

**Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41567
Ibrahim Abu-Hamra dba Astrodome Texaco
RN102258688
Docket No. 2011-0671-PST-E**

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Astrodome Texaco, 7901 South Main Street, Houston, Harris County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: July 22, 2011

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$1,755

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$351

Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$104

Total Due to General Revenue: \$1,300

Payment Plan: 13 payments of \$100 each

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - High

Site/RN - High

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

**Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41567
Ibrahim Abu-Hamra dba Astrodome Texaco
RN102258688
Docket No. 2011-0671-PST-E**

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A
Complaint Information: N/A
Date(s) of Investigation: August 13, 2010
Date(s) of NOE(s): April 26, 2011

Violation Information

1. Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks (“USTs”) for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
2. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the annual piping tightness test was not conducted. [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:

- a. Implemented a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility on September 1, 2010; and
- b. Conducted the annual piping tightness test on September 15, 2010.

Technical Requirements:

N/A

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A
TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mike Pace, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC R-04, (817) 588-5933; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0412
TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41567
Ibrahim Abu-Hamra dba Astrodome Texaco
RN102258688
Docket No. 2011-0671-PST-E

Respondent: Ibrahim Abu-Hamra, Owner, Astrodome Texaco, 7901 South Main Street, Houston, Texas 77025

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

TCEQ

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|------------|----------------|--|
| DATES | Assigned | 2-May-2011 | Screening | 4-May-2011 | EPA Due | |
| | PCW | 4-May-2011 | | | | |

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Respondent | Ibrahim Abu-Hamra dba Astrodome Texaco | | | | |
| Reg. Ent. Ref. No. | RN102258688 | | | | |
| Facility/Site Region | 12-Houston | Major/Minor Source | Minor | | |

CASE INFORMATION

| | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Enf./Case ID No. | 41567 | No. of Violations | 1 |
| Docket No. | 2011-0671-PST-E | Order Type | 1660 |
| Media Program(s) | Petroleum Storage Tank | Government/Non-Profit | No |
| Multi-Media | | Enf. Coordinator | Mike Pace |
| | | EC's Team | Enforcement Team 6 |
| Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum | \$0 | Maximum | \$10,000 |

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) **Subtotal 1** **\$2,500**

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History **-10.0%** Enhancement **Subtotals 2, 3, & 7** **-\$250**

Notes: Reduction for high performer classification.

Culpability **No** **0.0%** Enhancement **Subtotal 4** **\$0**

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments **Subtotal 5** **\$625**

Economic Benefit **0.0%** Enhancement* **Subtotal 6** **\$0**

Total EB Amounts: \$134
 Approx. Cost of Compliance: \$1,618
 *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 **Final Subtotal** **\$1,625**

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE **8.0%** **Adjustment** **\$130**

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes: Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with the violation.

Final Penalty Amount **\$1,755**

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT **Final Assessed Penalty** **\$1,755**

DEFERRAL **20.0%** Reduction **Adjustment** **-\$351**

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY **\$1,404**

Screening Date 4-May-2011

Docket No. 2011-0671-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Ibrahim Abu-Hamra dba Astrodome Texaco

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41567

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102258688

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

| Component | Number of... | Enter Number Here | Adjust. |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|---------|
| NOVs | Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>) | 0 | 0% |
| | Other written NOVs | 0 | 0% |
| Orders | Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>) | 0 | 0% |
| | Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission | 0 | 0% |
| Judgments and Consent Decrees | Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>) | 0 | 0% |
| | Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government | 0 | 0% |
| Convictions | Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>) | 0 | 0% |
| Emissions | Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>) | 0 | 0% |
| Audits | Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>) | 0 | 0% |
| | Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>) | 0 | 0% |
| <i>Please Enter Yes or No</i> | | | |
| Other | Environmental management systems in place for one year or more | No | 0% |
| | Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program | No | 0% |
| | Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program | No | 0% |
| | Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements | No | 0% |

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

High Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) -10%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

Reduction for high performer classification.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) -10%

Screening Date 4-May-2011

Docket No. 2011-0671-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Ibrahim Abu-Hamra dba AstroDome Texaco

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41567

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102258688

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2), and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)

Violation Description Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Also, failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the annual piping tightness test was not conducted.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

| OR | Release | Harm | | | Percent |
|-----------|---------|-------|----------|-------|---------|
| | | Major | Moderate | Minor | |
| Actual | | | | | 25% |
| Potential | x | | | | |

>> Programmatic Matrix

| Falsification | Major | Moderate | Minor | Percent |
|---------------|-------|----------|-------|---------|
| | | | | 0% |

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health and environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$7,500

\$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days 33

| | |
|--------------|---|
| daily | |
| weekly | |
| monthly | |
| quarterly | x |
| semiannual | |
| annual | |
| single event | |

mark only one with an x

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One quarterly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the August 13, 2010 investigation date to the September 15, 2010 compliance date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction

\$625

| | Before NOV | NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer |
|---------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Extraordinary | | |
| Ordinary | x | |
| N/A | | (mark with x) |

Notes The Respondent came into compliance on September 15, 2010, prior to the Notice of Enforcement dated April 26, 2011.

