

CAPTION: Docket No. 2010-1860-PST-E. Consideration of an Agreed Order assessing administrative penalties against GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3 in Jefferson County; RN102393154; for petroleum storage tank violations pursuant to Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 382, Tex. Water Code ch. 7, and the rules of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, including specifically 30 Texas Administrative Code ch. 60. (Judy Kluge, Laurie Eaves)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER
DOCKET NO.: 2010-1860-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102393154 **CASE NO.:** 40722
RESPONDENT NAME: GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3

ORDER TYPE:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: PAKCO #3, 3948 9th Avenue, Port Arthur, Jefferson County</p> <p>TYPE OF OPERATION: Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline</p> <p>SMALL BUSINESS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p>INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p>COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on May 2, 2011. No comments were received.</p> <p>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Ms. Judy Kluge, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC R-04, (817) 588-5825; Ms. Laurie Eaves, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-4495 Respondent: Mr. Kamran Faridi, President, GOOD BY MARKETING CO., 3948 9th Avenue, Port Arthur, Texas 77642-4426 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: September 21, 2010</p> <p>Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: November 10, 2010 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine investigation.</p> <p>WASTE</p> <p>1) Failed to conduct daily and monthly inspections of the Stage II vapor recovery system [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.244(1) and (3) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>2) Failed to ensure that at least one Station representative received training in the operation and maintenance of the Stage II vapor recovery system, and each current employee receives in-house Stage II vapor recovery training regarding the purpose and operation of the vapor recovery system. Specifically, the Stage II vapor recovery awareness training for employees had not been conducted [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.248(1) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>3) Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II vapor space manifold and dynamic back pressure at least once every 36 months or upon major system replacement or modification. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the triennial testing by the due date of July 24, 2009 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.245(2) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>4) Failed to maintain Stage II records at the Station and make them immediately available for review upon request by agency personnel.</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$6,112</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$1,222 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$0</p> <p>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$165 (remaining \$4,725 due in 35 monthly payments \$135 each)</p> <p>Compliance History Classifications: Person/CN - Average Site/RN - Average</p> <p>Major Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Corrective Actions Taken:</p> <p>The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Station:</p> <p>a. Began conducting daily and monthly inspections of the Stage II vapor recovery system on October 28, 2010;</p> <p>b. Provided in-house Stage II vapor recovery system training to all current employees on October 29, 2010;</p> <p>c. Successfully conducted the triennial testing of the Stage II equipment on October 21, 2010;</p> <p>d. Began maintaining Stage II test results at the Station on November 1, 2010; and</p> <p>e. Replaced the Stage I dry-break poppet gasket and successfully tested the Stage II equipment on November 1, 2010.</p>

<p>Specifically, the Stage II test results were not available for review [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.246(5) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p> <p>5) Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system. Specifically, the Stage I dry-break poppet gasket was defective [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(3)(M) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].</p>		
--	--	--

Additional ID No(s): PST 6518



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	15-Nov-2010	Screening	16-Nov-2010	EPA Due	
	PCW	22-Nov-2010				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION			
Respondent	GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102393154		
Facility/Site Region	10-Beaumont	Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION			
Enf./Case ID No.	40722	No. of Violations	5
Docket No.	2010-1860-PST-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Judy Kluge
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) **Subtotal 1**

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History Enhancement **Subtotals 2, 3, & 7**

Notes

Culpability Enhancement **Subtotal 4**

Notes

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments **Subtotal 5**

Economic Benefit Enhancement* **Subtotal 6**

Total EB Amounts
Approx. Cost of Compliance *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 **Final Subtotal**

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE **Adjustment**

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes

Final Penalty Amount

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT **Final Assessed Penalty**

DEFERRAL Reduction **Adjustment**

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 16-Nov-2010

Docket No. 2010-1860-PST-E

PCW

Respondent GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 40722

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102393154

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Judy Kluge

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	1	5%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2)

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

Enhancement for one prior NOV with same/similar violations.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)

Screening Date 16-Nov-2010

Docket No. 2010-1860-PST-E

PCW

Respondent GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 40722

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102393154

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Judy Kluge

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.244(1) and (3) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description Failed to conduct daily and monthly inspections of the Stage II vapor recovery system.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential		x	

Percent 10%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0%

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

37 Number of violation days

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	x
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

mark only one with an x

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One quarterly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the September 21, 2010 investigation to the October 28, 2010 compliance date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction

\$250

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	x	
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent came into compliance on October 28, 2010 prior to the Notice of Enforcement ("NOE") dated November 10, 2010.

