

Jaspal Singh Grewal
RN101556728
Docket No. 2011-1298-PST-E

Order Type:

Agreed Order

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

220 Farm-to-Market Road 1417, Sherman, Grayson County

Type of Operation:

underground storage tank ("UST") system and a truck stop

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions:	None
Past-Due Penalties:	None
Past-Due Fees:	None
Other:	None
Interested Third-Parties:	None

Texas Register Publication Date:	May 24, 2013
---	--------------

Comments Received:	None
---------------------------	------

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed:	\$15,634
--------------------------------	----------

Total Paid to General Revenue:	\$444
---------------------------------------	-------

Total Due to General Revenue:	\$15,190
--------------------------------------	----------

Payment Plan:	35 payments of \$434 each
---------------	---------------------------

SEP Conditional Offset:	N/A
--------------------------------	-----

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN –Average	
Site/RN –Average by Default	

Major Source:	No
----------------------	----

Statutory Limit Adjustment:	None
------------------------------------	------

Applicable Penalty Policy:	September 2002
-----------------------------------	----------------

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s):	N/A
---------------------------	-----

Date(s) of Investigation:	June 29, 2010 and July 21, 2011
----------------------------------	---------------------------------

Date(s) of NOV(s):	N/A
---------------------------	-----

Date(s) of NOE(s):	July 21, 2011
---------------------------	---------------

Jaspal Singh Grewal
RN101556728
Docket No. 2011-1298-PST-E

Violation Information

1. Failed to renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)].
2. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a)].
3. Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum USTs [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 37.815(a) and (b)].
4. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1), and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d)].
5. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), and failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the UST system by failing to conduct the annual piping tightness test [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2), and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Technical Requirements:

1. Immediately cease accepting fuel until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained.
2. Within 30 days:
 - a. Obtain acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of the petroleum USTs;
 - b. Install a corrosion protection system and test the system;
 - c. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility; and
 - d. Conduct the annual piping tightness test.
3. Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: February 24, 2012; April 5, 2012; May 18, 2012

Date Answer(s) Filed: April 13, 2012

SOAH Referral Date: July 5, 2012

Hearing Date(s):

Preliminary hearing: October 4, 2012

Evidentiary hearing: February 12, 2013 (scheduled, abated)

Settlement Date: April 24, 2013

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorneys: Jennifer Cook, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400
Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400
Scott Humphrey, Public Interest Counsel, (512) 239-6363

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Cheryl Thompson, Enforcement Division, (817) 588-5800

TCEQ Regional Contact: Sam Barrett, Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office, (817) 588-5800

Respondent: Jaspal Singh Grewal, 4701 East 14th Street #17109, Plano, Texas 75074

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	25-Jul-2011	Screening	27-Jul-2011	EPA Due	
	PCW	1-Aug-2011				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION	
Respondent	Jaspal Singh Grewal
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN101556728
Facility/Site Region	4-Dallas/Fort Worth
Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION			
Enf./Case ID No.	42158	No. of Violations	5
Docket No.	2011-1298-PST-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Charlie Lockwood
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$12,500
---	-------------------	----------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1		
Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.		
Compliance History	0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$0

Notes: No adjustment for compliance history.

Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	------------------	-------------------	-----

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$0
--	-------------------	-----

Economic Benefit	0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----

Total EB Amounts	\$3,477	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$10,558	

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$12,500
-----------------------------	-----------------------	----------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	25.1%	Adjustment	\$3,134
---	-------	-------------------	---------

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes: Recommended enhancement for the avoided cost of compliance associated with violation nos. 1, 3 and 5.

Final Penalty Amount	\$15,634
-----------------------------	----------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$15,634
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------

DEFERRAL		Reduction	Adjustment	\$0
-----------------	--	-----------	-------------------	-----

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral not offered for non-expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$15,634
------------------------	----------

Screening Date 27-Jul-2011

Docket No. 2011-1298-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Jaspal Singh Grewal

Case ID No. 42158

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101556728

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Charlie Lockwood

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

No adjustment for compliance history.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 0%

Screening Date 27-Jul-2011 **Docket No.** 2011-1298-PST-E **PCW**
Respondent Jaspal Singh Grewal *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*
Case ID No. 42158 *PCW Revision October 30, 2008*
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101556728
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Charlie Lockwood

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)

Violation Description

Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tank ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on March 31, 2009.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent 0%

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
	x		

Percent 10%

Matrix Notes

100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 3 848 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	x
single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$3,000

Three annual events are recommended for the time period from the March 31, 2009 expiration date to the July 27, 2011 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction

\$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
	Extraordinary	
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$3,000

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$236

Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,752

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$3,752

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Jaspal Singh Grewal
Case ID No. 42158
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101556728
Media Violation No. 1
Media Petroleum Storage Tank

