

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41809
ABRAMS BUSINESS INC. D/B/A POWER MART 18
RN102366358
Docket No. 2011-0921-PST-E

Order Type:

Default Shutdown Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

615 West Abrams Street, Arlington, Tarrant County

Type of Operation:

convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions:	None
Past-Due Penalties:	None
Past-Due Fees:	None
Other:	None
Interested Third-Parties:	None

Texas Register Publication Date: December 16, 2011

Comments Received: None

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$11,113

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: N/A

Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: N/A

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$0

Total Due to General Revenue: \$11,113

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN – High
Site/RN – High

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A
Date(s) of Investigation: June 24, 2010; May 13, 2011
Date(s) of NOV(s): N/A
Date(s) of NOE(s): May 13, 2011

Violation Information

1. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
2. Failed to renew a delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)].
3. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

1. Immediately shut down operations of all USTs at the Facility:
 - a. Cease dispensing fuel from the USTs;
 - b. Cease receiving deliveries of regulated substances into the USTs;
 - c. Padlock the dispensers;
 - d. Empty the USTs of all regulated substances; and
 - e. Temporarily remove the USTs from service.
2. The USTs shall remain out of service until such time as Respondent demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Executive Director that the release detection violation has been corrected.
3. Respondent's UST fuel delivery certificate is revoked immediately. Respondent shall cease accepting fuel until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained from the TCEQ. Respondent may submit an application for a new fuel delivery certificate only after Respondent has complied with all of the requirements.
4. Within 10 days, Respondent shall surrender its UST fuel delivery certificate.
5. Within 15 days, submit a detailed written report documenting the steps taken to comply with Technical Requirements Nos. 1 and 4.
6. Prior to receiving deliveries of gasoline and resuming sales of gasoline:
 - a. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility; and
 - b. Obtain a new fuel delivery certificate.
7. Upon obtaining a new fuel delivery certificate, post the fuel delivery certificate in a location at the Facility where the delivery certificate is clearly visible at all times.
8. Within 10 days of resuming sales of gasoline, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with Technical Requirements Nos. 6 and 7.

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41809
ABRAMS BUSINESS INC. D/B/A POWER MART 18
RN102366358
Docket No. 2011-0921-PST-E

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: October 28, 2011
Date(s) Green Card(s) Signed: November 2, 2011
Date(s) Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorneys: Kari L. Gilbreth, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400
Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400
TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Theresa Hagood, Waste Enforcement Section, (512) 239-2540
TCEQ Regional Contact: Sam Barrett, Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office, (817) 588-5903
Respondent: Aiyub S. Ali, President, Abrams Business Inc., 1108 Maxi Circle, Friendswood, Texas
77546-4324
Respondent's Attorney: N/A

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	16-May-2011	Screening	6-Jun-2011	EPA Due	
	PCW	16-Sep-2011				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION						
Respondent	Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18					
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102366358					
Facility/Site Region	4-Dallas/Fort Worth	Major/Minor Source	Major			

CASE INFORMATION						
Enf./Case ID No.	41809	No. of Violations	3			
Docket No.	2011-0921-PST-E	Order Type	1660			
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No			
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Theresa Hagood			
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6			
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000			

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$11,000
---	-------------------	-----------------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History	-10.0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	-\$1,100
---------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------

Notes: Reduction for high performer classification.

Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	-----------	-------------------------	-------------------	------------

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$0
--	-------------------	------------

Economic Benefit	0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------	------------

Total EB Amounts	\$164
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$1,700

*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$9,900
-----------------------------	-----------------------	----------------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	12.3%	Adjustment	\$1,213
---	--------------	-------------------	----------------

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes: Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with violation no. 2 and recommended adjustment to offset High Performer reduction.

Final Penalty Amount	\$11,113
-----------------------------	-----------------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$11,113
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------

DEFERRAL	0.0% Reduction	Adjustment	\$0
-----------------	-----------------------	-------------------	------------

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral not offered for non-expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$11,113
------------------------	-----------------

Screening Date 6-Jun-2011

Docket No. 2011-0921-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41809

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102366358

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Theresa Hagood

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

High Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) -10%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

Reduction for high performer classification.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) -10%

Screening Date 6-Jun-2011

Docket No. 2011-0921-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41809

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102366358

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Theresa Hagood

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)

Violation Description Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring).

