

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 3

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0985-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102230679 **CASE NO.:** 36063**RESPONDENT NAME:** Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery

ORDER TYPE:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: M & S Grocery, 10876 Farm-to-Market Road 1130, Orange, Orange County</p> <p>TYPE OF OPERATION: Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline</p> <p>SMALL BUSINESS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p>INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p>COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on November 24, 2008. No comments were received.</p> <p>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Thomas Greimel, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-5690; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 Respondent: Mr. Meheboob Momin, Owner, M & S Grocery, 10876 Farm-to-Market Road 1130, Orange, Texas 77632 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: April 1, 2008</p> <p>Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: May 30, 2008 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine investigation.</p> <p>WASTE</p> <p>1) Failure to maintain Stage II records at the Station and make them immediately available for review upon request by a TCEQ representative. Specifically, a copy of the applicable California Air Resource Board ("CARB") Executive Order was not available for inspection [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.246(1) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>2) Failure to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months. Specifically, annual testing of the Stage II equipment had not been conducted [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.245(2) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>3) Failure to upgrade the Stage II equipment to onboard refueling vapor recovery ("ORVR") compatible systems [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(1)(C) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>4) Failure to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and applicable CARB Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system including, but not limited to, absence or disconnection of any component that is part of the approved system. Specifically, the hose on dispenser</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$5,327</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$1,065 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$0</p> <p>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$132 (remaining \$4,130 due in 35 monthly payments of \$118 each)</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Corrective Actions Taken:</p> <p>The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Station:</p> <p>a. Began maintaining all Stage II records including the CARB Executive Order on June 12, 2008;</p> <p>b. Successfully conducted the required annual testing of the Stage II equipment on May 14, 2008;</p> <p>c. Upgraded the Stage II equipment to an ORVR compatible system on June 12, 2008; and</p> <p>d. Installed a new hose on dispenser number 2 on June 12, 2008.</p> <p>Ordering Provisions:</p> <p>The Order will require the Respondent to:</p> <p>a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, post operating instructions in front of each dispenser equipped with a Stage II system; and</p> <p>b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision a.</p>

<p>number 2 was kinked and was splitting apart [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(3)(B) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>5) Failure to post operating instructions in front of each dispenser equipped with a Stage II system. Specifically, operating instructions were either unreadable or missing from the dispenser [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(9) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>		
--	--	--

Additional ID No(s): 47248



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision June 12, 2008

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	2-Jun-2008	Screening	17-Jun-2008	EPA Due	
	PCW	13-Jun-2008				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION	
Respondent	Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102230679
Facility/Site Region	10-Beaumont
Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION			
Enf./Case ID No.	36063	No. of Violations	3
Docket No.	2008-0985-PST-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Thomas Greimel
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$4,500
---	-------------------	----------------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1	
Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.	

Compliance History	10.0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$450
---------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	--------------

Notes: Enhancement for two NOV's with same or similar violations.

Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	------------------	-------------------	------------

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$725
--	-------------------	--------------

Economic Benefit	0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------

Total EB Amounts: \$1,155
 Approx. Cost of Compliance: \$4,350
 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$4,225
-----------------------------	-----------------------	----------------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	26.1%	Adjustment	\$1,102
---	-------	-------------------	----------------

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes: Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with Violation No. 2.

Final Penalty Amount	\$5,327
-----------------------------	----------------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$5,327
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------

DEFERRAL	20.0% Reduction	Adjustment	\$1,065
-----------------	-----------------	-------------------	----------------

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$4,262
------------------------	----------------

Screening Date	17-Jun-2008	Docket No.	2008-0985-PST-E	PCW
Respondent	Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery	<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>		
Case ID No.	36063	<i>PCW Revision June 12, 2008</i>		
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102230679			
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank			
Enf. Coordinator	Thomas Greimel			

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action <i>(number of NOVs meeting criteria)</i>	2	10%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability <i>(number of orders meeting criteria)</i>	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government <i>(number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)</i>	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government <i>(number of counts)</i>	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events <i>(number of events)</i>	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 <i>(number of audits for which notices were submitted)</i>	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 <i>(number of audits for which violations were disclosed)</i>	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 10%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No	Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%
--	--

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer	Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%
---	--

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for two NOVs with same or similar violations.
---------------------------------	---

