

Page 1 of 2

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER
DOCKET NO.: 2008-0361-AIR-E TCEQ ID: RN102871217 CASE NO.: 35484
RESPONDENT NAME: Lee's United Construction Services, Inc.

ORDER TYPE:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Hawk Ready Mix Batch Plant, located approximately one mile southwest of the intersection of United States Highway 83 and Farm-to-Market Road 496, on the northwest side of Farm-to-Market Road 496, Zapata County</p> <p>TYPE OF OPERATION: Concrete batch plant</p> <p>SMALL BUSINESS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p>INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p>COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on August 11, 2008. No comments were received.</p> <p>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Terry Murphy, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 4, MC 149, (512) 239-5025; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 Respondent: Mr. Leland Plattner, Owner/President, Lee's United Construction Services, Inc., 2155 US Highway 83, # B-5, Zapata, Texas 78076 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: January 11, 2008</p> <p>Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: February 21, 2008 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine investigation.</p> <p>AIR</p> <p>1) Failure to obtain a permit amendment prior to modifying the Plant. Specifically, the Respondent added an additional silo prior to receiving an approved amendment to the permit [New Source Review Special Permit ("NSRSP") No. T-19175, General Condition 1., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.116(b)(1), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>2) Failure to install water spray bars at material transfer points [NSRSP No. T-19175, Special Condition 2.C., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.115(c), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085].</p> <p>3) Failure to comply with the representations made in the permit application. Specifically, the use of a fabric filter baghouse to control silo emissions and a water fog ring to control truck drop point emissions were represented in the permit application, but silo emissions were being controlled by a central dust collection system, and the truck drop point was being controlled by a suction shroud routed to the central dust collection system [NSRSP No. T-19175, Special Conditions 2.D. and 2.G., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(c) and 116.116(b)(1), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$2,700</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$540 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$0</p> <p>Total Paid to General Revenue: \$2,160</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Corrective Actions Taken:</p> <p>The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has submitted a request to amend NSRSP No. T-19175 on September 12, 2007.</p> <p>Ordering Provisions:</p> <p>The Order will require the Respondent to:</p> <p>a. Respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the request to amend NSRSP No. T-19175 within 30 days after the date of such requests, or by any other deadline specified in writing;</p> <p>b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, install water spray bars at material transfer points;</p> <p>c. Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, either obtain authorization to construct and operate a source of air emissions or cease construction/operation until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained; and</p> <p>d. Within 75 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions b. and c.</p>

Additional ID No(s): 919175M



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision January 29, 2008

DATES	Assigned	25-Feb-2008	Screening	29-Feb-2008	EPA Due	
	PCW	7-Apr-2008				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION	
Respondent	Lee's United Construction Services, Inc.
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102871217
Facility/Site Region	16-Laredo
Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION				
Enf./Case ID No.	35484	No. of Violations	3	
Docket No.	2008-0361-AIR-E	Order Type	1660	
Media Program(s)	Air	Enf. Coordinator	Terry Murphy	
Multi-Media		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 4	
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$2,700

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History 0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$0

Notes: No change due to average performer classification.

Culpability No 0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply 0% Reduction Subtotal 5 \$0

Before NOV NOV to EDRP/Settlement Offer

Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with x)

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria.

Total EB Amounts 0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 \$0
 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$205
\$6,000

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$2,700

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0% Adjustment \$0

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes:

Final Penalty Amount \$2,700

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty \$2,700

DEFERRAL 20% Reduction Adjustment -\$540

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY \$2,160

Screening Date 29-Feb-2008

Docket No. 2008-0361-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Lee's United Construction Services, Inc.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 35484

PCW Revision January 29, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102871217

Media [Statute] Air

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Compliance History Worksheet

>> **Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)**

Component **Number of...** **Enter Number Here** **Adjust.**

NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%

Please Enter Yes or No

Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)**

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> **Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)**

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> **Compliance History Summary**

Compliance History Notes

No change due to average performer classification.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 0%

Screening Date 29-Feb-2008	Docket No. 2008-0361-AIR-E	PCW		
Respondent Lee's United Construction Services, Inc.	<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>			
Case ID No. 35484	<i>PCW Revision January 29, 2008</i>			
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102871217				
Media [Statute] Air				
Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy				
Violation Number <input type="text" value="1"/>				
Rule Cite(s)	New Source Review Special Permit ("NSRSP") No. T-19175, General Condition 1., 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 116.116(b)(1), and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)			
Violation Description	Failed to obtain a permit amendment prior to modifying the Plant. Specifically, the Respondent added an additional silo prior to receiving an approved amendment to the permit, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 11, 2008.			
Base Penalty		<input type="text" value="\$10,000"/>		
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix				
OR	Harm			
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor
	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
	Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Percent <input type="text" value="0%"/>				
>>Programmatic Matrix				
	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
	<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Percent <input type="text" value="10%"/>				
Matrix Notes	<input type="text" value="The Respondent failed to comply with 100% of the rule."/>			
Adjustment		<input type="text" value="\$9,000"/>		
		<input type="text" value="\$1,000"/>		
Violation Events				
Number of Violation Events <input type="text" value="2"/>		<input type="text" value="49"/> Number of violation days		
<i>mark only one with an x</i>	daily	<input type="text"/>	Violation Base Penalty <input type="text" value="\$2,000"/>	
	monthly	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
	quarterly	<input type="text"/>		
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>		
	annual	<input type="text"/>		
	single event	<input type="text"/>		
<input type="text" value="Two monthly events are recommended from the investigation date (January 11, 2008) to the enforcement screening date (February 29, 2008)."/>				
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation		Statutory Limit Test		
Estimated EB Amount <input type="text" value="\$160"/>		Violation Final Penalty Total <input type="text" value="\$2,000"/>		
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) <input type="text" value="\$2,000"/>		

