

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 41962
Suny Singh d/b/a Center Drive In 2
RN101676856
Docket No. 2011-1078-PST-E

Order Type:

Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

1612 South Day Street, Brenham, Washington County

Type of Operation:

convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions:	None
Past-Due Penalties:	None
Past-Due Fees:	None
Other:	None
Interested Third-Parties:	None

Texas Register Publication Date: February 3, 2012

Comments Received: None

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$8,742

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: N/A

Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: N/A

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$342

Total Due to General Revenue: \$8,400

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$240 each

SEP Conditional Offset: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN – Average
Site/RN – Average by Default

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A
Date(s) of Investigation: December 28, 2010; June 27, 2011
Date(s) of NOV(s): N/A
Date(s) of NOE(s): June 16, 2011

Violation Information

1. Failed to timely renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)].
2. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs [TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i)].
3. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system [TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1)].
4. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), and failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs [TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2)].
5. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

Respondent no longer operates the Facility as of August 20, 2011.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: December 9, 2011
Date Answer(s) Filed: December 12, 2011
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: December 28, 2011

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorneys: Kari L. Gilbreth, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400
Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Rajesh Acharya, Waste Enforcement Section, (512) 239- 0577

TCEQ Regional Contact: Frank Bureson, Waco Regional Office, (254) 761-3001

Respondent: Suny Singh, 1612 South Day Street, Brenham, Texas 77833

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	27-Jun-2011	Screening	27-Jun-2011	EPA Due	
	PCW	25-Oct-2011				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION						
Respondent	Sunny Singh dba Center Drive In 2					
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN101676856					
Facility/Site Region	9-Waco	Major/Minor Source	Minor			

CASE INFORMATION						
Enf./Case ID No.	41962	No. of Violations	5			
Docket No.	2011-1078-PST-E	Order Type	1660			
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No			
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Rajesh Acharya			
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6			
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit	Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000		

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$8,500
---	-------------------	----------------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$0
---------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------	------------

Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.
--------------	---------------------------------------

Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	-----------	-------------------------	-------------------	------------

Notes	The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.
--------------	--

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$0
--	-------------------	------------

Economic Benefit	0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------	------------

Total EB Amounts	\$398	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$3,818	

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$8,500
-----------------------------	-----------------------	----------------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	2.8%	Adjustment	\$242
---	-------------	-------------------	--------------

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes	Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with violation nos. 1 and 4.
--------------	---

Final Penalty Amount	\$8,742
-----------------------------	----------------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$8,742
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------

DEFERRAL	0.0% Reduction	Adjustment	\$0
-----------------	-----------------------	-------------------	------------

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes	Deferral not offered for non-expedited settlement.
--------------	--

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$8,742
------------------------	----------------

Screening Date 27-Jun-2011

Docket No. 2011-1078-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Suny Singh dba Center Drive In 2

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41962

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101676856

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2)

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

No adjustment for compliance history.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)

Screening Date 27-Jun-2011

Docket No. 2011-1078-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Suny Singh dba Center Drive In 2

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41962

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101676856

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)

Violation Description Failed to timely renew a previously issued underground storage tank ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on October 31, 2009.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Table with columns: Release (Actual, Potential), Harm (Major, Moderate, Minor), Percent (0%).

>> Programmatic Matrix

Table with columns: Falsification, Major, Moderate, Minor, Percent (10%). Matrix Notes: 100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 2, 593 Number of violation days

Table for frequency: daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, semiannual, annual (marked with x), single event.

Violation Base Penalty \$2,000

Two annual events are recommended.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction \$0

Table for Good Faith Efforts: Extraordinary, Ordinary, N/A (marked with x).

