

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
**AGENDA ITEM REQUEST**  
for Rulemaking Adoption

**AGENDA REQUESTED:** August 6, 2014

**DATE OF REQUEST:** July 18, 2014

**INDIVIDUAL TO CONTACT REGARDING CHANGES TO THIS REQUEST, IF NEEDED:** Derek Baxter, (512) 239-2613

**CAPTION: Docket No. 2013-1976-RUL.** Consideration of the adoption of new Section 295.177 of 30 TAC Chapter 295, Water Rights, Procedural and amended Sections 295.13 and 295.155 of Chapter 295 and Section 297.18 of 30 TAC Chapter 297, Water Rights, Substantive.

The adoption would implement House Bill 3233 from the 83rd Legislature, 2013, Regular Session, relating to modifying the requirements of the permitting process for interbasin transfers of surface water. The proposed rules were published in the March 14, 2014, issue of the *Texas Register* (39 TexReg 1860). (Tracie Donnelly, Dinniah Tadema) (Rule Project No. 2013-055-295-OW)

L'Oreal W. Stepney, P.E.  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Deputy Director**

Kellye Rila  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Division Director**

Derek Baxter  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Agenda Coordinator**

**Copy to CCC Secretary? NO YES X**

# Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

## Interoffice Memorandum

**To:** Commissioners

**Date:** July 18, 2014

**Thru:** Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk  
Richard A. Hyde, P.E., Executive Director

**From:** L'Oreal W. Stepney, P.E., Deputy Director  
Office of Water

**Docket No.:** 2013-1976-RUL

**Subject:** Commission Approval for Rulemaking Adoption  
Chapter 295, Water Rights, Procedural  
Chapter 297, Water Rights, Substantive  
HB 3233: Interbasin Transfers of Surface Water  
Rule Project No. 2013-055-295-OW

### **Background and reason(s) for the rulemaking:**

In 2013, the 83rd Legislature passed House Bill (HB) 3233, relating to interbasin transfers of state water. HB 3233 amended Texas Water Code (TWC), §11.085. The commission's procedural rules related to interbasin transfers are in Chapter 295, Water Rights, Procedural, and Chapter 297, Water Rights, Substantive. Rulemaking is required in these two chapters to reflect the changes to the TWC made by HB 3233.

### **Scope of the rulemaking:**

#### **A.) Summary of what the rulemaking will do:**

This rulemaking will implement provisions of HB 3233, which amended TWC, §11.085, to:

- remove the requirement that an application for an interbasin transfer include the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of ratepayers;
- limit consideration of issues in an evidentiary hearing to those requirements included in TWC, §11.085;
- clarify the length of notice publication;
- describe geographic areas exempt from the provisions of TWC, §11.085;
- specify that the benefits to the receiving basin and the detriments to the basin of origin considered in an application for an interbasin transfer be based on the factors described in TWC, §11.085(k); and
- clarify that interbasin transfers based on contracts can be extended as contracts are renewed or extended.

#### **B.) Scope required by federal regulations or state statutes:**

There are no changes required by federal rule. The adopted rulemaking will implement HB 3233.

#### **C.) Additional staff recommendations that are not required by federal rule or state statute:**

Staff has no additional recommendations.

Commissioners

Page 2

July 18, 2014

Re: Docket No. 2013-1976-RUL

**Statutory authority:**

TWC, §§5.102, 5.103, and 5.105

**Effect on the:**

**A.) Regulated community:**

Applicants may experience shorter permitting process as a result of the changes to TWC, §11.085.

**B.) Public:**

The adopted rules are not expected to have any impact on the public.

**C.) Agency programs:**

The adopted rules are not expected to have any impact on agency programs.

**Stakeholder meetings:**

The commission did not hold any stakeholder meetings related to this rulemaking; however, a rule public hearing was held during the comment period on April 10, 2014 in Austin.

**Public comment:**

The commission received one comment that was outside the scope of the rulemaking.

**Significant changes from proposal:**

No significant changes were made from proposal to adoption.

**Potential controversial concerns and legislative interest:**

There are no controversial issues associated with this rulemaking.

**Does this rulemaking affect any current policies or require development of new policies?**

This rulemaking will not affect any current policies or require development of new policies.

**What are the consequences if this rulemaking does not go forward? Are there alternatives to rulemaking?**

If this rulemaking does not go forward, the commission's rules will be inconsistent with statute. There are no alternatives to this rulemaking.

**Key points in the adoption rulemaking schedule:**

***Texas Register* proposal publication date:** March 14, 2014

**Anticipated *Texas Register* adoption publication date:** August 22, 2014

**Anticipated effective date:** August 28, 2014

**Six-month *Texas Register* filing deadline:** September 14, 2014

Commissioners

Page 3

July 18, 2014

Re: Docket No. 2013-1976-RUL

**Agency contacts:**

Tracie Donnelly, Rule Project Manager, (512) 239-0083, Water Availability Division

Dinniah Tadema, Staff Attorney, (512) 239-0617

Derek Baxter, Texas Register Coordinator, (512) 239-2613

**Attachments**

House Bill 3233

cc: Chief Clerk, 2 copies  
Executive Director's Office  
Marshall Coover  
Tucker Royall  
Pattie Burnett  
Office of General Counsel  
Tracie Donnelly  
Derek Baxter

1 AN ACT  
2 relating to interbasin transfers of state water.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

4 SECTION 1. Sections 11.085(b), (e), (g), (l), (n), and (v),  
5 Water Code, are amended to read as follows:

6 (b) The application must include:

7 (1) the contract price of the water to be transferred;

8 (2) a statement of each general category of proposed  
9 use of the water to be transferred and a detailed description of the  
10 proposed uses and users under each category; and

11 (3) the cost of diverting, conveying, distributing,  
12 and supplying the water to, and treating the water for, the proposed  
13 users ~~and~~

14 ~~[(4) the projected effect on user rates and fees for~~  
15 ~~each class of ratepayers].~~

16 (e) In addition to the public meetings required by  
17 Subsection (d) ~~[of this section]~~, if the application is contested  
18 in a manner requiring an evidentiary hearing under the rules of the  
19 ~~commission, the commission shall give notice and hold an~~  
20 evidentiary hearing, in accordance with commission rules and  
21 applicable state law. An evidentiary hearing on an application to  
22 transfer water authorized under an existing water right is limited  
23 to considering issues related to the requirements of this section.

