EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2008-1243-MWD-E **TCEQ ID:** RN101920635

CASE NO.: 36293

RESPONDENT NAME: City of Riesel

						
ORDER TYPE:		<u> </u>				
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING				
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER				
_AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER					
CASE TYPE:						
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE				
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION				
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL				
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION				
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: City of Riesel Wastewater Treatment Facility, located 0.5 mile west of State Highway 6 on West Charles Street and on the east bank of West Sandy Creek, Riesel, McLennan County TYPE OF OPERATION: Domestic wastewater treatment facility and disposal site SMALL BUSINESS: YesX_No OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: A complaint was received May 1, 2008, alleging that tail water from the City of Riesel Wastewater Treatment Facility irrigation was exiting the site. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: A complaint was received, but the complainant has not expressed a desire to protest this action or to speak at Agenda. COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on December 29, 2008. No comments were received. CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Ms. Lanae Foard, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-2554; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171						
Respondent: The Honorable David Guenat, Jr., Mayor, City of Riesel, P.O. Box 249, Riesel, Texas 76682 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter						

RESPONDENT NAME: City of Riesel DOCKET NO.: 2008-1243-MWD-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: VIOLATION INFORMATION PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED : 3 and Total Assessed: \$1,950 Corrective Actions Taken: Type of Investigation: X Complaint ___ Routine Total Deferred: \$390 The Executive Director recognizes that on ___ Enforcement Follow-up X Expedited Settlement May 14, 2008, the Respondent removed the pipe and ceased the unauthorized Records Review discharge of reclaimed municipal __Financial Inability to Pay Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this wastewater from the application field. Case: May 1, 2008 SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 **Ordering Provisions:** Date of Investigation Relating to this Total Paid to General Revenue: \$1,560 The Order will require the Respondent to: Case: May 13, 2008 Site Compliance History Classification a. Immediately upon the effective date of Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: __ High __X_ Average ___ Poor this Agreed Order, cease irrigating. July 8, 2008 (NOE) reclaimed municipal wastewater when the Person Compliance History Classification ground is saturated; Background Facts: This was a complaint ___High _X_Average ___Poor investigation. b. Within 30 days after the effective date Major Source: ___ Yes _X_ No of this Agreed Order, develop and WATER implement procedures to maintain Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 sufficient freeboard in the irrigation 1) Failure to to manage irrigation practices holding ponds to ensure that irrigating so as to prevent ponding of effluent and with reclaimed municipal wastewater does the occurance of nuisance conditions. not occur when the ground is saturated; Specifically, the investigator documented excessive ponding on the back side of the and land application area [30 Tex. ADMIN. c. Within 45 days after the effective date of CODE § 305.125(1) and Texas Pollutant this Agreed Order, submit written Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") certification and include detailed Permit No. 11015001, Special Provisions supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering 2) Failure to prevent the unauthorized discharge of any wastewater into or Provisions a and b. adjacent to water in the state. Specifically, the investigator observed that wastewater ran off the application field into a storm water conveyance system which ultimately drained through a pipe into an ephemeral creek [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)(1), and TPDES Permit No. 11015001, Standard Provisions No. 2.b.].

