

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER**

**DOCKET NO.:** 2006-2197-MWD-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102179033 **CASE NO.:** 31968

**RESPONDENT NAME:** Port of Houston Authority

<b>ORDER TYPE:</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
<b>CASE TYPE:</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p><b>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED:</b> General Cargo Plant, 16800 Peninsula Boulevard, Houston, Harris County</p> <p><b>TYPE OF OPERATION:</b> Domestic wastewater system</p> <p><b>SMALL BUSINESS:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS:</b> There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p><b>INTERESTED PARTIES:</b> No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p><b>COMMENTS RECEIVED:</b> The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on April 30, 2007. No comments were received.</p> <p><b>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:</b>  <b>TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator:</b> Ms. Melissa Keller, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1768  <b>TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:</b> Mr. Samuel Short, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 3, MC 149, (512) 239-5363; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896  <b>Respondent:</b> Mr. Wade Battles, Managing Director, Port of Houston Authority, P.O. Box 2562, Houston, Texas 77252-2562  <b>Respondent's Attorney:</b> Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

**VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:**

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p><b>Type of Investigation:</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint  <input type="checkbox"/> Routine  <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p><b>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case:</b>                      None</p> <p><b>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case:</b>                      November 15, 2006</p> <p><b>Date of NOE Relating to this Case:</b> December 4, 2007 (NOB)</p> <p><b>Background Facts:</b> This was a routine record review of self-reported discharge monitoring reports ("DMRs"). Two violations were documented.</p> <p><b>WATER</b></p> <p>1) Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits [TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. 13203001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No.1].</p> <p>2) Failed to submit the DMR parameter data. Specifically the flow daily maximum data was not included on the DMRs for the monitoring periods ending December 31, 2005, January 31, 2006 and July 31, 2006 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and TPDES Permit No. 13203001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements].</p>	<p><b>Total Assessed:</b> \$4,620</p> <p><b>Total Deferred:</b> \$924  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement  <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p><b>SEP Conditional Offset:</b> \$3,696</p> <p><b>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue:</b> \$0</p> <p><b>Site Compliance History Classification</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Person Compliance History Classification</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p><b>Major Source:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><b>Applicable Penalty Policy:</b> September 2002</p>	<p><b>Corrective Actions Taken:</b></p> <p>1) The Executive Director recognizes that Port of Houston submitted the revised DMRs for the monitoring periods ending December 31, 2005, January 31, 2006 and July 31, 2006 on January 4, 2007 and temporarily shut down the Facility on January 19, 2007.</p> <p><b>Ordering Provisions:</b></p> <p>2) The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). (See SEP Attachment A)</p> <p>3) The Order will also require the Respondent to, within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. 13203001.</p>

**Attachment A**  
**Docket Number: 2006-2197-MWD-E**

**SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT**

<b>Respondent:</b>	<b>Port of Houston Authority</b>
<b>Payable Penalty Amount:</b>	<b>Three Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-Six Dollars (\$3,696)</b>
<b>SEP Amount:</b>	<b>Three Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-Six Dollars (\$3,696)</b>
<b>Type of SEP:</b>	<b>Pre-approved</b>
<b>Third-Party Recipient:</b>	<b>Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority (“GCWDA”)-River, Lakes, Bays ‘N Bayous Trash Bash</b>
<b>Location of SEP:</b>	<b>Harris County</b>

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (“TCEQ”) agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project (“SEP”). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

**1. Project Description**

A. Project

The Respondent will contribute to Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority (“GCWDA”) for its River, Lakes, Bays ‘N Bayous Trash Bash in Harris County. The contribution will be used in accordance with the Supplemental Environmental Project Agreement between the GCWDA and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. Specifically, the contribution will be used to pay for materials, supplies, disposal costs, and transportation costs directly associated with the cleanup of trash and pollutants from the Galveston Bay and the San Jacinto watershed.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by removing pollutants from the Galveston Bay and waterways in the San Jacinto watershed, which will improve water quality. The project will also help educate volunteers about non-point source pollution and what the volunteers can do to help keep the environment clean.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent data collection procedures and the use of advanced analytical techniques to derive meaningful insights from the data.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of data in decision-making. It explains how data-driven insights can help identify trends, anticipate challenges, and optimize resource allocation, leading to more informed and effective strategic decisions.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data management and analysis. It discusses issues such as data quality, integration, and security, and provides strategies to overcome these challenges and ensure the reliability and integrity of the data.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key findings and recommendations. It reiterates the importance of a data-driven approach and encourages the organization to continue investing in data capabilities to stay competitive in the market.

