EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2009-0897-MWD-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102187267 **CASE NO.:** 37682

RESPONDENT NAME: San Antonio Water System

ORDER TYPE:		
1660 AGREED ORDER	X FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
AMENDED ORDER	_EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	industrial and hazardous waste
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
	•	
OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: A copending enforcement actions regarding this for	omplaint was received on March 12, 2009, alleging a acility location.	fishkill. There is no record of additional
INTERESTED PARTIES: A complaint was Agenda.	s received, but the complainant has not expressed a o	desire to protest this action or to speak at
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Reg	ister comment period expired on November 30, 200	9. No comments were received.
TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator Ms. Cari-Michel La Caille, Enforce Respondent: Mr. Steve Clouse, Ch Texas 78212 Mr. Robert R. Puente, President/CI	r: Mr. Phillip Hampsten, SEP Coordinator, Enforce: Ms. Pamela Campbell, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1387 aief Operating Officer, San Antonio Water System, 280, San Antonio Water System, 2800 U.S. Highway sented by coursel on this enforcement matter.	orcement Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-4493; 800 U.S. Highway 281 North, San Antonio,

RESPONDENT NAME: San Antonio Water System DOCKET NO.: 2009-0897-MWD-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: VIOLATION INFORMATION PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: TAKEN/REQUIRED Total Assessed: \$46,000 Corrective Actions Taken: Type of Investigation: X Complaint ___ Routine Total Deferred: \$0 The Executive Director recognizes that the ___ Enforcement Follow-up Respondent implemented the following __Expedited Settlement ___ Records Review corrective measures at the Facility: __Financial Inability to Pay a. On March 9, 2009, began draining and Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: March 12, 2009 SEP Conditional Offset: \$46,000 cleaning the post aeration chamber; b. On or about March 9, 2009, began Date of Investigation Relating to this Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$0 monitoring on a continuous, daily basis, Case: March 12, 2009 construction activities that might impact Site Compliance History Classification the Facility process; Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: __ High _X_Average ___ Poor May 14, 2009 (NOE) c. On or about March 9, 2009, increased **Person Compliance History Classification** minimum self-monitoring from five days Background Facts: This was a complaint __ High <u>X</u> Average __ Poor per week to seven days per week; and investigation. Major Source: X Yes No d, On March 12, 2009, returned to WATER compliance with its permitted total Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 suspended solids limits. Failure to prevent the discharge of an excessive amount of solids from the Findings Orders Justification: Environmental **Ordering Provisions:** Facility, resulting in a fish kill of an receptors have been exposed to pollutants unknown number of fish. Specifically, on which exceed levels that are protective. The Order will require the Respondent to March 7, 2009, the Facility discharged an implement and complete a Supplemental excessive amount of solids due to sludge Environmental Project (SEP), (See SEP settling problems that occurred after the Attachment A) newly constructed carousels were undergoing leak testing [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(5), Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010137040 Interim I Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 4, Permit Conditions No. 2(d), and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)(1)].

Additional ID No(s).: TPDES Permit No. WQ0010137040

Attachment A Docket Number: 2009-0897-MWD-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:

San Antonio Water System

Penalty Amount:

Forty-Six Thousand Dollars (\$46,000)

SEP Offset Amount:

Forty-Six Thousand Dollars (\$46,000)

Type of SEP:

Pre-approved

Third-Party Recipient:

Texas State University River Systems Institute-Continuous

Water Quality Monitoring Network

Location of SEP:

Bexar County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Offset Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient named above. The contribution will be to *Texas State University for* the *Continuous Water Quality Monitoring Network* in Bell County as set forth in an agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, SEP monies will be used by the Recipient to install and/or support a continuous monitoring station that will collect water quality data every fifteen (15) minutes and report data to the TCEQ LEADS system every hour using cellular telemetry. The following water quality parameters will be measured: dissolved oxygen, temperature, specific conductance, pH, turbidity, and water level.

The Respondent certifies that it has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being done solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by providing a "real time" monitoring station that will provide the public and other entities with instantaneous information about rivers in the area. This data will assist in determining baseline conditions, long-term trend monitoring, water quality associated with storm water events, and potentially monitor for accidental spills and releases. This station will provide additional data to support existing work in this area.

