

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2007-1685-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN101820793 **CASE NO.:** 34784

RESPONDENT NAME: Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr.

ORDER TYPE:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: 711 Broadway, Roscoe, Nolan County</p> <p>TYPE OF OPERATION: Property with five inactive underground storage tanks</p> <p>SMALL BUSINESS: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p>INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p>COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on June 2, 2008. No comments were received.</p> <p>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Wallace Myers, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-6580; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 Respondent: Mrs. Ordra F. Smith, P.O. Box 238, Roscoe, Texas 79545 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Record Review Relating to this Case: August 2, 2007</p> <p>Date of NOE Relating to this Case: August 29, 2007 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine record review.</p> <p>WASTE</p> <p>1) Failure to register with the Commission, on authorized Commission forms, underground storage tanks ("USTs") in existence on or after September 1, 1987 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(a)(1)].</p> <p>2) Failure to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, five USTs for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.47(a)(2)].</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$28,600</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$27,400 <input type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$0</p> <p>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$100 (remaining \$1,100 due in 11 monthly payments of \$100 each)</p> <p>The Financial Assurance Section of the Commission's Financial Administration Division reviewed financial documentation submitted by Mr. Smith and determined that Mr. Smith is unable to pay part of the administrative penalty.</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Ordering Provisions:</p> <p>The Order will require the Respondent to:</p> <p>a) Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, permanently remove the UST system from service;</p> <p>b) Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit registration to indicate the current operational status of the UST system; and</p> <p>c) Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. a. and b.</p>

Additional ID No(s): PST ID No. 49552



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision September 19, 2007

DATES	Assigned	1-Oct-2007	Screening	1-Oct-2007	EPA Due	
	PCW	17-Oct-2007				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION			
Respondent	Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr.		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN101820793		
Facility/Site Region	3-Abilene	Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION				
Enf./Case ID No.	34784	No. of Violations	2	
Docket No.	2007-1685-PST-E	Order Type	1660	
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Enf. Coordinator	Wallace Myers	
Multi-Media		EC's Team	EnforcementTeam 6	
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$26,000
---	-------------------	-----------------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History	10% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$2,600
---------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------	----------------

Notes: Enhancement for two NOVs with same or similar violations.

Culpability	No	0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	----------------	-------------------	------------

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply	0% Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
------------------------------------	--------------	-------------------	------------

	Before NOV	NOV to EDRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with x)

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria.

	0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
Total EB Amounts	\$4,726	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount	
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$10,100		

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$28,600
-----------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	0%	Adjustment	\$0
---	----	-------------------	------------

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes:

Final Penalty Amount **\$28,600**

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$28,600
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------

DEFERRAL	20% Reduction	Adjustment	-\$5,720
-----------------	---------------	-------------------	-----------------

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes:

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$22,880
------------------------	-----------------

Screening Date	1-Oct-2007	Docket No.	2007-1685-PST-E	PCW
Respondent	Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr.	<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>		
Case ID No.	34784	<i>PCW Revision September 19, 2007</i>		
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101820793			
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank			
Enf. Coordinator	Wallace Myers			

Compliance History Worksheet

>> **Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)**

Component	Number of...	<i>Enter Number Here</i>	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action <i>(number of NOVs meeting criteria)</i>	2	10%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability <i>(number of orders meeting criteria)</i>	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government <i>(number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)</i>	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government <i>(number of counts)</i>	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events <i>(number of events)</i>	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 <i>(number of audits for which notices were submitted)</i>	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 <i>(number of audits for which violations were disclosed)</i>	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 10%

>> **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)**

No **Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)** 0%

>> **Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)**

Average Performer **Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)** 0%

>> **Compliance History Summary**

Compliance History Notes Enhancement for two NOVs with same or similar violations.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 10%