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 10%

Screening Date 17-Jun-2008	Docket No. 2008-0985-PST-E	PCW																		
Respondent Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery	<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>																			
Case ID No. 36063	<i>PCW Revision June 12, 2008</i>																			
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102230679																				
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank																				
Enf. Coordinator Thomas Greimel																				
Violation Number	1																			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.246(1) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)																			
Violation Description	Failed to maintain Stage II records at the Station and make them immediately available for review upon request by a TCEQ representative. Specifically, a copy of the applicable California Air Resources Board (CARB) Executive Order was not available for inspection.																			
Base Penalty	\$10,000																			
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix																				
OR	Release	Harm																		
	Actual	Major Moderate Minor																		
	Potential	Percent																		
	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width:33%;"></td><td style="width:33%;"></td><td style="width:33%;"></td></tr> <tr><td style="width:33%;"></td><td style="width:33%;"></td><td style="width:33%;"></td></tr> </table>							0%												
>> Programmatic Matrix																				
	Falsification	Major Moderate Minor																		
	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width:33%;"></td><td style="width:33%; text-align: center;">x</td><td style="width:33%;"></td></tr> </table>		x		Percent															
	x																			
	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width:33%;"></td><td style="width:33%;"></td><td style="width:33%;"></td></tr> </table>				10%															
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.																			
Adjustment	\$9,000																			
\$1,000																				
Violation Events																				
Number of Violation Events	1	Number of violation days																		
	77																			
mark only one with an x	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width:33%;">daily</td><td style="width:33%;"></td><td style="width:33%;"></td></tr> <tr><td>monthly</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>quarterly</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>semiannual</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>annual</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>single event</td><td style="text-align: center;">x</td><td></td></tr> </table>	daily			monthly			quarterly			semiannual			annual			single event	x		Violation Base Penalty
daily																				
monthly																				
quarterly																				
semiannual																				
annual																				
single event	x																			
		\$1,000																		
One single event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the April 1, 2008 investigation.																				
Good Faith Efforts to Comply																				
	10.0% Reduction	\$100																		
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer																			
Extraordinary	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width:50%;"></td><td style="width:50%;"></td></tr> </table>																			
Ordinary	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width:50%;"></td><td style="width:50%; text-align: center;">x</td></tr> </table>		x																	
	x																			
N/A	(mark with x)																			
Notes	The Respondent came into compliance on June 12, 2008.																			
Violation Subtotal	\$900																			
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation																				
Statutory Limit Test																				
Estimated EB Amount	\$1	Violation Final Penalty Total																		
		\$1,261																		
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)																				
		\$1,261																		

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery
Case ID No. 36063
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102230679
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$100	1-Apr-2008	12-Jun-2008	0.20	\$1	n/a	\$1
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to maintain required Stage II records. The Date Required is the date of the investigation and the Final Date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$100

TOTAL

\$1

Screening Date 17-Jun-2008		Docket No. 2008-0985-PST-E		PCW	
Respondent Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery			<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>		
Case ID No. 36063			<i>PCW Revision June 12, 2008</i>		
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102230679					
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank					
Enf. Coordinator Thomas Greimel					
Violation Number		<input type="text" value="2"/>			
Rule Cite(s)		30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.245(2) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)			
Violation Description		Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months. Specifically, annual testing of the Stage II equipment had not been conducted.			
Base Penalty				<input type="text" value="\$10,000"/>	
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix					
OR	Harm				
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
	Potential	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
				Percent <input type="text" value="25%"/>	
>> Programmatic Matrix					
		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
				Percent <input type="text" value="0%"/>	
Matrix Notes	Human health or the environment could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.				
Adjustment				<input type="text" value="\$7,500"/>	
				<input type="text" value="\$2,500"/>	
Violation Events					
Number of Violation Events		<input type="text" value="1"/>	<input type="text" value="365"/>	Number of violation days	
<i>mark only one with an x</i>	daily	<input type="text"/>			
	monthly	<input type="text"/>			
	quarterly	<input type="text"/>			
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>			
	annual	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
single event	<input type="text"/>				
				Violation Base Penalty	<input type="text" value="\$2,500"/>
One annual event is recommended for the 12-month period preceding the April 1, 2008 investigation date.					
Good Faith Efforts to Comply		<input type="text" value="25.0%"/>	Reduction		<input type="text" value="\$625"/>
		Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer		
Extraordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>			
Ordinary	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>			
N/A	<input type="text"/>	(mark with x)			
Notes	The Respondent came into compliance on May 14, 2008.				
Violation Subtotal				<input type="text" value="\$1,875"/>	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation			Statutory Limit Test		
Estimated EB Amount		<input type="text" value="\$1,102"/>	Violation Final Penalty Total		<input type="text" value="\$2,680"/>
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)				<input type="text" value="\$2,680"/>	

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery
Case ID No. 36063
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102230679
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$1,000	1-Apr-2007	14-May-2008	2.04	\$102	\$1,000	\$1,102
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated cost for annual testing to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment. The Date Required is one year prior to the investigation date and the Final Date is the date of compliance.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,000