24 (g) The applicant shall cause the notice of application for

1 an interbasin transfer to be published in two different weeks  
2 within a 30-day period [~~once a week for two consecutive weeks~~] in  
3 one or more newspapers having general circulation in each county  
4 located in whole or in part in the basin of origin or the receiving  
5 basin. The published notice may not be smaller than 96.8 square  
6 centimeters or 15 square inches with the shortest dimension at  
7 least 7.6 centimeters or three inches. The notice of application  
8 and public meetings shall be combined in the mailed and published  
9 notices.

10 (1) The commission may grant, in whole or in part, an  
11 application for an interbasin transfer only to the extent that:

12 (1) the detriments to the basin of origin during the  
13 proposed transfer period are less than the benefits to the  
14 receiving basin during the proposed transfer period, as determined  
15 by the commission based on consideration of the factors described  
16 by Subsection (k); and

17 (2) the applicant for the interbasin transfer has  
18 prepared a drought contingency plan and has developed and  
19 implemented a water conservation plan that will result in the  
20 highest practicable levels of water conservation and efficiency  
21 achievable within the jurisdiction of the applicant.

22 ~~(n) If the transfer of water is based on a contractual sale~~  
23 of water, the new water right or amended permit, certified filing,  
24 or certificate of adjudication authorizing the transfer shall  
25 contain a condition for a term or period not greater than the term  
26 of the contract, including any extension or renewal of the contract  
27 ~~term~~.

1 (v) The provisions of this section, except Subsection (a),  
2 do not apply to:

3 (1) a proposed transfer which in combination with any  
4 existing transfers totals less than 3,000 acre-feet of water per  
5 annum from the same permit, certified filing, or certificate of  
6 adjudication;

7 (2) a request for an emergency transfer of water;

8 (3) a proposed transfer from a basin to its adjoining  
9 coastal basin;

10 (4) a proposed transfer from the part of the  
11 geographic area of [a basin to] a county or municipality, or [the  
12 part of the retail service area of a retail public utility as  
13 defined by Section 13.002,) ~~[the municipality's retail service area]~~  
14 that is ~~[partially]~~ within the basin of origin for use in that part  
15 of the geographic area of the county or municipality, or that  
16 contiguous part of the retail service area of the utility, [and the  
17 municipality's retail service area] not within the basin of origin;  
18 or

19 (5) a proposed transfer of water that is:

20 (A) imported from a source located wholly outside  
21 the boundaries of this state, except water that is imported from a  
22 ~~source located in the United Mexican States;~~

23 (B) for use in this state; and

24 (C) transported by using the bed and banks of any  
25 flowing natural stream located in this state.

26 SECTION 2. The changes in law made by this Act apply only to  
27 an application for an interbasin transfer filed with the Texas

H.B. No. 3233

1 Commission on Environmental Quality on or after the effective date  
2 of this Act. An application filed before the effective date of this  
3 Act is governed by the law in effect on the date the application was  
4 filed, and the former law is continued in effect for that purpose.

5 SECTION 3. This Act takes effect September 1, 2013.

H.B. No. 3233

---

President of the Senate

---

Speaker of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 3233 was passed by the House on May 7, 2013, by the following vote: Yeas 139, Nays 0, 2 present, not voting.

---

Chief Clerk of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 3233 was passed by the Senate on May 21, 2013, by the following vote: Yeas 30, Nays 0.

---

Secretary of the Senate

APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_

Date

---

Governor

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ, agency, or commission) adopts amendments to §295.13 and §295.155 and adopts a new §295.177 *without change* to the proposed text as published in the March 14, 2014, issue of the *Texas Register* (39 TexReg 1860) and, therefore, the text will not be republished.

### **Background and Summary of the Factual Basis for the Adopted Rules**

In 2013, the 83rd Legislature passed House Bill (HB) 3233, relating to interbasin transfers of state water. HB 3233 amended Texas Water Code (TWC), §11.085, to remove TWC, §11.085(b)(4), which requires an application for an interbasin transfer to include the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of ratepayers. HB 3233 also added language to TWC, §11.085(e), which limits consideration of issues in an evidentiary hearing to those requirements included in TWC, §11.085. HB 3233 further amended TWC, §11.085(g), to clarify the length of notice publication. Additionally, HB 3233 amended TWC, §11.085(v)(4), which describes geographic areas exempt from the provisions of TWC, 11.085. The commission's procedural rules related to water rights are in 30 TAC Chapter 295.

In a corresponding rulemaking published in this issue of the *Texas Register*, the commission also adopts the amendments to 30 TAC Chapter 297, Water Rights, Substantive.

### **Section by Section Discussion**

Section 295.13, Interbasin Transfers, describes the general application requirements and exemptions for transferring state water from one river basin to another basin.

The commission deleted §295.13(b)(4) which requires an application for a non-exempt interbasin transfer of state water to include the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of ratepayers. The commission adopts this amendment to update its rules to reflect the deletion of TWC, §11.085(b)(4), by HB 3233. Paragraphs (5) - (9) of §295.13(b) are renumbered to accommodate the deleted provision. HB 3233 amended TWC, §11.085(v)(4), to clarify the geographic areas exempt from the provisions of TWC, §11.085(b) - (u). The commission adopts amendments to §295.13(c)(4) to incorporate these changes.