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				50%
Potential	x			

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
				0%

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$5,000

\$5,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 24 Number of violation days

daily	
weekly	
monthly	x
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

mark only one with an x

Violation Base Penalty \$5,000

One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the May 13, 2011 record review date to the June 6, 2011 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction

\$0

Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer

Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$5,000

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$47

Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,051

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$5,051

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18
Case ID No. 41809
Req. Ent. Reference No. RN102366358
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	13-May-2011	28-Dec-2011	0.63	\$47	n/a	\$47

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to monitor the USTs for releases. The date required is the record review date and the final date is the estimated compliance date.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,500

TOTAL

\$47

Screening Date 6-Jun-2011

Docket No. 2011-0921-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41809

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102366358

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Theresa Hagood

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)

Violation Description Failed to renew a delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on August 31, 2009.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				0%
Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
	x			25%

Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$7,500

\$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 2 644 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	x
single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$5,000

Two annual events are recommended.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction \$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$5,000

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$117

Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,051

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$5,051

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18
Case ID No. 41809
Req. Ent. Reference No. RN102366358
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	13-Apr-2011	28-Dec-2011	0.71	\$4	n/a	\$4

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to renew a delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form. The date required is the record review date and the final date is the estimated compliance date.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$100	1-Aug-2009	13-May-2011	2.70	\$13	\$100	\$113
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated avoided cost (\$100 per full year) to accurately prepare and submit an updated registration and obtain a TCEQ delivery certificate. The Date Required is 30 days prior to the expiration date of the delivery certificate and the final date is the record review date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$200

TOTAL

\$117

Screening Date 6-Jun-2011

Docket No. 2011-0921-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41809

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102366358

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Theresa Hagood

Violation Number 3

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3467(a)

Violation Description Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs. Specifically, the Respondent received one fuel delivery without a delivery certificate.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual				10%
	Potential			x	

>> Programmatic Matrix

	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
					0%

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days 1

mark only one with an x	daily		Violation Base Penalty \$1,000
	weekly		
	monthly		
	quarterly		
	semiannual		
	annual		
	single event	x	

One single event is recommended for accepting one fuel delivery.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction \$0

Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer

Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$1,000

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$0 Violation Final Penalty Total \$1,010

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$1,010

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18
Case ID No. 41809
Req. Ent. Reference No. RN102366358
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Economic Benefit included in violation no. 2.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$0

TOTAL

\$0

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	BEFORE THE
CONCERNING	§	
ABRAMS BUSINESS INC. D/B/A	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
POWER MART 18;	§	
RN102366358	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

DEFAULT AND SHUTDOWN ORDER

DOCKET NO. 2011-0921-PST-E

At its _____ agenda meeting, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered the Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition filed pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the TCEQ, which requests appropriate relief, including the imposition of an administrative penalty, corrective action of the respondent, and revocation of the respondent's fuel delivery certificate. The Commission also considered the Executive Director's Motion requesting the entry of an Order requiring the respondent to shutdown and remove from service the underground storage tanks ("USTs") located at 615 West Abrams Street in Arlington, Tarrant County, Texas. The respondent made the subject of this Order is Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18 ("Respondent").