Screening Date	1-Oct-2007	Docket No.	2007-1685-PST-E	PCW
Respondent	Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr.	<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>		
Case ID No.	34784	<i>PCW Revision September 19, 2007</i>		
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101820793			
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank			
Enf. Coordinator	Wallace Myers			
Violation Number	1			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.7(a)(1)			
Violation Description	Failed to register with the Commission, on authorized Commission forms, USTs in existence on or after September 1, 1987.			
Base Penalty				\$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Harm				Percent	0%
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor		
	Actual					
	Potential					

>> Programmatic Matrix

	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent	10%
		X				

Matrix Notes: 100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events: 1 60 Number of violation days

<i>mark only one with an x</i>	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the August 2, 2007 record review.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$4

Violation Final Penalty Total \$1,100

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$1,100

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr.
Case ID No. 34784
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101820793
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	2-Aug-2007	15-May-2008	0.8	\$4	n/a	\$4

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to submit a completed UST registration form. The Date Required is the date of the record review and the Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$100

TOTAL \$4

Screening Date 1-Oct-2007	Docket No. 2007-1685-PST-E	PCW			
Respondent Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr.	<small>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</small>				
Case ID No. 34784	<small>PCW Revision September 19, 2007</small>				
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101820793					
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank					
Enf. Coordinator Wallace Myers					
Violation Number <input type="text" value="2"/>					
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.47(a)(2)				
Violation Description	Failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, five USTs for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements.				
Base Penalty		<input type="text" value="\$10,000"/>			
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix					
OR	Harm				
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Potential	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		Percent	<input type="text" value="25%"/>		
>> Programmatic Matrix					
		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
		<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
		Percent	<input type="text" value="0%"/>		
Matrix Notes	Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.				
		Adjustment	<input type="text" value="\$7,500"/>		
		<input type="text" value="\$2,500"/>			
Violation Events					
Number of Violation Events		<input type="text" value="10"/>	Number of violation days		
		<input type="text" value="60"/>			
<small>mark only one, with an x</small>	daily	<input type="text"/>			
	monthly	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
	quarterly	<input type="text"/>			
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>			
	annual	<input type="text"/>			
	single event	<input type="text"/>			
		Violation Base Penalty			
		<input type="text" value="\$25,000"/>			
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 5px auto; width: 80%;"> Ten monthly events events (two months per UST) are recommended based on documentation of the violation during the August 2, 2007 record review to the October 1, 2007 screening date. </div>					
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation			Statutory Limit Test		
Estimated EB Amount		<input type="text" value="\$4,722"/>	Violation Final Penalty Total		<input type="text" value="\$27,500"/>
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)				<input type="text" value="\$27,500"/>	

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr.
Case ID No.: 34784
Reg. Ent. Reference No.: RN101820793
Media: Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No.: 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$10,000	22-Dec-1998	30-May-2008	9.4	\$4,722	n/a	\$4,722

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to permanently remove the UST system from service. The Date Required is the date when the Respondent was required to upgrade the UST system and the Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$10,000

TOTAL

\$4,722

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN600989677 Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr.	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.00
Regulated Entity:	RN101820793 SMITH, WALTER LLOYD SR.	Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 3.00
ID Number(s):	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION	REGISTRATION	49552
Location:	711 BROADWAY, ROSCOE, TX, 79545	Rating Date: September 01 07 Repeat Violator: NO	
TCEQ Region:	REGION 03 - ABILENE		
Date Compliance History Prepared:	October 10, 2007		
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement		
Compliance Period:	October 10, 2002 to October 10, 2007		

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Wallace Myers Phone: 512-239-6580

Site Compliance History Components

- | | |
|--|-----|
| 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? | Yes |
| 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? | No |
| 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? | N/A |
| 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? | N/A |
| 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? | N/A |

