EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2009-0471-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN100565001 **CASE NO.:** 37411

RESPONDENT NAME: Wanda Jo Noah

ORDER TYPE:		
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	__FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	__FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
__FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	__SHUTDOWN ORDER	__IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
__AMENDED ORDER	__EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
__AIR	__MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	__INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
__PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	X PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	__OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
__WATER QUALITY	__SEWAGE SLUDGE	__UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
__MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	__RADIOACTIVE WASTE	__DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION

SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Lambert Oil Bulk Facility, 603 Lipan Drive, Granbury, Hood County

TYPE OF OPERATION: Property with one inactive underground storage tank ("UST") and two aboveground storage tanks ("ASTs")

SMALL BUSINESS: __X__ **Yes** ____ **No**

OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.

INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.

COMMENTS RECEIVED: The *Texas Register* comment period expired on September 14, 2009. No comments were received.

CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:

TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None
TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Wallace Myers, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-6580; Ms. Cari-Michel La Caille, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1387
Respondent: Ms. Wanda Jo Noah, Owner, 600 Crestview Drive, Granbury, Texas 76048
Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation: __ Complaint X Routine __ Enforcement Follow-up __ Records Review **Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case:** None **Date of Investigation Relating to this Case:** March 23, 2009 **Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case:** March 27, 2009 (NOE) **Background Facts:** This was a routine investigation. **WASTE** 1) Failure to register with the agency two ASTs in existence on or after September 1, 1989 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.127(a)(1)]. 2) Failure to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, a UST system for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.47(a)(2)].	**Total Assessed:** $3,575 **Total Deferred:** $715 X Expedited Settlement __Financial Inability to Pay **SEP Conditional Offset:** $0 **Total Paid to General Revenue:** $2,860 **Site Compliance History Classification** __ **High** X **Average** __ **Poor** **Person Compliance History Classification** __ **High** X **Average** __ **Poor** **Major Source:** __ Yes X No **Applicable Penalty Policy:** September 2002	**Corrective Actions Taken:** The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent submitted an AST registration form on April 24, 2009. **Ordering Provisions:** The Order will require the Respondent to: a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, permanently remove the UST system from service; and b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision a.

Additional ID No(s).: 10108

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) — *PCW Revision October 30, 2008*

TCEQ

DATES					
Assigned	30-Mar-2009				
PCW	1-Apr-2009	Screening	31-Mar-2009	EPA Due	

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

Respondent	Wanda Jo Noah		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN100565001		
Facility/Site Region	4-Dallas/Fort Worth	Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION

Enf./Case ID No.	37411	No. of Violations	2
Docket No.	2009-0471-PST-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Wallace Myers
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6

Admin. Penalty $ Limit Minimum $0 Maximum $10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) — *Subtotal 1* $3,500

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History 5.0% Enhancement — *Subtotals 2, 3, & 7* $175

Notes: Enhancement for one NOV with same or similar violations.

Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement — *Subtotal 4* $0

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments — *Subtotal 5* $100

Economic Benefit 0.0% Enhancement* — *Subtotal 6* $0

Total EB Amounts $2,892
Approx. Cost of Compliance $5,100
**Capped at the Total EB $ Amount*

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 — *Final Subtotal* $3,575

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% — *Adjustment* $0

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes:

Final Penalty Amount $3,575

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT — *Final Assessed Penalty* $3,575

DEFERRAL 20.0% Reduction — *Adjustment* -$715

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. *(Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)*

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY $2,860

Screening Date 31-Mar-2009 **Docket No.** 2009-0471-PST-E **PCW**

Respondent Wanda Jo Noah *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*

Case ID No. 37411 *PCW Revision October 30, 2008*

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100565001

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Wallace Myers

Compliance History Worksheet

>> **Compliance History *Site* Enhancement (Subtotal 2)**

Component	Number of...	*Enter Number Here*	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (*number of NOVs meeting criteria*)	1	5%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (*number of orders meeting criteria*)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (*number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria*)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (*number of counts*)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (*number of events*)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 *(number of audits for which notices were submitted)*	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (*number of audits for which violations were disclosed*)	0	0%

		Please Enter Yes or No	
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 5%

>> **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)**

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> **Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)**

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> **Compliance History Summary**

Compliance History Notes

Enhancement for one NOV with same or similar violations.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 5%

Screening Date 31-Mar-2009 **Docket No.** 2009-0471-PST-E **PCW**

Respondent Wanda Jo Noah *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*

Case ID No. 37411 *PCW Revision October 30, 2008*

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100565001

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Wallace Myers

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.127(a)(1)

Violation Description Failed to register with the agency two aboveground storage tanks ("ASTs") in existence on or after September 1, 1989.

