

**TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**  
**AGENDA ITEM REQUEST**  
**for Proposed Rulemaking**

**AGENDA REQUESTED: August 17, 2011**

**DATE OF REQUEST: July 29, 2011**

**INDIVIDUAL TO CONTACT REGARDING CHANGES TO THIS REQUEST, IF NEEDED: Charlotte Horn, (512) 239-0779**

**CAPTION: Docket No. 2010-1862-RUL.** Consideration for publication of, and hearing on, a proposed amendment to 30 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 111, Control of Air Pollution from Visible Emissions and Particulate Matter, Subchapter A, Visible Emissions and Particulate Matter, Division 4, Materials Handling, Construction, Roads, Streets, Alleys, and Parking Lots, Section 111.147, and corresponding revisions to the state implementation plan.

The proposed rulemaking would amend Section 111.147(1)(E) to remove the requirement to pave alleys at the rate of 15 miles per year and replace it with the following requirements: 1) all new alleys shall be paved; 2) alleys may not be used for garbage and recycling collection; and 3) the use of reclaimed asphalt pavement may be used as an alternate means of particulate matter control for alleys. The rulemaking would also amend Section 111.147(2) to change the street sweeping frequency requirement from four times per year to three times per year in the city limits, and from six times per week to four times per week in the central business district. (Peter A. Ogbeide, Erin Selvera) (Rule Project No. 2010-046-111-EN)

Susanna Hildebrand  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Chief Engineer**

David Brymer  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Division Director**

Charlotte Horn  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Agenda Coordinator**

**Copy to CCC Secretary? NO YES X**

# Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

## Interoffice Memorandum

**To:** Commissioners **Date:** July 29, 2011

**Thru:** Melissa Chao, Acting Chief Clerk  
Mark R. Vickery, P.G., Executive Director

**From:** Susana M. Hildebrand, P.E., Chief Engineer

**Docket No.:** 2010-1862-RUL

**Subject:** Commission Approval for Proposed Rulemaking  
Chapter 111, Control of Air Pollution from Visible Emissions and Particulate Matter  
Revision of El Paso Particulate Matter of 10 Micrometers and Less (PM<sub>10</sub>)  
Controls for Roads, Streets, and Alleys  
Rule Project No. 2010-046-111-EN

### **Background and reason(s) for the rulemaking:**

Under the 1990 Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA) Amendments, the City of El Paso (the City) was designated nonattainment under FCAA, §107(d)(4)(B) for PM<sub>10</sub> National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and subsequently classified as a moderate PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment area. In November 1991, the Texas Air Control Board (TACB), a predecessor agency of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), submitted the El Paso PM<sub>10</sub> Attainment Demonstration State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision. The SIP revision included particulate matter (PM) control measures in 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 111, Control of Air Pollution from Visible Emissions and Particulate Matter, Subchapter A, Visible Emissions and Particulate Matter, Division 4, Materials Handling, Construction, Roads, Streets, Alleys, and Parking Lots, §111.147. The control measures adopted in §111.147 required paving as a method of dust control in the City for specified roads and added a requirement that alleys be paved at the rate of 15 miles per year. Section 111.147 also set frequencies for street sweeping in designated sections of the City.

In 1991, a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the City and the TACB was approved to outline the responsibilities and regulatory requirements for both parties. This MOU was replaced with a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the City in 2001, with the same requirements.

On November 21, 2003, the El Paso Metropolitan Planning Organization submitted a letter requesting that the TCEQ develop a PM<sub>10</sub> redesignation request and maintenance plan. On December 28, 2009, the TCEQ requested information from the City to ascertain what efforts El Paso has taken to support a request for redesignation. In a response letter dated January 29, 2010, the City indicated that the City has committed to an alley paving program at a level in alignment with its own internal budgetary capacities, not at the rate of 15 miles per year as required under §111.147.