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Cost **Date Required** **Final Date** **Yrs** **Interest Saved** **Onetime Costs** **EB Amount**
Item Description No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	21-Jul-2011	27-Mar-2012	0.68	\$3	n/a	\$3

Notes for DELAYED costs
 Estimated cost to renew a delivery certificate by accurately preparing and submitting an updated UST registration and self-certification form. Date Required is the record review date. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$200	1-Mar-2009	21-Jul-2011	3.31	\$33	\$200	\$233
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs
 Estimated avoided cost (\$100 per full year) to accurately prepare and submit an updated UST registration and obtain a delivery certificate. Date Required is 30 days before the expiration date of the delivery certificate. Final Date is the record review date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$300

TOTAL \$236

Screening Date 27-Jul-2011
Respondent Jaspal Singh Grewal
Case ID No. 42158
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101556728
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Charlie Lockwood

Docket No. 2011-1298-PST-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Violation Number

Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3467(a)

Violation Description

Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs. Specifically, one fuel delivery was accepted without a delivery certificate.

Base Penalty

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="x"/>

Percent

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	<input type="text"/>
weekly	<input type="text"/>
monthly	<input type="text"/>
quarterly	<input type="text"/>
semiannual	<input type="text"/>
annual	<input type="text"/>
single event	<input type="text" value="x"/>

Violation Base Penalty

One single event is recommended.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

Reduction

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Ordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
N/A	<input type="text" value="x"/>	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount

Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Jaspal Singh Grewal
Case ID No. 42158
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101556728
Media Violation No. Petroleum Storage Tank
 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Economic benefit included in violation no. 1.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$0

TOTAL \$0

Screening Date 27-Jul-2011
Respondent Jaspal Singh Grewal
Case ID No. 42158
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101556728
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Charlie Lockwood

Docket No. 2011-1298-PST-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Violation Number

Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin. Code § 37.815(a) and (b)

Violation Description

Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum USTs.

Base Penalty

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

Matrix Notes

100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	<input type="text"/>
weekly	<input type="text"/>
monthly	<input type="text"/>
quarterly	<input type="text"/>
semiannual	<input type="text"/>
annual	<input type="text"/>
single event	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>

Violation Base Penalty

Four single events (one event per UST) are recommended.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

Reduction

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Ordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
N/A	<input checked="" type="text" value="x"/>	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount

Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Jaspal Singh Grewal
Case ID No. 42158
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101556728
Media Violation No. Petroleum Storage Tank
 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]	\$2,640	21-Jul-2010	21-Jul-2011	1.00	\$132	\$2,640	\$2,772
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated cost to provide financial assurance for four USTs (\$660 per tank). Date Required is one year prior to the record review date. Final Date is the record review date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$2,640

TOTAL \$2,772

Screening Date 27-Jul-2011
Respondent Jaspal Singh Grewal
Case ID No. 42158
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101556728
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Charlie Lockwood

Docket No. 2011-1298-PST-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Violation Number

Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)

Violation Description

Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system.

Base Penalty

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Potential	<input type="text" value="x"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	<input type="text"/>
weekly	<input type="text"/>
monthly	<input type="text" value="x"/>
quarterly	<input type="text"/>
semiannual	<input type="text"/>
annual	<input type="text"/>
single event	<input type="text"/>

Violation Base Penalty

One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the July 21, 2011 record review date to the July 27, 2011 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

Reduction

	Reduction	
	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Ordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
N/A	<input type="text" value="x"/>	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount

Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Jaspal Singh Grewal
Case ID No. 42158
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101556728
Media Violation No. 4
 Petroleum Storage Tank

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment	\$6,000	21-Jul-2011	27-Mar-2012	0.68	\$14	\$274	\$288
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to install a corrosion protection system and test the system. Date Required is the record review date. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$6,000

TOTAL

\$288

Screening Date 27-Jul-2011
Respondent Jaspal Singh Grewal
Case ID No. 42158
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101556728
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Charlie Lockwood

Docket No. 2011-1298-PST-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Violation Number

Rule Cite(s)

Violation Description

Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring). Also, failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test.