Section 295.155, Notice for Interbasin Transfers, describes the requirements and exemptions for public notice for an application to transfer state water from one river basin to another basin. The commission adopts the amendment to change the published notice requirement in §295.155(b)(2) from once a week for two consecutive weeks to two different weeks within a 30-day period. The commission adopts this amendment to incorporate the changes made to TWC, §11.085(g), by HB 3233. The commission also adopts the amendment to §295.155(d)(4) to incorporate the revisions to the description of exempt geographic areas as described in TWC, §11.085(v)(4).

New §295.177, Evidentiary Hearing on Interbasin Transfer Amendments, is adopted to limit the issues to be considered during a hearing to those requirements under TWC, §11.085. This new section implements TWC, §11.085(e), as amended by HB 3233.

### **Final Regulatory Impact Determination**

The commission reviewed the adopted rulemaking in light of the regulatory analysis requirements of Texas Government Code, §2001.0225, and determined that the rulemaking is not subject to Texas Government Code, §2001.0225. "Major environmental rule" means a rule, the specific intent of which is to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure, and that may adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state.

First, the adopted rulemaking does not meet the statutory definition of a "major environmental rule" because its specific intent is not to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure. The specific intent of the adopted rulemaking is implementing legislation to clarify and streamline requirements for the issuance of interbasin transfer authorizations upon application by a current or prospective water right owner.

Second, the adopted rulemaking does not meet the statutory definition of a "major environmental rule" because the adopted rulemaking would not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state. It is not anticipated that the cost of complying with the adopted rulemaking would be significant with respect to the economy as a whole or with respect to a sector of the economy; therefore, the adopted rulemaking will not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, or jobs.

The commission invited public comment regarding the draft regulatory impact analysis determination during the public comment period. The commission received no comments regarding the draft regulatory impact analysis determination.

### **Takings Impact Assessment**

The commission evaluated this adopted rulemaking and performed an assessment of whether the adopted rules constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007. The commission adopted the rules for the specific purpose of implementing legislation to clarify and streamline requirements for the issuance of interbasin transfer authorizations. The commission would not act under the adopted rule unless an application from a current or prospective water right owner is received requesting an authorization for an interbasin transfer. Further, the rulemaking clarifying exemptions

to the requirement that an interbasin transfer be authorized by the commission expands the ability of a retail public utility to transfer water owned under surface water rights within the utility's service area.

A "taking" under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007 means a governmental action that affects private real property in a manner that requires compensation to the owner under the United States or Texas Constitution, or a governmental action that affects real private property in a manner that restricts or limits the owner's right to the property and reduces the market value of affected real property by at least 25%.

Because no taking of private real property will occur by amending the definitions as adopted, the commission has determined that promulgation and enforcement of the adopted rules would be neither a statutory nor a constitutional taking of private real property. Specifically, there are no burdens imposed on private real property under the rule because the adopted rules neither relates to, nor has any impact on, the use or enjoyment of private real property, and there would be no reduction in real property value as a result of the rules. Therefore, the adopted rules would not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007.

### **Consistency with the Coastal Management Program**

The commission reviewed the adopted rulemaking and found the adoption is a rulemaking identified in the Coastal Coordination Act Implementation Rules, 31 TAC §505.11(b)(4), relating to rules subject to the Coastal Management Program, and will, therefore, require that goals and policies of the Texas Coastal Management Program (CMP) be considered during the rulemaking process.

The commission reviewed this rulemaking for consistency with the CMP goals and policies in accordance with the regulations of the Coastal Coordination Advisory Committee and determined that the rulemaking is administrative and procedural in nature and will have no substantive effect on commission actions subject to the CMP and is, therefore, consistent with CMP goals and policies.

The commission invited public comment regarding the Consistency with the Coastal Management Program section during the public comment period. The commission received no comments regarding the Consistency with the Coastal Management Program section.

### **Public Comment**

The commission held a public hearing on April 10, 2014. The comment period closed on April 14, 2013. The commission received one comment from an individual.

The commenter asked a question outside the scope of this rulemaking as discussed in the Response to Comments section of this preamble.

### **Response to Comments**

One individual requested information regarding the limit of water that can be drilled or produced per well per year in Atascosa and McMullen counties.

**The purpose of this rulemaking is to implement HB 3233, relating to interbasin transfers of state water. The commenter's question is outside the scope of this rulemaking. The rules were not changed in response to this comment.**

**SUBCHAPTER A: REQUIREMENTS OF WATER RIGHTS**  
**APPLICATIONS GENERAL PROVISIONS**  
**DIVISION 1: GENERAL REQUIREMENTS**  
**§295.13**

**Statutory Authority**

The amendment is adopted under Texas Water Code (TWC), §5.102, which establishes the commission's general authority necessary to carry out its jurisdiction; TWC, §5.103, which establishes the commission's general authority to adopt rules; and TWC, §5.105, which establishes the commission's authority to set policy by rule.

The adopted amendment implements TWC, §§5.102, 5.103, 5.105 and 11.085(v)(4).

**§295.13. Interbasin Transfers.**

(a) An applicant seeking to transfer state water from one basin to another basin shall so state in the application. For purposes of this section, a river basin is defined and designated by the Texas Water Development Board by rule pursuant to Texas Water Code (TWC), §16.051. The application content requirements contained in this chapter for a new or amended water right, as applicable, shall apply to all applications for an interbasin transfer unless otherwise provided.

