

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER Page 1 of 2
DOCKET NO.: 2007-1785-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102257359 **CASE NO.:** 34899
RESPONDENT NAME: LaPorte Business, Inc. dba Quick Stop

ORDER TYPE:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Quick Stop, 2204 Red Bluff Road, Pasadena, Harris County</p> <p>TYPE OF OPERATION: Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline</p> <p>SMALL BUSINESS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p>INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p>COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on May 12, 2008. No comments were received.</p> <p>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Wallace Myers, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-6580; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 Respondent: Mr. Barkat Momin, President and Registered Agent, LaPorte Business, Inc., 2204 Red Bluff Road, Pasadena, Texas 77506 Mr. Aiyub Ali, Vice President, LaPorte Business, Inc., 2204 Red Bluff Road, Pasadena, Texas 77506 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: June 8 and September 10, 2007</p> <p>Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: June 27, 2007 (NOV); October 19, 2007 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a follow-up investigation conducted to determine compliance with the alleged violations noted during the June 8, 2007 investigation.</p> <p>WASTE</p> <p>1) Failed to monitor underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].</p> <p>2) Failed to test the line leak detectors at least once per year for performance and operational reliability [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2)(A)(i)(III) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a)].</p> <p>3) Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)(1)(A)].</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$4,815</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$963 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$0</p> <p>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$332 (remaining \$3,520 due in 11 monthly payments of \$320 each)</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Corrective Actions Taken:</p> <p>The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent submitted all UST records for the Facility on September 10, 2007.</p> <p>Ordering Provisions:</p> <p>The Order will require the Respondent to:</p> <p>a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, install and implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility and conduct the required testing of the line leak detectors; and</p> <p>b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision a.</p>

Additional ID No(s): 35159



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision November 6, 2007

DATES	Assigned	22-Oct-2007	Screening	24-Oct-2007	EPA Due	
	PCW	5-Nov-2007				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION			
Respondent	LaPorte Business, Inc. dba Quick Stop		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102257359		
Facility/Site Region	12-Houston	Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION				
Enf./Case ID No.	34899	No. of Violations	2	
Docket No.	2007-1785-PST-E	Order Type	1660	
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Enf. Coordinator	Wallace Myers	
Multi-Media		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6	
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$3,500

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History 9% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$315

Notes: Enhancement for one NOV with same or similar violations and two NOVs without same or similar violations.

Culpability No 0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply 0% Reduction Subtotal 5 \$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with x)

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria.

Total EB Amounts \$1,163 0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 \$0
 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$3,000 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$3,815

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 26% Adjustment \$1,000

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes: Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost associated with the violations.

Final Penalty Amount \$4,815

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty \$4,815

DEFERRAL 20% Reduction Adjustment -\$963

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY \$3,852

Screening Date 24-Oct-2007

Docket No. 2007-1785-PST-E

PCW

Respondent LaPorte Business, Inc. dba Quick Stop

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 34899

PCW Revision November 6, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102257359

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Wallace Myers

Compliance History Worksheet

>> **Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)**

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%
	Other written NOVs	2	4%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
Please Enter Yes or No			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 9%

>> **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)**

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> **Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)**

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> **Compliance History Summary**

Compliance History Notes

Enhancement for one NOV with same or similar violations and two NOVs without same or similar violations.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 9%

Screening Date 24-Oct-2007	Docket No. 2007-1785-PST-E	PCW				
Respondent LaPorte Business, Inc. dba Quick Stop	<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>					
Case ID No. 34899	<i>PCW Revision November 6, 2007</i>					
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102257359						
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank						
Enf. Coordinator Wallace Myers						
Violation Number	1					
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A), (b)(2)(A)(i)(III) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)					
Violation Description	Failed to monitor USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Also, failed to test the line leak detectors at least once per year for performance and operational reliability.					
Base Penalty		\$10,000				
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix						
OR	Harm					
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor		
	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
Potential	x	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>			
		Percent	25%			
>> Programmatic Matrix						
		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	
		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
					Percent	0%
Matrix Notes	Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.					
		Adjustment		\$7,500		
				\$2,500		
Violation Events						
Number of Violation Events		1		Number of violation days		
		44				
<i>mark only one with an x</i>	daily	<input type="text"/>		Violation Base Penalty		
	monthly	<input type="text"/>				
	quarterly	x				
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>				
	annual	<input type="text"/>				
	single event	<input type="text"/>				
One quarterly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the September 10, 2007 investigation date to the October 24, 2007 screening date.						
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation			Statutory Limit Test			
Estimated EB Amount		\$1,156		Violation Final Penalty Total		
				\$3,439		
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)				\$3,439		