Violation Subtotal \$1,875

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$134

Violation Final Penalty Total \$1,755

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$1,755

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Ibrahim Abu-Hamra dba Astrodome Texaco
Case ID No. 41567
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102258688
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

| Percent Interest | Years of Depreciation |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| 5.0 | 15 |

| Item Description | Item Cost | Date Required | Final Date | Yrs | Interest Saved | Onetime Costs | EB Amount |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|-----|----------------|---------------|-----------|
|------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|-----|----------------|---------------|-----------|

No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|-------------|------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Equipment | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Buildings | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other (as needed) | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Engineering/construction | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Land | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | n/a | \$0 |
| Record Keeping System | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | n/a | \$0 |
| Training/Sampling | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | n/a | \$0 |
| Remediation/Disposal | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | n/a | \$0 |
| Permit Costs | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | n/a | \$0 |
| Other (as needed) | \$1,500 | 13-Aug-2010 | 1-Sep-2010 | 0.05 | \$4 | n/a | \$4 |

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to monitor the USTs for releases. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the compliance date.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|------|------|-------|-------|
| Disposal | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Personnel | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Inspection/Reporting/Sampling | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Supplies/equipment | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Financial Assurance [2] | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] | \$118 | 13-Aug-2009 | 15-Sep-2010 | 2.01 | \$12 | \$118 | \$130 |
| Other (as needed) | | | | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated avoided cost to conduct the annual piping tightness test. The date required is one year prior to the investigation date and the final date is the compliance date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,618

TOTAL

\$134

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN601202518 Abu-Hamra, Ibrahim Classification: HIGH Rating: 0.00
Regulated Entity: RN102258688 Astrodome Texaco Classification: HIGH Site Rating: 0.00
ID Number(s): PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION 18666
REGISTRATION
Location: 7901 S MAIN ST, HOUSTON, TX, 77025
TCEQ Region: REGION 12 - HOUSTON
Date Compliance History Prepared: May 02, 2011
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement
Compliance Period: May 02, 2006 to May 02, 2011
TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History
Name: Mike Pace Phone: (817) 588-5933

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator? N/A
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A
6. Rating Date: 9/1/2010 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
 - B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
 - C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A
 - D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
 - 1 12/01/2007 (609959)
 - 2 05/25/2010 (802770)
 - 3 04/26/2011 (884371)
 - E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
N/A
 - F. Environmental audits.
N/A
 - G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSS).
N/A
 - H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.
N/A
 - I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.
N/A
 - J. Early compliance.
N/A
- Sites Outside of Texas
N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
IBRAHIM ABU-HAMRA DBA
ASTRODOME TEXACO
RN102258688**

§
§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

**AGREED ORDER
DOCKET NO. 2011-0671-PST-E**

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Ibrahim Abu-Hamra dba Astrodome Texaco ("the Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 7901 South Main Street in Houston, Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. The Respondent's two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about May 1, 2011.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of One Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty-Five Dollars (\$1,755) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in

Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Hundred Four Dollars (\$104) of the administrative penalty and Three Hundred Fifty-One Dollars (\$351) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of One Thousand Three Hundred Dollars (\$1,300) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 13 monthly payments of One Hundred Dollars (\$100) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. Implemented a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility on September 1, 2010; and
 - b. Conducted the annual piping tightness test on September 15, 2010.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 13, 2010.
2. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 13, 2010. Specifically, the annual piping tightness test was not conducted.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Ibrahim Abu-Hamra dba Astrodome Texaco, Docket No. 2011-0671-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088
2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
3. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
6. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
7. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

John Zedler
For the Executive Director

7/31/2011
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Ibrahim Abu Hamra
Signature

8/25/11
Date

Ibrahim Abu-Hamra
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
Ibrahim Abu-Hamra dba Astrodome Texaco

owner
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.