(b) In addition to the application requirements for a new or amended water right contained in this chapter, the application must also include the following unless exempted by subsection (c) of this section:

(1) the contract price of the water to be transferred;

(2) a statement of each general category of proposed use of the water to be transferred and a detailed description of the proposed uses and users under each category;

(3) the cost of diverting, conveying, distributing, and supplying the water to, and treating the water for, the proposed users;

[(4) the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of ratepayers;]

(4) [(5)] an analysis of whether and to what extent there is the need for the water in the basin of origin and in the proposed receiving basin based upon the period for which the transfer is requested, but not to exceed 50 years;

(5) [(6)] factors identified in the applicable approved regional water plans which address the following (Regional water management plans must be submitted to

the Texas Water Development Board for review and approval not later than September 1, 2000. If applicable approved regional water management plans do not exist at the time the application is submitted, the following information under this paragraph is not required to be submitted.):

(A) an analysis of the availability of feasible and practicable alternative supplies in the receiving basin for which the water is needed;

(B) the amount and purposes of use in the receiving basin for which the water is needed;

(C) the proposed methods and efforts by the receiving basin to avoid waste and implement water conservation and drought contingency measures;

(D) the proposed methods and efforts by the receiving basin to put the water proposed for transfer to beneficial use;

(E) the projected economic impact that is reasonably expected to occur in each basin as a result of the transfer; and

(F) the projected impacts of the proposed transfer that are reasonably expected to occur on existing water rights, instream uses, water quality, aquatic and riparian habitat, and bays and estuaries that must be assessed under TWC, §§11.147, 11.150, and 11.152 and related commission rules contained in §§297.49 - 297.52 of this title (relating to Return and Surplus Waters; [,] Consideration of Water Conservation Plans; [,] Time Limitations for Commencement or Completion of Construction; and [,] Suppliers of Water for Agriculture) in each basin. If the water sought to be transferred is currently authorized to be used under an existing water right, such impacts shall only be considered in relation to that portion of the water right proposed for transfer and shall be based on historical uses of the water right for which amendment is sought; [,]

(6) [(7)] proposed mitigation or compensation, if any, to the basin of origin by the applicant;

(7) [(8)] the continued need to use the water for the purposes authorized under the existing water right if an amendment to an existing water right is being sought; and

(8) [(9)] any other related information the executive director or commission may require to review the application to make recommendation or

determine, as applicable, whether it meets all applicable requirements of the TWC or other applicable law.

(c) Subsection (b) of this section shall not apply to:

(1) a proposed transfer which in combination with any existing transfers totals less than 3,000 acre-feet of water per annum from the same water right;

(2) a request for an emergency transfer of water under §297.17 of this title (relating to Emergency Authorization (Texas Water Code, §11.139));

(3) a proposed transfer from a basin to its adjoining coastal basin;

(4) a proposed transfer from the part of the geographic area of [a basin to] a county or municipality, or the part of the [municipality's] retail service area of a retail public utility as defined by TWC, §13.002, that is [partially] within the basin of origin for use in that part of the geographic area of the county or municipality or that contiguous part of the retail service area of the utility, [and the municipality's retail service area] not within the basin of origin [. For purposes of this paragraph, a county, municipality, or-municipality's service area refers to a geographic area]; or

(5) a proposed transfer of water that is:

(A) imported from a source located wholly outside the boundaries of this state, except water that is imported from a source located in the United Mexican States;

(B) for use in this state; and

(C) transported by using the bed and banks of any flowing natural stream in this state.

**SUBCHAPTER C: NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR  
WATER RIGHT APPLICATIONS**

**§295.155**

**Statutory Authority**

The amendment is adopted under Texas Water Code (TWC), §5.102, which establishes the commission's general authority necessary to carry out its jurisdiction; TWC, §5.103, which establishes the commission's general authority to adopt rules; and TWC, §5.105, which establishes the commission's authority to set policy by rule.

The adopted amendment implements TWC, §§5.102, 5.103, 5.105, and 11.085(g) and (v)(4).

**§295.155. Notice for Interbasin Transfers.**

(a) The notice requirements of this subchapter for an application for a new or amended water right, as applicable, shall apply to an application for an interbasin transfer except as otherwise provided by this section. In addition, notice shall be given to users of record in the receiving basin who are located below the point of introduction except for interbasin transfers described under subsection (d)(2) - (5) of this section. For purposes of this section, a river basin is defined and designated by the Texas Water Development Board by rule pursuant to Texas Water Code, §16.051. An increase in the

amount of water being transferred to the receiving basin under an existing water right constitutes a new interbasin basin transfer for purposes of this section.

(b) In addition to the notice requirements provided by subsection (a) of this section, notice of an application for an interbasin transfer shall also include the following unless exempted by subsection (d) of this section:

(1) notice of the application shall be mailed to:

(A) all holders of water rights located in whole or in part in the basin of origin if not already provided under subsection (a) of this section;

(B) each county judge of a county located in whole or in part in the basin of origin;

(C) each mayor of a city with a population of 1,000 or more based upon the most recent estimate of the U.S. Census Bureau located in whole or in part in the basin of [or] origin; [and]

(D) all groundwater conservation districts located in whole or in part in the basin of origin;

(E) each state legislator in both basins; and

(F) the presiding officer of each affected regional water planning group in both basins; [.]

(2) the applicant shall cause notice of the application to be published in two different weeks within a 30-day period [once a week for two consecutive weeks] in one or more newspapers having general circulation in each county located in whole or in part in the basin of origin and the receiving basin. The published notice may not be smaller than 96.8 square centimeters or 15 square inches with the shortest dimension at least 7.6 centimeters or three inches. The notice of application and public meetings shall be combined in the mailed and published notices; and

(3) the notice of the application must state how a person may obtain from the applicant, without cost, information relating to the contract price of the water to be transferred; a statement of each general category of proposed use of the water to be transferred, and a detailed description of the proposed uses and users under each category; the cost of diverting, conveying, distributing, and supplying the water to, and treating the water for, the proposed users; and the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of ratepayers.