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.
- N/A
- B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
- N/A
- C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
- N/A
- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
- | | | | |
|---|------------|----------|--|
| 1 | 11/07/2006 | (458225) | |
| 2 | 02/05/2007 | (538593) | |
| 3 | 08/30/2007 | (570954) | |
- E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
- | | | | |
|--------------|--|----------|--------------------------|
| Date: | 11/07/2006 | (458225) | |
| Self Report? | NO | | Classification: Moderate |
| Citation: | 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter A 334.7(a)(1) | | |
| Description: | Failure to register all underground storage tanks according to 334.7(a)(1) with the TCEQ on or before September 1, 1987. | | |
| Self Report? | NO | | Classification: Moderate |
| Citation: | 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.47(a)(2) | | |
| Description: | Failure to perform the temporary or permanent removal of a UST system according to 30 TAC 334.47(a)(2). | | |
| Date: | 02/05/2007 | (538593) | |
| Self Report? | NO | | Classification: Moderate |
| Citation: | 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter A 334.7(a)(1) | | |
| Description: | Failure to register all underground storage tanks according to 334.7(a)(1) with the TCEQ on or before September 1, 1987. | | |
| Self Report? | NO | | Classification: Moderate |
| Citation: | 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.47(a)(2) | | |
| Description: | Failure to perform the temporary or permanent removal of a UST system according to 30 TAC 334.47(a)(2). | | |
- F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
WALTER LLOYD SMITH, SR.
RN101820793**

§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1685-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr. ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns property with five inactive underground storage tanks ("USTs") at 711 Broadway in Roscoe, Nolan County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. The Respondent's five USTs are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about September 3, 2007.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Twenty-Eight Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$28,600) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Financial Assurance Section of the Commission's Financial Administration

Division reviewed financial documentation submitted by the Respondent and determined that the Respondent is unable to pay part of the administrative penalty. Therefore, Twenty-Seven Thousand Four Hundred Dollars (\$27,400) of the penalty is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The Respondent has paid One Hundred Dollars (\$100) of the undeferred administrative penalty. The remaining amount of One Thousand One Hundred Dollars (\$1,100) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 11 monthly payments of One Hundred Dollars (\$100) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to register with the Commission, on authorized Commission forms, USTs in existence on or after September 1, 1987, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(a)(1), as documented during a record review conducted on August 2, 2007.

2. Failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, five USTs for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.47(a)(2), as documented during a record review conducted on August 2, 2007.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr., Docket No. 2007-1685-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, permanently remove the UST system from service, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.55;
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit registration to indicate the current operational status of the UST system, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7 to:

Registration and Reporting Section
Permitting & Remediation Support Division, MC138
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- c. Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records and the role of the auditor in ensuring the integrity of the financial statements.

In the second part, the auditor's responsibilities are outlined, including the need to exercise professional judgment and to maintain independence throughout the audit process.

The third part of the document addresses the specific procedures that should be followed during the audit, from the initial planning stage to the final reporting phase.

Finally, the document concludes by emphasizing the auditor's duty to the public and the importance of transparency in the audit process.

The auditor's report is a key component of the audit process, providing stakeholders with the information they need to make informed decisions about the company's financial health.

It is essential for the auditor to communicate clearly and effectively, ensuring that the findings of the audit are understood by all relevant parties.

The auditor's role is not only to identify and report on errors and irregularities, but also to provide constructive feedback to the company's management.

By adhering to the highest standards of professional conduct, the auditor can ensure the reliability and credibility of the financial information provided.

The auditor's work is a critical part of the company's overall financial management, and it is essential for the company's long-term success and growth.

In conclusion, the auditor's role is a complex and demanding one, but it is also a highly rewarding one that offers the opportunity to make a significant impact on the company's financial future.

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager
Abilene Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
1977 Industrial Boulevard
Abilene, Texas 79602-7833

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent.
4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.

8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr.
DOCKET NO. 2007-1685-PST-E
Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

John S. Sullivan
For the Executive Director

6/2/2008
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

X Walter Lloyd Smith
Signature

5-20-08
Date

X Ordra F Smith
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
Walter Lloyd Smith, Sr.

5-20-08
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