San Antonio Water System Agreed Order - Attachment A

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the Agreed Order with the contribution to:

Texas State University - San Marcos Attention: Dr. Glenn Longley, Ph.D., Professor of Aquatic Biology & Director of Edwards Aquifer Research and Data Center 601 University Drive JCK 420 San Marcos, Texas 78666

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event of incomplete performance, the Respondent shall include on the check the docket number of this Agreed Order and a note that it is for reimbursement of a SEP. The Respondent shall make the payment for the amount due to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mail it to:

Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

San Antonio Water System Agreed Order - Attachment A

> P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

	Penalty Calculation Worksheet (F	•	
Policy Revision 2 (Sep	tember 2002)	PCW Revision	on October 30, 2008
	18-May-2009 31-Aug-2009 Screening 26-May-2009 EPA Due		
RESPONDENT/FAC/LITY Respondent Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	San Antonio Water System		
Reg. Ent. Ret. No. Facility/Site Region		e Major	
CASE INFORMATION			Secretary and the secretary secretar
Enf./Case ID No.		s 1	
	2009-0897-MWD-E Order Type		:::
Media Program(s) Multi-Media	Enf. Coordinato	r Pamela Campbell	
Admin. Penalty \$		n Enforcement Team 1	<u> </u>
The state of the s	Penalty Calculation Section		
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Şubtotal 1	\$50,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1		
Compliance Hist	ned by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the Indicated percentage. 17:0% Enhancement Sub:	totals 2, 3, & 7	\$8,500
Notes	The Respondent has one NOV for the same violations, one NOV for unrelated violations, and has two months of self-reported effluent violations.	The second of th	
Culpability	No 0.0% Enfrarcement	Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.		
Good Faith Effor	to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$12,500
Economic Benefi	t \$3 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Cost of Compliance \$3,000	Subtotal 8	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOTAL		2)(2) 2)(2)(3)(2)	\$46,000
7.3. 2.000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,00		Final Subtotal	
	USTICE MAY REQUIRE 0:0% Ditatal by the Indicated percentage.	Adjustment	\$0
Notes			
	Final Pe	nalty Amount	\$46,000
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT : : : : Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$46,000
DEFERRALE Reduces the Final Assessed Pen	0.0% Reduction ally by the Indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	Adjustment	\$0
Notes	No deferral is recommended for Findings Orders.		
DAVABI E BENALTV			\$46,000

Screening Date 26-May-2009

Docket No. 2009-0897-MWD-E

PCW

Respondent San Antonio Water System

Case ID No. 37682

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102187267

Media [Statute] Water Quality

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008

	ia [Statute] Water Quality coordinator Pamela Campbell			
	Compliance History Worksheet		ergiroteliği Ar	
Compliance Hist	ory Sile Enhancement (Subtotal 2)	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	ik ekitik.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	3	15%	
	Other written NOVs	1	2%	
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)		0%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denia of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitor emergency orders issued by the commission	/ O	0%	
Judgmen		y 1 0	0%	
and Consi Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%	
Convictio	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
Emission		0	0%	
Audito	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	f O	0%	
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	e o	0%	
<u> </u>	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No		
· ·	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
, and	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No No	0%	
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	t No	0%	
<u> </u>	Adjustment I	Percentage (S	ubtotal 2)	17%
Ropeat Violator	(Subtotal 3)			
<u> </u>	-	Percentage (Si	untotai 3)	0%
Compliance His	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		- Paule I. Martin	20 1907 (S) \$
Averag	e Performer Adjustment I	Percentage (Si	ubtotal 7)	0%
Compliance His	ojy Stimmary		agtic lysts of Agents of the	ierija Birkā pil
Compliar History Notes		, and has two		
	Total Adjustment Percentag	e (Subtotals :	2, 3, & 7)	17%

Screening Date	26-May-2009	Docket N	lo. 2009-0897-MWD-E	PCW
\$	San Antonio Water System	1	Policy	Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.			PC	W Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	w.,			
Media [Statute] Enf. Coordinator				
Violation Number				
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin: Code § ("TPDES") Permit No. V	VQ0010137040, Interim I	ant Discharge Elimination System Effluent Limitations and Monitoring and Tex. Water Gode § 26.121(a)(1)	
Violation Description	resulting in a fish kill of an 2009 investigation. Speci amount of solids due to slu	unknown number of fish, fically, on March 7, 2009,	amount of soilds from the Facility, as documented during the March 12 the Facility discharged an excessive it occurred after the newly constructe leak testing.	
			Base Penalt	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Property an	nd Human Health Matr	ix		
The control of the co	Harm			
Release OR Actual		Minor		
Potential			Percent 100%	
			through the same of the same o	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
T alsilication	Major Moderate	Million	Percent 0%	
		<u>,,,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>	hamanani-il	==1
Matrix Human health	or the environment has been of human health or enviro		hich exceed levels that are protective suit of this violation.	
Programme Control of the Control of				<u>.</u>
			Adjustment \$0]
				\$10,000
				ψτο,000
Violation Events				7
Number of Vi	iolation Events 5	<u> </u>	Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	deily x weekly monthly quariery		Violation Base Penalty	\$50,000
	semiannual annual annual single:event			
Five daily ever		on the date the discharged to compliance (March	e began (March 7, 2009) to the date 12, 2009).	
Good Faith Efforts to Comply	25.0%	Reduction		\$12,500
	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement O	ffer	,
	Extraordinary		<u></u>	
	Ordinary x N/A	(mark with x)		
	Ì	ndent returned to complia	ince on March 12, 2009.	E HE LOS COLOR
			Violation Subtotal	\$37,500
Boonomia Dovánia (Balvina de la				
Economic Benefit (EB) for this	EAIOISION		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$3	Violation Final Penalty Total	\$46,000
		This violation Final Ass	sessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$46,000

