

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – ENFORCEMENT MATTER – CASE No. 45009
TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC. d/b/a Supertrack Store
RN102849379
Docket No. 2012-1730-PST-E

Order Type:

Agreed Order

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

2020 E. Pioneer Parkway, Arlington, Tarrant County

Type of Operation:

convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions:	None
Past-Due Penalties:	None
Past-Due Fees:	None
Other:	None
Interested Third-Parties:	None

Texas Register Publication Date: April 26, 2013

Comments Received: None

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$16,783

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$508

Total Due to General Revenue: \$16,275

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$465 each

SEP Conditional Offset: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN – Satisfactory
Site/RN – Satisfactory

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: None

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: June 19, 2012

Date(s) of NOV(s): N/A

Date(s) of NOE(s): August 16, 2012

Violation Information

1. Failed to provide proper release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the underground storage tanks (“USTs”) [TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and (b)(2)(A)(i)(III)].
2. Failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least once each month, in a manner sufficiently accurate to detect a release which equals or exceeds the sum of 1.0% of the total substance flow-through for the month plus 130 gallons [TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(d)(1)(B)(ii)].
3. Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months [TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.245(2)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

1. Conducted the required annual piping tightness and line leak detector test, with passing results; and
2. Conducted the required annual testing of the Stage II equipment, with passing results.

Technical Requirements:

1. Within 30 days, begin conducting reconciliation of inventory control records; and
2. Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: December 20, 2012
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
Settlement Date: April 2, 2013

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorneys: Phillip M. Goodwin, P.G., Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400
Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400
James Murphy, Public Interest Counsel, (512) 239-6363
TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Keith Frank, Enforcement Division, (512) 239- 1203
TCEQ Regional Contact: Sam Barrett, Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office, (817) 588-5903
Respondent: Miasar Hijaz, Director, TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC., 2020 East Pioneer Parkway,
Suite 200, Arlington, Texas 76010-6806
Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	20-Aug-2012		
	PCW	10-Dec-2012	Screening	4-Sep-2012
			EPA Due	

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

Respondent	TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC. dba Supertrack Store		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102849379		
Facility/Site Region	4-Dallas/Fort Worth	Major/Minor Source	Major

CASE INFORMATION

Enf./Case ID No.	45009	No. of Violations	2
Docket No.	2012-1730-PST-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Keith Frank
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 7
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$25,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$15,000
---	-------------------	----------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History	20.0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$3,000
---------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	---------

Notes	Enhancement for one previous order with denial of liability.
--------------	--

Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	------------------	-------------------	-----

Notes	The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.
--------------	--

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$1,875
--	-------------------	---------

Economic Benefit	0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----

Total EB Amounts	\$726	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$2,118	

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$16,125
-----------------------------	-----------------------	----------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	4.1%	Adjustment	\$658
---	------	-------------------	-------

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes	Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance associated with violation nos. 1 and 2.
--------------	---

Final Penalty Amount	\$16,783
-----------------------------	----------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$16,783
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------

DEFERRAL	0.0% Reduction	Adjustment	\$0
-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-----

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes	Deferral not offered for non-expedited settlement.
--------------	--

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$16,783
------------------------	----------

Screening Date 4-Sep-2012

Docket No. 2012-1730-PST-E

PCW

Respondent TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC. dba Supertrack Store

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

Case ID No. 45009

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102849379

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	1	20%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 20%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Satisfactory

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

Enhancement for one previous order with denial of liability.

Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 20%

>> Final Compliance History Adjustment

Final Adjustment Percentage *capped at 100% 20%

Screening Date 4-Sep-2012

Docket No. 2012-1730-PST-E

PCW

Respondent TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC. dba Supertrack Store

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

Case ID No. 45009

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102849379

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2), (b)(2)(A)(i)(III), and (d)(1)(B)(ii) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)

Violation Description

Failed to provide proper release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the underground storage tanks ("USTs"). Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test. Failed to test the line leak detectors at least once per year for performance and operational reliability. Also, failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least once each month, in a manner sufficiently accurate to detect a release which equals or exceeds the sum of 1.0% of the total substance flow-through for the month plus 130 gallons.

Base Penalty \$25,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential	x		

Percent 30.0%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0.0%

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$17,500

\$7,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

77 Number of violation days

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	x
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

mark only one with an x

Violation Base Penalty \$7,500

One quarterly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the June 19, 2012 investigation to the September 4, 2012 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction

\$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$7,500

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$194

Violation Final Penalty Total \$9,367

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$9,367

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC. dba Supertrack Store
Case ID No. 45009
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102849379
Media Violation No. 1
Media Petroleum Storage Tank

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	19-Jun-2012	17-May-2013	0.91	\$68	n/a	\$68

Notes for DELAYED costs Estimated cost to provide release detection for the USTs including monthly reconciliation of inventory control records. Date Required is the investigation date. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$118	1-Mar-2012	8-Jul-2012	1.27	\$8	\$118	\$126
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs Estimated avoided cost for conducting the annual piping tightness and line leak detector tests. Date Required is the date of operator change. Final Date is the date of compliance.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$1,618

TOTAL \$194

Screening Date 4-Sep-2012

Docket No. 2012-1730-PST-E

PCW

Respondent TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC. dba Supertrack Store

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

Case ID No. 45009

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102849379

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.245(2) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b)

Violation Description Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months.