TOTAL

\$1,102

Screening Date	17-Jun-2008	Docket No.	2008-0985-PST-E	PCW	
Respondent	Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery			Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)	
Case ID No.	36063			PCW Revision June 12, 2008	
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102230679				
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank				
Enf. Coordinator	Thomas Greimel				
Violation Number	3				
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(1)(C), (3)(B), and (9) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)				
Violation Description	Failed to upgrade the Stage II equipment to onboard refueling vapor recovery ("ORVR") compatible systems. Also, failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system including, but not limited to absence or disconnection of any component that is part of the approved system. Specifically, the hose on dispenser number 2 was kinked and was splitting apart. Also, failed to post operating instructions in front of each dispenser equipped with a Stage II system. Specifically, operating instructions were either unreadable or missing from the dispensers.				
	Base Penalty	\$10,000			
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix					
OR	Release	Harm			
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
	Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>	Percent <input type="text" value="10%"/>
>> Programmatic Matrix					
	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	
	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Percent <input type="text" value="0%"/>
Matrix Notes	Human health or the environment could be exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.				
	Adjustment	\$9,000			
		\$1,000			
Violation Events					
	Number of Violation Events	<input type="text" value="1"/>	<input type="text" value="77"/>	Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
	monthly	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
	quarterly	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>	Violation Base Penalty <input type="text" value="\$1,000"/>	
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
	annual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
	single event	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
	One quarterly event is recommended from the April 1, 2008 investigation date to the June 17, 2008 screening date.				
Good Faith Efforts to Comply					
	0.0%	Reduction		\$0	
		Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer		
	Extraordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
	Ordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(mark with x)		
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.				
	Violation Subtotal	\$1,000			
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation					
	Estimated EB Amount	\$52		Violation Final Penalty Total <input type="text" value="\$1,387"/>	
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) <input type="text" value="\$1,387"/>			

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery
Case ID No.: 36063
Reg. Ent. Reference No.: RN102230679
Media: Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No.: 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment	\$3,000	1-Apr-2008	12-Jun-2008	0.20	\$2	\$39	\$41
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$250	1-Apr-2008	31-Jan-2009	0.84	\$10	n/a	\$10

Notes for DELAYED costs
 Estimated cost to upgrade the Stage II equipment to ORVR compatible systems, to install a new hose on dispenser number 2, and post legible operating instructions on all dispensers with a Stage II system. The Dates Required are the investigation date. The Final Date for the ORVR hose is the compliance date. The Final Date for the Operating Instructions is the expected compliance date.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$3,250

TOTAL \$52

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN601676273 MOMIN, MEHEBOOB	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 6.25
Regulated Entity:	RN102230679 M & S GROCERY	Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 6.25
ID Number(s):	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION	REGISTRATION	47248
Location:	10876 FM 1130, ORANGE, TX, 77632	Rating Date: 9/1/2007	Repeat Violator: NO
TCEQ Region:	REGION 10 - BEAUMONT		
Date Compliance History Prepared:	June 17, 2008		
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement		
Compliance Period:	June 17, 2003 to June 17, 2008		

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Thomas Greimel Phone: (512) 239-5690

Site Compliance History Components

- | | |
|--|------------|
| 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? | Yes |
| 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? | No |
| 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? | <u>N/A</u> |
| 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? | <u>N/A</u> |
| 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? | <u>N/A</u> |

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
- B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
- C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A
- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
- | | | | |
|---|------------|----------|--|
| 1 | 11/30/2004 | (337135) | |
| 2 | 03/15/2005 | (348716) | |
| 3 | 02/16/2007 | (539144) | |
| 4 | 05/30/2008 | (653492) | |
- E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
- | | | | |
|--------------|--|----------|--------------------------|
| Date: | 11/30/2004 | (337135) | |
| Self Report? | NO | | Classification: Minor |
| Citation: | 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(5) | | |
| Description: | Failure to maintain a record of the results of testing for the vapor recovery system, and insure that they are available for review. | | |
| Self Report? | NO | | Classification: Moderate |
| Citation: | 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3) | | |
| Description: | Failure to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable CARB Executive Order(s), and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system. | | |
| | Failure to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating conditi | | |
| Self Report? | NO | | Classification: Moderate |
| Citation: | 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.45(c)(3)(A) | | |
| Description: | Failure to have the shear valve securely anchored on the dispenser product piping. | | |
| Date: | 02/16/2007 | (539144) | |
| Self Report? | NO | | Classification: Moderate |

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.244(3)
 Description: Failure to conduct monthly inspections of the Stage II vapor recovery equipment.
 Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.244(1)
 Description: Failure to conduct daily inspections of the Stage II vapor recovery equipment.
 Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(4)
 Description: Failure to maintain proof of attendance and completion of the training specified in §115.248 of this title (relating to Training Requirements), with the documentation of all Stage II training for each employee to be maintained as long as that employee continues to work at the facility.
 Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(1)
 Description: Failure to maintain a copy of the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Executive Order(s) for the Stage II vapor recovery system and any related components installed at the facility.
 Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.245(2)
 Description: Failure to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months, and the Stage II Vapor Space Manifolding and Dynamic Pressure Performance at least once every 36 months.
 Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(B)
 Description: Failure to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
MEHEBOOB MOMIN DBA M & S
GROCERY
RN102230679**