	100 mm m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	conomic I	3enefit W	orks	heet	21.27	
Respondent	San Antonio W	ater System			The second secon		
Case ID No.	37682						
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
VIOIAUOII RO-	The second secon					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	The state of the s	The state of the s		The state of the s	Company Company (A) Company (A	
Delayed Costs			2004 - 100 Apr - 277 ARX 100 Apr - 1		The second secon		order begin a special control and a control
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$3,000	7-Mar-2009	12-Mar-2009	0.01	\$0	\$3	\$3
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$O
Land			and the second	0.00	\$0	trategy (Value Like)	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	- \$0	llessayn/a +xa ™	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	Season/a Pier	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	#### Na ####	\$0
Permit Costs	1 1 1 1			0.00	\$0	susception of the party	\$0
Other (as needed)	This is the est	imated cost to dete	mine the cause o	0.00 of the sol	\$0 lids washout and r	make the necessary	\$0 changes to the
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	treatment unit	s. The date require	ed is the date the t the Facility r	0.00 of the solunauthor returned to	\$0 ids washout and r ized discharge oc to compliance. item (except for	nake the necessary curred and the final one-time avoided o	\$0 changes to the date is the date
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	treatment unit	s. The date require	ed is the date the t the Facility r	0.00 of the solunauthor returned entering	\$0 Ids washout and rized discharge octo compliance. Item (except for \$0	make the necessary curred and the final one-time avoided of	\$0 changes to the date is the date costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	treatment unit	s. The date require	ed is the date the t the Facility r	0.00 of the solunauthor returned entering 0.00	ids washout and rized discharge obto compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0	make the necessary curred and the final one-time avoided of \$0	\$0 changes to the date is the date costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	treatment unit	s. The date require	ed is the date the t the Facility r	0.00 of the solunauthor returned contering 0.00 0.00 0.00	ids washout and rized discharge ob to compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0	make the necessary curred and the final one-time avoided of \$0 \$0	\$0 changes to the date is the date costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	treatment unit	s. The date require	ed is the date the t the Facility r	o.oo of the solunauthor returned ontering 0.00 0.00 0.00	ids washout and rized discharge obto compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	make the necessary curred and the final one-time avoided (\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 changes to the date is the date costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	treatment unit	s. The date require	ed is the date the t the Facility r	o.oo of the solunauthor returned ontering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ids washout and rized discharge octo compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	nake the necessary curred and the final one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	changes to the date is the date softs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	treatment unit	s. The date require	ed is the date the t the Facility r	of the solunauthor returned on	\$0 ids washout and rized discharge on to compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	nake the necessary curred and the final cone-time avoided a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 changes to the date is the date sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	treatment unit	s. The date require	ed is the date the t the Facility r	o.oo of the solunauthor returned ontering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ids washout and rized discharge octo compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	nake the necessary curred and the final one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	changes to the date is the date softs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	treatment unit	s. The date require	ed is the date the t the Facility r	of the solunauthor returned on	\$0 ids washout and rized discharge on to compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	nake the necessary curred and the final cone-time avoided a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 changes to the date is the date \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	treatment unit	s. The date require	ed is the date the t the Facility r	of the solunauthor returned on	\$0 ids washout and rized discharge on to compliance. item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	nake the necessary curred and the final cone-time avoided a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 changes to the date is the date \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History Report San Antonio Water System SAWS MEDIO CREEK CN600529069 Classification: AVERAGE Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: Rating: 3.15 RN102187267 Site Rating: 0.20 Regulated Entity: Classification: AVERAGE SOLID WASTE REGISTRATION # T2296 IHW CORRECTIVE ACTION ID Number(s): (SWR) PERMIT WASTEWATER WQ0010137040 WASTEWATER **PERMIT** TPDES0055689 TX0055689 WASTEWATER PERMIT WASTEWATER LICENSING LICENSE WQ0010137040 APPR 1,300 FT N OF THE POINT WHERE US HWY 90 Location: CROSSES MEDIO CREEK AND APPR 1,25 MILES W OF IH 410, BEXAR CTY, TEXAS REGIÓN 13 - SAN ANTONIO TCEQ Region: May 26, 2009 Date Compliance History Prepared: Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement Compliance Period: May 26, 2004 to May 26, 2009 TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Pamela Campbell Phone: 239 - 4493 Site Compliance History Components 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? No 3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator? N/A N/A 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? 5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A 6, Rating Date: 9/1/2008 Repeat Violator: NO Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/Á

