

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2010-0406-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN100536903 **CASE NO.:** 39297

RESPONDENT NAME: JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC. dba Car Care Citgo

ORDER TYPE:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Car Care Citgo, 3849 Altamesa Boulevard, Fort Worth, Tarrant County</p> <p>TYPE OF OPERATION: Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline</p> <p>SMALL BUSINESS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p>INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p>COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on July 26, 2010. No comments were received.</p> <p>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Ms. Theresa Hagood, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-2540; Ms. Laurie Eaves, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-4495 Respondent: Mr. Mohammad Moharrami, Manager/Registered Agent, JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC., 3849 Altamesa Boulevard, Fort Worth, Texas 76133-5520 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: January 13, 2010</p> <p>Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: February 16, 2010 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine investigation.</p> <p>WASTE</p> <p>1) Failure to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order or third-party certification, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system. Specifically, the nozzle faceplate on dispenser no. 5 was damaged [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(3) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>2) Failure to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months and the Stage II vapor space manifolding and dynamic back pressure at least once every 36 months or upon major system replacement or modification. Specifically, the Stage II annual and triennial compliance testing had not been conducted [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.245(2) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$3,519</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$703 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$0</p> <p>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$224 (remaining \$2,592 due in 12 monthly payments of \$216 each)</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Corrective Actions Taken:</p> <p>The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Station:</p> <p>a. Replaced the nozzle faceplate on dispenser no. 5 on February 2, 2010; and</p> <p>b. Successfully conducted the required annual and triennial testing of the Stage II equipment on February 2, 2010.</p>

Additional ID No(s): 26570



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

DATES	Assigned	8-Mar-2010			
	PCW	9-Mar-2010	Screening	9-Mar-2010	EPA Due

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION	
Respondent	JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC. dba Car Care Ctrgo
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN109536903
Facility/Site Region	4-Dallas/Fort Worth
Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION			
Enf./Case ID No.	39297	No. of Violations	2
Docket No.	2010-0466-PST-E	Order Type	1650
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Theresa Hagood
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$3,500
---	-------------------	----------------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History	5.0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$175
---------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------	--------------

Notes: Enhancement for one previous NOV with same or similar violations.

Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	------------------	-------------------	------------

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply - Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$875
--	-------------------	--------------

Economic Benefit	0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------

Total EB Amounts	\$720
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$885

*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$2,800
-----------------------------	-----------------------	----------------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	25.7%	Adjustment	\$719
---	-------	-------------------	--------------

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes: Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with violation no. 2.

Final Penalty Amount	\$3,519
-----------------------------	----------------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$3,519
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------

DEFERRAL	20.0% Reduction	Adjustment	-\$703
-----------------	-----------------	-------------------	---------------

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$2,816
------------------------	----------------

Screening Date: 9-Mar-2010

Docket No.: 2010-0406-PST-E

PCW

Respondent: JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC. dba Car Care Cil

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No.: 39297

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No.: RN100536903

Media [Statute]: Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator: Theresa Hagood

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%

Please Enter Yes or No

Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 5%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

Enhancement for one previous NOV with same or similar violations.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 5%

Screening Date 9-Mar-2010

Docket No. 2010-0408-PST-E

PCW

Respondent JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC. dba Car Care CItgo

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 39297

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100536903

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Theresa Hagood

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order or third-party certification, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system. Specifically, the nozzle faceplate on dispenser no. 5 was damaged.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential		X	

Percent 10%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0%

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

20 Number of violation days

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	X
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

mark only one with an x

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One quarterly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the January 13, 2010 investigation to the February 2, 2010 compliance date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction

\$250

Extraordinary

Ordinary

N/A

Notes

	Before NOV	NOV to EDRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	X	
N/A		(mark with x)

The Respondent came into compliance on February 2, 2010.