Re: Docket No. 2010-1862-RUL

The City is monitoring compliance for PM<sub>10</sub>. For the site reporting regulatory PM<sub>10</sub> data for all three years from 2007 through 2009 (Socorro AQS ID 481410057), there were no exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour NAAQS. The inventory of unpaved alleys has decreased from 66% in 1991, to 16% in 2010, with approximately 23 miles of unpaved alleys remaining. The City action to reduce airborne PM<sub>10</sub> has also reduced the need to sweep streets at the frequencies specified under the current rule. The proposed rulemaking is necessary to provide the City with alternative methods of PM control. The proposed rules would remove specific requirements for the number of miles of alleys to be paved per year and allow the use of reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) as an alternate means of PM control for pre-existing unpaved alleys. The proposed rule revisions would also decrease the number of times that soil is to be removed by mechanical sweepers from public thoroughfares from four to three times per year for those previously specified areas within the city limits and from six to four times per week within the central business district.

**Scope of the rulemaking:**

The proposed rulemaking would amend §111.147 to provide the City with additional flexibility in PM control measures.

**A.) Summary of what the rulemaking will do:**

The proposed rule would amend §111.147(1)(E) to remove the requirement to pave alleys at the rate of 15 miles per year, and replace it with the following requirements: 1) all new alleys must be paved; 2) alleys may not be used for garbage and recycling collection; and 3) the use of RAP may be used as an alternate means of PM control for alleys. The proposed rule would also amend §111.147(2) to change the sweeping frequency requirement from four times per year to three times per year in the city limits and from six times per week to four times per week in the central business district.

**B.) Scope required by federal regulations or state statutes:**

None.

**C.) Additional staff recommendations that are not required by federal rule or state statute:**

Air Quality Division staff recommends stipulating in the proposal that the commission plans only to accept comments on the specific changes to the rules and no other changes will be considered during this rulemaking. The proposed rulemaking would also make non-substantive changes as necessary to conform to *Texas Register* style and formatting requirements.

Re: Docket No. 2010-1862-RUL

**Statutory authority:**

The amendment is proposed under Texas Water Code, §5.103, concerning Rules, and §5.105, concerning General Policy, which authorize the commission to adopt rules necessary to carry out its powers and duties under the Texas Water Code. The amendment is also proposed under Texas Health and Safety Code, Texas Clean Air Act, §382.002, concerning Policy and Purpose, which establishes the commission's purpose to safeguard the state's air resources, consistent with the protection of public health, general welfare, and physical property; §382.011, concerning General Power and Duties, which authorizes the commission to control the quality of the state's air; §382.012, concerning State Air Control Plan, which authorizes the commission to prepare and develop a general, comprehensive plan for the control of the state's air; §382.017, concerning Rules, which authorizes the commission to adopt rules consistent with the policy and purposes of the Texas Clean Air Act, §382.0172, concerning international border areas, and §382.0173, concerning Adoption of Rules Regarding Certain State Implementation Plan Requirements and Standards of Performance for Certain Sources.

**Effect on the:**

**A.) Regulated community:**

The rule revisions would update the PM<sub>10</sub> controls required to attain and maintain the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS. The City would have greater flexibility in the implementation of the PM<sub>10</sub> control requirements in §111.147.

**B.) Public:**

Implementation of the revised rules would continue protection of public health through maintenance of the PM<sub>10</sub> standard.

**C.) Agency programs:**

There are no anticipated impacts on agency programs.

**Stakeholder meetings:**

No stakeholder meetings were held.

**Potential controversial concerns and legislative interest:**

A demonstration will be required to show that changes to §111.147 will not interfere with attainment of the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS in order to comply with the EPA anti-backsliding provisions in FCAA, §110(l). It will include the substitution of the alley paving and street sweeping measures as stated in §111.147(1)(E) and §111.147(2) with alternate control

Commissioners

Page 4

July 29, 2011

Re: Docket No. 2010-1862-RUL

measures currently in place in the El Paso area that have resulted in PM<sub>10</sub> emissions reductions equivalent to or greater than what would have been achieved if the City had complied with §111.147(1)(E) and §111.147(2). These control measures include reduced alley traffic, city ordinances requiring developers to pave any new alleys they create, and the use of recycled asphalt product on existing alleys.