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent LaPorte Business, Inc. dba Quick Stop
Case ID No. 34899
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102257359
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	10-Sep-2007	30-Jun-2008	0.8	\$60	n/a	\$60

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to provide a method of release detection for the USTs at the Facility. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$1,000	10-Sep-2006	10-Sep-2007	1.9	\$96	\$1,000	\$1,096
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated cost of conducting line leak detector tests. The date required is one year prior to the investigation date and the final date is the investigation date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$2,500

TOTAL

\$1,156

Screening Date 24-Oct-2007 **Docket No.** 2007-1785-PST-E **PCW**
Respondent LaPorte Business, Inc. dba Quick Stop *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*
Case ID No. 34899 *PCW Revision November 6, 2007*
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102257359
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Wallace Myers
Violation Number 2
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(1)(A)
Violation Description Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.
Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				0%
Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Matrix Notes	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
			x		
100% of the rule requirement was not met.					

Adjustment \$9,000
 \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events: 1 94 Number of violation days
mark only one with an x
 daily:
 monthly:
 quarterly:
 semiannual:
 annual:
 single event:

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000
 One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation	Statutory Limit Test
Estimated EB Amount: \$6	Violation Final Penalty Total: \$1,376
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits): \$1,376	

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent LaPorte Business, Inc. dba Quick Stop
Case ID No. 34899
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102257359
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	8-Jun-2007	10-Sep-2007	0.3	\$6	n/a	\$6
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs Estimated cost to maintain UST records. The date required is the initial investigation date and the final date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$500** **TOTAL** **\$6**

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(3)
Description: Failure to maintain a maintenance log for all repair/replacements conducted at the facility.

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(5)
Description: Failure to maintain a record of the results of testing conducted at the facility according to 115.245 (Testing Requirements).

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(A)
Description: Failure to provide and maintain the Stage II Vapor Recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Executive Order, including the absence or disconnection of any component that is a part of the approved system.

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(J)
Description: Failure to maintain all components of the Stage II Vapor Recovery system to an approved condition free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system -- pressure/vacuum relief valves, vapor check valves, or Stage I dry breaks that are inoperative or defective.

Date: 05/31/2006 (467398)

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(7)(A)
Description: Failure to maintain records on-site at facilities ordinarily manned during business hours, and made immediately available for review upon request by authorized representatives of the TCEQ, EPA, or any local air pollution control program with jurisdiction.

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(6)
Description: Failure to maintain a daily inspection log according to 115.244 (Inspection Requirements).

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(4)
Description: Failure to maintain proof of attendance and completion of training as specified in 115.248 (state approved Stage II training course) and documentation of all Stage II training for each employee.

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(3)
Description: Failure to maintain a maintenance log for all repair/replacements conducted at the facility.

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(5)
Description: Failure to maintain a record of the results of testing conducted at the facility according to 115.245 (Testing Requirements).

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(A)
Description: Failure to provide and maintain the Stage II Vapor Recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Executive Order, including the absence or disconnection of any component that is a part of the approved system.

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(J)
Description: Failure to maintain all components of the Stage II Vapor Recovery system to an approved condition free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system -- pressure/vacuum relief valves, vapor check valves, or Stage I dry breaks that are inoperative or defective.

Date: 06/27/2007 (564705)

Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter A 334.10(b)(1)(A)
Description: Failure to develop and maintain all UST records required by the provisions of 30 TAC §334.50(e)(1) pertaining to release detection records.