(c) The applicant shall pay the cost of notice required to be provided under this section.

(d) Subsection (b) of this section shall not apply to:

(1) a proposed transfer which in combination with any existing transfers totals less than 3,000 acre-feet of water per annum from the same water right;

(2) a request for an emergency transfer of water under §297.17 of this title (relating to Emergency Authorization (Texas Water Code, §11.139));

(3) a proposed transfer from a basin to its adjoining coastal basin; or

(4) a proposed transfer from the part of the geographic area of [a basin of origin to] a county or municipality, or the part of the [municipality's] retail service area of a retail public utility as defined by Texas Water Code, §13.002, that is [partially] within the basin of origin for use in that part of the geographic area of the county or municipality, or that contiguous part of the retail service area of the utility, [and the municipality's retail service area] not within the basin of origin. The further transfer and use of this water outside of such county, municipality, or the part of the retail service

area of a retail public utility as defined by Texas Water Code, §13.002 [or municipal retail service area] as existing at the time of the transfer or as may exist in the future other than back to the basin of origin shall not be exempt under this paragraph; [. For purposes of this paragraph, a county, municipality, or municipality's retail service area refers to a geographic area.]

(5) a proposed transfer of water that is:

(A) imported from a source located wholly outside the boundaries of this state, except water that is imported from a source located in the United Mexican States;

(B) for use in this state; and

(C) transported by using the bed and banks of any flowing natural stream in this state.

**SUBCHAPTER D: CONTESTED CASE HEARING**

**§295.177**

**Statutory Authority**

The new section is adopted under Texas Water Code (TWC), §5.102, which establishes the commission's general authority necessary to carry out its jurisdiction; TWC, §5.103, which establishes the commission's general authority to adopt rules; and TWC, §5.105, which establishes the commission's authority to set policy by rule.

The adopted new section implements TWC, §§5.102, 5.103, 5.105 and 11.085(e).

**§295.177. Evidentiary Hearing on Interbasin Transfer Amendments.**

An evidentiary hearing on an application to transfer water authorized under an existing water right is limited to considering issues related to the requirements of Texas Water Code, §11.085.

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ, agency, or commission) adopts the amendment to §297.18 *without change* to the proposed text as published in the March 14, 2014, issue of the *Texas Register* (39 TexReg 1864) and, therefore, it will not be republished.

### **Background and Summary of the Factual Basis for the Adopted Rule**

In 2013, the 83rd Legislature passed House Bill (HB) 3233, relating to interbasin transfers of state water. HB 3233 amended Texas Water Code (TWC), §11.085, to add language to TWC, §11.085(l)(1), to specify that the commission's analysis of whether the benefits to the receiving basin are greater than the detriments to the basin of origin in an application for an interbasin transfer be based on the factors described in TWC, §11.085(k). HB 3233 also amended TWC, §11.085, to add language to TWC, §11.085(n), to clarify that interbasin transfers based on contracts can be extended as contracts are renewed or extended. Additionally, HB 3233 also amended TWC, §11.085(v)(4), which describes geographic areas exempt from the provisions of TWC, §11.085. The commission's substantive rules related to water rights are in 30 TAC Chapter 297.

In a corresponding rulemaking published in this issue of the *Texas Register*, the commission adopts amendments to 30 TAC Chapter 295, Water Rights, Procedural.

### **Section Discussion**

Section 297.18, Interbasin Transfers, Texas Water Code, §11.085 describes the substantive requirements and exemptions for transferring state water from one river basin to another basin.

The commission adopts the amendment to §297.18(d)(1), to clarify the scope of the factors considered as benefits to the receiving basin and detriments to the basin of origin are only those in TWC, §11.085(k). The commission excluded §297.18(c)(5) from the factors considered by the commission in §297.18(d)(1), because the requirement in §297.18(c)(5) is not included in the requirements listed in TWC, §11.085(k). The commission adopts this change to implement TWC, §11.085(l)(1). Additionally, the commission adopts the amendment to §297.18(f) to incorporate the change from TWC, §11.085(n), which clarify that interbasin transfers based on contracts can be extended as contracts are renewed or extended. The commission also adopts the amendment to §297.18(k)(5) to reflect the revision to the description of exempt geographic areas as described in TWC, §11.085(v)(4). The commission adopts this rulemaking to implement the changes made to the TWC by HB 3233.

### **Final Regulatory Impact Determination**

The commission reviewed the adopted rulemaking in light of the regulatory analysis requirements of Texas Government Code, §2001.0225, and determined that the

rulemaking is not subject to Texas Government Code, §2001.0225. "Major environmental rule" means a rule, the specific intent of which is to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure, and that may adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state.

First, the adopted rulemaking does not meet the statutory definition of a "major environmental rule" because its specific intent is not to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure. The specific intent of the adopted rulemaking is implementing legislation to clarify and streamline requirements for the issuance of interbasin transfer authorizations upon application by a current or prospective water right owner.

Second, the adopted rulemaking does not meet the statutory definition of a "major environmental rule" because the adopted rule would not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state. It is not anticipated that the cost of complying with the adopted rule would be significant with respect to the economy as a whole or with respect to a sector of the economy; therefore,

the adopted amendment will not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, or jobs.

The commission invited public comment regarding the draft regulatory impact analysis determination during the public comment period. The commission received no comments regarding the draft regulatory impact analysis determination.