Additional ID No(s).: WQ0011015001

Policy Revision 2 (Se		alculation Worksho	` '	ion lune 12 2008
TOTO		······································	PCVV Revisi	ion June 12, 2008
DATES Assigned PCV	rest and the second sec	31-Jul-2008 EPA Due		
RESPONDENT/FACILIT	Y INFORMATION		Profesional Control of Control	
	t City of Riesel			determinant on a Mathema
Reg. Ent. Ref. No Facility/Site Region	n 9-Waco	Major/Mino	or Source Minor	<u> </u>
CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No	36203	No of V	iolations 2	
	5. 2008-1243-MWD-E		der Type 1660	
Media Program(s		Government/N	The state of the s	
Multi-Media	a		ordinator Lanae Foard C's Team Enforcement Team 1	
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimum \$0	Maximum \$10,000	O 3 Team Line, oct ment Team 17	
	Penal	ty Calculation Section	1	
TOTAL BASE PENA	LTY (Sum of violation b	oase penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$2,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-	TO SUBTOTAL 1			
Subtotals 2-7 are obta	ained by multiplying the Total Base Per	nalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage		
Compliance His	tory	10.0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$200
Notes	s An enhancement is re-	commended due to two similar NC	NS.	
Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Respondent do	pes not meet the culpability criteria		
110100		and the second s		
Good Faith Effo	rt to Comply Total Adjustme		Subtated E	¢250
Good Faith Ello	it to comply rotal Adjustine	ilis	Subtotal 5	\$250
Economic Bene	Ei-	0.097 =	0:-1-2-1-10	
	Total EB Amounts \$22	0.0% Enhancement* *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amore	Subtotal 6	\$0
Approx	. Cost of Compliance \$750			
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	_S 1-7		Final Subtotal	\$1,950
	AS JUSTICE MAY REQUING Subtotal by the indicated percentage.	IRE 0.0%	Adjustment	\$0
reduces of chilanoes are i mark	Subtotal by the indicated percentage.			
Notes	7.7.7.7.00 P. 17.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1			
			Final Penalty Amount	\$1,950
			rmai renaity Amount	Φ1,950
STATUTORY LIMIT	ADJUSTMENT	F	inal Assessed Penalty	\$1,950
DEFERDAL				4000
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Per	nalty by the indicted percentage. (Ent	er number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	eduction Adjustment	-\$390
Notes	Deferral offer	ed for expedited settlement.		
PAYABLE PENALTY	/			\$1,560

	ning Date 31-Jul-2008 Docket No. 2008-1243-MWD-E	Policy R	evision 2 (Sept	PCW
Cas Reg. Ent. Refer Media	se ID No. 36293 ence No. RN101920635 [Statute] Water Quality ordinator Lanae Foard	•	CW Revision J	
	Compliance History Worksheet	***************************************		engergywa, zana egy i
 Compliance History Component 	<i>y Sit</i> e Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	2	10%	
	Other written NOVs	. 0	0%	
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0,	0%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	⁵¹ 0%	
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%	
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	. 0	0%	
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%	
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No		
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
a, d. Peria,amazin calaffata ng ga	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
	Adjustment F	Percentage (Si	ubtotal 2)	10%
>> Repeat Violator (Su	abtotal 3)	de Kahapana Asma Kilahi, e		
Ne	Adjustment F	Percentage (Si	ubtotal 3)	0%
>> Compliance Histor	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
Average P	Performer Adjustment F	ercentage (Si	ubtotal 7)	. 0%
>> Compliance Histor	y Summary		1	
Compliance History Notes	An enhancement is recommended due to two similar NOVs.		·	
	Total Adjustment Percentage	e (Subtotals 2	2, 3, & 7)	10%

Screening Date	Control of the Contro	PCW
Respondent	0000	Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.	•	PCW Revision June 12, 2008
Media [Statute]		
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number	1	
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. 11015001, Special Provisions No. 4.	
		1
Violation Description	Failed to manage irrigation practices so as to prevent ponding of effluent and the occurance of nuisance conditions as documented during an investigation on May 13, 2008. Specifically, the investigator documented excessive ponding on the back side of the land application area.	
	Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Property an	d Human Health Matrix	*
	Harm	
Release OR Actual	Major Moderate Minor	
Potential	Percent 10%	
L SA		
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	
addark Chi 9. stratada.	is control to the second of th	
Matrix Human health	or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not	
	that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	
		en e
	Adjustment \$9,000	<u> </u>
		\$1,000
Violation Events		
Yeoladon Events		###"
Number of Vic	lation Events 1 Number of violation days	. *
	Zahly Processor	
	daily monthly	
mark only one	quarterly Violation Base Penalty	\$1,000
with an x	semiannual	,
	annual single event	
	CONTRACTOR	1
One quarterly	event is recommended from the investigation date (May 13, 2008) to the screening date	
	(July 31, 2008).	
	200 (KV-2015) 1970 - 1970 - 1970 (KV-2015) 1970 - 1970 (KV-2015) 1980 (KV-2015) 1980 (KV-2015) 1980 (KV-2015) Telegraphic of the state	1
Good Faith Efforts to Comply	0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
	N/A X (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this	
	violation.	
	Violation Subtotal	\$1,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for this	violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated	EB Amount \$22 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$1,100
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$1,100