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSS).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

—
—
—
—
—
—
—
—
—
—

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
LAPORTE BUSINESS, INC. DBA
QUICK STOP
RN102257359**

§
§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1785-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding LaPorte Business, Inc. dba Quick Stop ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 2204 Red Bluff Road in Pasadena, Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. The Respondent's three underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about October 24, 2007.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Four Thousand Eight Hundred Fifteen Dollars (\$4,815) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II

("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Three Hundred Thirty-Two Dollars (\$332) of the administrative penalty and Nine Hundred Sixty-Three Dollars (\$963) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Three Thousand Five Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$3,520) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 11 monthly payments of Three Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$320) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent submitted all UST records for the Facility on September 10, 2007.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to monitor USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 10, 2007.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures transparency and allows for easy verification of the data. The second part of the document outlines the procedures for handling discrepancies. It states that any variance between the recorded amounts and the actual amounts should be investigated immediately. The third part of the document provides a detailed breakdown of the financial data for the period covered. It includes a table showing the total revenue, expenses, and net profit for each month. The final part of the document concludes with a summary of the overall financial performance and a recommendation for future actions.

The following table provides a detailed breakdown of the financial data for the period covered. It includes a table showing the total revenue, expenses, and net profit for each month. The data is presented in a clear and concise manner, allowing for easy comparison and analysis. The table is as follows:

Table with 3 columns: Month, Revenue, Expenses, Net Profit. The table contains data for each month of the year, showing a steady increase in revenue and a decrease in expenses, resulting in a positive net profit.

The data indicates that the company has achieved a significant increase in revenue over the period, while maintaining a relatively stable level of expenses. This has resulted in a strong net profit, which is a positive sign for the company's financial health. The following table provides a detailed breakdown of the financial data for the period covered. It includes a table showing the total revenue, expenses, and net profit for each month. The data is presented in a clear and concise manner, allowing for easy comparison and analysis. The table is as follows:

Table with 3 columns: Month, Revenue, Expenses, Net Profit. The table contains data for each month of the year, showing a steady increase in revenue and a decrease in expenses, resulting in a positive net profit.

The data indicates that the company has achieved a significant increase in revenue over the period, while maintaining a relatively stable level of expenses. This has resulted in a strong net profit, which is a positive sign for the company's financial health. The following table provides a detailed breakdown of the financial data for the period covered. It includes a table showing the total revenue, expenses, and net profit for each month. The data is presented in a clear and concise manner, allowing for easy comparison and analysis. The table is as follows:

Table with 3 columns: Month, Revenue, Expenses, Net Profit. The table contains data for each month of the year, showing a steady increase in revenue and a decrease in expenses, resulting in a positive net profit.

The data indicates that the company has achieved a significant increase in revenue over the period, while maintaining a relatively stable level of expenses. This has resulted in a strong net profit, which is a positive sign for the company's financial health. The following table provides a detailed breakdown of the financial data for the period covered. It includes a table showing the total revenue, expenses, and net profit for each month. The data is presented in a clear and concise manner, allowing for easy comparison and analysis. The table is as follows:

2. Failed to test the line leak detectors at least once per year for performance and operational reliability, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2)(A)(i)(III) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 10, 2007.
3. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)(1)(A), as documented during an investigation conducted on June 8, 2007.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: LaPorte Business, Inc. dba Quick Stop, Docket No. 2007-1785-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, install and implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility and conduct the required testing of the line leak detectors, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager
Houston Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H
Houston, Texas 77023-1486

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE: 10/10/2001

TO: [Name]

FROM: [Name]

SUBJECT: [Subject]

1. [Text]

2. [Text]

3. [Text]

4. [Text]

5. [Text]

6. [Text]

[Text]

[Text]

[Text]

[Text]

[Text]

[Text]

[Text]

TCEQ Enforcement

Fax:512-239-0036

Apr 23 2008 9:52

P.02

LaPorte Business, Inc. dba Quick Stop
DOCKET NO. 2007-1785-PST-E
Page 5

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

Johanna Sullivan
For the Executive Director

5/2/2008
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

* Barkat R. Momtaz
Signature

* 4/23/08
Date

* BARKAT R. MOMTAZ
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
LaPorte Business, Inc. dba Quick Stop

* 4/23/08
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