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1 06/21/2004 (357090)

2 06/21/2004 (357092) 3 06/21/2004 (357093) 4 08/25/2004 (357095) 5 09/17/2004 (357097) 6 10/21/2004 (357099) 7 11/22/2004 (357101) 8 12/20/2004 (384658) 9 02/17/2005 (384657) 10 02/22/2005 (349416) 11 03/18/2005 (430294) 12 04/20/2005 (430295) 13 05/19/2005 (430296) 14 06/20/2005 (430297) 15 07/19/2005 (430298) 16 09/01/2005 (443541) 17 09/19/2005 (443542) 18 10/19/2005 (473484) 19 11/18/2005 (473485) 20 12/16/2005 (473486) 21 02/15/2006 (473483) 22 03/17/2006 (501606) 23 04/19/2006 (501607) 24 05/18/2006 (501608) 25 06/19/2006 (501609) 26 06/30/2006 (501610) 27 08/31/2006 (523864) 28 08/31/2006 (523866) 29 09/19/2006 (523865) 30 11/16/2006 (548891) 31 12/12/2006 (548892) 32 01/12/2007 (531263) 33 02/20/2007 (582196) 34 03/15/2007 (582197) 35 03/15/2007 (582201) 36 04/20/2007 (582198) 37 06/06/2007 (582199) 38 06/20/2007 (582200) 39 08/31/2007 (608313) 40 09/19/2007 (608314) 41 09/19/2007 (608315) 42 11/16/2007 (635958) 43 11/19/2007 (622039)

44 12/19/2007 (622040)

45 02/19/2008 (673886) 46 03/19/2008 (673887) 47 03/19/2008 (673888) 48 03/19/2008 (673889) 49 04/22/2008 (641683) 50 05/19/2008 (692143) 51 06/17/2008 (692144) 52 08/21/2008 (713225) 53 08/21/2008 (713227) 54 09/18/2008 (713226) 55 11/20/2008 (729350) 56 12/18/2008 (729351) 57 05/15/2009 (739154) 58 05/15/2009 (741973)

Written notices of violations (NOV), (CCEDS Inv. Track, No.) E.

> 07/31/2007 Date:

(608313) CN600529069

Self Report?

Classification: YES

Moderate

Moderate

Moderate

Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description:

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date: 08/31/2007 (608314) CN600529069

Self Report?

Classification:

Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,125(1)

Description:

Fallure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date:

11/16/2007

(635958) CN600529069

Self Report? Citation:

Classification: ЙO

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,125(1) 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305,125(17) NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Description:

Date: 05/12/2009 (739154) CN600529069

Self Report?

Classification: Moderate ЙO 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(1)

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)

Operational Requirements (1) PERMIT

Description:

Failure to prevent the unauthorized discharge of wastewater from the collection

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G

N/A

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

Early compliance.

N/A Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
SAN ANTONIO WATER SYSTEM	§	
RN102187267	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2009-0897-MWD-E

At its	agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the
Commission" or "TCEQ") con	sidered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action
regarding San Antonio Water Sy	ystem ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs.
7 and 26. The Executive Direct	tor of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent
presented this agreement to the G	Commission.

The Respondent understands that it has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Agreed Order, the Respondent agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Order represents the complete and fully-integrated settlement of the parties. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Agreed Order are binding upon the Respondent.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Respondent owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility located approximately 1,300 feet north of the point where United States Highway 90 crosses Medio Creek and approximately 1.25 miles west of Interstate Highway 410 in Bexar County, Texas (the "Facility").