Case ID No. : Reg. Ent. Reference No. !						. •	
	Water Quality	,				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	a., 18
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$			and home to	in the singular season are a	arra pranta di delimita di 1881.	
Delayed Costs_							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings			<u> </u>	0.00	\$0	\$0	,\$0
Other (as needed)			<u> 1 </u>	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0 n/a	\$0.
Land	***************************************			0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System			erstäger (1944) (1954) (1954) Französer (1954) (1954)	0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling			<u> 10 Mari and 10 kmana 181</u> Junio kalendari	0.00	\$0 \$0	π/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs			njapa. In Sydeskobbed Birthin marian arabi	0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	
Other (as needed)	\$500 Estimate	13-May-2008 d cost to develop ar	1-Apr-2009 d implement pro	0.88 cedures	\$22 to ensure that irrig	ត/a ating with reclaimed	\$0 \$22 municipal
Other (as needed)	Estimate wastewater do	d cost to develop ar les not occur when t	id implement pro he ground is satu is the expec	0.88 cedures irated. D ted date	\$22 to ensure that irrig ate required is the of compliance.	ating with reclaimed date of the investigation	\$22 municipal ation. Final date
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimate wastewater do	d cost to develop ar les not occur when t	id implement pro he ground is satu is the expec	0.88 cedures irated. D ted date	\$22 to ensure that irrig ate required is the of compliance. item (except for	ating with reclaimed date of the investigations.	\$22 municipal ation. Final date
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	Estimate wastewater do	d cost to develop ar les not occur when t	id implement pro he ground is satu is the expec	0.88 cedures urated. D ted date entering 0.00	\$22 to ensure that irrig ate required is the of compliance. item (except for	ating with reclaimed date of the investigatione-time avoided c	\$22 municipal ation. Final date osts)
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimate wastewater do	d cost to develop ar les not occur when t	id implement pro he ground is satu is the expec	cedures urated. D ted date entering 0.00 0.00	\$22 to ensure that irrigate required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	ating with reclaimed date of the investigation one-time avoided constant \$0 \$0 \$0	\$22 municipal ation: Final date costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimate wastewater do	d cost to develop ar les not occur when t	id implement pro he ground is satu is the expec	cedures urated. D ted date entering 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$22 to ensure that irrig ate required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	ating with reclaimed date of the investigation one-time avoided company of the solution of the	\$22 municipal ation. Final date oosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimate wastewater do	d cost to develop ar les not occur when t	id implement pro he ground is satu is the expec	cedures urated. D ted date entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$22 to ensure that irrig ate required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ating with reclaimed date of the investigation one-time avoided cone-time avoided co	\$22 municipal ation. Final date oosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimate wastewater do	d cost to develop ar les not occur when t	id implement pro he ground is satu is the expec	0.88 cedures urated. D ted date entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$22 to ensure that irrig ate required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ating with reclaimed date of the investigation one-time avoided cone-time avoided co	\$22 municipal ation. Final date sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimate wastewater do	d cost to develop ar les not occur when t	id implement pro he ground is satu is the expec	cedures urated. D ted date entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$22 to ensure that irrig ate required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ating with reclaimed date of the investigation one-time avoided cone-time avoided co	\$22 municipal ation. Final date sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimate wastewater do	d cost to develop ar les not occur when t	id implement pro he ground is satu is the expec	0.88 cedures urated. D ted date entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$22 to ensure that irrig ate required is the of compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ating with reclaimed date of the investige one-time avoided constraints on the second	\$22 municipal ation: Final date sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date 31-Jul-2008 Docket No. 2008-1243-MWD-E	PCW
하는 10 HP 사용하는 12 WIREPERS - 12 10 HP 사용하는 19 HP 사용하는 10 HP 사용하는 10 HP 사용하는 12 WIREPERS - 12 10 HP 사용하는 10 HP	y Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. 36293	PCW Revision June 12, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101920635	
表现的表现的一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	
Enf. Coordinator Lanae Foard	
Violation Number 2	—
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), and Texas Pollutar	
Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. 11015001, Standard Provisions No.	D.
2.b. 2.b. 2.b. 2.b. 2.b. 2.b. 2.b. 2.b.	<u></u>
Violation Description Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of any wastewater into or adjacent to water the state. Specifically, the investigator observed that wastewater ran off the application field into a storm water conveyance system which ultimately drained through a pipe into a ephemeral creek.	i.i.
Base Penal	ty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix	
Harm	
Release Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual	
Potential No. 10%	
>> Programmatic Matrix	,
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	
Felceit U/o	
Matrix Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not	
Notes exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation	
Lead Sept. 1 S	·.
Adjustment \$9,0	001
	