### **Takings Impact Assessment**

The commission evaluated this adopted rulemaking and performed an assessment of whether the adopted rule constitutes a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007. The commission adopted the rule for the specific purpose of implementing legislation to clarify and streamline requirements for the issuance of interbasin transfer authorizations. The commission would not act under the amended rule unless an application from a current or prospective water right owner is received requesting an authorization for an interbasin transfer. Further, the amendment clarifying exemptions to the requirement that an interbasin transfer be authorized by the commission expands the ability of a retail public utility to transfer water owned under surface water rights within the utility's service area.

A "taking" under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007 means a governmental action that affects private real property in a manner that requires compensation to the owner

under the United States or Texas Constitution, or a governmental action that affects real private property in a manner that restricts or limits the owner's right to the property and reduces the market value of affected real property by at least 25%.

Because no taking of private real property will occur by amending the definitions as adopted, the commission has determined that promulgation and enforcement of the adopted rule would be neither a statutory nor a constitutional taking of private real property. Specifically, there are no burdens imposed on private real property under the rule because the adopted rule neither relates to, nor has any impact on, the use or enjoyment of private real property, and there would be no reduction in real property value as a result of the rule. Therefore, the adopted rule would not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007.

### **Consistency with the Coastal Management Program**

The commission reviewed the adopted rulemaking and found the adoption is a rulemaking identified in the Coastal Coordination Act Implementation Rules, 31 TAC §505.11(b)(4), relating to rules subject to the Coastal Management Program, and will, therefore, require that goals and policies of the Texas Coastal Management Program (CMP) be considered during the rulemaking process.

The commission reviewed this rulemaking for consistency with the CMP goals and

policies in accordance with the regulations of the Coastal Coordination Advisory Committee and determined that the rulemaking is administrative and procedural in nature and will have no substantive effect on commission actions subject to the CMP and is, therefore, consistent with CMP goals and policies.

The commission invited public comment regarding the Consistency with the Coastal Management Program section during the public comment period. The commission received no comments regarding the Consistency with the Coastal Management Program section.

### **Public Comment**

The commission held a public hearing on April 10, 2014. The comment period closed on April 14, 2013. The commission received one comment from an individual.

The commenter asked a question outside the scope of this rulemaking as discussed in the Response to Comments section of this preamble.

### **Response to Comments**

One individual requested information regarding the limit of water that can be drilled or produced per well per year in Atascosa and McMullen counties.

**The purpose of this rulemaking is to implement HB 3233, relating to interbasin transfers of state water. The commenter's question is outside the scope of this rulemaking. The rule was not changed in response to this comment.**

## **SUBCHAPTER B: CLASSES OF WATER RIGHTS**

### **§297.18**

#### **Statutory Authority**

The amendment is adopted under Texas Water Code (TWC), §5.102, which establishes the commission's general authority necessary to carry out its jurisdiction; TWC, §5.103, which establishes the commission's general authority to adopt rules; and TWC, §5.105, which establishes the commission's authority to set policy by rule.

The amendment implements TWC, §§5.102, 5.103, 5.105, and 11.085(l)(1), (n), and (v)(4).

#### **§297.18. Interbasin Transfers, Texas Water Code, §11.085.**

(a) No person may take or divert any state water from a river basin and transfer such water to any other river basin without first applying for and receiving a water right or an amendment to a water right authorizing the transfer.

(b) An increase in the authorized amount of water being transferred to the receiving basin under an existing water right constitutes a new interbasin transfer for purposes of this section.

(c) In addition to the other requirements of this chapter relating to the review of and action on an application for a new or amended water right, the commission shall weigh the effects of the proposed transfer by considering:

(1) the need for the water in the basin of origin and in the proposed receiving basin based on the period for which the water supply is requested, but not to exceed 50 [fifty] years;

(2) factors identified in the applicable approved regional water plans which address the following:

(A) the availability of feasible and practicable alternative supplies in the receiving basin to the water proposed for transfer;

(B) the amount and purposes of use in the receiving basin for which the water is needed;

(C) proposed methods and efforts by the receiving basin to avoid waste and implement water conservation and drought contingency measures;

(D) proposed methods and efforts by the receiving basin to put the water proposed for transfer to beneficial use;

(E) the projected economic impact that is reasonably expected to occur in each basin as a result of the transfer; and

(F) the projected impacts of the proposed transfer that are reasonably expected to occur on existing water rights, instream uses, water quality, aquatic and riparian habitat, and bays and estuaries in each basin. If the water sought to be transferred is currently authorized to be used under an existing water right in the basin of origin, such impacts shall only be considered in relation to that portion of the water right proposed for transfer and shall be based on the historical uses of the water right for which amendment is sought.

(3) proposed mitigation or compensation, if any, to the basin of origin by the applicant;

(4) the continued need to use the water for the purposes authorized under the existing water right if an amendment to an existing water right is sought;

(5) comments received from county judges required to be provided notice of the application as provided by §297.17 of this title (relating to Emergency Authorization (Texas Water Code, §11.139)); and

(6) information required to be submitted by the applicant.

(d) The commission may grant, in whole or in part, an application for an interbasin transfer only to the extent that:

(1) the detriments to the basin of origin during the proposed transfer period are less than the benefits to the receiving basin during the proposed transfer period, as determined by the commission based on consideration of the factors described by [as defined by the factors provided in] subsection (c)(1) - (4) and (6) [(c)] of this section; and

(2) the applicant for the interbasin transfer has prepared drought contingency and water conservation plans meeting the requirements of Chapter 288 of this title (relating to Water Conservation Plans, Drought Contingency Plans, Guidelines and Requirements) and has implemented a water conservation plan that will result in the highest practicable levels of water conservation and efficiency achievable within the jurisdiction of the applicant.

(e) The commission may grant new or amended water rights under this section with or without specific terms or periods of use and with specific conditions under which a transfer of water may occur.

(f) If an interbasin transfer of water is based on a contractual sale of water, the new or amended water right authorizing the transfer shall contain a condition for a term or period not greater than the [contract] term of the contract, including any extension or renewal of the contract [term].