**Will this rulemaking affect any current policies or require development of new policies?**

No.

**What are the consequences if this rulemaking does not go forward?**

The agency could enforce §111.147 as written; however, due to budgetary constraints, the City does not have sufficient funding to meet the 15 miles per year paving requirement and the street sweeping frequency requirements.

**Are there alternatives to rulemaking?**

The commission could decide not to adopt the proposed revisions to Chapter 111.

**Key points in the proposal rulemaking schedule:**

<b>Anticipated proposal date:</b>	August 17, 2011
<b>Anticipated Texas Register publication date:</b>	September 02, 2011
<b>Public hearing date (if any):</b>	September 27, 2011
<b>Public comment period:</b>	September 02, to October 03, 2011
<b>Anticipated adoption date:</b>	January 25, 2012

**Agency contacts:**

Peter A. Ogbeide, Project Manager, 239-1937, Air Quality Division  
Erin Selvera, Staff Attorney, 239-6033  
Charlotte Horn, Texas Register Coordinator, 239-0779

**Attachments**

None

Commissioners

Page 5

July 29, 2011

Re: Docket No. 2010-1862-RUL

cc: Chief Clerk, 2 copies  
Executive Director's Office  
Susana M. Hildebrand, P.E.  
Anne Idsal  
Curtis Seaton  
Ashley Morgan  
Office of General Counsel  
Peter Ogbeide  
Charlotte Horn

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ or commission) proposes an amendment to §111.147.

If adopted, the revision would be submitted to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as a revision to the state implementation plan (SIP).

### **Background and Summary of the Factual Basis for the Proposed Rule**

Under the 1990 Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA) Amendments, the City of El Paso (El Paso area) was designated nonattainment under FCAA, §107(d)(4)(B) for particulate matter (PM) with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal ten micrometers (PM<sub>10</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) and subsequently classified as a moderate PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment area. In November 1991, the Texas Air Control Board (TACB), a predecessor agency of the TCEQ, submitted the El Paso PM<sub>10</sub> Attainment Demonstration SIP revision. The SIP revision included PM control measures in §111.147. The control measures adopted in §111.147 required paving as a method of dust control in the City for specified roads and added a requirement that alleys be paved at the rate of 15 miles per year. Section 111.147 also set frequencies for street sweeping in designated sections of the City.

In 1991, a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the City of El Paso (the City) and the TACB was approved to outline the responsibilities and regulatory requirements

for both parties. This MOU was replaced with a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the City in 2001 with the same requirements.

On November 21, 2003, the El Paso Metropolitan Planning Organization submitted a letter requesting that the TCEQ develop a PM<sub>10</sub> redesignation request and maintenance plan. On December 28, 2009, the TCEQ requested information from the City to ascertain what efforts El Paso has taken to support a request for redesignation. In a response letter dated January 29, 2010, the City indicated the following: the City has programs funded annually in the City's capital improvement budget and in the Street Department operations budget in an effort to comply with environmental regulations; the City has committed to an alley paving program at a level in alignment with its own internal budgetary capacities, not at the rate of 15 miles per year as required under §111.147; the City maintains an inventory of street and alley paving efforts to document the current status and projections for future paving activities; and the City's Air Quality Program conducts surveillance and investigations to ensure compliance with, and enforcement of, the Chapter 111 rules.