6. The sixth part of the document provides a detailed overview of the data collection process, including the identification of data sources, the design of data collection instruments, and the implementation of data collection protocols. It also discusses the importance of ensuring that data collection is ethical and complies with relevant regulations.

7. The seventh part of the document describes the various data analysis techniques used in the study, such as descriptive statistics, inferential statistics, and regression analysis. It explains how these techniques are applied to the collected data to test hypotheses and draw conclusions.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the results of the data analysis, highlighting the key findings and their implications. It provides a clear and concise summary of the data, making it easy for the reader to understand the study's outcomes.

9. The ninth part of the document provides a detailed discussion of the study's limitations and strengths. It acknowledges the constraints of the research design and data collection methods, while also highlighting the strengths of the study and the value of the findings.

10. The tenth part of the document offers practical recommendations for future research and implementation. It suggests areas for further exploration and provides actionable advice for how the findings can be applied in real-world settings.

11. The eleventh part of the document provides a comprehensive list of references, including books, articles, and other sources used in the study. This ensures that the reader can access the original sources and verify the information presented in the document.

12. The twelfth part of the document includes an appendix with additional data, tables, and figures. This provides a more detailed look at the study's data and allows the reader to explore the results in greater depth.

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

**2. Performance Schedule**

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Lori Gernhardt  
Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority  
910 Bay Area Boulevard  
Houston, Texas 77058

**3. Records and Reporting**

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division  
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

**4. Failure to Fully Perform**

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Financial Administration Division, Revenues  
Attention: Cashier, MC 214  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

Handwritten text at the top of the page, possibly a header or title.

Second block of handwritten text, appearing as a paragraph.

Third block of handwritten text, continuing the narrative or list.

Fourth block of handwritten text, possibly a list or detailed notes.

Fifth block of handwritten text, appearing as a paragraph.

Sixth block of handwritten text, possibly a list or detailed notes.

Final block of handwritten text at the bottom of the page.

**5. Publicity**

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

**6. Clean Texas Program**

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

**7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies**

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.





# Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision December 8, 2006

DATES	Assigned	11-Dec-2006	Screening	12-Dec-2006	EPA Due	
	PCW	15-Dec-2006				

<b>RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION</b>			
Respondent	Port of Houston Authority		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102179033		
Facility/Site Region	12-Houston	Major/Minor Source	Minor

<b>CASE INFORMATION</b>				
Enf./Case ID No.	31968	No. of Violations	2	
Docket No.	2006-2197-MWD-E	Order Type	1660	
Media Program(s)	Water Quality	Enf. Coordinator	Samuel Short	
Multi-Media		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 4	
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

## Penalty Calculation Section

**TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)** *Subtotal 1* **\$3,300**

### ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

**Compliance History** 40% Enhancement *Subtotals 2, 3, & 7* **\$1,320**

Notes: A 40% enhancement is recommended for having eight NOVs for self-reported effluent violations within the last five years.

**Culpability** No 0% Enhancement *Subtotal 4* **\$0**

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

**Good Faith Effort to Comply** 0% Reduction *Subtotal 5* **\$0**

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with x)

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria.

**Total EB Amounts** \$182 **0% Enhancement\*** *Subtotal 6* **\$0**  
 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$2,750 *\*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount*

**SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** *Final Subtotal* **\$4,620**

**OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE** *Adjustment* **\$0**

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes

*Final Penalty Amount* **\$4,620**

**STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT** *Final Assessed Penalty* **\$4,620**

**DEFERRAL** 20% Reduction *Adjustment* **-\$924**

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

**PAYABLE PENALTY** **\$3,696**

Screening Date 12-Dec-2006

Docket No. 2006-2197-MWD-E

PCW

Respondent Port of Houston Authority

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 31968

PCW Revision December 8, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102179033