	\$1,000

/iolation Events	- mar Kar a
Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days	
Number of violation Events	
daily	*
monthly	
mark only one Quarterly Violation Base Penal	ty \$1,000
with an x semiannual	-
annual	
single event	
One quarterly event is recommended from the investigation date (May 13, 2008) to the compliance date	
(May 14, 2008).	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Good Faith Efforts to Comply 25.0% Reduction	\$250
Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$200
Extraordinary Ex	
Ordinary	
N/A ((mark with x)	
TWI III THE THE PARTY OF THE PA	
Notes The Respondent came back into compliance on May 14, 2008.	
Violation Subtol	al \$750
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated EB Amount \$0 Violation Final Penalty Tot	al \$850
	(s) \$850
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limit	

	RN101920635 Water Quality				. E)	Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No	⊈2					5.0	Depreciation
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Descriptio	n No commas or \$		***************************************			Saluta iku ka di kacamatan a di sa	*
Delayed Cost	Š				and A control of the	***************************************	
Equipment	TARREST ENTER OF THE STATE OF T		validar telepapirat kiji ija	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	DARTE DE LA CA	Manager St. Committee Comm		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	100000620 - 1000000			0.00	\$0_	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	GRES AND SALES		ga Kuca Aday Taba 1	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	.\$0
Remediation/Disposal	1, 2,4%,			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$250	13-May-2008	14-May-2008	0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a π/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cos					astewater from the a	application field
Notes for DELATED Costs	45 V.	Date required is the	e date of the inve	stigatior	n. Final date is the	date of compliance.	
Avoided Cost	s ANI	NUALIZE [1] avoide	ed costs before e		Children and a service of the servic	one-time avoided c	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0 *0
Personnel	286 WHO 275 AT 150 250 AT 2			0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	100 E00 X 100 TV 100 X 100 X			0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Sec. (2017)	Sec. 20. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	ანი - \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	(10000000 / LA 100000000000000000000000000000000000			0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)	basesagas at the local			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
	11000111100111111111111111111111111111			1 0.00			
Other (as needed)	671660.6336.6712.7337.6956	e bullet in refer all the bits of suffici					