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$2,000

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$116

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,057

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,057

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Suny Singh dba Center Drive In 2
Case ID No. 41962
Req. Ent. Reference No. RN101676856
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	16-Jun-2011	16-Feb-2012	0.67	\$3	n/a	\$3

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to renew a delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form. Date Required is the record review date. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$100	1-Oct-2009	16-Jun-2011	2.62	\$13	\$100	\$113
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated avoided cost (\$100 per full year) to accurately prepare and submit an updated UST registration and obtain a TCEQ delivery certificate. Date Required is 30 days prior to the expiration date of the delivery certificate. Final Date is the record review date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$200

TOTAL

\$116

Screening Date 27-Jun-2011

Docket No. 2011-1078-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Suny Singh dba Center Drive In 2

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41962

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101676856

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3467(a)

Violation Description Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs. Specifically, the Respondent accepted one fuel delivery without a delivery certificate.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				5%
Potential			x	

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
				0%

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$9,500

\$500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days 1

mark only one with an x	daily		Violation Base Penalty \$500
	weekly		
	monthly		
	quarterly		
	semiannual		
	annual		
	single event	x	

One single event is recommended for accepting one delivery of fuel.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction \$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark w th x)

Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$500

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$0 Violation Final Penalty Total \$514

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$514

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Suny Singh dba Center Drive In 2
Case ID No. 41962
Req. Ent. Reference No. RN101676856
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Economic benefit included in violation no. 1.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$0

TOTAL

\$0

Screening Date 27-Jun-2011

Docket No. 2011-1078-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Suny Singh dba Center Drive In 2

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41962

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101676856

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Violation Number 3

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)

Violation Description Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system. Specifically, the rectifier was not functioning.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Table with columns: Release (Actual, Potential), Harm (Major, Moderate, Minor), Percent (25%).

>> Programmatic Matrix

Table with columns: Falsification, Major, Moderate, Minor, Percent (0%).

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$7,500

\$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 11 Number of violation days

Table with columns: Frequency (daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, semiannual, annual, single event), mark only one with an x.

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the June 16, 2011 record review to the June 27, 2011 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction \$0

Table with columns: Extraordinary, Ordinary, N/A, Before NOV, NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer.

Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$2,500

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$79

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,571

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,571

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Suny Singh dba Center Drive In 2
Case ID No. 41962
Req. Ent. Reference No. RN101676856
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment	\$1,500	16-Jun-2011	16-Mar-2012	0.75	\$4	\$75	\$79
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to repair or replace the rectifier and test the corrosion protection system. Date Required is the record review date. Final Date is the estimated compliance date.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,500

TOTAL

\$79

Screening Date 27-Jun-2011

Docket No. 2011-1078-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Suny Singh dba Center Drive In 2

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41962

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101676856

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Violation Number 4

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2), and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)

Violation Description

Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Also, failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual				25%
	Potential	x			

>> Programmatic Matrix

Matrix Notes	Falsification	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
					0%

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$7,500

\$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 11 Number of violation days

daily	
weekly	
monthly	x
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

mark only one with an x

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the June 16, 2011 record review to the June 27, 2011 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction \$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark w th x)

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$2,500

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$186

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,571

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,571

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Suny Singh dba Center Drive In 2
Case ID No. 41962
Req. Ent. Reference No. RN101676856
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 4

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1 500	16-Jun-2011	16-Mar-2012	0.75	\$56	n/a	\$56

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to monitor the USTs for releases. Date Required is the record review date. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$118	16-Jun-2010	16-Jun-2011	1.92	\$11	\$118	\$129
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated avoided cost for conducting the piping tightness test. Date Required is one year prior to the record review date. Final Date is the record review date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,618

TOTAL

\$186

Screening Date 27-Jun-2011

Docket No. 2011-1078-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Suny Singh dba Center Drive In 2

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 41962

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101676856

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Violation Number 5

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)

Violation Description Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Table with columns: Release (Actual, Potential), Harm (Major, Moderate, Minor), Percent (0%).

>> Programmatic Matrix

Table with columns: Falsification, Major, Moderate, Minor, Percent (10%).

Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Table for violation events including frequency (daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, semiannual, annual, single event) and number of violation days (1, 11).

One single event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the June 16, 2011 record review.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction \$0

Table for Good Faith Efforts to Comply with columns: Extraordinary, Ordinary, N/A, Before NOV, NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer.

Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$1,000

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$17 Violation Final Penalty Total \$1,028

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$1,028

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Suny Singh dba Center Drive In 2
Case ID No. 41962
Req. Ent. Reference No. RN101676856
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 5

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	16-Jun-2011	16-Feb-2012	0.67	\$17	n/a	\$17
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to maintain UST records. Date Required is the record review date. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$500

TOTAL

\$17

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN603570847 SINGH, SUNY	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.01
Regulated Entity:	RN101676856 CENTER DRIVE IN 2	Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Site Rating: 3.01
ID Number(s):	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION	REGISTRATION	21147
Location:	1612 S DAY ST, BRENHAM, TX, 77833		
TCEQ Region:	REGION 09 - WACO		
Date Compliance History Prepared:	August 03, 2011		
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement		
Compliance Period:	August 03, 2006 to August 03, 2011		

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Rajesh Acharya Phone: (512) 239-0577

Site Compliance History Components

- | | |
|--|------------|
| 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? | Yes |
| 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? | No |
| 3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator? | <u>N/A</u> |
| 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? | <u>N/A</u> |
| 5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? | <u>N/A</u> |
| 6. Rating Date: 9/1/2010 Repeat Violator: | NO |

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
 - B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
 - C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A
 - D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
06/16/2011 (901905)
 - E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
N/A
 - F. Environmental audits.
N/A
 - G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
N/A
 - H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.
N/A
 - I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.
N/A
 - J. Early compliance.
N/A
- Sites Outside of Texas
N/A

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
SUNY SINGH D/B/A CENTER
DRIVE IN 2;
RN101676856**

§
§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER

DOCKET NO. 2011-1078-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _____, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties (the "Agreed Order"), resolving an enforcement action regarding Suny Singh d/b/a Center Drive In 2 ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division, and Respondent together stipulate that:

1. Respondent operated, as defined in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.2(70), an underground storage tank ("UST") system and a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 1612 South Day Street in Brenham, Washington County, Texas (the "Facility"). The USTs at the Facility are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission, and contain a regulated petroleum substance as defined in the rules of the TCEQ.
2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 5.013 because it alleges violations of TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26 and TCEQ rules.
3. The Executive Director and Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
5. An administrative penalty in the amount of eight thousand seven hundred forty-two dollars (\$8,742.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II. Respondent paid three hundred forty-two dollars (\$342.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of eight thousand four hundred dollars (\$8,400.00) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in thirty-five (35) monthly payments of two hundred forty dollars (\$240.00) each. The first monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment. If Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the

Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, Respondent's failure to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.

6. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
7. The Executive Director and Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters addressed in this Agreed Order, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
8. The Executive Director recognizes that Respondent no longer operates the Facility as of August 20, 2011.
9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable, and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

1. During an investigation conducted on December 28, 2010, and a record review conducted on June 16, 2011, by a University of Texas at Arlington investigator, it was documented that Respondent violated the following requirements:
 - a. Failed to timely renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii). Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on October 31, 2009;
 - b. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs, in violation of TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i). Specifically, Respondent accepted one fuel delivery without a delivery certificate;
 - c. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1). Specifically, the rectifier was not functioning;

- d. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), and failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs, in violation of TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2). Specifically, Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test; and
 - e. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b).
2. Respondent received notice of the alleged violations on or about June 21, 2011.

III. DENIALS

Respondent generally denies each Allegation in Section II.

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 5, above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the Allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from considering or requiring corrective actions or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Suny Singh d/b/a Center Drive In 2, Docket No. 2011-1078-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088
2. All relief not expressly granted in this Agreed Order is denied.
3. The duties and provisions imposed by this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent.
4. If Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions

- by Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission" "owner" "person" "writing" and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
 8. Pursuant to 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b) and TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand delivery of this Agreed Order to Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails a copy of the fully executed Agreed Order to Respondent, whichever is earlier.

Suny Singh d/b/a Center Drive In 2
Docket No. 2011-1078-PST-E
Page 5

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission



For the Executive Director

2/2/2012

Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I represent that I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of Suny Singh d/b/a Center Drive In 2, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, I understand that any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.



Signature - Suny Singh

12-28-11

Date