

Kawa Tahasalih d/b/a Jupiter Fina

RN102319266

Docket No. 2012-0794-PST-E

Order Type:

Default Shutdown Order

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

3080 South Jupiter Road, Garland, Dallas County

Type of Operation:

convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions:	None
Past-Due Penalties:	None
Past-Due Fees:	None
Other:	None
Interested Third-Parties:	None

Texas Register Publication Date:	March 29, 2013
---	----------------

Comments Received:	None
---------------------------	------

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed:	\$6,246
--------------------------------	---------

Total Paid to General Revenue:	\$0
---------------------------------------	-----

Total Due to General Revenue:	\$6,246
--------------------------------------	---------

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN – Average
Site/RN – Average by Default

Major Source:	No
----------------------	----

Statutory Limit Adjustment:	None
------------------------------------	------

Applicable Penalty Policy:	September 2002
-----------------------------------	----------------

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s):	N/A
---------------------------	-----

Date(s) of Investigation:	April 3, 2012; July 21, 2011
----------------------------------	------------------------------

Date(s) of NOV(s):	N/A
---------------------------	-----

Date(s) of NOE(s):	April 3, 2012
---------------------------	---------------

Kawa Tahasalih d/b/a Jupiter Fina**RN102319266****Docket No. 2012-0794-PST-E****Violation Information**

1. Failed to renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)].
2. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a)].
3. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), and failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)].
4. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements**Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

None

Technical Requirements:

1. Immediately shut down operations of all USTs at the Facility:
 - a. Cease dispensing fuel from the USTs;
 - b. Cease receiving deliveries of regulated substances into the USTs;
 - c. Padlock the dispensers;
 - d. Empty the USTs of all regulated substances; and
 - e. Temporarily remove the USTs from service.
2. The USTs shall remain out of service until such time as Respondent demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Executive Director that the release detection violations have been corrected.
3. The Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate is revoked immediately. Respondent may submit an application for a new fuel delivery certificate only after Respondent has complied with all of the requirements.
4. Immediately upon the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall cease accepting fuel until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained from the TCEQ.
5. Within 10 days, Respondent shall surrender the Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate.
6. Within 15 days, submit a detailed written report documenting the steps taken to comply with Technical Requirements Nos. 1, 4 and 5.
7. Prior to receiving deliveries of gasoline and resuming sales of gasoline:
 - a. Begin maintaining UST records and ensure that they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel;
 - b. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility and conduct the annual piping tightness test; and
 - c. Obtain a new fuel delivery certificate.
8. Upon obtaining a new fuel delivery certificate, post the fuel delivery certificate in a location at the Facility where the delivery certificate is clearly visible at all times.
9. Within 10 days of resuming sales of gasoline, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with Technical Requirements Nos. 7 and 8.

Kawa Tahasalih d/b/a Jupiter Fina

RN102319266

Docket No. 2012-0794-PST-E

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: December 6, 2012;

Date Green Card(s) Signed: December 8, 2012

Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorneys: Jacquelyn Boutwell, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400
Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400
Eli Martinez, Public Interest Counsel, (512) 239-6363

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Rajesh Acharya, Enforcement Division, (512)239-0577

TCEQ Regional Contact: Sam Barrett, Dallas/ Fort Worth Regional Office, (817) 588-5903

Respondent: Kawa Tajasalih, 3428 Danbury Lane, Plano, Texas 75074

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	9-Apr-2012	Screening	13-Apr-2012	EPA Due	
	PCW	2-Nov-2012				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

Respondent	Kawa Tahasalih dba Jupiter Fina		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102319266		
Facility/Site Region	4-Dallas/Fort Worth	Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION

Enf./Case ID No.	43960	No. of Violations	4
Docket No.	2012-0794-PST-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Rajesh Acharya
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 4
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$6,000
---	-------------------	---------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$0
---------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------	-----

Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.
--------------	---------------------------------------

Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	------------------	-------------------	-----

Notes	The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.
--------------	--

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$0
--	-------------------	-----

Economic Benefit	0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----

Total EB Amounts	\$318	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$2,318	