Compliance History

Rating: 3.00 Site Rating: 3.00

WQ0011015001

TPDES0022993

WQ0011015001

CN600339741 Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: City of Riesel Classification: AVERAGE RN101920635 CITY OF RIESEL Classification: AVERAGE Regulated Entity: ID Number(s): WASTEWATER PERMIT WASTEWATER LICENSE WASTEWATER LICENSING located 0.5 mile west of State Highway 6 on West Charles Rating Date: 9/1/2007 Repeat Violator: NO Location: Street and on the east bank of West Sandy Creek, Riesel, McLennan Co. Tx TCEQ Region: REGION 09 - WACO Date Compliance History Prepared: July 18, 2008 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement July 18, 2003 to July 18, 2008 Compliance Period: TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History 512-239-2554 Lanae Foard Phone: Name: Site Compliance History Components 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No N/A 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В. N/A C. Chronic excessive emissions events. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D 1 12/07/2007 (607462)Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) F .Date: 05/07/2007 (553896)Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) Rgmt Prov: PERMIT Special Provisions VI No. 4 Pg. 17 Failure by the permittee to prevent the ponding of effluent and discharge of any Description: wastewater from the irrigated land. (594551) Date: 10/19/2007 Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(1) Citation: Ramt Prov: PERMIT Permit Conditions Failure by the permittee to prevent the discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to Description: water in the state without authorization from the Commission. Self Report? Classification: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(9)(A) PERMIT Monitoring and Reporting Requirements Ramt Prov: Failure by the permittee to orally notify the TCEQ of an unauthorized discharge Description: within 24 hours and provide written notification within 5 days of becoming aware of the noncompliance. Environmental audits. G Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
CITY OF RIESEL
RN101920635

§	BEFORE THE
§	
§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§	
ě	ENVIDONMENTAL OTALLE

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-1243-MWD-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding the City of Riesel ("the City") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the City appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The City owns and operates a domestic wastewater treatment facility and disposal site located 0.5 mile west of State Highway 6 on West Charles Street and on the east bank of West Sandy Creek in Riesel, McLennan County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The City has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. The Commission and the City agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the City is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The City received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about July 13, 2008.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the City of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of One Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$1,950) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The City has paid One Thousand Five Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$1,560) of the administrative



penalty and Three Hundred Ninety Dollars (\$390) is deferred contingent upon the City's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the City fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the City to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the City have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that on May 14, 2008, the City removed the pipe and ceased the unauthorized discharge of reclaimed municipal wastewater from the application field.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the City has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the City is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to to manage irrigation practices so as to prevent ponding of effluent and the occurance of nuisance conditions, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 305.125(1) and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. 11015001, Special Provisions No. 4, as documented during an investigation conducted on May 13, 2008. Specifically, the investigator documented excessive ponding on the back side of the land application area.
- 2. Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of any wastewater into or adjacent to water in the state, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 305.125(1), Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. 11015001, Standard Provisions No. 2.b. Specifically, the investigator observed that wastewater ran off the application field into a storm water conveyance system which ultimately drained through a pipe into an ephemeral creek.

grade Alfabeth Constitution

III. DENIALS

The City generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the City pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the City's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Riesel, Docket No. 2008-1243-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the City shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, cease irrigating reclaimed municipal wastewater when the ground is saturated;
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, develop and implement procedures to maintain sufficient freeboard in the irrigation holding ponds to ensure that irrigating with reclaimed municipal wastewater does not occur when the ground is saturated; and
 - c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a and 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

en de la companya de la co La companya de la co

en de la companya de la co

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section, Manager
Waco Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
6801 Sanger Avenue, Suite 2500
Waco, Texas 76710-7826

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the City. The City is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the City fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the City's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The City shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The City shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the City becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the City shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the City receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the City in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the City, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the City, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	Date 123/2009
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attac attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicate and conditions specified therein. I further acknowle penalty amount, is materially relying on such represent	ed below my signature, and I do agree to the terms dge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the
 I also understand that failure to comply with the Order timely pay the penalty amount, may result in: A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications sub Referral of this case to the Attorney General' penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection Increased penalties in any future enforcement at Automatic referral to the Attorney General's O TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents. 	omitted; s Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional n agency; actions; office of any future enforcement actions; and v.
Signature Signature	OCT 1 0 2008 Date
David Guenat, Jr. Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of City of Riesel	Mayor Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

en de la companya de la co La companya de la co