The El Paso area is monitoring attainment with the 1997 PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS. For the site reporting regulatory PM<sub>10</sub> data for all three years from 2007 - 2009 (Socorro AQS ID 481410057), there were no exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour NAAQS. Subsequent data from the City indicates that from 1991 - 2010, the percentage of unpaved alleys has

significantly decreased from 66% to 16% of the total alleys in the City, with approximately 23 miles of unpaved alleys remaining. Any new alleys must be paved by developers in accordance with a city ordinance. Furthermore, the City discontinued garbage collection in alleys in 1997, so the traffic in alleys has been dramatically reduced, and reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) has been used to cover some unpaved alleys, which has proven to be as effective as paving. In addition, the City has also been performing PM control measures that are not required by §111.147 or the MOA. For the site reporting regulatory PM<sub>10</sub> data for all three years from 2007 - 2009 (Socorro AQS ID 481410057), there were no exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour NAAQS, and with the minimal amount of unpaved alleys remaining in the El Paso area, the paving rate requirement in §111.147 should no longer be considered necessary for attainment of the 1997 PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS. The proposed rulemaking is necessary to revise §111.147 to provide the City with alternative methods of PM control consistent with maintenance of the standard. The proposed rulemaking would also revise §111.147 to allow the City to sweep streets at reduced frequencies, since the progress made by the City's alley and road paving programs has reduced the overall amount of fugitive dust in the El Paso area. Finally, the City indicated that it will continue to include street and alley paving and sweeping in its annual budget for maintenance of the standard. Based on these substitute and supplemental actions, compliance with the anti-backsliding provisions in FCAA, §110(l) can be demonstrated.

Although the MOA is not specifically part of this proposed rulemaking project, if adopted, the 2001 MOA with the City will be revised to be consistent with the amendments to §111.147.

### **Demonstrating Noninterference Under FCAA, §110(I)**

The commission provides the following information to clarify why the proposed amendment to §111.147(1)(E) and (2) will not negatively impact the status of the state's attainment with the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS.

The requirement for reasonable notice and public hearing will be satisfied through a public hearing scheduled for September 27, 2011. The public comment period will begin September 2, 2011, and end October 3, 2011. According to the EPA draft guidance issued on June 8, 2005, *Demonstrating Noninterference Under Section 110(l) of the Clean Air Act When Revising a State Implementation Plan*, areas have two options available to demonstrate noninterference for affected pollutant(s). This preamble provides details of the identified existing measures that the commission will use to establish compliance with option one of the EPA's draft guidance: substitution of one measure by another with equivalent or greater emissions reduction/air quality benefits.

### **Background**

In accordance with the FCAA and EPA guidance, the TACB was required to demonstrate

either attainment of the standard by December 31, 1994, or demonstrate that attainment by that date was impracticable. FCAA, §179B provided that moderate nonattainment areas would not be redesignated as serious if the state could demonstrate that such areas would achieve attainment by the deadline if it were not for air quality impacts caused by another country. In response to the §179B provision, the TACB demonstrated attainment of the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS through dispersion modeling of United States emissions alone. Furthermore, the EPA guidance contained in the *PM<sub>10</sub> Moderate Area SIP Guidance: Final Staff Work Product*, April 2, 1991, prescribed that SIPs for moderate PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas contain quantitative milestones to be achieved every three years, and that the SIP revisions demonstrate reasonable further progress (RFP) towards attainment. However, the TACB submitted information establishing that an RFP demonstration was not strictly applicable. Specifically, based on the international impacts provision in FCAA, §179B, the TACB demonstrated that the El Paso nonattainment area would attain the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS both at the time of the SIP revision in 1991 and at the time compliance would be required under the SIP in 1994, based on modeling of United States emissions alone. Therefore, there are currently no more requirements for a demonstration of reductions to United States emissions.

However, to help minimize PM<sub>10</sub> impacts from El Paso sources, the TACB adopted several new or enhanced control measures in its 1991 SIP revision. These measures included revisions to §§111.111, 111.141, 111.145, and 111.147.