(g) The parties to a contract for an interbasin transfer of water may include provisions for compensation and mitigation. If the party from the basin of origin is a governmental entity, each county judge located in whole or in part in the basin of origin may provide comment on the appropriate compensation and mitigation for the interbasin transfer.

(h) A new water right or amendment to an existing water right for a proposed interbasin transfer of water is junior in priority to water rights in the basin of origin granted before the time an administratively complete application for the transfer is filed with the chief clerk in accordance with §281.17 of this title (relating to Notice of Receipt of Application and Declaration of Administrative Completeness). If an amendment is

made to the water right to effectuate an interbasin transfer of water for a term, the affected portion of the water right shall be junior to all existing water rights in the basin of origin only for the term of the amendment.

(i) A new water right or amendment to an existing water right for a transfer of water from a river basin in which two or more river authorities or water districts have written agreements or permits that provide for the coordinated operation of their respective reservoirs to maximize the amount of water for beneficial use within their respective water service areas shall be junior in priority to water rights granted in that basin before the time an administratively complete application for the interbasin transfer is filed with the chief clerk in accordance with §281.17 of this title. If an amendment is made to the water right to effectuate an interbasin transfer of water for a term, the affected portion of the water right shall be junior to all existing water rights in the basin of origin only for the term of the amendment.

(j) An appropriator of water for municipal purposes in the basin of origin may, at the appropriator's option, be a party in any hearings under this section. Nothing in this provision shall be construed as adversely affecting the ability of any other potentially affected person to obtain party status.

(k) The provisions that are contained in subsections (b) - (j) of this section that are in addition to those generally required for an application for a new or amended water right do not apply to:

(1) a proposed transfer which in combination with any existing transfers totals less than 3,000 acre-feet of water per annum from the same water right;

(2) a request for an emergency transfer of water as provided by §297.17 of this title;

(3) a proposed transfer from a basin to its adjoining coastal basin;

(4) a proposed transfer of water that is:

(A) imported from a source located wholly outside the boundaries of this state; except water that is imported from a source located in the United Mexican States;

(B) for use in this state; and

(C) transported by using the bed and banks of any flowing natural stream in this state; or

(5) a proposed [interbasin] transfer from the part of the geographic area of [basin of origin to] a county or municipality, or the part of the retail service area of a retail public utility as defined by Texas Water Code, §13.002, [municipality's retail service area] that is [partially] within the basin of origin for use in the part of the geographic area of the county or municipality, or that contiguous part of the retail service area of the utility, [and the municipality's retail service area] not within the basin of origin. The further transfer and use of this water outside of such county, municipality, or the part of the retail service area of a retail public utility as defined by Texas Water Code, §13.002 [or municipal retail service area] as existing at the time of the transfer or as may exist in the future other than back to the basin of origin shall not be exempt under this paragraph. [For purposes of this paragraph, a county, municipality, or municipality's retail service area refers to a geographic area.]

wholesale water service for the utility's retail system shall report to the executive director when the utility or entity is reasonably certain that the water supply will be available for less than 180 days. The reporting must be accomplished by utilizing the online "PWS Drought Contingency Plan Reporting Form."

(b) If reporting cannot be accomplished in accordance with subsection (a) of this section then the retail public utility or entity from which the utility is obtaining wholesale water service may report to the executive director by United States Postal Service mail, program electronic mail, or facsimile.

The agency certifies that legal counsel has reviewed the proposal and found it to be within the state agency's legal authority to adopt.

Filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on February 28, 2014.

TRD-201400931

Robert Martinez

Director, Environmental Law Division

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Earliest possible date of adoption: April 13, 2014

For further information, please call: (512) 239-2613



## CHAPTER 295. WATER RIGHTS, PROCEDURAL

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ, agency, or commission) proposes to amend §295.13 and §295.155; and proposes new §295.177.

### Background and Summary of the Factual Basis for the Proposed Rules

In 2013, the 83rd Legislature passed House Bill (HB) 3233, relating to interbasin transfers of state water. HB 3233 amended Texas Water Code (TWC), §11.085, to remove TWC, §11.085(b)(4), which requires an application for an interbasin transfer to include the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of ratepayers. HB 3233 also added language to TWC, §11.085(e), which limits consideration of issues in an evidentiary hearing to those requirements included in TWC, §11.085. HB 3233 further amended TWC, §11.085(g), to clarify the length of notice publication. Additionally, HB 3233 amended TWC, §11.085(v)(4), which describes geographic areas exempt from the provisions of TWC, §11.085. The commission's procedural rules related to water rights are in 30 TAC Chapter 295, Water Rights, Procedural.

In a corresponding rulemaking published in this issue of the *Texas Register*, the commission also proposes to amend 30 TAC Chapter 297, Water Rights, Substantive.

### Section by Section Discussion

Section 295.13, Interbasin Transfers, describes the general application requirements and exemptions for transferring state water from one river basin to another basin.

The commission proposes to delete §295.13(b)(4) which requires an application for a non-exempt interbasin transfer of state water to include the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of ratepayers. The commission proposes this amendment to update its rules to reflect the deletion of

TWC, §11.085(b)(4), by HB 3233. Paragraphs (5) - (9) of §295.13(b) are proposed to be renumbered to accommodate the deleted provision. HB 3233 amended TWC, §11.085(v)(4), to clarify the geographic areas exempt from the provisions of TWC, §11.085(b) - (u). The commission proposes to amend §295.13(c)(4) to incorporate these changes.