Base Penalty \$25,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential	x		

Percent 30.0%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0.0%

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$17,500

\$7,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

129 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	x
single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$7,500

One annual event is recommended for the period preceding the June 19, 2012 investigation.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction

\$1,875

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	x	
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent came into compliance on July 8, 2012, prior to the Notice of Enforcement dated August 16, 2012.

Violation Subtotal \$5,625

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$532

Violation Final Penalty Total \$7,416

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$7,416

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC. dba Supertrack Store
Case ID No. 45009
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102849379
Media Violation No. Petroleum Storage Tank
 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
------------------	-----------	---------------	------------	-----	----------------	---------------	-----------

No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$500	1-Mar-2012	8-Jul-2012	1.27	\$32	\$500	\$532
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated avoided cost of conducting annual testing of the Stage II equipment. Date Required is the date of operator change. Final Date is the date of compliance.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$500

TOTAL \$532

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC.
D/B/A SUPERTRACK STORE;
RN102849379**

§
§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER

DOCKET NO. 2012-1730-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _____, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties (the "Agreed Order"), resolving an enforcement action regarding TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC. d/b/a Supertrack Store ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division, and Respondent together stipulate that:

1. Respondent operates, as defined in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.2(70), an underground storage tank ("UST") system and a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 2020 E. Pioneer Parkway in Arlington, Tarrant County, Texas (Facility ID No. 75291) (the "Station"). The USTs at the Station are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission, and contain a regulated petroleum substance as defined in the rules of the TCEQ. The Station consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 5.013 because it alleges violations of TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26, TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382, and TCEQ rules.
3. The Executive Director and Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
5. An administrative penalty in the amount of sixteen thousand seven hundred eighty-three dollars (\$16,783.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II. Respondent paid five hundred eight dollars (\$508.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of sixteen thousand two hundred seventy-five dollars (\$16,275.00) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in thirty-five (35) monthly payments of four hundred sixty-five dollars (\$465.00) each. The first monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall be paid not later

than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment. If Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, Respondent's failure to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.

6. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
7. The Executive Director and Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters addressed in this Agreed Order, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
8. The Executive Director recognizes that Respondent implemented the following corrective measures at the Station:
 - a. Conducted the required annual piping tightness and line leak detector testing on July 8, 2012, with passing results; and
 - b. Conducted the required annual testing of the Stage II equipment on July 8, 2012, with passing results.
9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions contained in this Agreed Order.
10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable, and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

1. During an investigation conducted on June 19, 2012, a TCEQ Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office investigator documented that Respondent:
 - a. Failed to provide proper release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the USTs, in violation of TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and (b)(2)(A)(i)(III). Specifically, Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test and did not test the line leak detectors at least once per year for performance and operational reliability;
 - b. Failed to conduct reconciliation of detailed inventory control records at least once each month, in a manner sufficiently accurate to detect a release which

equals or exceeds the sum of 1.0% of the total substance flow-through for the month plus 130 gallons, in violation of TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(d)(1)(B)(ii); and

- c. Failed to verify proper operation of the Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months, in violation of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b) and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.245(2).
2. Respondent received notice of the violations on or about August 21, 2012.

III. DENIALS

Respondent generally denies each Allegation in Section II.

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 5, above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the Allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from considering or requiring corrective actions or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC. d/b/a Supertrack Store, Docket No. 2012-1730-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088
2. Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall begin conducting reconciliation of inventory control records, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be accompanied by detailed supporting documentation, including photographs, receipts, and/or other records, shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public, and shall include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Respondent shall submit the written certification and supporting documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with these Ordering Provisions to:

Order Compliance Team
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

and:

Sam Barrett, Waste Section Manager
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office
2309 Gravel Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

3. All relief not expressly granted in this Agreed Order is denied.
4. The duties and provisions imposed by this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent. Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
5. If Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
8. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all

purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission" "owner" "person" "writing" and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.

9. Pursuant to 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b) and TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand delivery of this Agreed Order to Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails a copy of the fully executed Agreed Order to Respondent, whichever is earlier.

TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC. d/b/a Supertrack Store
Docket No. 2012-1730-PST-E
Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission



For the Executive Director

June 12, 2013
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I represent that I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC. d/b/a Supertrack Store, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, I understand that any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.


Signature - Miasar Hijazi, Director
TEXAS SUPERTRACK, INC.

2-7-13
Date