Base Penalty

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Potential	<input type="text" value="x"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Percent

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	<input type="text"/>
weekly	<input type="text"/>
monthly	<input type="text" value="x"/>
quarterly	<input type="text"/>
semiannual	<input type="text"/>
annual	<input type="text"/>
single event	<input type="text"/>

Violation Base Penalty

One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the July 21, 2011 record review date to the July 27, 2011 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

Reduction

	Reduction	
	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Ordinary	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
N/A	<input type="text" value="x"/>	(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount

Violation Final Penalty Total

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Jaspal Singh Grewal
Case ID No. 42158
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101556728
Media Violation No. Petroleum Storage Tank
 5

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	21-Jul-2011	27-Mar-2012	0.68	\$51	n/a	\$51

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to monitor the UST for releases. Date Required is the record review date. Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$118	21-Jul-2010	21-Jul-2011	1.92	\$11	\$118	\$129
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Estimated cost for conducting the annual piping tightness test. Date Required is one year prior to the record review date. Final Date is the record review date.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,618

TOTAL

\$181

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN603739913 Grewal, Jaspal Singh	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.01
Regulated Entity:	RN101556728 Canam Truck Plaza	Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Site Rating: 3.01
ID Number(s):	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION	REGISTRATION	17230
Location:	220 East Farm-to-Market Road 1417, Sherman, Texas 75090		
TCEQ Region:	REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX		
Date Compliance History Prepared:	July 27, 2011		
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement		
Compliance Period:	July 27, 2006 to July 27, 2011		

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Charlie Lockwood Phone: (512) 239-1653

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? Yes
3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator?

OWN	GREWAL, JASPAL SINGH
-----	----------------------
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

OPR	CanAm Truck Plaza, LLC
	Garcha Corporation
	GREWAL, JASPAL SINGH
	Garcha Corporation
5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

11/15/2006
07/01/2008
07/26/2010
6. Rating Date: 9/1/2010 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
- B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A
- C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A
- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1	07/21/2011	(920618)
---	------------	----------
- E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
N/A
- F. Environmental audits.
N/A
- G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
N/A
- H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.
N/A
- I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.
N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
JASPAL SINGH GREWAL;
RN101556728**

§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER

DOCKET NO. 2011-1298-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _____, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties (the "Agreed Order"), resolving an enforcement action regarding Jaspal Singh Grewal ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division, and Respondent together stipulate that:

1. Respondent operates, as defined in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.2(70), an underground storage tank ("UST") system and a truck stop located at 220 East Farm-to-Market Road 1417 in Sherman, Grayson County, Texas (Facility ID No. 17230) (the "Facility"). The USTs at the Facility are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission, and contain a regulated petroleum substance as defined in the rules of the TCEQ.
2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 5.013 because it alleges violations of TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26 and TCEQ rules.
3. The Executive Director and Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
5. An administrative penalty in the amount of fifteen thousand six hundred thirty-four dollars (\$15,634.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II. Respondent paid four hundred forty-four dollars (\$444.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of fifteen thousand one hundred ninety dollars (\$15,190.00) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in thirty-five (35) monthly payments of four hundred thirty-four dollars (\$434.00) each. The first monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment. If Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, Respondent's failure to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.

6. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
7. The Executive Director and Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters addressed in this Agreed Order, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
8. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions contained in this Agreed Order.
9. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
10. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable, and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

1. During an investigation conducted on June 29, 2010, by an EPA PST investigator, and a record review conducted by a UT-Arlington PST investigator (TCEQ Contractor) on July 21, 2011, the investigators documented that Respondent:
 - a. Failed to renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii). Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on March 31, 2009;
 - b. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a). Specifically, one fuel delivery was accepted without a delivery certificate;
 - c. Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 37.815(a) and (b);
 - d. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d); and
 - e. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), and failed to provide release detection for the piping associated with the UST system by failing to conduct the annual piping tightness test, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2), and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1).
2. Respondent received notice of the violations on or about July 26, 2011.

III. DENIALS

Respondent generally denies each Allegation in Section II.

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 5, above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the Allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from considering or requiring corrective actions or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Jaspal Singh Grewal, Docket No. 2011-1298-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088
2. Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall cease accepting fuel until such time as a valid delivery certificate is obtained from the TCEQ by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8;
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall:
 - i. Obtain acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of the petroleum USTs, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 37.815;
 - ii. Install a corrosion protection system and test the system, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49;
 - iii. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and
 - iv. Conduct the annual piping tightness test, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50.
 - c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. The certification shall be accompanied by detailed supporting documentation, including photographs, receipts, and/or other records, shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public, and shall include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Respondent shall submit the written certification and supporting documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with these Ordering Provisions to:

Order Compliance Team
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

and:

Sam Barrett, Waste Section Manager
Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
2309 Gravel Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

3. All relief not expressly granted in this Agreed Order is denied.
4. The duties and provisions imposed by this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent. Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
5. If Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
8. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created,

executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission" "owner" "person" "writing" and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.

9. Pursuant to 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b) and TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand delivery of this Agreed Order to Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails a copy of the fully executed Agreed Order to Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

M. Dweeney
For the Executive Director

July 31, 2013
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I represent that I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of Jaspal Singh Grewal, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, I understand that any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Jaspal Singh Grewal
Signature - Jaspal Singh Grewal

4.24.13
Date