- 2. The Respondent has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX, WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. During an investigation on March 12, 2009, TCEQ staff documented the discharge of an excessive amount of solids from the Facility, resulting in a fish kill of an unknown number of fish. Specifically, on March 7, 2009, the Facility discharged an excessive amount of solids due to sludge settling that occurred after the newly constructed carosels were undergoing leak testing.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations on May 19, 2009.
- 5. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. On March 9, 2009, began draining and cleaning the post aeration chamber;
 - b. On or about March 9, 2009, began monitoring on a continuous, daily basis, construction activities that might impact the Facility process;
 - c. On or about March 9, 2009, increased minimum self-monitoring from five days per week to seven days per week; and
 - d. On March 12, 2009, returned to compliance with its permitted total suspended solids limits.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Findings of Fact No. 3, the Respondent failed to prevent the discharge of an excessive amount of solids from the Facility, resulting in a fish kill, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(5), Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES") Permit No. WQ0010137040 Interim I Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 4, Permit Conditions No. 2(d), and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)(1).
- 3. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against the Respondent for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 4. An administrative penalty in the amount of Forty-Six Thousand Dollars (\$46,000) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Forty-Six Thousand Dollars (\$46,000) shall be conditionally offset by the Respondent's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP").

San Antonio Water System DOCKET NO. 2009-0897-MWD-E Page 3

III. ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. The Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of Forty-Six Thousand Dollars (\$46,000) as set forth in Section II, Paragraph 4 above, for violations of TCEQ rules and state statutes. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order completely resolve the violations set forth by this Agreed Order in this action. However, the Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations that are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: San Antonio Water System, Docket No. 2009-0897-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall implement and complete a SEP in accordance with TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section II, Paragraph 4, Forty-Six Thousand Dollars (\$46,000) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the Respondent implement the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. The Respondent's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.
- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Responent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

San Antonio Water System
DOCKET NO. 2009-0897-MWD-E
Page 4

- 6. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to the Respondent if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 7. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 8. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 9. This Agreed Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreed Order may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes under this Agreed Order.
- 10. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the third day after the mailing date, as provided by 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142.

San Antonio Water System DOCKET NO. 2009-0897-MWD-E Page 5

For the Commission

SIGNATURE PAGE

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order in the matter of San Antonio Water System. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of San Antonio Water System, and do agree to the specified terms and conditions. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in

I understand that by entering into this Agreed Order, San Antonio Water System waives certain procedural rights, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations addressed by this Agreed Order, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and the right to appeal. I agree to the terms of the Agreed Order in lieu of an evidentiary hearing. This Agreed Order constitutes

accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

full and final adjudication by the Commission of the violations set forth in this Agreed Order.

Date 11 13/2009

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

	Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to
timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:	
 A negative impact on compliance history 	
 Greater scrutiny of any permit application 	ons submitted;
 Referral of this case to the Attorney Ge 	eneral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional
penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a co	
 Increased penalties in any future enforce 	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	ral's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
In addition, any falsification of any compliance of	•
in addition, any taismeation of any compliance (documents may result in criminal prosecution.
MITANT	9-24-9
Signature	Date
Robert R. Puente Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of San Antonio Water System	President/CEO Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues

Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

	·		

Attachment A Docket Number: 2009-0897-MWD-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:

San Antonio Water System

Penalty Amount:

Forty-Six Thousand Dollars (\$46,000)

SEP Offset Amount:

Forty-Six Thousand Dollars (\$46,000)

Type of SEP:

Pre-approved

Third-Party Recipient:

Texas State University River Systems Institute-Continuous

Water Quality Monitoring Network

Location of SEP:

Bexar County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Offset Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient named above. The contribution will be to *Texas State University for* the *Continuous Water Quality Monitoring Network* in Bell County as set forth in an agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, SEP monies will be used by the Recipient to install and/or support a continuous monitoring station that will collect water quality data every fifteen (15) minutes and report data to the TCEQ LEADS system every hour using cellular telemetry. The following water quality parameters will be measured: dissolved oxygen, temperature, specific conductance, pH, turbidity, and water level.

The Respondent certifies that it has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being done solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by providing a "real time" monitoring station that will provide the public and other entities with instantaneous information about rivers in the area. This data will assist in determining baseline conditions, long-term trend monitoring, water quality associated with storm water events, and potentially monitor for accidental spills and releases. This station will provide additional data to support existing work in this area.

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the Agreed Order with the contribution to:

Texas State University - San Marcos Attention: Dr. Glenn Longley, Ph.D., Professor of Aquatic Biology & Director of Edwards Aquifer Research and Data Center 601 University Drive JCK 420 San Marcos, Texas 78666

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event of incomplete performance, the Respondent shall include on the check the docket number of this Agreed Order and a note that it is for reimbursement of a SEP. The Respondent shall make the payment for the amount due to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mail it to:

Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality San Antonio Water System Agreed Order - Attachment A

> P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

		,	