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Lee's United Construction Services, Inc.
Case ID No. 35484
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102871217
Media Air
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$5,000	11-Jan-2008	1-Sep-2008	0.6	\$160	n/a	\$160
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs Estimated costs to amend the permit. The Date Required is the date of the investigation, and the Final Date is when the amendment is expected to be issued.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance TOTAL

\$5,000		TOTAL	\$160
---------	--	-------	-------

Screening Date	29-Feb-2008	Docket No.	2008-0361-AIR-E	PCW
Respondent	Lee's United Construction Services, Inc.			<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>
Case ID No.	35484			<i>PCW Revision January 29, 2008</i>
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102871217			
Media [Statute]	Air			
Enf. Coordinator	Terry Murphy			
Violation Number	2			
Rule Cite(s)	NSRSP No. T-19175, Special Condition 2.C., 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 116.115(c), and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)			
Violation Description	Failed to install water spray bars at material transfer points, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 11, 2008.			
		Base Penalty	\$10,000	

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Harm			
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor
	Actual			
	Potential		x	Percent
				\$5%

>> Programmatic Matrix

	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	
					Percent
					\$0%

Matrix Notes: The failure to control emissions from transfer points could expose human health or the environment to insignificant amounts of pollutants not exceeding levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$9,500
\$500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events: 1 49 Number of violation days

<i>mark only one with an x</i>	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$500

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$45

Violation Final Penalty Total \$500

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$500

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Lee's United Construction Services, Inc.

Case ID No. 35484

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102871217

Media Air

Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost <small>No commas or \$</small>	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	\$1,000	11-Jan-2008	1-Sep-2008	0.6	\$2	\$43	\$45
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated costs to install water spray bars. The Date Required is the date of the investigation, and the Final Date is the expected date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,000

TOTAL

\$45

Screening Date 29-Feb-2008

Docket No. 2008-0361-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent Lee's United Construction Services, Inc.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 35484

PCW Revision January 29, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102871217

Media [Statute] Air

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Violation Number

Rule Cite(s)

NSRSP No. T-19175, Special Conditions 2.D. and 2.G., 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 116.115(c) and 116.116(b)(2), and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to comply with the representations made in the permit application. Specifically, the use of a fabric filter baghouse to control silo emissions and a water fog ring to control truck drop point emissions were represented in the permit application, but silo emissions were being controlled by a central dust collection system, and the truck drop point was being controlled by a suction shroud routed to the central dust collection system, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 11, 2008.

Base Penalty

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="0%"/>
Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	

OR

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text" value="1%"/>

Matrix Notes

The Respondent failed to comply with less than 30% of the permit representations.

Adjustment

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events **Number of violation days**

mark only one with an x

daily	<input type="text"/>
monthly	<input type="text"/>
quarterly	<input type="text"/>
semiannual	<input type="text"/>
annual	<input type="text"/>
single event	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Violation Base Penalty

Two single events are recommended, one for the silo and one for the truck drop.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount

Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Lee's United Construction Services, Inc.
Case ID No. 35484
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102871217
Media Air
Violation No. 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Economic benefits for this violation are included in Violation 1.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$0

TOTAL

\$0

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600135479 Lee's United Construction Services, Inc. Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 1.11

Regulated Entity: RN102871217 HAWK READY MIX BATCH PLANT Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT Site Rating: 3.01

ID Number(s): AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 19175
AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER 919175M

Location: approximately one mile southwest of the intersection of United States Highway 83 and Farm-to-Market Road 496, on the northwest side of Farm-to-Market Road 496 Rating Date: 9/1/2007 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region: REGION 16 - LAREDO

Date Compliance History Prepared: March 04, 2008

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: March 04, 2003 to March 04, 2008

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Terry Murphy Phone: (512) 239-5025

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
 - B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
 - C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A
 - D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
1 02/21/2008 (636103)
2 03/04/2008 (637653)
 - E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
 - F. Environmental audits.
N/A
 - G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
N/A
 - H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.
N/A
 - I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.
N/A
 - J. Early compliance.
N/A
- Sites Outside of Texas
N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
LEE'S UNITED CONSTRUCTION
SERVICES, INC.
RN102871217**