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$6,000
-----------------------------	-----------------------	---------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	4.1%	Adjustment	\$246
---	------	-------------------	-------

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes	Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with violation nos. 1 and 3.
--------------	---

Final Penalty Amount	\$6,246
-----------------------------	---------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$6,246
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------

DEFERRAL	0.0% Reduction	Adjustment	\$0
-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-----

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes	Deferral not offered for non-expedited settlement.
--------------	--

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$6,246
------------------------	---------

Screening Date 13-Apr-2012

Docket No. 2012-0794-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Kawa Tahasalih dba Jupiter Fina

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 43960

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102319266

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

No adjustment for compliance history.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 0%

Screening Date 13-Apr-2012 **Docket No.** 2012-0794-PST-E **PCW**
Respondent Kawa Tahasalih dba Jupiter Fina *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*
Case ID No. 43960 *PCW Revision October 30, 2008*
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102319266
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)

Violation Description

Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tank ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on August 31, 2010.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent 0%

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
	x		

Percent 10%

Matrix Notes

100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 2 591 Number of violation days

<i>mark only one with an x</i>	daily	
	weekly	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	x
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$2,000

Two annual events are recommended.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction

\$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
	Extraordinary	
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$2,000

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$116

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,082

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,082

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Kawa Tahasalih dba Jupiter Fina
Case ID No. 43960
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102319266
Media Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Cost **Date Required** **Final Date** **Yrs** **Interest Saved** **Onetime Costs** **EB Amount**
Item Description No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Buildings			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Other (as needed)			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Engineering/construction			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Land			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Record Keeping System			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Training/Sampling			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Remediation/Disposal			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Permit Costs	\$100	3-Apr-2012	3-Dec-2012	0.67	\$3	n/a	\$3
Other (as needed)			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0	

Notes for DELAYED costs Estimated cost to accurately prepare and submit an updated UST registration and obtain a current TCEQ delivery certificate. The Date Required is the record review date, and the Final Date is the expected date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Personnel			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Supplies/equipment			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Financial Assurance [2]			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$100	31-Aug-2010	3-Apr-2012	2.51	\$13	\$100	\$113
Other (as needed)			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Notes for AVOIDED costs Estimated avoided cost (\$100 per full year) to accurately prepare and submit an updated UST registration and obtain a current TCEQ delivery certificate. The Date Required is the expiration date of the delivery certificate, and the Final Date is the record review date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$200

TOTAL \$116

Screening Date 13-Apr-2012
Respondent Kawa Tahasalih dba Jupiter Fina
Case ID No. 43960
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102319266
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Docket No. 2012-0794-PST-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Violation Number

Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3467(a)

Violation Description

Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs. Specifically, the Respondent accepted one fuel delivery without a delivery certificate.

Base Penalty

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="x"/>

Percent

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	<input type="text"/>
weekly	<input type="text"/>
monthly	<input type="text"/>
quarterly	<input type="text"/>
semiannual	<input type="text"/>
annual	<input type="text"/>
single event	<input type="text" value="x"/>

Violation Base Penalty

One single event is recommended for accepting one fuel delivery.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

Reduction

	Reduction	
	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Ordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
N/A	<input type="text" value="x"/>	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount

Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Kawa Tahasalih dba Jupiter Fina
Case ID No. 43960
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102319266
Media Violation No. Petroleum Storage Tank
 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Economic benefit included in violation no.1.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$0

TOTAL \$0

Screening Date 13-Apr-2012
Respondent Kawa Tahasalih dba Jupiter Fina
Case ID No. 43960
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102319266
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Docket No. 2012-0794-PST-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Violation Number 3

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)

Violation Description
 Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Also, failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual				25%
	Potential	x			

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
				0%

Matrix Notes
 Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$7,500

\$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 10 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	x
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the April 3, 2012 record review to the April 13, 2012 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction

\$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes
 The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$2,500

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$168

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,603

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,603

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Kawa Tahasalih dba Jupiter Fina
Case ID No. 43960
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102319266
Media Violation No. Petroleum Storage Tank
 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	21-Jul-2011	3-Jan-2012	0.45	\$34	n/a	\$34

Notes for DELAYED costs
 Estimated cost to monitor the USTs for releases. The Date Required is the investigation date, and the Final Date is the expected date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$118	21-Jul-2010	3-Apr-2012	2.62	\$15	\$118	\$133
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs
 Estimated avoided cost to conduct the annual piping tightness test. The Date Required is one year prior to the investigation date, and the Final Date is the record review date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$1,618

TOTAL \$168

Screening Date 13-Apr-2012
Respondent Kawa Tahasalih dba Jupiter Fina
Case ID No. 43960
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102319266
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Rajesh Acharya

Docket No. 2012-0794-PST-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Violation Number

Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)

Violation Description

Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.