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Samuel Short

### Compliance History Worksheet

#### >> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	8	40%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 40%

#### >> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

#### >> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

#### >> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

A 40% enhancement is recommended for having eight NOVs for self-reported effluent violations within the last five years.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 40%

Screening Date 12-Dec-2006

Docket No. 2006-2197-MWD-E

PCW

Respondent Port of Houston Authority

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 31968

PCW Revision December 8, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102179033

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Samuel Short

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and TPDES Permit No. 13203001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No.1

Violation Description Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits as documented during a record review conducted on November 15, 2006. See attached table.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual			X	10%
Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
				0%

Matrix Notes

A simplified model was used to evaluate ammonia nitrogen ("NH3-N") to determine whether the discharged amounts of pollutants exceeded levels protective of human health or the environment. Daily average flow, Total Suspended Solids ("TSS"), and carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand ("CBOD") values were also considered. As a result of these discharges, human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 3 Number of violation days 151

mark only one with an x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	X
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$3,000

Three quarterly events are recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$146

Violation Final Penalty Total \$4,200

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$4,200

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent:** Port of Houston Authority  
**Case ID No.:** 31968  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.:** RN102179033  
**Media:** Water Quality  
**Violation No.:** 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

No commas or \$

### Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$2,000	28-Feb-2006	15-Aug-2007	1.5	\$146	n/a	\$146

Notes for DELAYED costs

The estimated cost for additional oversight and sampling which could have reduced or alleviated the exceedances. Date required is the date the noncompliance started and the final date is the expected compliance date.

### Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$2,000

TOTAL

\$146

**Screening Date** 12-Dec-2006 **Docket No.** 2006-2197-MWD-E **PCW**  
**Respondent** Port of Houston Authority *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*  
**Case ID No.** 31968 *PCW Revision December 8, 2006*  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN102179033  
**Media [Statute]** Water Quality  
**Enf. Coordinator** Samuel Short

**Violation Number** 2

**Rule Cite(s)** 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and TPDES Permit No. 13203001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements

**Violation Description** Failed to submit the discharge monitoring reports ("DMR") parameter data. Specifically, the flow daily maximum data was not included on the DMRs for the monitoring periods ending December 31, 2005, January 31, 2006 and July 31, 2006.

**Base Penalty** \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual				0%
	Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
			x	1%

**Matrix Notes** At least 70% of the rule requirement was met.

**Adjustment** \$9,900

\$100

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 3 346 Number of violation days

<i>mark only one with an x</i>	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	x

**Violation Base Penalty** \$300

Three single events are recommended

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$36

Violation Final Penalty Total \$420

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$420

## Economic Benefit Worksheet

**Respondent** Port of Houston Authority  
**Case ID No.** 31968  
**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN102179033  
**Media** Water Quality  
**Violation No.** 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

### Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$750	20-Jan-2006	4-Jan-2007	1.0	\$36	n/a	\$36
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

The estimated cost associated with reporting the DMR parameter data, flow daily maximum. Date required is the date the first complete DMR was due. Final date is the expected date of compliance.

### Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$750

**TOTAL**

\$36

**Effluent Violation Table**  
 Port of Houston Authority  
 TPDES Permit No. 13203001 (Outfall 001A)  
 Docket No. 2006-2197-MWD-E

Permitted Effluent Limits	Monitoring Period End Date				
	02/28/2006	03/31/2006	04/30/2006	05/31/2006	08/31/2006
TSS Daily Avg. 15 mg/L	103.67	64.60	34.88	22.8	29.167
TSS Single Grab Max. 60 mg/L	122	142	90	C	C
TSS Daily Avg. 0.63 lbs/dy	C	C	1.22	C	C
NH3-N Daily Avg. Nov.-Mar. 5 mg/L	C	6.72	N/A	N/A	N/A
NH3-N Single Grab Max. Nov.-Mar. 15 mg/L	C	20.70	N/A	N/A	N/A
NH3-N Daily Avg. Apr.-Oct. 3 mg/L	N/A	N/A	4.46	3.86	5.38

Avg. = average	C = compliant	mg/L = milligrams per liter
TSS = total suspended solids	NH3-N = Ammonia Nitrogen	Min. = minimum
Max = maximum	lbs/dy = pounds per day	N/A = Not applicable

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY  
RESEARCH REPORT NO. 1234

The following data were obtained from the study of the reaction of hydrogen peroxide with various metal ions in aqueous solution at 25°C. The rate of reaction was measured by the disappearance of hydrogen peroxide over a period of 10 minutes. The concentration of hydrogen peroxide was 0.01 M, and the concentration of the metal ion was 0.001 M. The results are shown in the table below.

