EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2006-2244-AIR-E TCEQ ID: RN102612520 CASE NO.: 32039

Page 1 of 2

RESPONDENT NAME: MAXIM FARM EGG CO., INC.

ORDER TYPE:						
x 1660 AGREED ORDER	660 AGREED ORDERFINDINGS AGREED ORDER					
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	INDINGS DEFAULT ORDERSHUTDOWN ORDER					
AMENDED ORDER	_AMENDED ORDEREMERGENCY ORDER					
CASE TYPE:						
_x_AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE				
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION				
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL				
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION				
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: 580 Maxim Drive, Boling, Wharton County						
TYPE OF OPERATION: Egg production	plant Figure 12 and 12					
SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes No						
OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.						
INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.						
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on January 4, 2007. No comments were received.						
CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney: Mr. Shawn Slack, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0063 Ms. Jennifer Cook, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-1873 TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Bryan Elliott, Air Enforcement Section, MC 149, (512) 239-6162 TCEQ Regional Contact: Ms. Linda Vasse, Houston Regional Office, MC R-12, (713) 767-3637 Respondent: Mr. Vincent J. Reina, CEO, Maxim Farm Egg Co. Inc., Route 1, Box 31, Boling, Texas 77420						
Respondent: Mr. Vincent J. Reina,		x 31, Boling, Texas 77420				

RESPONDENT NAME: MAXIM FARM EGG CO., INC.

DOCKET NO.: 2006-2244-AIR-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: VIOLATION INFORMATION PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED Total Assessed: \$4,160 Type of Investigation: Technical Requirement(s) Complaint Total Deferred: \$0 The Respondent shall undertake the following _X_ Routine technical requirements: ___ Enforcement Follow-up Total Paid to General Revenue: \$4,160 ___ Records Review 1. Within 30 days, either meet the conditions of The Respondent has paid the assessed penalty the PBR for the incinerator, or submit an Date of Complaints Relating to this Case: in full. administratively complete permit application. None Site Compliance History Classification Dates of Investigation Relating to this Case: __ High X Average __ Poor 2. Respond completely and adequately, as August 28, 2006 determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for Person Compliance History Classification information concerning the permit application Date of NOE Relating to this Case: __ High X Average __ Poor within 30 days after the date of such requests. December 7, 2006 or by any other deadline specified in writing. Major Source: Yes X No Background Facts: An EDPRP was filed in 3. Within 180 days submit written certification this matter on May 29, 2007. No answer was Applicable Penalty Policy: and detailed supporting documentation, filed in this matter and the ED began the September 2002 including photographs, receipts, and /or other process of pursuing a Default Order. records, to demonstrate compliance with the Thereafter, the Respondent agreed to a ordering provisions. settlement. A signed Agreed Order was received on or about October 12, 2007. The Respondent in this case does not owe any other penalties according to the Administrative Penalty Database Report. AIR: Failed to obtain authorization for a poultry incinerator [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 116.110(a)(1) and Tex. Health & Safety CODE §§ 382.085(b) and 382.0518(a)].

· ·	Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)	
Policy Revision 2 (Sep		December 8, 2006
TCEQ DATES Assigned	11-Dec-2006	
PCW	to the first of th	2000 Avg. 2008, No. 2009
RESPONDENT/FACILITY	INFORMATION	
Respondent	Maxim Farm Egg Co., Inc.	Ing Laberta
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.		_
Facility/Site Region	12-Houston wajor/wintor Source [wintor	
CASE INFORMATION		<u> </u>
Enf./Case ID No.	32039 No. of Violations 1	
Media Program(s)		
Multi-Media	EC's Team EnforcementTeam 5	
Admin. Penalty \$ L	Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$10,000	
	Penalty Calculation Section	
ΤΟΤΑΙ ΒΑSE ΡΕΝΔΙ	TY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1	\$4,000
TOTAL DAGE FLIVAL	11 (Suff of Violation base penatues)	ψ-1,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1	
Subtotals 2-7 are obtair Compliance Histo	ned by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Ory 4% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$160
	The penalty was enhanced due to 2 previous NOVs for dissimilar	
Notes	violations.	
		,
Culpability	No 0% Enhancement Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.	
Good Faith Effort		\$0
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X (mark with x)	
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria.	
	0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6	\$0
Approx. (Total EB Amounts \$61 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Cost of Compliance \$1,500	
reserve to the second control of the second		
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	S 1-7 Final Subtotal	\$4,160
OTHER FACTORS AS	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Adjustment	\$0
	ubtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g30 for -30%.)	т-
Nata		
Notes	·	
L	Final Penalty Amount	\$4,160
and the second of the second o		
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty	\$4,160
DEFERRAL	0% Reduction Adjustment	\$0
	alty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	ΨΨ
	Deferral not offered for non-expedited settlement. Respondent failed to	
Notes	cottle in a timely manner	

settle in a timely manner.