The control measures adopted in §111.147 required paving as a method of dust control in the El Paso area for specified roads and added a requirement that alleys be paved at the rate of 15 miles per year. Section 111.147 also set frequencies for street sweeping in designated sections of the El Paso area. The EPA-approved 1991 El Paso PM<sub>10</sub> Attainment Demonstration SIP revision includes the PM control measures in Chapter 111.

### **Conclusion**

The City has decreased the unpaved alleys from 66% to 16% between 1991 and 2010. Also, for the monitoring site reporting regulatory PM<sub>10</sub> data for all three years from 2007 - 2009 (Socorro AQS ID 481410057), there were no exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour NAAQS.

The City also put in place provisions that the unpaved alley inventory will not increase because a city ordinance requires developers to pave any new alleys. Furthermore, the City discontinued garbage collection in alleys in 1997, so the traffic in alleys has been dramatically reduced. City action to reduce airborne PM<sub>10</sub> has also reduced the need to sweep streets at the frequencies specified under the current rule, and RAP, which has been proven to be as effective as paving, has been used to cover some unpaved alleys. In addition, the City also continues to include alley paving and maintenance in its annual

budget. Because the El Paso area is monitoring attainment of the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS based on the monitoring site reporting regulatory PM<sub>10</sub> data for all three years from 2007 - 2009 (Socorro AQS ID 481410057), with the City's current practices in place, revising §111.147 to reflect these current practices would not interfere with the El Paso area's ability to attain and maintain the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS.

### **Section Discussion**

The proposed revisions to §111.147, Roads, Streets, and Alleys would amend §111.147(1)(E) to remove the requirement to pave alleys at the rate of 15 miles per year and replace it with the following requirements: 1) all new alleys must be paved; 2) alleys may not be used for garbage and recycling collection; and 3) the use of RAP may be used as an alternate means of PM control for alleys. The proposed revision would also amend §111.147(2) to change the sweeping frequency requirement from four times per year to three times per year in the city limits and from six times per week to four times per week in the central business district.

The commission invites comment on the proposed changes to §111.147 discussed in this Section Discussion portion of this preamble. Additionally, comments on other portions of §111.147 unrelated to the proposed changes will not be considered by the commission, and no changes will be made on such comments.

**Fiscal Note: Costs to State and Local Government**

Nina Chamness, Analyst, Strategic Planning and Assessment, has determined that, for the first five-year period the proposed rule is in effect, no significant fiscal implications are anticipated for the agency or other units of state or local government as a result of administration or enforcement of the proposed rule.

The proposed rule would amend Chapter 111 to allow more flexibility for the City to continue to comply with the 1997 PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS. The current rules require the City to pave alleys to control dust and specify that 15 miles of alleys per year be paved. The current rules also require the removal of soil by mechanical sweepers or their equivalent on public thoroughfares within the city limits at the rate of four times per year and six times per week for public thoroughfares within the central business district. The City has used a variety of methods to comply with the required levels for PM<sub>10</sub> including alternative methods of control not required by the Chapter 111 rules. However, due to budgetary constraints limiting the paving and sweeping capacity for the City, it is necessary to substitute the alternative control strategies in the rules to provide flexibility for the City and ensure continued compliance with the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS. For example, the City has eliminated garbage and recycling collection in alleys. This change has decreased traffic in alleys and reduced airborne PM<sub>10</sub>. The City has also required developers to pave new alleys and has used RAP to cover some existing unpaved alleys. RAP has proved as effective as other paving materials at reducing PM<sub>10</sub>. As a result of

this action, the El Paso area is in compliance for PM<sub>10</sub>, for the monitoring site reporting regulatory PM<sub>10</sub> data for all three years from 2007 - 2009 (Socorro AQS ID 481410057), there were no exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour NAAQS, and the inventory of unpaved alleys has decreased from 66% in 1991, to 16% in 2010, with approximately 23 miles of unpaved alleys remaining. The City action to reduce airborne PM<sub>10</sub> has also reduced the need to sweep streets at the frequencies specified under current rules. The proposed rule would remove specific requirements for the number of miles of alleys to be paved per year, will allow the use of RAP as an alternate means of PM control for pre-existing unpaved alleys, and will decrease the number of days for street sweeping public thoroughfares from four to three times per year for those previously specified areas within the city limits, and from six to four times per week within the central business district.