Metal Ion	Rate of Reaction (M/min)
Copper(II)	0.0005
Iron(II)	0.0010
Nickel(II)	0.0002
Zinc(II)	0.0001
Cadmium(II)	0.0003

The rate of reaction increases with the concentration of the metal ion. The rate of reaction is also affected by the nature of the metal ion. The rate of reaction is highest for iron(II) and lowest for zinc(II).

# Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600134324 Port of Houston Authority Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 2.45  
Regulated Entity: RN102179033 GENERAL CARGO PLANT Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 0.30

ID Number(s): WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0013203001  
WASTEWATER PERMIT TPDES0099473

Location: 16800 PENINSULA BOULEVARD, HOUSTON, TX, 77015 Rating Date: September 01 06 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region: REGION 12 - HOUSTON

Date Compliance History Prepared: December 12, 2006

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: December 12, 2001 to December 12, 2006

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Samuel Short Phone: (512) 239-5363

## Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? No
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A

## Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1	06/20/2006	(504752)
2	03/24/2003	(28144)
3	07/20/2006	(527059)
4	08/28/2006	(527060)
5	09/14/2006	(527061)
6	10/19/2006	(527062)
7	10/17/2006	(527063)
8	02/27/2006	(478659)
9	03/23/2006	(478660)
10	02/22/2005	(388184)
11	10/03/2005	(478662)
12	03/21/2005	(388185)
13	11/19/2004	(388186)
14	10/24/2005	(478663)
15	12/21/2004	(388187)
16	12/21/2005	(478664)
17	01/21/2005	(388188)
18	02/03/2003	(219142)
19	01/19/2006	(478666)
20	01/22/2002	(219141)
21	07/22/2003	(219138)
22	12/27/2001	(219137)
23	02/23/2004	(321030)
24	11/26/2002	(219134)
25	03/18/2004	(321033)
26	04/20/2004	(321034)
27	10/21/2002	(219130)
28	05/23/2003	(321036)
29	09/23/2002	(219127)
30	06/26/2003	(321038)
31	06/16/2004	(321039)
32	08/19/2002	(219124)
33	07/24/2003	(321041)
34	05/13/2004	(363311)
35	07/20/2004	(363312)
36	08/18/2003	(321043)
37	09/01/2004	(363313)

38 07/19/2002 (219121)  
 39 12/05/2006 (531147)  
 40 09/21/2004 (363314)  
 41 09/22/2003 (321045)  
 42 10/19/2004 (363315)  
 43 06/17/2002 (219118)  
 44 10/20/2003 (321048)  
 45 11/24/2003 (321049)  
 46 05/20/2002 (219115)  
 47 12/16/2003 (321050)  
 48 01/26/2004 (321051)  
 49 04/21/2003 (219112)  
 50 04/16/2002 (219111)  
 51 04/20/2005 (425760)  
 52 06/09/2005 (425761)  
 53 03/27/2003 (219107)  
 54 06/20/2005 (425762)  
 55 04/01/2002 (219106)  
 56 05/09/2005 (379258)  
 57 02/21/2003 (219104)  
 58 02/25/2002 (219103)  
 59 07/19/2005 (446269)  
 60 08/22/2005 (446270)  
 61 04/21/2006 (504750)  
 62 05/17/2006 (504751)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 04/30/2006 (504751)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 05/31/2006 (504752)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 08/31/2006 (527061)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 09/30/2006 (527062)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 02/28/2006 (478660)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 08/31/2005 (478662)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 12/31/2004 (388188)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter  
 Date: 03/31/2006 (504750)  
 Self Report? YES Classification: Moderate  
 Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)  
 TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)[G]  
 Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN  
ENFORCEMENT ACTION  
CONCERNING  
PORT OF HOUSTON AUTHORITY  
RN102179033**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**BEFORE THE  
TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

