

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2009-0933-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102713773 **CASE NO.:** 37861**RESPONDENT NAME:** Nazlin A. Virani

ORDER TYPE:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: First Stop, 503 New Laredo Highway, San Antonio, Bexar County</p> <p>TYPE OF OPERATION: Property with three inactive underground storage tanks ("USTs")</p> <p>SMALL BUSINESS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p>INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p>COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on December 7, 2009. No comments were received.</p> <p>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Mike Pace, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC-04, (817) 588-5933; Ms. Cari-Michel La Caille, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1387 Respondent: Mr. Nazlin A. Virani, Owner, First Stop, 35 Cresthollow Lane, Albertson, New York 11507 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: April 28, 2009</p> <p>Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: June 9, 2009 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine investigation.</p> <p>WASTE</p> <p>1) Failure to provide an amended registration for any change or additional information regarding the USTs within 30 days from the date of the occurrence of the change or addition. Specifically, registration does not reflect the accurate operational status of the UST system [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(d)(3)].</p> <p>2) Failure to inspect and test the corrosion protection system for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years. Specifically, the triennial test had not been conducted [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(c)(4)(C) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d)].</p> <p>3) Failure to maintain all piping, pumps, manways, and ancillary equipment in a capped, plugged, locked, and/or otherwise secured manner to prevent access, tampering, or vandalism by unauthorized persons. Specifically, the fill pipes were missing caps and not locked, spill buckets and turbine sumps were missing caps, and dispenser piping was not adequately secured [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.54(b)(2)].</p> <p>4) Failure to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)].</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$8,196</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$1,639 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$0</p> <p>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$187 (remaining \$6,370 due in 35 monthly payments of \$182 each)</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Ordering Provisions:</p> <p>The Order will require the Respondent to:</p> <p>a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, permanently remove the UST system from service.</p> <p>b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision a.</p>



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

DATES	Assigned	15-Jun-2009	Screening	19-Jun-2009	EPA Due	
	PCW	22-Jun-2009				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION	
Respondent	Nazlin A. Virani
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102713773
Facility/Site Region	13-San Antonio
Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION			
Enf./Case ID No.	37861	No. of Violations	4
Docket No.	2009-0933-PST-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Mike Pace
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$7,000
---	-------------------	---------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1		
<small>Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.</small>		
Compliance History	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$0

Notes: No adjustment due to compliance history.

Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	------------------	-------------------	-----

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$0
--	-------------------	-----

Economic Benefit	0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
<small>Total EB Amounts</small>	\$1,241		
<small>Approx. Cost of Compliance</small>	\$2,100		
<small>*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount</small>			

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$7,000
-----------------------------	-----------------------	---------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	17.1%	Adjustment	\$1,196
---	-------	-------------------	---------

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes: Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with violation number 2.

Final Penalty Amount	\$8,196
-----------------------------	---------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$8,196
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------

DEFERRAL	20.0% Reduction	Adjustment	-\$1,639
-----------------	-----------------	-------------------	----------

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$6,557
------------------------	---------

Screening Date 19-Jun-2009

Docket No. 2009-0933-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Nazlin A. Virani

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 37861

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102713773

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace

Compliance History Worksheet

>> **Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)**

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)**

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> **Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)**

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> **Compliance History Summary**

Compliance History Notes

No adjustment due to compliance history.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 0%

Screening Date 19-Jun-2009	Docket No. 2009-0933-PST-E	PCW			
Respondent Nazlin A. Virani	<small>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</small>				
Case ID No. 37861	<small>PCW Revision October 30, 2008</small>				
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102713773					
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank					
Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace					
Violation Number <input type="text" value="1"/>					
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.7(d)(3)				
Violation Description	Failed to provide an amended registration for any change or additional information regarding the USTs within 30 days from the date of the occurrence of the change or addition. Specifically, registration does not reflect the accurate operational status of the UST system.				
Base Penalty		<input type="text" value="\$10,000"/>			
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix					
OR	Harm				
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>		
		Percent	<input type="text" value="0%"/>		
>> Programmatic Matrix					
		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
		<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
		Percent	<input type="text" value="10%"/>		
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.				
		Adjustment	<input type="text" value="\$9,000"/>		
		<input type="text" value="\$1,000"/>			
Violation Events					
Number of Violation Events		<input type="text" value="1"/>	Number of violation days		
		<input type="text" value="52"/>			
<small>mark only one with an x</small>	daily	<input type="text"/>			
	weekly	<input type="text"/>			
	monthly	<input type="text"/>			
	quarterly	<input type="text"/>			
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>			
	annual	<input type="text"/>			
	single event	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>			
		Violation Base Penalty <input type="text" value="\$1,000"/>			
One single event is recommended based on the documentation of the violation during the April 28, 2009 investigation.					
Good Faith Efforts to Comply					
		<input type="text" value="0.0%"/>	Reduction		
		<input type="text" value="\$0"/>			
		Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer		
Extraordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>			
Ordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>			
N/A	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>	(mark with x)			
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.				
		Violation Subtotal <input type="text" value="\$1,000"/>			
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation					
Statutory Limit Test					
Estimated EB Amount		<input type="text" value="\$4"/>	Violation Final Penalty Total		<input type="text" value="\$1,171"/>
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)					<input type="text" value="\$1,171"/>