PAYABLE PENALTY

\$4,160

Docket No. 2006-2244-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Maxim Farm Egg Co., Inc.

Case ID No. 32039

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision December 8, 2006

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102612520 Media [Statute] Air Quality Enf. Coordinator Bryan Elliott

Compliance History Worksheet

	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action		re Adjust.
NOVs	(number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	. 2	4%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	. 0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	. 0	0%
, , ,	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	. Pleas	e Enter Yes or N	0
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	, No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
L I	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Pe	ercentage (S	Subtotal 2)
at Violator (Sul	btotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Pe	ercentage (S	Subtotal 3)
oliance History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Pe	erformer Adjustment Pe	ercentage (S	Subtotal 7)
liance History	Summary		
Compliance History Notes	The penalty was enhanced due to 2 previous NOVs for dissimilar violations.		
L	* *************************************		_

		ening Date				Docket I	No. 2006-224	4-AIR-E	elle minutement i med electrica e i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	PCW
		Respondent		Egg Co., Inc.					Policy R	evision 2 (September 2002)
		Case ID No.							PCW	Revision December 8, 2006
Reg. E		ference No.		20				•		
		lia [Statute] Coordinator								
		ation Number		1						
	*101			min Cada C 1	16 110(a)(1)	and Tax I	Lloolth 9 Cofot	. Codo 86 201	005/6)	
		Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Ad	min. Code § 1		382.0518(Health & Safet _! (a)	y Code 99 302	2.005(0)	
·	Violatio	n Description	during an ir	vestigation co	nducted on A	August 28,	nerator. Specifi 2006, due to t ng the incinerat	he volume of	material	
							•	Base	Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environ	mental	, Property a	nd Human	Health Mat	rix					
		ero disella erio Assisti An Connecenta Arteria A Todastire effe	ளைய் நாழ்களைத்திகளை நடையுள்ள கடி திகையின் பெற்ற படிக்கி திரு கண்ணிறிறி	Harm	hadronides hadron' la est a place the homosopolitan a de	ll diditale actificitis—Spheritone	etak andar karibakan pakk ananda kitalian serekaran erratak di	hill chaid his him the children in west with		
OR		Release Actual	Major	Moderate	Minor	7				
		Potential				1	Percent	0%		
		1		J		_				
>>Program	matic l									
		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	T	Percent	10%		
			× ×	<u> </u>		1	reicein	1076		
STREET, STREET, ST	Matrix Notes			100% of the	rule requirer	ment was i	not met.			
							Adjustment		\$9,000	POSTATA
										\$1,000
	40 20 No. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			-5 VET V W. F (W. CO.W).						
Violation E	vents									
-		Number of Viol	lation Events	4		114	Number of	violation day	s	and the same of th
						<u> </u>				
			daily monthly							
		mark only one with an x	quarterly semiannual annual single event	X			V	iolation Base	Penalty	\$4,000
		Four monthly of	events are red	commended fro	om investiga 20, 2006		ıst 28, 2006) to	screening (D	ecember	
Economic E	3enefit	(EB) for this	s violation				Statutor	y Limit Tes	t	
		Estimated	EB Amount		\$61	П	Violatio	n Final Pena	ity Total	\$4,160
						-			•	
			YSTVE SPREEKTE	Th	is violation	Final Ass	essed Penalty	y (adjusted fo	or limits)	\$4,160

	E	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
Responden	Maxim Farm E	ALUSIUS BULL OF VESTRUIS S	TO LIGHT OF STREET	Walden Car	i hill militari (1904)	e Ballan sept en general je nje je je	or service of the services
Case ID No		gg 00., mo.					
Reg. Ent. Reference No						•	
Mark Control Table 1 to 1 t	Air Quality					K. A. Markinska name	Years of
Violation No					*	Percent Interest	Depreciation
VIOIALIUII NO	물 있는 60 ~ (관련하다는 설립자)	ang emporonista ay a salah sa	Navadaj ir vegasajatoja	are the	PROGRESS SANSAR		and the state of the state of the
그리아 나는 나는 나를 하게 된 것이다.						5.0	15
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	1. No commas or \$						
						and a taken and a to	the contract of the filter and
Delayed Costs				热点管理			
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a.	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	/ / n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	i Se n/a € €	\$0
Remediation/Disposal		1		1 0.0 l	\$0	l n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$1,500	28-Aug-2006	20-Jun-2007	0.8	\$61	}::: n/a:::	\$61
			<u> </u>	0.8	\$61 \$0	n/a n/á	\$61 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance.	n/a n/a date of investigation	\$61 \$0 and Final Date
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for	n/a n/a date of investigation	\$61 \$0 and Final Date
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 uest. Date tive date	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for a \$0	n/a . date of investigation one-time avoided c	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts)
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 uest. Date tive date	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0	n/a n/a date of investigation one-time avoided o	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date stive date ntering i 0.0 0.0	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for a \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a date of investigation one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date stive date ntering i 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a date of investigation one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date tive date ntering i 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a date of investigation one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Sequipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date tive date ntering i 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date tive date ntering i 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a date of investigation one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date tive date ntering i 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date tive date ntering i 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date tive date ntering i 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date tive date ntering i 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated cost	to prepare and su	ıbmit a permit requ is the prospec	0.8 0.0 lest. Date tive date ntering i 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$61 \$0 e Required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$61 \$0 and Final Date osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN601103948

Maxim Farm Egg Co., Inc.