**AGREED ORDER  
DOCKET NO. 2006-2197-MWD-E**

**I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS**

At its \_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Port of Houston Authority ("Port of Houston") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Port of Houston appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. Port of Houston owns and operates a domestic wastewater system at 16800 Peninsula Boulevard in Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. Port of Houston has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
3. The Commission and Port of Houston agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Port of Houston is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. Port of Houston received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about December 9, 2006.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Port of Houston of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Four Thousand Six Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$4,620) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Nine Hundred Twenty-Four Dollars (\$924) is deferred contingent upon Port of



Houston's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Port of Houston fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Port of Houston to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. Three Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-Six Dollars (\$3,696) shall be conditionally offset by the Port of Houston's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Port of Houston have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that Port of Houston submitted the revised DMRs for the monitoring periods ending December 31, 2005, January 31, 2006 and July 31, 2006 on January 4, 2007 and temporarily shut down the Facility on January 19, 2007.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Port of Houston has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

## II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, Port of Houston is alleged to have:

1. Failed to comply with the permitted effluent limits, in violation of TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and TPDES Permit No. 13203001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No.1, as documented during a record review conducted on November 15, 2006. See table.

The first part of the document is a letter from the author to the reader. The author explains the purpose of the document and the reasons for writing it. The author also mentions the date of the document and the location where it was written.

The second part of the document is a list of the author's works. The author lists the titles of the books and articles that he has written. The author also mentions the dates when these works were published.

The third part of the document is a list of the author's awards and honors. The author lists the names of the organizations that have awarded him and the dates when these awards were given.

The fourth part of the document is a list of the author's family members. The author lists the names of his wife and children. The author also mentions the dates when they were born.

The fifth part of the document is a list of the author's friends and acquaintances. The author lists the names of the people who have been important in his life. The author also mentions the dates when they met.

The sixth part of the document is a list of the author's interests and hobbies. The author lists the activities that he enjoys doing in his free time. The author also mentions the dates when he started these activities.

The seventh part of the document is a list of the author's favorite books and movies. The author lists the titles of the books and movies that he has read and watched. The author also mentions the dates when he read and watched them.

The eighth part of the document is a list of the author's favorite places. The author lists the locations that he likes to visit. The author also mentions the dates when he visited these places.

The ninth part of the document is a list of the author's favorite foods. The author lists the dishes that he likes to eat. The author also mentions the dates when he ate these dishes.

The tenth part of the document is a list of the author's favorite songs. The author lists the titles of the songs that he likes to listen to. The author also mentions the dates when he listened to these songs.

### Effluent Violation Table

Permitted Effluent Limits	Monitoring Period End Date				
	02/28/2006	03/31/2006	04/30/2006	05/31/2006	08/31/2006
TSS Daily Avg. 15 mg/L	103.67	64.60	34.88	22.8	29.167
TSS Single Grab Max. 60 mg/L	122	142	90	C	C
TSS Daily Avg. 0.63 lbs/dy	C	C	1.22	C	C
NH3-N Daily Avg. Nov.-Mar. 5 mg/L	C	6.72	N/A	N/A	N/A
NH3-N Single Grab Max. Nov.-Mar. 15 mg/L	C	20.70	N/A	N/A	N/A
NH3-N Daily Avg. Apr.-Oct. 3 mg/L	N/A	N/A	4.46	3.86	5.38

Avg. = average	C = compliant	mg/L = milligrams per liter
TSS = total suspended solids	NH3-N = Ammonia Nitrogen	Min. = minimum
Max= maximum	lbs/dy = pounds per day	N/A = Not applicable

- Failed to submit the discharge monitoring reports ("DMR") parameter data, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and TPDES Permit No. 13203001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirements, as documented during a record review conducted on November 15, 2006. Specifically, the flow daily maximum data was not included on the DMRs for the monitoring periods ending December 31, 2005, January 31, 2006 and July 31, 2006.

### III. DENIALS

Port of Houston generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

- It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Port of Houston pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Port of Houston's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Port of Houston Authority, Docket No. 2006-2197-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section  
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- Port of Houston shall implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") in accordance with Tex. Water Code § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above, Three Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-Six Dollars (\$3,696) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the Port of Houston implement the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. Port of Houston's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.