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Nazlin A. Virani

Case ID No. 37861

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102713773

Media Petroleum Storage Tank

Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	28-Apr-2009	19-Feb-2010	0.81	\$4	n/a	\$4

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to submit an amended UST registration form to the TCEQ. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the expected date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$100

TOTAL

\$4

Screening Date: 19-Jun-2009	Docket No.: 2009-0933-PST-E	PCW	
Respondent: Nazlin A. Virani		<small>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</small>	
Case ID No.: 37861		<small>PCW Revision October 30, 2008</small>	
Reg. Ent. Reference No.: RN102713773			
Media [Statute]: Petroleum Storage Tank			
Enf. Coordinator: Mike Pace			
Violation Number: 2			
Rule Cite(s):	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(c)(4)(C) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)		
Violation Description:	Failed to inspect and test the corrosion protection system for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years. Specifically, the triennial test had not been conducted.		
	Base Penalty:	\$10,000	
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix			
OR	Release	Harm	
		Major Moderate Minor	
	Actual	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Potential	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Percent	25%
>> Programmatic Matrix			
	Falsification	Major	Moderate
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Percent	0%
Matrix Notes:	Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.		
	Adjustment:	\$7,500	
		Total:	\$2,500
Violation Events			
	Number of Violation Events:	1	Number of violation days: 1095
<small>mark only one with an x</small>	daily	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	weekly	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	monthly	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	quarterly	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	semiannual	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	annual	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	single event	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
		Violation Base Penalty:	\$2,500
One single event is recommended for the three year period preceding the April 28, 2009 investigation.			
Good Faith Efforts to Comply		0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
Extraordinary	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Ordinary	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<small>(mark with x)</small>	
Notes:	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.		
	Violation Subtotal:	\$2,500	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated EB Amount:	\$1,196	Violation Final Penalty Total:	\$2,927
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits):	\$2,927

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: Nazlin A. Virani
Case ID No.: 37861
Reg. Ent. Reference No.: RN102713773
Media: Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No.: 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<i>No commas or \$</i>							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	28-Apr-2006	28-Apr-2009	3.92	\$196	\$1,000	\$1,196

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated avoided cost for triennial testing to verify proper operation of the corrosion protection system. The date required is three years prior to the investigation date and the final date is the investigation date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,000

TOTAL

\$1,196

Screening Date 19-Jun-2009 **Docket No.** 2009-0933-PST-E **PCW**
Respondent Nazlin A. Virani *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*
Case ID No. 37861 *PCW Revision October 30, 2008*
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102713773
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace
Violation Number 3

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.54(b)(2)
Violation Description Failed to maintain all piping, pumps, manways, and ancillary equipment in a capped, plugged, locked, and/or otherwise secured manner to prevent access, tampering, or vandalism by unauthorized persons. Specifically, the fill pipes were missing caps and not locked, spill buckets and turbine sumps were missing caps, and dispenser piping was not adequately secured.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Harm			Percent	
	Release	Major	Moderate		Minor
	Actual				
	Potential	X		25%	

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
				0%

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$7,500

\$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	X
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One quarterly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the April 28, 2009 investigation to the June 19, 2009 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply Reduction

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$2,500

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation **Statutory Limit Test**

Estimated EB Amount Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: Nazlin A. Virani
Case ID No: 37861
Reg. Ent. Reference No: RN102713773
Media: Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No: 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<small>No commas or \$</small>							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$500	28-Apr-2009	19-Feb-2010	0.81	\$20	n/a	\$20