Violation Subtotal \$750

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$369

Violation Final Penalty Total \$940

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$940

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3
Case ID No. 40722
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102393154
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$350	21-Aug-2010	28-Oct-2010	1.10	\$19	\$350	\$369
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated cost to conduct monthly inspections of the Stage II system. The date required is one month prior to the investigation date and the final date is the compliance date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$350

TOTAL

\$369

Screening Date 16-Nov-2010

Docket No. 2010-1860-PST-E

PCW

Respondent GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 40722

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102393154

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Judy Kluge

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.248(1) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to ensure that at least one Station representative received training in the operation and maintenance of the Stage II vapor recovery system, and each current employee receives in-house Stage II vapor recovery training regarding the purpose and operation of the vapor recovery system. Specifically, the Stage II vapor recovery awareness training for employees had not been conducted.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				10%
Potential		x		

>> Programmatic Matrix

Matrix Notes	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to significant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.				0%

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days 38

mark only one with an x	daily	
	weekly	
	monthly	
	quarterly	x
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One quarterly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the September 21, 2010 investigation to the October 29, 2010 compliance date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction \$250

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	x	
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent came into compliance on October 29, 2010 prior to the NOE dated November 10, 2010.

Violation Subtotal \$750

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$3

Violation Final Penalty Total \$940

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$940

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3
Case ID No. 40722
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102393154
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$500	21-Sep-2010	29-Oct-2010	0.10	\$3	n/a	\$3
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost for providing Stage II vapor recovery awareness training to all current employees. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$500

TOTAL

\$3

Screening Date 16-Nov-2010

Docket No. 2010-1860-PST-E

PCW

Respondent GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 40722

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102393154

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Judy Kluge

Violation Number 3

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.245(2) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II vapor space manifold and dynamic back pressure at least once every 36 months or upon major system replacement or modification. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the triennial testing by the due date of July 24, 2009.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential	x		

Percent 25%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0%

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$7,500

\$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

424 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One single event is recommended for the period preceding the September 21, 2010 investigation.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer

\$625

Extraordinary	
Ordinary	x
N/A	(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent came into compliance on October 21, 2010 prior to the NOE dated November 10, 2010.

Violation Subtotal \$1,875

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$543

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,351

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,351

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3
Case ID No. 40722
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102393154
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$490	24-Jul-2009	21-Oct-2010	2.16	\$53	\$490	\$543
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Actual avoided cost for conducting the required Stage II testing per invoice submitted. The date required is the date the test was due and the final date is the compliance date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$490

TOTAL \$543

Screening Date 16-Nov-2010

Docket No. 2010-1860-PST-E

PCW

Respondent GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 40722

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102393154

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Judy Kluge

Violation Number 4

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.246(5) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to maintain Stage II records at the Station and make them immediately available for review upon request by agency personnel. Specifically, the Stage II test results were not available for review.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent 0%

>> Programmatic Matrix

	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
			x	

Percent 10%

Matrix Notes

100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

41 Number of violation days

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	x

mark only one with an x

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the September 21, 2010 investigation.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction

\$250

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	x	
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent came into compliance on November 1, 2010 prior to the NOE dated November 10, 2010.

Violation Subtotal \$750

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$3

Violation Final Penalty Total \$940

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$940

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3
Case ID No. 40722
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102393154
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 4

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	21-Sep-2010	1-Nov-2010	0.11	\$3	n/a	\$3
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to maintain all Stage II records at the Station. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$500

TOTAL

\$3

Screening Date 16-Nov-2010

Docket No. 2010-1860-PST-E

PCW

Respondent GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 40722

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102393154

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Judy Kluge

Violation Number 5

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242(3)(M) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description

Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system. Specifically, the Stage I dry-break poppet gasket was defective.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual			X	10%
	Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Matrix Notes	Falsification			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
				0%

Human health or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days 41

mark only one with an x	daily	
	weekly	
	monthly	
	quarterly	X
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One quarterly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the September 21, 2010 investigation to the November 1, 2010 compliance date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction

\$250

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	X	
N/A		(mark with x)

The Respondent came into compliance on November 1, 2010 prior to the NOE dated November 10, 2010.