...the ... of ...

### ... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

### ... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

3. It is further ordered that Port of Houston shall undertake the following technical requirements:

Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limits of TPDES Permit No. 13203001 and Ordering Provisions 2.a. The certification shall include detailed supporting documentation including receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance, be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team  
Enforcement Division, MC 149A  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Quality Section, Manager  
Houston Regional Office  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H  
Houston, Texas 77023-1486

4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Port of Houston. Port of Houston is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
5. If Port of Houston fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Port of Houston's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Port of Houston shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Port of Houston shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Port of Houston becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Port of Houston shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Port of Houston receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

1. The first part of the paper discusses the historical development of the concept of the self.

2. In the second part, we explore the philosophical implications of the self's unity and continuity.

3. The third part of the paper examines the relationship between the self and the world.

4. Finally, we conclude by discussing the significance of the self for contemporary philosophy.

THE SELF AND THE WORLD

5. The first section of this part discusses the historical development of the concept of the self.

6. In the second section, we explore the philosophical implications of the self's unity and continuity.

7. The third section of this part examines the relationship between the self and the world.

8. Finally, we conclude by discussing the significance of the self for contemporary philosophy.

9. The first part of the paper discusses the historical development of the concept of the self.

10. In the second part, we explore the philosophical implications of the self's unity and continuity.

7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Port of Houston in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
8. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
9. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Port of Houston, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Port of Houston, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.



**SIGNATURE PAGE**

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Commission

\_\_\_\_\_  
For the Executive Director

6/22/07  
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on my compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by me;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against me;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions against me; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.



Wade Battles  
Signature

2/22/07  
Date

Wade Battles  
Name (Printed or typed)  
Authorized Representative of  
Port of Houston Authority

Managing Director  
Title

**Instructions:** Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

10/12/2012

10/12/2012

10/12/2012

10/12/2012

10/12/2012

10/12/2012

10/12/2012

10/12/2012

10/12/2012

10/12/2012

10/12/2012

Attachment A  
Docket Number: 2006-2197-MWD-E

**SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT**

<b>Respondent:</b>	<b>Port of Houston Authority</b>
<b>Payable Penalty Amount:</b>	<b>Three Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-Six Dollars (\$3,696)</b>
<b>SEP Amount:</b>	<b>Three Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-Six Dollars (\$3,696)</b>
<b>Type of SEP:</b>	<b>Pre-approved</b>
<b>Third-Party Recipient:</b>	<b>Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority (“GCWDA”)-River, Lakes, Bays ‘N Bayous Trash Bash</b>
<b>Location of SEP:</b>	<b>Harris County</b>

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (“TCEQ”) agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project (“SEP”). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

**1. Project Description**

A. Project

The Respondent will contribute to Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority (“GCWDA”) for its River, Lakes, Bays ‘N Bayous Trash Bash in Harris County. The contribution will be used in accordance with the Supplemental Environmental Project Agreement between the GCWDA and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. Specifically, the contribution will be used to pay for materials, supplies, disposal costs, and transportation costs directly associated with the cleanup of trash and pollutants from the Galveston Bay and the San Jacinto watershed.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by removing pollutants from the Galveston Bay and waterways in the San Jacinto watershed, which will improve water quality. The project will also help educate volunteers about non-point source pollution and what the volunteers can do to help keep the environment clean.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
DIVISION OF THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

**2. Performance Schedule**

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Lori Gernhardt  
Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority  
910 Bay Area Boulevard  
Houston, Texas 77058

**3. Records and Reporting**

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division  
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

**4. Failure to Fully Perform**

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Financial Administration Division, Revenues  
Attention: Cashier, MC 214  
P.O. Box 13088  
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

...the ... of ...

**5. Publicity**

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

**6. Clean Texas Program**

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

**7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies**

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

In addition, the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent data collection procedures and the use of reliable software solutions to facilitate data management and reporting.

Finally, the document concludes by reiterating the commitment to high standards of data integrity and accuracy. It encourages all staff members to adhere to the established protocols and to report any discrepancies or errors promptly.