Base Penalty $10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm: Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent 0%

>>Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
	x		

Percent 10%

Matrix Notes: 100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment $9,000

$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 | 6970 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	x

Violation Base Penalty $1,000

One single event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 23, 2009 investigation.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

10.0% Reduction $100

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		x
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes: The Respondent came into compliance on April 24, 2009.

Violation Subtotal $900

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Estimated EB Amount $134

Statutory Limit Test

Violation Final Penalty Total $950

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) $950

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Wanda Jo Noah
Case ID No. 37411
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100565001
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description (No commas or $)	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Buildings				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Other (as needed)	$100	1-Mar-1990	24-Apr-2009	19.16	$6	$128	$134
Engineering/construction				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Land				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Permit Costs				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	n/a	$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated cost to accurately prepare and submit an AST registration. The date required is the date when the Respondent was required to register the ASTs and the final date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs (ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs))							
Disposal				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Personnel				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	$0	$0	$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	$0	$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance $100

TOTAL $134

Screening Date 31-Mar-2009 **Docket No.** 2009-0471-PST-E **PCW**

Respondent Wanda Jo Noah *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*

Case ID No. 37411 *PCW Revision October 30, 2008*

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100565001

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Wallace Myers

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.47(a)(2)

Violation Description Failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, a UST system for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements.

Base Penalty $10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm: Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential	x		

Percent 25%

>>Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0%

Matrix Notes: Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment $7,500

$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 | 8 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	x
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

Violation Base Penalty $2,500

One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 23, 2009 investigation to the March 31, 2009 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction $0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal $2,500

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Estimated EB Amount $2,758

Statutory Limit Test

Violation Final Penalty Total $2,625

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) $2,625

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Wanda Jo Noah
Case ID No. 37411
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100565001
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description (No commas or $)	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Buildings				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Land				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Permit Costs				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Other (as needed)	$5,000	22-Dec-1998	31-Dec-2009	11.03	$2,758	n/a	$2,758

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated minimum cost to permanently remove one UST from service. The date required is the date when the Respondent was required to upgrade the UST system and the final date is estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs — ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Personnel				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	$0	$0	$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	$0	$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance $5,000

TOTAL $2,758

Compliance History Report

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN603412487	Wanda Jo Noah	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.01
Regulated Entity:	RN100565001	Lambert Oil Bulk Facility	Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Site Rating: 3.01

ID Number(s):

Location: 603 LIPAN DR, GRANBURY, TX, 76048

TCEQ Region: REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX

Date Compliance History Prepared: April 02, 2009

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: April 02, 2004 to April 02, 2009

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Wallace Myers Phone: 512-239-6580

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A
4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A
6. Rating Date: 9/1/2008 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1 10/09/2008 (704534)
2 03/27/2009 (739935)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 10/08/2008 (704534) CN603412487

Self NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter F 334.127(a)(2)

Description: Failure to register with the Commission all aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) in existence on or after September 1, 1989.

Self NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.47(a)(2)

Description: Failure to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, an existing UST system for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements.

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	**BEFORE THE**
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	**TEXAS COMMISSION ON**
WANDA JO NOAH	§	
RN100565001	§	**ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER
DOCKET NO. 2009-0471-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Wanda Jo Noah ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns property with one inactive underground storage tank ("UST") and two aboveground storage tanks ("ASTs") at 603 Lipan Drive in Granbury, Hood County, Texas (the "Facility").

2. The Respondent's one UST and two ASTs are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.

3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about April 1, 2009.

5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Three Thousand Five Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars ($3,575) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty Dollars ($2,860) of the administrative penalty and Seven Hundred Fifteen Dollars ($715) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed

Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.

8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.

9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent submitted an AST registration form on April 24, 2009.

10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.

11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.

12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to register with the agency two ASTs in existence on or after September 1, 1989, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.127(a)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on March 23, 2009.

2. Failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, a UST system for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.47(a)(2), as documented during an investigation conducted on March 23, 2009.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Wanda Jo Noah, Docket No. 2009-0471-PST-E" to:

   Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
   Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
   Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
   P.O. Box 13088
   Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:

   a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, permanently remove the UST system from service, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.55; and

   b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

   "I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

   The certification shall be submitted to:

   Order Compliance Team
   Enforcement Division, MC 149A
   Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
   P.O. Box 13087
   Austin, Texas 78711-3087

   with a copy to:

   Waste Section Manager
   Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office
   Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
   2309 Gravel Drive
   Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.

4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

7. This Agreed Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreed Order may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes under this Agreed Order.

8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

_____
For the Commission

John Sadlier
For the Executive Director

8/21/2009
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Wanda Jo Noah
Signature

July 8 '09
Date

WANDA JO NOAH
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
Wanda Jo Noah

_____
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.