Violation Subtotal \$750

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$4

Violation Final Penalty Total \$940

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$940

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3
Case ID No. 40722
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102393154
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 5

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment	\$500	21-Sep-2010	1-Nov-2010	0.11	\$0	\$4	\$4
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to replace the defective Stage I dry-break poppet gasket. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the compliance date.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$500

TOTAL \$4

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN603681883 GOOD BY MARKETING CO. Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 3.00
Regulated Entity: RN102393154 Pakco #3 Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 3.00
ID Number(s): PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION 6518
Location: 3948 9TH AVE, PORT ARTHUR, TX, 77642
TCEQ Region: REGION 10 - BEAUMONT

Date Compliance History Prepared: November 16, 2010
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement
Compliance Period: November 16, 2005 to November 16, 2010
TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History
Name: Judy Kluge Phone: (817) 588-5825

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator? N/A
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A
6. Rating Date: 9/1/2010 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
- B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
- C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A
- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
1 03/22/2007(541472)
2 11/27/2007 (601271)
3 11/10/2010 (871875)
- E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
Date: 03/23/2007 (541472)CN603681883
Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(7)(A)
Description: Failure to maintain records on-site and immediately available for review upon request by authorized representatives of the executive director, EPA, or local air pollution control program with jurisdiction at facilities ordinarily manned during business hours.
Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(9)
Description: Failure to post operating instructions conspicuously on the front of each gasoline dispenser equipped with a Stage II system.
At the time of the investigation, no operating instructions on how to dispense gasoline were posted on the front of gasoline dispensers 1, 2, 3, and 4.
Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)(A)
Description: Failure to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including the absence or disconnection of any component that is a part of the approved system.
- F. Environmental audits.
N/A
- G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
GOOD BY MARKETING CO. DBA
PAKCO #3
RN102393154**

**§ BEFORE THE
§
§ TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§
§ ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

**AGREED ORDER
DOCKET NO. 2010-1860-PST-E**

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3 ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 3948 9th Avenue in Port Arthur, Jefferson County, Texas (the "Station").
2. The Station consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about November 15, 2010.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Six Thousand One Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$6,112) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Hundred Sixty-Five Dollars (\$165) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Two Hundred Twenty-Two Dollars (\$1,222) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Four Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$4,725) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Thirty-Five Dollars (\$135) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Station:
 - a. Began conducting daily and monthly inspections of the Stage II vapor recovery system on October 28, 2010;
 - b. Provided in-house Stage II vapor recovery system training to all current employees on October 29, 2010;
 - c. Successfully conducted the triennial testing of the Stage II equipment on October 21, 2010;
 - d. Began maintaining Stage II test results at the Station on November 1, 2010; and
 - e. Replaced the Stage I dry-break poppet gasket and successfully tested the Stage II equipment on November 1, 2010.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.

11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Station, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to conduct daily and monthly inspections of the Stage II vapor recovery system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.244(1) and (3) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 21, 2010.
2. Failed to ensure that at least one Station representative received training in the operation and maintenance of the Stage II vapor recovery system, and each current employee receives in-house Stage II vapor recovery training regarding the purpose and operation of the vapor recovery system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.248(1) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 21, 2010. Specifically, the Stage II vapor recovery awareness training for employees had not been conducted.
3. Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II vapor space manifolding and dynamic back pressure at least once every 36 months or upon major system replacement or modification, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.245(2) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 21, 2010. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the triennial testing by the due date of July 24, 2009.
4. Failed to maintain Stage II records at the Station and make them immediately available for review upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.246(5) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 21, 2010. Specifically, the Stage II test results were not available for review.
5. Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(3)(M) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 21, 2010. Specifically, the Stage I dry-break poppet gasket was defective.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3, Docket No. 2010-1860-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
3. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
6. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature

could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.

7. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

John Szallies
For the Executive Director

4.14.2011
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions;
- and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Kamran Faridi
Signature

02-23-11
Date

KAMRAN-FARIDI
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
GOOD BY MARKETING CO. dba Pakco #3

PRESIDENT
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.