The proposed rule will not have a fiscal impact on other local governments or the City. The proposed rule reflects current practices in El Paso for PM<sub>10</sub> control on roads, streets, and alleys.

### **Public Benefits and Costs**

Nina Chamness also determined that for each year of the first five years the proposed rule is in effect, the public benefit anticipated from the changes seen in the proposed rule will be continued attainment with PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS, and continued protection of public

health and safety in El Paso. For the monitoring site reporting regulatory PM<sub>10</sub> data for all three years from 2007 - 2009 (Socorro AQS ID 481410057), there were no exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour NAAQS.

The proposed rule will not have a fiscal impact on individuals or large businesses in El Paso since it gives the City more flexibility than current rules and reflects current practices in El Paso for PM<sub>10</sub> control on roads, streets, and alleys.

#### **Small Business and Micro-Business Assessment**

No adverse fiscal implications are anticipated for small or micro-businesses in El Paso. The proposed rule revision gives the City more flexibility than current rules and reflects current practices in El Paso for PM<sub>10</sub> control on roads, streets, and alleys.

#### **Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Analysis**

The commission has reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that a small business regulatory flexibility analysis is not required, because the proposed rule does not adversely affect a small or micro-business in a material way for the first five years that the proposed rule is in effect.

#### **Local Employment Impact Statement**

The commission has reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that a local

employment impact statement is not required, because the proposed rule does not adversely affect a local economy in a material way for the first five years that the proposed rule is in effect.

### **Draft Regulatory Impact Analysis Determination**

The commission reviewed the proposed rulemaking in light of the regulatory impact analysis requirements of Texas Government Code, §2001.0225, and determined that this proposal is not subject to Texas Government Code, §2001.0225 because it does not meet the definition of a major environmental rule as defined in that statute. A major environmental rule means a rule, the specific intent of which is to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure and that may adversely affect in a material way the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state. The proposed rulemaking is not a major environmental rule because the revisions to Chapter 111 will allow more flexibility for the City to continue to comply with the 1997 PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS. For the monitoring site reporting regulatory PM<sub>10</sub> data for all three years from 2007 - 2009 (Socorro AQS ID 481410057), there were no exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour NAAQS. The proposed rule revision will remove specific requirements for the number of miles of alleys to be paved per year, will allow the use of RAP as an alternate means of PM control for pre-existing unpaved alleys, and will decrease the number of times that soil is to be removed from public thoroughfares from four to three times per

year for those previously specified areas within the city limits, and from six to four times per week within the central business district. The public benefit anticipated from the changes in the proposed rule will be continued attainment with PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS, and continued protection of public health and safety in El Paso. For the monitoring site reporting regulatory PM<sub>10</sub> data for all three years from 2007 - 2009 (Socorro AQS ID 481410057), there were no exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour NAAQS,

The proposed amendment will not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state. The proposed rule will not have a fiscal impact on individuals or large businesses in El Paso since it gives the City more flexibility than current rules and reflects current practices in El Paso for PM<sub>10</sub> control on roads, streets, and alleys.

In addition, a draft regulatory impact analysis is not required because the rule does not meet any of the four applicability criteria for requiring a regulatory impact analysis of a major environmental rule as defined in the Texas Government Code. Texas Government Code, §2001.0225 applies only to a major environmental rule, the result of which is to:

- 1) exceed a standard set by federal law, unless the rule is specifically required by state law;
- 2) exceed an express requirement of state law, unless the rule is specifically required by federal law;
- 3) exceed a requirement of a delegation agreement or contract

between the state and an agency or representative of the federal government to implement a state and federal program; or 4) adopt a rule solely under the general powers of the agency instead of under a specific state law. This rulemaking does not exceed a standard set by federal law. This rulemaking does not exceed an express requirement of state law and is not proposed solely under the general powers of the agency, but is specifically authorized by the provisions cited in the Statutory Authority section of this preamble. Finally, this rulemaking does not exceed a requirement of a delegation agreement or contract to implement a state and federal program.