§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0985-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 10876 Farm-to-Market Road 1130 in Orange, Orange County, Texas (the "Station").
2. The Station consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 4, 2008.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Five Thousand Three Hundred Twenty-Seven Dollars (\$5,327) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Hundred Thirty-Two Dollars (\$132) of the

administrative penalty and One Thousand Sixty-Five Dollars (\$1,065) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Four Thousand One Hundred Thirty Dollars (\$4,130) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Eighteen Dollars (\$118) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Station:
 - a. Began maintaining all Stage II records including the California Air Resources Board ("CARB") Executive Order on June 12, 2008.
 - b. Successfully conducted the required annual testing of the Stage II equipment on May 14, 2008.
 - c. Upgraded the Stage II equipment to an onboard refueling vapor recovery ("ORVR") compatible system on June 12, 2008.
 - d. Installed a new hose on dispenser number 2 on June 12, 2008.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent data collection procedures and the use of advanced analytical techniques to derive meaningful insights from the collected information.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the implementation of data-driven decision-making processes. It discusses how the insights derived from data analysis can be used to identify trends, anticipate challenges, and optimize organizational performance across different departments and functions.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges and risks associated with data management and analysis. It discusses the importance of data security, privacy, and integrity, and provides strategies to mitigate these risks while maximizing the value of the data.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key findings and recommendations. It emphasizes the ongoing nature of data analysis and the need for continuous monitoring and improvement to stay ahead in a rapidly changing business environment.

6. The final part of the document provides a detailed overview of the data analysis process, from data collection to reporting. It includes a list of key metrics and indicators used to measure organizational performance, as well as a discussion on how these metrics are integrated into the overall business strategy.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Station, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to maintain Stage II records at the Station and make them immediately available for review upon request by a TCEQ representative, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.246(1) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 1, 2008. Specifically, a copy of the applicable CARB Executive Order was not available for inspection.
2. Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.245(2) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 1, 2008. Specifically, annual testing of the Stage II equipment had not been conducted.
3. Failed to upgrade the Stage II equipment to ORVR compatible systems, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(1)(C) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 1, 2008.
4. Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and applicable CARB Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system including, but not limited to absence or disconnection of any component that is part of the approved system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(3)(B) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 1, 2008. Specifically, the hose on dispenser number 2 was kinked and was splitting apart.
5. Failed to post operating instructions in front of each dispenser equipped with a Stage II system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(9) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 1, 2008. Specifically, operating instructions were either unreadable or missing from the dispenser.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery, Docket No. 2008-0985-PST-E" to:

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, post operating instructions in front of each dispenser equipped with a Stage II system, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242; and
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager
Beaumont Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
3870 Eastex Freeway
Beaumont, Texas 77703-1892

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to support effective decision-making.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of technology in data management and analysis. It discusses how modern software solutions can streamline data collection, storage, and reporting, thereby improving efficiency and accuracy.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data management, such as data quality, security, and privacy. It provides strategies to mitigate these risks and ensure that data is used responsibly and ethically.

5. The fifth part of the document concludes by summarizing the key findings and recommendations. It stresses the importance of ongoing monitoring and evaluation to ensure that data management practices remain effective and aligned with the organization's goals.

6. The sixth part of the document provides a detailed overview of the data management framework, including the roles and responsibilities of various stakeholders. It also includes a list of key performance indicators (KPIs) used to measure the success of the framework.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the future directions of data management, including the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning. It also mentions the importance of staying updated with the latest industry trends and technologies.

8. The eighth part of the document provides a list of references and sources used in the document. It includes books, articles, and online resources that provide further information on data management and related topics.

9. The ninth part of the document includes a glossary of key terms and definitions used throughout the document. This helps to ensure that all readers have a clear understanding of the terminology used.

10. The tenth part of the document provides a list of appendices, including detailed data collection forms, templates, and other supporting documents. These are available for download and use by the organization.

11. The eleventh part of the document includes a list of contact information for the authors and the organization. This allows readers to reach out for more information or to provide feedback on the document.

12. The twelfth part of the document provides a list of acknowledgments, thanking the individuals and organizations that provided support and assistance during the development of the document.

13. The thirteenth part of the document includes a list of footnotes and references. These provide additional context and information for the reader, as well as a list of sources used in the document.

Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.



SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

John Sullivan
For the Executive Director

10/30/2008
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Meheboob Momin
Signature

09-08-08
Date

MEHEBOOB MOMIN
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
Meheboob Momin dba M & S Grocery

President
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