Base Penalty

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

Matrix Notes

100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	<input type="text"/>
weekly	<input type="text"/>
monthly	<input type="text"/>
quarterly	<input type="text"/>
semiannual	<input type="text"/>
annual	<input type="text"/>
single event	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>

Violation Base Penalty

One single event is recommended.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

Reduction

	Reduction	
	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Ordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
N/A	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount

Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Kawa Tahasalih dba Jupiter Fina
Case ID No. 43960
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102319266
Media Violation No. 4
Media Petroleum Storage Tank

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Cost **Date Required** **Final Date** **Yrs** **Interest Saved** **Onetime Costs** **EB Amount**
Item Description No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	21-Jul-2011	3-Dec-2012	1.37	\$34	n/a	\$34
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs Estimated cost to maintain UST records. The Date Required is the investigation date, and the Final Date is the expected date of compliance.

Avoided Costs ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$500 **TOTAL** \$34

Compliance History Report

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN603541517	Kawa Tahasalih	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.01
Regulated Entity:	RN102319266	Jupiter Fina	Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Site Rating: 3.01
ID Number(s):	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION		REGISTRATION	5697
Location:	3080 S JUPITER RD, GARLAND, TX, 75041			
TCEQ Region:	REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX			
Date Compliance History Prepared:	April 10, 2012			
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement			
Compliance Period:	April 10, 2007 to April 10, 2012			

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Thane Barkley Phone: (512) 239 - 2552

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? YES
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? YES
3. If **YES**, who is the current owner/operator? TAHASALIH, KAWA
Chevron U.S.A. Inc.
4. If **YES**, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? 3M Properties, Inc.
5. If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? 8/31/2009
6. Rating Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
 - B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
 - C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A
 - D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
1 04/03/2012 (943607)
 - E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
N/A
 - F. Environmental audits.
N/A
 - G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
N/A
 - H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.
N/A
 - I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.
N/A
 - J. Early compliance.
N/A
- Sites Outside of Texas
N/A

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
KAWA TAHASALIH
DBA JUPITER FINA;
RN102319266**

§
§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

DEFAULT AND SHUTDOWN ORDER

DOCKET NO. 2012-0794-PST-E

At its _____ agenda meeting, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered the Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition, filed pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the TCEQ, which requests appropriate relief, including the imposition of an administrative penalty, corrective action of the respondent, and revocation of the facility's fuel delivery certificate. The Commission also considered the Executive Director's Motion requesting the entry of an Order requiring the respondent to shutdown and remove from service the underground storage tanks ("USTs") located at 3080 South Jupiter Road in Garland, Dallas County, Texas. The respondent made the subject of this Order is Kawa Tahasalih d/b/a Jupiter Fina ("Respondent").

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent owns, as defined in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.2(73), a UST system and a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 3080 South Jupiter Road in Garland, Dallas County, Texas (Facility ID No. 5697) (the "Facility"). The USTs at the Facility are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission, and contain a regulated petroleum substance as defined in the rules of the TCEQ.
2. During an investigation conducted on July 21, 2011, and a record review conducted on April 3, 2012, a UT Arlington PST Program investigator documented that Respondent:
 - a. Failed to renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on August 31, 2010;
 - b. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs. Specifically, Respondent accepted one fuel delivery without a delivery certificate;
 - c. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), and failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs by failing to conduct the annual piping tightness test; and