§
§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0361-AIR-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Lee's United Construction Services, Inc. ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns and operates a concrete batch plant located approximately one mile southwest of the intersection of United States Highway 83 and Farm-to-Market Road 496, on the northwest side of Farm-to-Market Road 496, in Zapata County, Texas (the "Plant").
2. The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about February 26, 2008.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Two Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$2,700) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Thousand One Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$2,160) of the administrative penalty and Five Hundred Forty Dollars (\$540) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.
7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has submitted a request to amend New Source Review Special Permit ("NSRSP") No. T-19175 on September 12, 2007.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Plant, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to obtain a permit amendment prior to modifying the Plant, in violation of NSRSP No. T-19175, General Condition 1., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.116(b)(1), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 11, 2008. Specifically, the Respondent added an additional silo prior to receiving an approved amendment to the permit.
2. Failed to install water spray bars at material transfer points, in violation of NSRSP No. T-19175, Special Condition 2.C., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.115(c), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 11, 2008.
3. Failed to comply with the representations made in the permit application, in violation of NSRSP No. T-19175, Special Conditions 2.D. and 2.G., 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 116.115(c) and 116.116(b)(1), and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 11, 2008. Specifically, the use of a fabric filter baghouse to

control silo emissions and a water fog ring to control truck drop point emissions were represented in the permit application, but silo emissions were being controlled by a central dust collection system, and the truck drop point was being controlled by a suction shroud routed to the central dust collection system.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Lee's United Construction Services, Inc., Docket No. 2008-0361-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the request to amend NSRSP No. T-19175 within 30 days after the date of such requests, or by any other deadline specified in writing;
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, install water spray bars at material transfer points;
 - c. Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, either obtain authorization to construct and operate a source of air emissions or cease construction/operation until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained; and
 - d. Within 75 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.b. and 2.c. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for transparency and accountability, particularly in the context of public administration or corporate governance. The text suggests that without reliable records, it becomes difficult to track progress, identify issues, and ensure that resources are being used effectively.

2. The second part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data collection and analysis. It notes that while modern technology offers powerful tools for gathering and processing information, the quality and consistency of the data can vary significantly. The author highlights the need for standardized protocols and rigorous quality control measures to ensure that the data being used is accurate and reliable.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the importance of communication and collaboration. It argues that successful outcomes often depend on the ability of different teams and individuals to work together effectively. The text encourages the use of clear communication channels and regular meetings to ensure that everyone is on the same page and that any potential conflicts or misunderstandings are resolved promptly.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the role of leadership in driving organizational success. It emphasizes that leaders must be able to set a clear vision, inspire their teams, and make difficult decisions when necessary. The text suggests that effective leaders are also good listeners, who are open to feedback and willing to adapt their strategies based on changing circumstances.

5. The fifth part of the document addresses the issue of resource allocation. It notes that organizations often face limited resources, and it is crucial to ensure that these resources are distributed in a way that maximizes their impact. The text suggests that a data-driven approach to resource allocation can help organizations identify areas where resources are being underutilized and make adjustments accordingly.

6. The sixth part of the document discusses the importance of continuous improvement. It argues that organizations should not be satisfied with the status quo and should always be looking for ways to enhance their performance. The text suggests that regular reviews and evaluations can help identify areas for improvement and implement changes that lead to better results.

7. The seventh part of the document addresses the issue of risk management. It notes that every organization faces various risks, and it is essential to have a clear strategy in place to identify, assess, and mitigate these risks. The text suggests that a proactive approach to risk management can help organizations avoid potential pitfalls and ensure their long-term sustainability.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the importance of innovation and creativity. It argues that organizations that are able to think outside the box and develop new ideas are more likely to succeed in a competitive market. The text suggests that creating a culture of innovation and providing employees with the resources and support they need to experiment and take risks are key to fostering a successful innovation environment.

9. The ninth part of the document addresses the issue of customer satisfaction. It notes that customers are the lifeblood of any business, and it is essential to ensure that they are satisfied with the products and services they receive. The text suggests that regular communication with customers, listening to their feedback, and making improvements based on their needs are all important factors in achieving high levels of customer satisfaction.

10. The tenth part of the document discusses the importance of financial management. It argues that sound financial practices are essential for the long-term success of any organization. The text suggests that organizations should have a clear budget, track their expenses carefully, and ensure that they are generating enough revenue to cover their costs and invest in their future.

11. The eleventh part of the document addresses the issue of employee engagement. It notes that engaged employees are more productive, more committed, and more likely to stay with the organization. The text suggests that organizations can improve employee engagement by providing meaningful work, offering opportunities for professional development, and creating a supportive and inclusive work environment.

12. The twelfth part of the document discusses the importance of social responsibility. It argues that organizations have a responsibility to their communities and the environment, and it is essential to act in a socially responsible manner. The text suggests that organizations can improve their social responsibility by supporting local businesses, reducing their carbon footprint, and being transparent about their operations.

responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Air Section, Manager
Laredo Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
707 East Calton Road, Suite 304
Laredo, Texas 78041-3887

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.

8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

John Siddle
For the Executive Director

7/23/2008
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Leland Plattner
Signature

5/29/08
Date

Leland Plattner
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
Lee's United Construction Services, Inc.

Owner/Pres
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