Violation Subtotal \$750

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$1

Violation Final Penalty Total \$1,005

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$1,005

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC. cba Car Care Ctgo
Case ID No.: 39297
Reg. Ent. Reference No.: RN100538903
Media: Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No.: 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<small>No commas or \$</small>							

Delayed Costs

Equipment	\$285	13-Jan-2010	2-Feb-2010	0.05	\$0	\$1	\$1
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to replace the nozzle faceplate. The Date Required is the investigation date. The Final Date is the compliance date.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$285

TOTAL

\$1

Screening Date 9-Mar-2010

Docket No. 2010-0408-PST-E

PCW

Respondent JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC. dba Car Care Citgo

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 39297

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100536903

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Theresa Hagood

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.245(2) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months and the Stage II vapor space manifold and dynamic back pressure at least once every 36 months or upon major system replacement or modification. Specifically, the Stage II annual and triennial compliance testing had not been conducted.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential	x		

Percent 25%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0%

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$7,500

\$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

1095 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One single event is recommended for the three-year period preceding the January 13, 2010 investigation.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction

\$625

Before NOV NOV to EDRP/Settlement Offer

Extraordinary		
Ordinary	x	
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent came into compliance on February 2, 2010.

Violation Subtotal \$1,875

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$719

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,514

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,514

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent: JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC. dba Car Care Citgo
Case ID No.: 39297
Reg. Ent. Reference No.: RN100536903
Media: Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No.: 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$600	13-Jan-2007	2-Feb-2010	3.98	\$119	\$600	\$719
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Actual avoided cost to conduct the testing of the Stage II equipment per receipt submitted. The Date Required is three years prior to the investigation date. The Final Date is the compliance date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$600

TOTAL

\$719

Compliance History Report

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN602930414 JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC.	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 1.50
Regulated Entity:	RN100536903 CAR CARE CITGO	Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 1.50
ID Number(s):	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION	REGISTRATION	26570
Location:	3849 ALTAMESA BLVD, FORT WORTH, TX, 76133		
TCEQ Region:	REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX		
Date Compliance History Prepared:	March 15, 2010		
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement		
Compliance Period:	March 15, 2005 to March 15, 2010		

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Theresa Hagood Phone: 239 - 2540

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? Yes
3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator? Juliet Operating Company, Inc.
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s) ? Troy Frans Jr. Exxon
Exxon Mobil Corporation
5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? 03/30/2005
6. Rating Date: 9/1/2009 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
- B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
- C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A
- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1	04/14/2005	(376132)
2	07/07/2006	(482828)
3	09/08/2006	(510799)
4	02/16/2010	(788374)
- E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date:	07/07/2006	(482828)	Self Report?	NO	Classification:	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.248(1)					
Description:	Failure to train employees on the importance and correct operation of Stage II equipment.					
Self Report?	NO		Classification:	Moderate		
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.245(2)					
Description:	Failure to conduct annual testing by the due date of March 31, 2006.					
- F. Environmental audits.
N/A
- G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
JULIET OPERATING COMPANY,
INC. DBA CAR CARE CITGO
RN100536903

§
§
§
§
§

BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER
DOCKET NO. 2010-0406-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC. dba Car Care Citgo ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 3849 Altamesa Boulevard in Fort Worth, Tarrant County, Texas (the "Station").
2. The Facility consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about February 21, 2010.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Three Thousand Five Hundred Nineteen Dollars (\$3,519) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Hundred Twenty-Four Dollars (\$224) of the administrative penalty and Seven Hundred Three Dollars (\$703) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Two Thousand Five Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$2,592) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 12 monthly payments of Two Hundred Sixteen Dollars (\$216) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Station:
 - a. Replaced the nozzle faceplate on dispenser no. 5 on February 2, 2010; and
 - b. Successfully conducted the required annual and triennial testing of the Stage II equipment on February 2, 2010.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Station, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order or third-party certification, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(3) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 13, 2010. Specifically, the nozzle faceplate on dispenser no. 5 was damaged.
2. Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months and the Stage II vapor space manifolding and dynamic back pressure at least once every 36 months or upon major system replacement or modification, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.245(2) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 13, 2010. Specifically, the Stage II annual and triennial compliance testing had not been conducted.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC. dba Car Care Citgo, Docket No. 2010-0406-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
3. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The

Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
6. This Agreed Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreed Order may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes under this Agreed Order.
7. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

Jade Dreller
For the Executive Director

7/11/2010
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Mohammad Moharranji
Signature

5-28-10
Date

Mohammad Moharranji
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
JULIET OPERATING COMPANY, INC. dba Car Care Citgo

manager
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

N

