Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45843
MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart
RN101846152
Docket No. 2012-2697-PST-E

Order Type:
1660 Agreed Order
Findings Order Justification:
N/A
Media:
PST
Small Business:
Yes
Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:
MLK Food Mart, 3107 Martin Luther King Drive, San Antonio, Bexar County
Type of Operation:
Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline
Other Significant Matters:
Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No
Past-Due Penalties: No
Other: N/A
Interested Third-Parties: None
Texas Register Publication Date: May 3, 2013
Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: $9,416
Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: $1,883
Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: $0
Total Paid to General Revenue: $7,533
Total Due to General Revenue: $0
Payment Plan: N/A
SEP Conditional Offset: $0
Name of SEP: N/A
Compliance History Classifications:
Person/CN - Unclassified
Site/RN - Unclassified
Major Source: No
Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A
Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45843
MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart
RN101846152
Docket No. 2012-2697-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A
Complaint Information: N/A
Date(s) of Investigation: August 23, 2012
Date(s) of NOE(s): December 5, 2012

Violation Information

1. Failed to have the cathodic protection system inspected and tested for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(c)(4)(C) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d)].

2. Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].

3. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness and line leak detector tests [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a)].

4. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)(1)(B)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to:

a. Immediately, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.

b. Within 30 days:

i. Conduct the triennial testing of the cathodic protection system;

ii. Install and implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility; and

iii. Conduct the annual piping tightness and line leak detector testing for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system at the Facility.

c. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance with Ordering Provisions a. through b.iii.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A
TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Keith Frank, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 7, MC 128, (512) 239-1203; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0412
TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A
Respondent: Jamal Shadouh, Owner, MLK Food Mart, 2553 East Houston Street, San Antonio, Texas 78202
Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) *PCW Revision August 3, 2011*

TCEQ

DATES					
Assigned	10-Dec-2012				
PCW	19-Dec-2012	Screening	17-Dec-2012	EPA Due	

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

Respondent	MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN101846152		
Facility/Site Region	13-San Antonio	Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION

Enf./Case ID No.	45843	No. of Violations	3
Docket No.	2012-2697-PST-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Keith Frank
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 7

Admin. Penalty $ Limit Minimum $0 Maximum $25,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) *Subtotal 1* $8,750

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History 0.0% Enhancement *Subtotals 2, 3, & 7* $0

Notes: No adjustment for compliance history.

Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement *Subtotal 4* $0

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments *Subtotal 5* $0

Economic Benefit 0.0% Enhancement* *Subtotal 6* $0

Total EB Amounts $770
Approx. Cost of Compliance $2,618

**Capped at the Total EB $ Amount*

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 *Final Subtotal* $8,750

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 7.6% *Adjustment* $666

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes: Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with violation nos. 1 and 2.

Final Penalty Amount $9,416

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT *Final Assessed Penalty* $9,416

DEFERRAL 20.0% Reduction *Adjustment* -$1,883

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. *(Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)*

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY $7,533

Screening Date 17-Dec-2012 **Docket No.** 2012-2697-PST-E

PCW

Respondent MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

Case ID No. 45843

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101846152

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History *Site* Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (*number of NOVs meeting criteria*)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (*number of orders meeting criteria*)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (*number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria*)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (*number of counts*)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (*number of events*)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 *(number of audits for which notices were submitted)*	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (*number of audits for which violations were disclosed*)	0	0%

		Please Enter Yes or No	
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Unclassified

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

No adjustment for compliance history.

Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 0%

>> Final Compliance History Adjustment

Final Adjustment Percentage ****capped at 100%*** 0%

Screening Date 17-Dec-2012 **Docket No.** 2012-2697-PST-E **PCW**

Respondent MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart *Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)*

Case ID No. 45843 *PCW Revision August 3, 2011*

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101846152

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(c)(4)(C) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)

Violation Description Failed to have the cathodic protection system inspected and tested for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years.

Base Penalty $25,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

Release	Harm: Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential	x		

OR

Percent 15.0%

>>Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0.0%

Matrix Notes: Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment $21,250

$3,750

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 133 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	x

Violation Base Penalty $3,750

One single event is recommended for the period preceding the August 23, 2012 investigation.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction $0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal $3,750

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Estimated EB Amount $539

Statutory Limit Test

Violation Final Penalty Total $4,035

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) $4,035

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart
Case ID No. 45843
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101846152
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description (No commas or $)	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Buildings				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Land				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Permit Costs				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Avoided Costs (ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs))							
Disposal				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Personnel				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	$0	$0	$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	$500	12-Apr-2012	5-Dec-2012	1.57	$39	$500	$539
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	$0	$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Notes for AVOIDED costs Estimated avoided cost for conducting the triennial testing of the cathodic protection system. Date Required is the date of ownership. Final Date is the record review date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance $500

TOTAL $539

Screening Date 17-Dec-2012 **Docket No.** 2012-2697-PST-E **PCW**

Respondent MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart *Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)*

Case ID No. 45843 *PCW Revision August 3, 2011*

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101846152

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)

Violation Description Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Also, failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness and line leak detector tests.