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to properly secure the fill pipes, spill buckets, turbine sumps, and dispenser piping on the UST system. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the expected date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$500

TOTAL:

\$20

Screening Date 19-Jun-2009	Docket No. 2009-0933-PST-E	PCW			
Respondent Nazlin A. Virani	<small>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</small>				
Case ID No. 37861	<small>PCW Revision October 30, 2008</small>				
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102713773					
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank					
Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace					
Violation Number 4					
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tax. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)				
Violation Description	Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.				
Base Penalty		\$10,000			
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix					
OR	Harm				
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Potential	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		Percent	0%		
>> Programmatic Matrix					
		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
		<input type="checkbox"/>	x	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Percent	10%		
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.				
		Adjustment	\$9,000		
		\$1,000			
Violation Events					
Number of Violation Events		1	Number of violation days		
		52			
<small>mark only one with an x</small>	daily	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	weekly	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	monthly	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	quarterly	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	semiannual	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	annual	<input type="checkbox"/>			
	single event	x			
		Violation Base Penalty			
		\$1,000			
One single event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the April 28, 2009 investigation.					
Good Faith Efforts to Comply		0.0% Reduction		\$0	
		Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer		
Extraordinary	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Ordinary	<input type="checkbox"/>				
N/A	x	(mark with x)			
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.				
		Violation Subtotal			
		\$1,000			
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation			Statutory Limit Test		
Estimated EB Amount		\$20	Violation Final Penalty Total		\$1,171
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)					\$1,171

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: Nazlin A. Virani
Case ID No.: 37861
Reg. Ent. Reference No.: RN102713773
Media: Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No.: 4

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	28-Apr-2009	19-Feb-2010	0.81	\$20	n/a	\$20
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to maintain UST records. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the expected date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$500

TOTAL

\$20

Compliance History Report

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN801378417	Virani Nazlin A.	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.01
Regulated Entity:	RN102713773	First Stop	Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Site Rating: 3.01
ID Number(s):	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION		REGISTRATION	39292
Location:	503 NEW LAREDO HWY, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78211			
TCEQ Region:	REGION 13 - SAN ANTONIO			
Date Compliance History Prepared:	June 15, 2009			
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement			
Compliance Period:	June 15, 2004 to June 15, 2009			

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Mike Pace Phone: 817-588-5933

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator? N/A
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s) ? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A
6. Rating Date: 9/1/2008 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
- B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
- C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A
- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
N/A
- E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
N/A
- F. Environmental audits.
N/A
- G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
N/A
- H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.
N/A
- I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.
N/A
- J. Early compliance.
N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
NAZLIN A. VIRANI
RN102713773

§
§
§
§
§

BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER
DOCKET NO. 2009-0933-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Nazlin A. Virani ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns property with three inactive underground storage tanks ("USTs") at 503 New Laredo Highway in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. The Respondent's three USTs are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 14, 2009.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Eight Thousand One Hundred Ninety-Six Dollars (\$8,196) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Hundred Eighty-Seven Dollars (\$187) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Six Hundred Thirty-Nine Dollars (\$1,639) is deferred

contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Six Thousand Three Hundred Seventy Dollars (\$6,370) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Eighty-Two Dollars (\$182) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to provide an amended registration for any change or additional information regarding the USTs within 30 days from the date of the occurrence of the change or addition, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(d)(3), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 28, 2009. Specifically, registration does not reflect the accurate operational status of the UST system.
2. Failed to inspect and test the corrosion protection system for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(c)(4)(C) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 28, 2009. Specifically, the triennial test had not been conducted.

3. Failed to maintain all piping, pumps, manways, and ancillary equipment in a capped, plugged, locked, and/or otherwise secured manner to prevent access, tampering, or vandalism by unauthorized persons, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.54(b)(2), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 28, 2009. Specifically, the fill pipes were missing caps and not locked, spill buckets and turbine sumps were missing caps, and dispenser piping was not adequately secured.
4. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 28, 2009.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Nazlin A. Virani, Docket No. 2009-0933-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, permanently remove the UST system from service, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.55.
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager
San Antonio Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
14250 Judson Road
San Antonio, Texas 78233-4480

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent.
4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This Agreed Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreed Order may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes under this Agreed Order.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

John Sollen
For the Executive Director

11/2/2009
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Nazlin A. Virani
Signature

9/28/09
Date

NAZLIN A. VIRANI
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
Nazlin A. Virani

Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