- d. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.
3. By letter dated April 3, 2012, the UT Arlington PST Program provided Respondent with notice of the violations and of TCEQ's authority to shut down and remove from service USTs not in compliance with release detection, spill and/or overflow prevention, corrosion protection, and/or financial assurance requirements if the violations were not corrected.
4. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Kawa Tahasalih d/b/a Jupiter Fina" (the "EDPRP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on December 6, 2012.
5. By letter dated December 6, 2012, sent to Respondent's last known address via certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Respondent with notice of the EDPRP. According to the return receipt "green card," Respondent received notice of the EDPRP on December 8, 2012, as evidenced by the signature on the card.
6. More than 20 days have elapsed since Respondent received notice of the EDPRP. Respondent failed to file an answer and failed to request a hearing.
7. By letter dated February 14, 2013, the Executive Director provided Respondent with notice of TCEQ's intent to order the USTs at the Facility to be shut down and removed from service if Respondent failed to correct the release detection violation within 30 days after Respondent's receipt of the notice.
8. As of the date of entry of this Order, Respondent has not provided the Executive Director with documentation demonstrating that the release detection violation alleged in Finding of Fact No. 2.c. has been corrected.
9. The USTs at the Facility do not have release detection as required by TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2) and may be releasing. Therefore, conditions at the Facility constitute an imminent peril to public health, safety, and welfare.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 1, Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26 and the rules of the Commission.
2. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.a., Respondent failed to renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii).
3. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.b., Respondent failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a).

4. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2.c., Respondent failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), and failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1).
5. As evidence by Finding of Fact No. 2.d., Respondent failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b).
6. As evidenced by Findings of Fact Nos. 4 and 5, the Executive Director timely served Respondent with proper notice of the EDPRP, as required by TEX. WATER CODE § 7.055 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.104(b)(1).
7. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 6, Respondent failed to file a timely answer as required by TEX. WATER CODE § 7.056 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.105. Pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 7.057 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.106, the Commission may enter a Default Order against Respondent and assess the penalty recommended by the Executive Director.
8. Pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against Respondent for violations of state statutes within the Commission's jurisdiction, for violations of rules adopted under such statutes, or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
9. An administrative penalty in the amount of six thousand two hundred forty-six dollars (\$6,246.00) is justified by the facts recited in this Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in TEX. WATER CODE § 7.053.
10. As evidenced by Findings of Fact Nos. 2.c., 3, 7, and 8, Respondent failed to correct documented violations of Commission release detection requirements within 30 days after Respondent received notice of the violations and notice of the Executive Director's intent to shut down the USTs at the Facility.
11. TEX. WATER CODE §§ 26.3475(e) and 26.352(i) authorize the Commission to order a UST owner or operator to shut down a UST system if, within 30 days after receiving notice of the violations, the owner or operator fails to correct violations of Commission regulatory requirements relating to release detection for tanks and/or piping, spill and/or overfill protection for tanks, corrosion protection for tanks and/or piping, and/or acceptable financial assurance.
12. TEX. WATER CODE §§ 5.102 and 7.002 authorize the Commission to issue orders and make determinations necessary to effectuate the purposes of the statutes within its jurisdiction.
13. Pursuant to 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(6), the Commission has authority to revoke the Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate if the Commission finds that good cause exists.
14. Good cause for revocation of the Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate exists as justified by Findings of Fact Nos. 2 and 4 through 6, and Conclusions of Law Nos. 2 through 7.
15. As evidenced by Findings of Fact Nos. 8 and 9, current conditions at the Facility constitute an imminent peril to public health, safety, and welfare. Therefore, pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.144(a)(3), this Order is final and effective on the date rendered.

ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. Immediately upon the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall take the following steps to shut down operations of all USTs at the Facility:
 - a. Cease dispensing fuel from the USTs;
 - b. Cease receiving deliveries of regulated substances into the USTs;
 - c. Padlock the dispensers;
 - d. Empty the USTs of all regulated substances in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.54(d); and
 - e. Temporarily remove the USTs from service in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.54.
2. The USTs at the Facility shall remain out of service, pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(e) and as directed by Ordering Provisions Nos. 1.a. through 1.e., until such time as Respondent demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Executive Director that the release detection violation noted in Conclusion of Law No. 4 has been corrected.
3. If Respondent elects to permanently remove from service any portion of the UST system at the Facility, Respondent shall, immediately upon the effective date of this Order, permanently remove the UST system from service in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.55, and within 15 days after the effective date of this Order, shall submit a written report documenting compliance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.55 to:

Petroleum Storage Tank Registration Team, MC 138
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
4. The Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate is revoked immediately upon the effective date of this Order. Respondent may submit an application for a new fuel delivery certificate only after Respondent has complied with all of the requirements set forth in this Order.
5. Immediately upon the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall cease accepting fuel until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained from the TCEQ in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 334.7 and 334.8.
6. Within 10 days after the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall send the Facility's UST fuel delivery certificate to:

Petroleum Storage Tank Registration Team, MC 138
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
7. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall submit a detailed written report, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 13, below, documenting the steps taken to comply with Ordering Provisions Nos. 1.a. through 1.e., 5 and 6.
8. Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of six thousand two hundred forty-six dollars (\$6,246.00) for violations of state statutes and rules of the TCEQ. The payment of this administrative penalty and Respondent's compliance with

all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order completely resolve the matters set forth by this Order in this action. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations which are not raised here.

9. The administrative penalty assessed by this Order shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order. All checks submitted to pay the penalty imposed by this Order shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Kawa Tahasalih d/b/a Jupiter Fina; Docket No. 2012-0794-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

10. Prior to receiving deliveries of gasoline and resuming retail sales of gasoline, Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
- a. Begin maintaining UST records and ensure that they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10;
 - b. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility and conduct the annual piping tightness test, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and
 - c. Obtain a new fuel delivery certificate from the TCEQ.
11. Upon obtaining a new fuel delivery certificate, Respondent shall post the fuel delivery certificate in a location at the Facility where the delivery certificate is clearly visible at all times, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(iii).
12. Within 10 days of resuming retail sales of gasoline, Respondent shall submit written certification, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 13, below, to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions Nos. 10 and 11.
13. The certifications required by these Ordering Provisions shall be accompanied by detailed supporting documentation, including photographs, receipts, and/or other records, shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public, and shall include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Respondent shall submit the written certifications and supporting documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with these Ordering Provisions to:

Order Compliance Team
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

and:

Sam Barrett, Waste Section Manager
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Dallas/ Fort Worth Regional Office
2309 Gravel Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

14. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
15. The provisions of this Order shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent. Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Order.
16. If Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
17. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
18. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to Respondent if the Executive Director determines that Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
19. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
20. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Order shall be the date this Order was rendered, pursuant to TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.144(a)(3).

S I G N A T U R E P A G E

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

AFFIDAVIT OF JACQUELYN BOUTWELL

STATE OF TEXAS

§
§
§

COUNTY OF TRAVIS

"My name is Jacquelyn Boutwell. I am of sound mind, capable of making this affidavit, and the facts stated in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.


On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of Kawa Tahasalih d/b/a Jupiter Fina" (the "EDPRP") was filed in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on December 6, 2012.

The EDPRP was mailed to Respondent's last known address on December 6, 2012, via certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," Respondent received notice of the EDPRP on December 8, 2012, as evidenced by the signature on the card.

More than 20 days have elapsed since Respondent received notice of the EDPRP. Respondent failed to file an answer and failed to request a hearing.

By letter dated February 14, 2013, sent via first class mail and certified mail, return receipt requested article no. 7004 1350 0002 7549 2504, I provided Respondent with notice of the TCEQ's intent to order the USTs at the Facility be shut down and removed from service if the violation pertaining to release detection was not corrected within 30 days of Respondent's receipt of the letter. According to USPS.com "Track & Confirm" delivery confirmation records, Respondent received notice on February 16, 2013.

As of the date of this affidavit, I am not aware of any evidence that indicates that Respondent has corrected the release detection violation noted during the July 21, 2011, investigation."


Jacquelyn Boutwell, Staff Attorney
Office of Legal Services, Litigation Division
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Jacquelyn Boutwell, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that she executed the same for the purposes and consideration herein expressed.

Given under my hand and seal of office this 13th day of March, A.D. 2013.




Notary Signature