Base Penalty $25,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm: Major	Moderate	Minor
	Actual			
	Potential	x		

Percent 15.0%

>>Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0.0%

Matrix Notes: Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment $21,250

$3,750

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 | 12 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	x
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

Violation Base Penalty $3,750

One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the December 5, 2012 record review to the December 17, 2012 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction $0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal $3,750

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Estimated EB Amount $205

Statutory Limit Test

Violation Final Penalty Total $4,035

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) $4,035

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart
Case ID No. 45843
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101846152
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost (No commas or $)	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Buildings				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Land				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Permit Costs				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Other (as needed)	$1,500	23-Aug-2012	6-Sep-2013	1.04	$78	n/a	$78

Notes for DELAYED costs Estimated cost to provide release detection for the USTs. Date Required is the investigation date. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Personnel				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	$0	$0	$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	$118	12-Apr-2012	5-Dec-2012	1.57	$9	$118	$127
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	$0	$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs Estimated avoided cost for conducting the annual piping and line leak detector testing. Date Required is the date of ownership. Final Date is the record review date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance $1,618

TOTAL $205

Screening Date 17-Dec-2012 **Docket No.** 2012-2697-PST-E **PCW**

Respondent MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart *Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)*

Case ID No. 45843 *PCW Revision August 3, 2011*

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101846152

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank

Violation Number 3

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(1)(B)

Violation Description Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.

Base Penalty $25,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm: Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent 0.0%

>>Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
	x		

Percent 5.0%

Matrix Notes: 100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment $23,750

$1,250

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 — 12 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	x

Violation Base Penalty $1,250

One single event is recommended.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction — $0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal $1,250

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Estimated EB Amount $26

Statutory Limit Test

Violation Final Penalty Total $1,345

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) $1,345

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart
Case ID No. 45843
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101846152
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description (No commas or $)	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Buildings				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Land				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Record Keeping System	$500	23-Aug-2012	6-Sep-2013	1.04	$26	n/a	$26
Training/Sampling				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Permit Costs				0.00	$0	n/a	$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	n/a	$0

Notes for DELAYED costs: Estimated cost to maintain UST records. Date Required is the investigation date. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs — ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Personnel				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	$0	$0	$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	$0	$0	$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	$0	$0	$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs:

Approx. Cost of Compliance $500

TOTAL $26

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.
To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.

TCEQ Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN604117622, RN101846152, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, or Owner/Operator: CN604117622, MLK Retail Corporation **Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED **Rating:** -----

Regulated Entity: RN101846152, MLK Food Mart **Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED **Rating:** -----

Complexity Points: 3 **Repeat Violator:** NO

CH Group: 14 - Other

Location: 3107 MARTIN LUTHER KING DR SAN ANTONIO, TX 78220-3145, BEXAR COUNTY

TCEQ Region: REGION 13 - SAN ANTONIO

ID Number(s):
PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION
REGISTRATION 23023

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012 **Rating Year:** 2012 **Rating Date:** 09/01/2012

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: December 17, 2012

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: December 17, 2007 to December 17, 2012

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Keith Frank **Phone:** (512) 239-1203

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? YES
2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? YES
3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator? MLK Retail Corporation OWNER OPERATOR since 4/12/2012
4) If **YES** for #2, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? SHADOUH, JAMAL, OWNER OPERATOR, 4/9/2012 to 4/11/2012
T & R FOOD INC, OWNER OPERATOR, 3/5/2009 to 4/8/2012
R & R CORPORATION, OWNER OPERATOR, 5/16/2007 to 3/4/2009
5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? 4/12/2012

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:
N/A

B. Criminal convictions:
N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:
N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):
N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

IN THE MATTER OF AN	**§**	**BEFORE THE**
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	**§**	
CONCERNING	**§**	**TEXAS COMMISSION ON**
MLK RETAIL CORPORATION	**§**	
DBA MLK FOOD MART	**§**	
RN101846152	**§**	**ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER
DOCKET NO. 2012-2697-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _____, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 3107 Martin Luther King Drive in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas (the "Facility").

2. The Respondent's four underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.

3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about December 10, 2012.

5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Nine Thousand Four Hundred Sixteen Dollars ($9,416) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Seven Thousand Five Hundred

Thirty-Three Dollars ($7,533) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty-Three Dollars ($1,883) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.

8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).

9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.

10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.

11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to have the cathodic protection system inspected and tested for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(c)(4)(C) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 23, 2012 and a record review conducted on December 5, 2012.

2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 23, 2012 and a record review conducted on December 5, 2012.

3. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 23, 2012 and a record review conducted on December 5, 2012. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness and line leak detector tests.

4. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)(1)(B), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 23, 2012 and a record review conducted on December 5, 2012.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart, Docket No. 2012-2697-PST-E" to:

   Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section
   Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
   Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
   P.O. Box 13088
   Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:

   a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10.

   b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:

      i. Conduct the triennial testing of the cathodic protection system, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49;

      ii. Install and implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and

      iii. Conduct the annual piping tightness and line leak detector testing for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system at the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50.

   c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance

with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. through 2.b.iii. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager
San Antonio Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
14250 Judson Road
San Antonio, Texas 78233-4480

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.

4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.

8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

_____
For the Commission

_____ 5/9/13
For the Executive Director Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

_____ march-21-13
Signature Date

Jamal Shadaul _____
Name (Printed or typed) Title
Authorized Representative of
MLK Retail Corporation dba MLK Food Mart

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.