citation: TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)(1)
 Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA
 Description: Failure by the permittee to prevent the discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to water in the state without authorization from the Commission.
 Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 317 317.3(a)

Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA
 Description: Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are properly operated and maintained.
 Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)
 30 TAC Chapter 317 317.2[G]

Rqmt Prov: PERMIT IA
 Description: Failure by the permittee to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are properly operated and maintained.
 Date: 04/30/2004 (305494) Classification: Moderate
 Self Report? YES
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter
 Date: 06/30/2005 (422063) Classification: Moderate
 Self Report? YES
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter
 Date: 03/22/2002 (246995) Classification: Moderate
 Self Report? NO
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
 Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

F. Environmental audits.
 N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
 N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.
 N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.
 N/A

J. Early compliance.
 N/A

Sites Outside of Texas
 N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
CITY OF COLLEGE STATION
RN101608362**

§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2006-2189-MWD-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding the City of College Station ("the City") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the City appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The City owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility adjacent to the west side of Carters Creek, approximately 0.75 mile east of State Highway 6, and approximately 1,800 feet east and 8,000 feet north of the intersection of State Highway 6 East and Texas Avenue in Brazos County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. The City has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
3. The Commission and the City agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the City is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The City received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about November 25, 2006.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the City of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Four Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$4,700) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations").



Nine Hundred Forty Dollars (\$940) is deferred contingent upon the City's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the City fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the City to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. Three Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$3,760) shall be conditionally offset by the City's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the City have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that the City has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. On October 24, 2006, removed visible wastewater debris mixed with the wastewater from Carters Creek after repairing the broken wastewater pipeline; and
 - b. On November 6, 2006, sampled for fecal and total coliform to confirm that bacteria levels in Carters Creek had returned to mean levels documented by TCEQ stream assessments prior to the unauthorized discharge. By November 10, 2006, received the results of the analyses to confirm that coliform bacteria levels were lower than the mean levels documented before the unauthorized discharge.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the City has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the City is alleged to have failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to waters in the state, in violation of TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)(1), 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit No. WQ0010024006, Permit Conditions No. 2.g., as documented during an investigation conducted on October 24, 2006.

III. DENIALS

The City generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the City pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the City's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of College Station, Docket No. 2006-2189-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. The City shall implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") in accordance with TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6, Three Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$3,760) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the City implement the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. The City's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement
3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the City. The City is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If the City fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the City's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The City shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The City shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the City becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the City shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the City receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for ensuring the integrity and reliability of financial data. This section also touches upon the legal implications of failing to maintain such records, particularly in the context of audits and regulatory compliance.

In the second part, the document outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze data. It describes how different types of information are gathered, processed, and then used to draw meaningful conclusions. This section highlights the role of statistical analysis in interpreting complex data sets and identifying trends over time.

The third part of the document focuses on the practical application of the data collected. It provides examples of how the information is used to inform decision-making processes within an organization. This section also discusses the challenges associated with data interpretation and the importance of context in making accurate assessments.

Finally, the document concludes by summarizing the key findings and recommendations. It stresses the need for ongoing monitoring and evaluation to ensure that the data remains relevant and useful. The document also offers suggestions for further research and improvements in data management practices.

The document also includes a detailed appendix containing supplementary information. This appendix provides a comprehensive overview of the data sources, methodologies, and results. It is designed to support the main text and provide readers with a deeper understanding of the research process and findings.

In addition, the document features a series of charts and graphs that illustrate the data trends and patterns. These visual aids are intended to make the information more accessible and easier to understand. The charts and graphs are carefully designed to highlight the most significant aspects of the data, providing a clear and concise summary of the key findings.

6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the City in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the City, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the City, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

City of College Station
DOCKET NO. 2006-2189-MWD-E
Page 5

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission



For the Executive Director

6/27/07

Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me;
- and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.



Signature

2/16/07

Date

Ron Silvia

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
City of College Station

Mayor

Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

10/10/10

Attachment A
Docket Number: 2006-2189-MWD-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent: City of College Station

Payable Penalty Amount: Three Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$3,760)

SEP Amount: Three Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$3,760)

Type of SEP: Pre-approved

Third-Party Recipient: Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&D")- Wastewater Treatment Assistance

Location of SEP: Brazos County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to provide low income rural homeowners with assistance to enable the repair or replacement of their failing on-site wastewater systems. SEP monies will be used to pay for the labor and materials costs related to repairing or replacing the failing systems. The recipients will not be charged for the cost of replacing or repairing the failing systems.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by protecting water sources for drinking, recreation, and wildlife from contamination from failing treatment systems

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.
1716 Briarcrest Drive
Bryan, Texas 77802-2700

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Financial Administration Division, Revenues
Attention: Cashier, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