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent owns and operates, as defined in 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.2(73) and (70), a UST system and a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 615 West Abrams Street in Arlington, Tarrant County, Texas (the "Facility"). The USTs at the Facility are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission, and contain a regulated petroleum substance as defined in the rules of the TCEQ.
2. During an investigation conducted on June 24, 2010, by a University of Texas at Arlington Petroleum Storage Tank Investigator (TCEQ contractor), and a record review conducted on May 13, 2011, by TCEQ Central Office staff, it was documented that Respondent:
 - a. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring);
 - b. Failed to renew a delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on August 31, 2009; and

- c. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs.
3. By letter dated May 13, 2011, the TCEQ Central Office provided Respondent with notice of the violations and of TCEQ's authority to shut down and remove from service USTs not in compliance with release detection, spill and/or overflow prevention, corrosion protection, and/or financial assurance requirements if the violations are not corrected.
4. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18" (the "EDPRP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on October 28, 2011.
5. By letter dated October 28, 2011, sent to Respondent's last known address via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Respondent with notice of the EDPRP. According to the return receipt "green card", Respondent received notice of the EDPRP on November 2, 2011, as evidenced by the signature on the card.
6. More than 20 days have elapsed since Respondent received notice of the EDPRP. Respondent failed to file an answer and failed to request a hearing.
7. By letter dated November 22, 2011, the Executive Director provided Respondent with notice of TCEQ's intent to order the USTs at the Facility to be shut down and removed from service if Respondent failed to correct the release detection violation within 30 days after Respondent's receipt of the notice.
8. As of the date of entry of this Order, Respondent has not provided the Executive Director with documentation demonstrating that the release detection violation alleged in Finding of Fact No. 2.a. has been corrected.
9. The USTs at the Facility do not have release detection as required by TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and may be releasing petroleum products to the environment. Therefore, conditions at the Facility constitute an imminent peril to public health, safety, and welfare.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 1, Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26 and the rules of the Commission.
2. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.a., Respondent failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1).

3. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.b., Respondent failed to renew a delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii).
4. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.c., Respondent failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a).
5. As evidenced by Findings of Fact Nos. 4 and 5, the Executive Director timely served Respondent with proper notice of the EDPRP, as required by TEX. WATER CODE § 7.055 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.104(a).
6. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 6, Respondent failed to file a timely answer as required by TEX. WATER CODE § 7.056 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.105. Pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 7.057 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.106, the Commission may enter a Default Order against Respondent and assess the penalty recommended by the Executive Director.
7. Pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against Respondent for violations of state statutes within the Commission's jurisdiction, for violations of rules adopted under such statutes, or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
8. An administrative penalty in the amount of eleven thousand one hundred thirteen dollars (\$11,113.00) is justified by the facts recited in this Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in TEX. WATER CODE § 7.053.
9. As evidenced by Findings of Fact Nos. 3, 7 and 8, Respondent failed to correct documented violations of Commission release detection requirements within 30 days after Respondent received notice of the violations and notice of the Executive Director's intent to shut down the Facility.
10. TEX. WATER CODE §§ 26.3475(e) and 26.352(i) authorize the Commission to order a UST owner or operator to shut down a UST system if, within 30 days after receiving notice of the violations, the owner or operator fails to correct violations of Commission regulatory requirements relating to release detection for tanks and/or piping, spill and/or overfill protection for tanks, corrosion protection for tanks and/or piping, and/or acceptable financial assurance.
11. TEX. WATER CODE §§ 5.102 and 7.002 authorize the Commission to issue orders and make determinations necessary to effectuate the purposes of the statutes within its jurisdiction.
12. Pursuant to 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(6), the Commission has authority to revoke Respondent's UST fuel delivery certificate if the Commission finds that good cause exists.
13. Good cause for revocation of Respondent's UST fuel delivery certificate exists as justified by Findings of Fact Nos. 2 and 4 through 6 and Conclusions of Law Nos. 2 through 6.

14. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 8 and 9, current conditions at the Facility constitute an imminent peril to public health, safety, and welfare. Therefore, pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.144(a)(3), this Order is final and effective on the date rendered.

ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED BY THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY that:

1. Immediately upon the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall take the following steps to shut down operations of all USTs at the Facility:
 - a. Cease dispensing fuel from the USTs;
 - b. Cease receiving deliveries of regulated substances into the USTs;
 - c. Padlock the dispensers;
 - d. Empty the USTs of all regulated substances in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.54(d); and
 - e. Temporarily remove the USTs from service in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.54.
2. Respondent's USTs shall remain out of service, pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475 and as directed by Ordering Provision Nos. 1.a. through 1.e., until such time as Respondent demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Executive Director that the release detection violation noted in Finding of Fact No. 2.a. and Conclusion of Law No. 2 has been corrected.
3. If Respondent elects to permanently remove from service any portion of the UST system at the Facility, Respondent shall, immediately upon the effective date of the Order, permanently remove the UST system from service in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.55, and within 15 days after the effective date of this Order, shall submit a written report documenting compliance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.55 to:

Petroleum Storage Tank Registration Team, MC 138
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
4. Respondent's UST fuel delivery certificate is revoked immediately upon the effective date of this Order. Respondent shall cease accepting fuel until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained from the TCEQ in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 334.7 and 334.8. Respondent may submit an application for a new fuel delivery certificate only after Respondent has complied with all of the requirements set forth in this Order.

5. Within 10 days after the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall send its UST fuel delivery certificate to:

Petroleum Storage Tank Registration Team, MC 138
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

6. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall submit a detailed written report, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 12, below, documenting the steps taken to comply with Ordering Provisions Nos. 1.a. through 1.e. and 5.
7. Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of eleven thousand one hundred thirteen dollars (\$11,113.00) for violations of state statutes and rules of the TCEQ. The payment of this administrative penalty and Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order completely resolve the matters set forth by this Order in this action. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations which are not raised here.
8. The administrative penalty assessed by this Order shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order. All checks submitted to pay the penalty imposed by this Order shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18; Docket No. 2011-0921-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

9. Prior to receiving deliveries of gasoline and resuming retail sales of gasoline, Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility, in accordance with TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and
 - b. Obtain a new fuel delivery certificate from the TCEQ.
10. Upon obtaining a new fuel delivery certificate, Respondent shall post the fuel delivery certificate in a location at the Facility where the delivery certificate is clearly visible at all times, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(iii).
11. Within 10 days of resuming retail sales of gasoline, Respondent shall submit written certification and detailed supporting documentation, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 12, below, to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions Nos. 9 and 10.

12. The certifications required by these Ordering Provisions shall be accompanied by detailed supporting documentation, including photographs, receipts, and/or other records, shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public, and shall include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Respondent shall submit the written certifications and supporting documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with these Ordering Provisions to:

Order Compliance Team
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

and:

Sam Barrett, Waste Section Manager
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office
2309 Gravel Dr.
Fort Worth TX 76118-6951

13. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
14. The provisions of this Order shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent. Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Order.
15. If Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
16. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

17. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to Respondent if the Executive Director determines that Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
18. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
19. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Order shall be the date this Order was rendered, pursuant to TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.144(a)(3).

S I G N A T U R E P A G E

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

AFFIDAVIT OF KARI L. GILBRETH

STATE OF TEXAS §
 §
COUNTY OF TRAVIS §


"My name is Kari L. Gilbreth. I am of sound mind, capable of making this affidavit, and the facts stated in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Abrams Business Inc. d/b/a Power Mart 18" (the "EDPRP") was filed in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on October 28, 2011.

The EDPRP was mailed to Respondent's last known address on October 28, 2011, via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," Respondent received notice of the EDPRP on November 2, 2011, as evidenced by the signature on the card.

By letter dated November 22, 2011, sent via first class mail and certified mail, return receipt requested article no. 7011 0470 0000 2421 1741, I provided Respondent with notice of the TCEQ's intent to order the USTs at the Facility shut down and removed from service if the violation pertaining to release detection was not corrected within 30 days of Respondent's receipt of the letter. According to the return receipt "green card," Respondent received the notice on November 25, 2011.


As of the date of this affidavit, I am not aware of any evidence that indicates that Respondent has corrected the violations noted during the June 24, 2010, investigation."



Kari L. Gilbreth, Staff Attorney
Office of Legal Services, Litigation Division
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Kari L. Gilbreth, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that she executed the same for the purposes and consideration herein expressed.

Given under my hand and seal of office this 30th day of November, A.D. 2011.





Notary Signature