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 3.00

Regulated Entity:

RN102612520

MAXIM FARMS

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 3.00

ID Number(s):

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS **PERMIT PERMIT** 9245

PERMIT

18380 21120

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS

PERMIT PERMIT 45939 48881

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS

PERMIT

ACCOUNT NUMBER

48880 WF00410

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS 580 MAXIM DR, BOLING, TX, 77420

Rating Date: September 01 06 Repeat Violator:

Location:

TCEQ Region:

Date Compliance History Prepared:

REGION 12 - HOUSTON December 19, 2006

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Compliance Period:

December 19, 2001 to December 19, 2006

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Bryan Elliott

239-6162

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

Yes

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period?

No N/A

3. If Yes, who is the current owner? 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?

N/A

5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?

N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. B.

N/A~

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1 07/02/2002

(111752)

2 04/08/2002

(111751)

Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) E.

Date: 09/18/2006

(497728)

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.4

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter D 382.085(b)

Description:

Failure to utilize effective methods to prevent an odor nuisance.

Date: 08/29/2006

(467131)

Classification: Moderate

Self Report? NO Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.4

5C THC Chapter 382, SubChapter A 382.085(b)

Description:

RE Failed to control odor.

F. Environmental audits.

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

NIZ

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION CONCERNING MAXIM FARM EGG CO. INC.; RN102612520

 $\omega\omega\omega\omega\omega\omega\omega\omega$

BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2006-2244-AIR-E I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its	agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
("Commission" or "TCEQ")	considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement
action regarding Maxim Farm	n Egg Co., Inc. ("Maxim") under the authority of Tex. WATER CODE ch.
7 and Tex. Health & Safet	Y CODE ch. 382. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by
the Litigation Division, and	Maxim, appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Maxim owns and operates an egg production plant located at 580 Maxim Drive, Wharton County, Texas (the "Plant").
- 2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to Tex. Water Code §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382 and TCEQ Rules.
- 3. The Commission and Maxim agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Maxim is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Maxim received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about December 12, 2006.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Maxim of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of four thousand one hundred and sixty dollars (\$4,160.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section

II ("Allegations"). Maxim has paid four thousand one hundred and sixty dollars (\$4,160.00) of the administrative penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ Maxim have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Maxim has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

1. Maxim is alleged to have violated 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §116.110(a)(1) and Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §§ 382.085(b) and 382.0518(a) by failing to obtain authorization for a poultry incinerator, as documented on August 28, 2006. Specifically, due to the volume of material incinerated, the Permit By Rule ("PBR") covering the incinerator could not be met.

III. DENIALS

Maxim generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDER

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Maxim pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Maxim's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from

considering or requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Maxim Farm Egg Co., Inc., Docket No. 2006-2244-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. Maxim shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Maxim shall either meet the conditions of the PBR for the incinerator, or submit an administratively complete permit application in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 116.110 to:

Air Permits Division, MC 162 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- b. Maxim shall respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the permit application within 30 days after the date of such requests, or by any other deadline specified in writing; and
- c. Within 180 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Maxim shall submit written certification and detailed supporting documentation, including photographs, receipts, and /or other records, to demonstrate compliance with ordering provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Maxim shall submit the written certification and copies of documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with these Ordering Provisions to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Air Permits Division, MC 162 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Maxim. Maxim is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If Maxim fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Maxim's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Maxim shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Maxim shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Maxim becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Maxim shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Maxim receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Maxim in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Maxim, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Maxim, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Maxim Farm Egg Co., Inc.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	12/2/07 Date
authorized to agree to the attached Agreed signature, and I do agree to the terms and co	tand the attached Agreed Order. I represent that I am Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my onditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the lty amount, is materially relying on such representation.
 and/or Maxim's failure to timely pay the p A negative impact on Maxim's con Greater scrutiny of any permit appl Referral of this case to the Attorned additional penalties, and/or attorned Increased penalties in any future end Automatic referral to the Attorney Of Maxim; and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized 	inpliance history; lications submitted by Maxim; rney General's office for contempt, injunctive relief, y fees, or to a collection agency; aforcement actions against Maxim; General's Office of any future enforcement actions against
V. J. Leina Signature	10-12-07 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized representative of	Title