The commission invites public comment on the draft regulatory impact analysis determination. Written comments on the draft regulatory impact analysis determination may be submitted to the contact person at the address listed under the Submittal of Comments section of this preamble.

### **Takings Impact Assessment**

The commission evaluated this rulemaking action and performed an analysis of whether the proposed rule constitutes a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007.

The primary purpose of the rulemaking is to allow more flexibility for the City to continue to comply with the 1997 PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS. This rulemaking does not affect private property in a manner that restricts or limits an owner's right to the property that would otherwise exist in the absence of the governmental action. Promulgation and

enforcement of this proposed rulemaking is neither a statutory nor a constitutional taking because it does not affect private real property. Specifically, the subject proposed regulations do not affect a landowner's rights in real property because this rulemaking does not burden (constitutionally); nor restrict or limit the landowner's right to property and reduce its value by 25% or more beyond that which would otherwise exist in absence of the regulations. Therefore, this rule does not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007.

### **Consistency with the Coastal Management Program**

The commission reviewed the proposed rulemaking and found the proposal is a rulemaking identified in the Coastal Coordination Act Implementation Rules, 31 TAC §505.11(b)(2), relating to rules subject to the Coastal Management Program, and will, therefore, require that goals and policies of the Texas Coastal Management Program (CMP) be considered during the rulemaking process.

The commission reviewed this rulemaking for consistency with the CMP goals and policies in accordance with the regulations of the Coastal Coordination Council and determined that the rulemaking will not affect any coastal natural resource areas because the rules only affect counties outside the CMP area and is, therefore, consistent with CMP goals and policies.

Written comments on the consistency of this rulemaking may be submitted to the contact person at the address listed under the Submittal of Comments section of this preamble.

### **Effect on Sites Subject to the Federal Operating Permits Program**

The commission has reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that, although §111.147 is an applicable requirement of 30 TAC Chapter 122, the proposed changes would only affect paving and street sweeping requirements for the City of El Paso, not individual emission units at sites. Therefore, the proposed changes do not affect sites subject to the Federal Operating Permits Program.

### **Announcement of Hearing**

The commission will hold a public hearing on this proposal in El Paso on September 27, 2011, at 2:00 p.m. Mountain Standard Time in the El Paso State Office Building, 401 East Franklin, Room 570, El Paso Texas, 79901. The hearing is structured for the receipt of oral or written comments by interested persons. Individuals may present oral statements when called upon in order of registration. Open discussion will not be permitted during the hearing; however, commission staff members will be available to discuss the proposal 30 minutes prior to the hearing.

Persons who have special communication or other accommodation needs who are

planning to attend the hearing should contact Sandy Wong, Office of Legal Services at (512) 239-1802. Requests should be made as far in advance as possible.

### **Submittal of Comments**

Written comments may be submitted to Charlotte Horn, MC 205, Office of Legal Services, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087, or faxed to (512) 239-4808. Electronic comments may be submitted at: <http://www5.tceq.texas.gov/rules/ecomments/>. File size restrictions may apply to comments being submitted via the eComments system. All comments should reference Rule Project Number 2010-046-111-EN. The comment period closes October 3, 2011. Copies of the proposed rulemaking can be obtained from the commission's Web site at [http://www.tceq.texas.gov/nav/rules/propose\\_adopt.html](http://www.tceq.texas.gov/nav/rules/propose_adopt.html). For further information, please contact Peter Ogbeide, Air Quality Division, (512) 239-1937.

