Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41811
STAR HOUSTON, INC. dba Star Motor Cars
RN100602598
Docket No. 2011-0923-PST-E

Order Type:
1660 Agreed Order
Findings Order Justification:
N/A
Media:
PST
Small Business:
Yes
Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:
Star Motor Cars, 7000 Katy Road, Houston, Harris County
Type of Operation:
Car sales facility with three underground storage tanks ("USTs")
Other Significant Matters:
Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No
Past-Due Penalties: No
Other: N/A
Interested Third-Parties: None
Texas Register Publication Date: August 26, 2011
Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: $2,392
Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: $478
Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: $0
Total Paid to General Revenue: $1,914
Total Due to General Revenue: $0
Payment Plan: N/A
SEP Conditional Offset: $0
Name of SEP: N/A
Compliance History Classifications:
Person/CN - Average
Site/RN - Average by Default
Major Source: No
Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A
Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41811
STAR HOUSTON, INC. dba Star Motor Cars
RN100602598
Docket No. 2011-0923-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A
Complaint Information: N/A
Date(s) of Investigation: May 27, 2011
Date(s) of NOE(s): May 27, 2011

Violation Information

1. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
2. Failed to provide release detection for the suction piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the triennial piping tightness test was not conducted [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Executive Director recognizes that on June 21, 2011, the Respondent submitted documentation indicating implementation of a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility and conducted the triennial piping tightness test with passing results.

Technical Requirements:

N/A

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A
TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Theresa Hagood, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-2540; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0412.
TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A
Respondent: Glenn Seureau, President, STAR HOUSTON, INC., 7000 Katy Road, Houston, Texas 77024
Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) — *PCW Revision October 30, 2008*

TCEQ

DATES						
Assigned	31-May-2011					
PCW	10-Jun-2011	**Screening**	10-Jun-2011	**EPA Due**		

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

Respondent	STAR HOUSTON, INC. dba Star Motor Cars		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN100602598		
Facility/Site Region	12-Houston	**Major/Minor Source**	Minor

CASE INFORMATION

Enf./Case ID No.	41811	**No. of Violations**	1
Docket No.	2011-0923-PST-E	**Order Type**	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	**Government/Non-Profit**	No
Multi-Media		**Enf. Coordinator**	Theresa Hagood
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6

Admin. Penalty $ Limit Minimum $0 Maximum $10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) — ***Subtotal 1*** **$2,500**

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History **0.0%** Enhancement — ***Subtotals 2, 3, & 7*** **$0**

Notes: No adjustment for compliance history.

Culpability No **0.0%** Enhancement — ***Subtotal 4*** **$0**

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments — ***Subtotal 5*** **$250**

Economic Benefit **0.0%** Enhancement* — ***Subtotal 6*** **$0**

Total EB Amounts $147
Approx. Cost of Compliance $1,618

**Capped at the Total EB $ Amount*

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 — ***Final Subtotal*** **$2,250**

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE **6.3%** — ***Adjustment*** **$142**

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes: Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with the violation.

Final Penalty Amount **$2,392**

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT — ***Final Assessed Penalty*** **$2,392**

DEFERRAL **20.0%** Reduction — ***Adjustment*** **-$478**

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. *(Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)*

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY **$1,914**

Screening Date 10-Jun-2011 **Docket No.** 2011-0923-PST-E **PCW**

Respondent STAR HOUSTON, INC. dba Star Motor Cars

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41811

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100602598

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Theresa Hagood

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History *Site* Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	*Enter Number Here*	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (*number of NOVs meeting criteria*)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (*number of orders meeting criteria*)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (*number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria*)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (*number of counts*)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (*number of events*)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 *(number of audits for which notices were submitted)*	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (*number of audits for which violations were disclosed*)	0	0%

		Please Enter Yes or No	
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

No adjustment for compliance history.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 0%

Screening Date 10-Jun-2011 **Docket No.** 2011-0923-PST-E **PCW**

Respondent STAR HOUSTON, INC. dba Star Motor Cars *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*

Case ID No. 41811 *PCW Revision October 30, 2008*

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100602598

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Theresa Hagood

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(b) and (c)(1)

Violation Description Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Also, failed to provide release detection for the suction piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the triennial piping tightness test was not conducted.

Base Penalty $10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Harm			
Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				
Potential	x			

Percent 25%

>>Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0%

Matrix Notes: Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment $7,500

$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events: 1 — 14 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	x
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

Violation Base Penalty $2,500

One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the May 27, 2011 record review date to the June 10, 2011 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

10.0% Reduction $250

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		x
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes: The Respondent came into compliance on June 21, 2011, after the Notice of Enforcement date of May 27, 2011.

Violation Subtotal $2,250

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Estimated EB Amount $147

Statutory Limit Test

Violation Final Penalty Total $2,392

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) $2,392

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent STAR HOUSTON, INC. dba Star Motor Cars
Case ID No. 41811
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100602598
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or $						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Buildings				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Land				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Permit Costs				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Other (as needed)	$1,500	27-May-2011	21-Jun-2011	0.07	$5	n/a	$5
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cost to monitor the USTs for releases. Date Required is the record review date and the Final Date is the compliance date.						
Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Personnel				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	$0	$0	$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	$118	27-May-2008	21-Jun-2011	3.99	$24	$118	$142
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs	Estimated avoided cost for conducting the required triennial piping tightness test. The Date Required is three years prior to the record review date and the Final Date is the compliance date.						

Approx. Cost of Compliance $1,618

TOTAL $147

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600536742 STAR HOUSTON, INC. Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 3.01

Regulated Entity: RN100602598 STAR MOTOR CARS Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT Site Rating: 3.01

ID Number(s): PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION REGISTRATION 22935

Location: 7000 KATY RD, HOUSTON, TX, 77024

TCEQ Region: REGION 12 - HOUSTON

Date Compliance History Prepared: June 10, 2011

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: June 10, 2006 to June 10, 2011

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Theresa Hagood Phone: (512) 239-2540

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator? N/A
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A
6. Rating Date: 9/1/2010 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1 05/27/2011 (881283)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

N/A

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

IN THE MATTER OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION CONCERNING STAR HOUSTON, INC. DBA STAR MOTOR CARS RN100602598	**§ § § § § §**	**BEFORE THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER
DOCKET NO. 2011-0923-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding STAR HOUSTON, INC. dba Star Motor Cars ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns a car sales facility with three underground storage tanks ("USTs") at 7000 Katy Road in Houston, Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").

2. The Respondent's three USTs are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.

3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 1, 2011.

5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Two Thousand Three Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars ($2,392) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in

Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Thousand Nine Hundred Fourteen Dollars ($1,914) of the administrative penalty and Four Hundred Seventy-Eight Dollars ($478) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.

8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.

9. The Executive Director recognizes that on June 21, 2011, the Respondent submitted documentation indicating implementation of a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility and conducted the triennial piping tightness test with passing results.

10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.

11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.

12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during a record review conducted on May 27, 2011.

2. Failed to provide release detection for the suction piping associated with the USTs, in violation of in violation 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(b), as documented during a record review conducted on May 27, 2011. Specifically, the triennial piping tightness test was not conducted.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: STAR HOUSTON, INC. dba Star Motor Cars, Docket No. 2011-0923-PST-E" to:

   Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
   Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
   Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
   P.O. Box 13088
   Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.

3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

4. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.

5. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

For the Executive Director

8/30/11
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature

July 19, 2011
Date

Glenn Seureau
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
STAR HOUSTON, INC. dba Star Motor Cars

President
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.