**SUBCHAPTER A: VISIBLE EMISSIONS AND PARTICULATE MATTER**

**DIVISION 4: MATERIALS HANDLING, CONSTRUCTION, ROADS,  
STREETS, ALLEYS, AND PARKING LOTS**

**§111.147**

**Statutory Authority**

The amendment is proposed under Texas Water Code (TWC), §5.103, concerning Rules, and TWC, §5.105, concerning General Policy, which authorize the commission to adopt rules necessary to carry out its powers and duties under the TWC. The amendment is also proposed under Texas Health and Safety Code (THSC), Texas Clean Air Act, §382.002, concerning Policy and Purpose, which establishes the commission's purpose to safeguard the state's air resources, consistent with the protection of public health, general welfare, and physical property; THSC, §382.011, concerning General Power and Duties, which authorizes the commission to control the quality of the state's air; THSC, §382.012, concerning State Air Control Plan, which authorizes the commission to prepare and develop a general, comprehensive plan for the control of the state's air; THSC, §382.017, concerning Rules, which authorizes the commission to adopt rules consistent with the policy and purposes of the Texas Clean Air Act; §382.0172, concerning international border areas; and THSC, §382.0173 concerning Adoption of Rules Regarding Certain State Implementation Plan Requirements and Standards of Performance for Certain Sources.

The proposed amendment implements THSC, §§382.002, 382.011, 382.012, 382.017, 382.0172, and 382.0173.

**§111.147. Roads, Streets, and Alleys.**

No person may cause, suffer, allow, or permit any public, industrial, commercial, or private road, street, or alley to be used without taking at least the following precautions to achieve control of dust emissions:

(1) application of asphalt, water, or suitable oil or chemicals on the following unpaved surfaces, except in the City of El Paso and the Fort Bliss Military Reservation, except as noted in §111.141 of this title (relating to Geographic Areas of Application and Date of Compliance), where the use of paving materials is the only acceptable method of dust control, unless otherwise specified:

(A) industrial facility roadways--all major in-plant roads and all truck or other heavy-duty vehicle pathways. Major in-plant roads shall be defined as those which are designed to accommodate two-way traffic and are at least 30 feet wide at [at] least one point, measuring the distance from the edge of the undisturbed earth on either side of the established roadway. The executive director, with the concurrence of

the United States Environmental Protection Agency, may grant a waiver from the requirement to pave an industrial facility roadway if the owner of the roadway demonstrates that the cost of paving is economically unreasonable compared to other methods of dust control specified in this paragraph;

(B) public thoroughfares--all roads and streets to which the public has general access;

(C) commercial roads--all roads which serve as access for more than 50 employees or as access to more than 10 heavy-duty truck parking spaces;

(D) residential roads--all roads which serve as access for more than 20 residence and/or apartment units;

(E) alleys--in the City of El Paso, alleys must meet the following requirements: [shall be paved at the rate of at least 15 miles per year;]

(i) all new alleys must be paved;

(ii) alleys may not be used for garbage and recycling collection;

and

(iii) the use of reclaimed asphalt pavement may be used as an alternate means of particulate matter control for alleys; and

(F) levee roads--in the City of El Paso, all levee roads and access to such roads must [shall] be controlled with the application of asphalt, or suitable oil or chemicals;

(2) removal from public thoroughfares, as necessary, of soil or other materials, except for sand applied for the specific purpose of snow or ice control. In the City of El Paso, removal of soil must [shall] be by mechanical sweepers or their equivalent at the rate of three [four] times per year for all public thoroughfares within the city limits and four [six] times per week or as necessary for public thoroughfares within the central business district. For the purpose of this section, the central business district is [shall be] defined as that area bordered by Loop 375 to the south, Santa Fe Street to the west, Missouri Street to the north, and Kansas Street to the east. The City of El Paso shall spot clean dirty roadways, and shall maintain street sweeping records for two years. Sand applied for the specific purpose of snow or ice control must [shall] be removed as soon as such control is no longer necessary.