Section 295.155, Notice for Interbasin Transfers, describes the requirements and exemptions for public notice for an application to transfer state water from one river basin to another basin. The commission proposes to amend the published notice requirement in §295.155(b)(2) from once a week for two consecutive weeks to two different weeks within a 30-day period. The commission proposes this amendment to incorporate the changes made to TWC, §11.085(g), by HB 3233. The commission also proposes to amend §295.155(d)(4) to incorporate the revisions to the description of exempt geographic areas as described in TWC, §11.085(v)(4).

New §295.177, Evidentiary Hearing on Interbasin Transfer Amendments, is proposed to limit the issues to be considered during a hearing to those requirements under TWC, §11.085. This new section implements TWC, §11.085(e), as amended by HB 3233.

### Fiscal Note: Costs to State and Local Government

Jeffrey Horvath, Analyst in the Chief Financial Officer Division, has determined that for the first five-year period the proposed rules are in effect, no significant fiscal implications are anticipated for the agency or for other units of state or local government as a result of administration or enforcement of the proposed rules.

The proposed rules would implement HB 3233, relating to the requirements for the permitting process for interbasin transfers of surface water. The bill affects the permitting process for interbasin transfers of surface water rights and removes the requirement for an application for an interbasin transfer to include the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of rate payers. The bill also limits the issues in an evidentiary hearing for an interbasin transfer application to the requirements of TWC, §11.085, and changes the published notice requirements for an interbasin transfer application from once a week for two consecutive weeks to two different weeks within a 30-day period. The bill specifies that when the commission analyzes interbasin transfer applications to determine whether detriments to the basin of origin are less than the benefits to the receiving basin, the determination should be based on the factors described in TWC §11.085(k). The bill also clarifies that interbasin transfers based on contracts can be extended as contracts are renewed or extended and would substitute the term "service area of a retail water utility" for "municipality's retail service area."

In order to implement provisions of the bill, the commission is proposing to amend Chapter 295, Water Rights Procedural, and in a corresponding rulemaking, Chapter 297, Water Rights Substantive. This fiscal note addresses proposed changes to Chapter 295.

The proposed rules for Chapter 295 would relate to requirements for interbasin surface water transfers. These requirements describe the general application requirements and exemptions for transferring state water from one river basin to another basin.

The proposed rules would delete requirements for an application for an interbasin transfer of state water to include the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of ratepayers.

The commission proposes this amendment to update its rules to reflect the deletion of this requirement by HB 3233. HB 3233 also amended requirements that specify which geographic areas would be exempt from the permitting requirements. The commission proposes to amend §295.13(c)(4) to incorporate these changes.

The proposed rules also make changes to the requirements and exemptions for public notice for an application to transfer state water from one river basin to another basin. The commission proposes to amend the published notice requirement from once a week for two consecutive weeks to two different weeks within a 30-day period in order to comply with HB 3233.

The proposed rules would limit those issues that can be heard during an evidentiary hearing to those specified under TWC, §11.085 as required by HB 3233.

The proposed rules may simplify and potentially shorten the permitting process for an interbasin transfer application through the deletion of requirements for an application for an interbasin transfer of state water to include the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of ratepayers. Many interbasin transfers are for regional projects with many retail public water systems. Calculating rate impacts for all those systems could be a challenge because "rates" may imply the cost of treated water and many entities only sell raw water. In addition, for some projects the applicant may not know all future entities that will buy water.

Limiting the issues that can be raised in an evidentiary hearing on an interbasin transfer application to only those in TWC, §11.085, could help focus the issues and potentially shorten the hearing process. The change in notice requirements may make publication easier for an applicant without adversely affecting the public.

The proposed changes to geographic areas that are exempt from the interbasin transfer provisions could increase the number of utilities that would be eligible for the exemption because the term "service area of retail water utility" encompasses more utility service areas than the existing language of "municipality's retail service area." However, the number of applications for interbasin transfers affected by this rulemaking is expected to be very small and any impact on those applicants is also expected to be very small. Therefore, any cost savings resulting from the new exemptions are not expected to be significant.

Cities, counties, water districts, river authorities, or utility districts who apply for an interbasin transfer authorization from the TCEQ could experience some limited cost savings due to the implementation of the proposed rules, but any cost savings are difficult to project and are not expected to be significant.

#### Public Benefits and Costs

Mr. Horvath has also determined that for each year of the first five years the proposed rules are in effect, the public benefit anticipated from the changes seen in the proposed rules will be compliance with state law and the potential for a more efficient interbasin transfer permit application process.

The proposed rules are not expected to have fiscal implications for businesses or individuals. The proposed rules would implement requirements for the permitting process for interbasin transfers of surface water. Businesses or individuals do not generally apply for interbasin transfer authorizations. Cities, counties, water districts, river authorities, or utility districts who apply for an interbasin transfer authorization from the TCEQ could experience some limited cost savings due to the implementation of

the proposed rules, but the proposed rules are not expected to directly affect businesses or individuals.

#### Small Business and Micro-Business Assessment

No adverse fiscal implications are anticipated for small or micro-businesses due to the implementation or administration of the proposed rules for the first five-year period the proposed rules are in effect. The proposed rules would implement requirements for the permitting process for interbasin transfers of surface water. Businesses do not generally apply for interbasin transfer authorizations. Cities, counties, water districts, river authorities, or utility districts who apply for an interbasin transfer authorization from the TCEQ could experience some limited cost savings due to the implementation of the proposed rules, but the proposed rules are not expected to directly affect small or micro-businesses.

#### Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The commission has reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that a small business regulatory flexibility analysis is not required because the proposed rules do not adversely affect small or micro-businesses and are required to implement state law and therefore are consistent with the health, safety, or environmental and economic welfare of the state.

#### Local Employment Impact Statement

The commission has reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that a local employment impact statement is not required because the proposed rules do not adversely affect a local economy in a material way for the first five years that the proposed rules are in effect.

#### Draft Regulatory Impact Analysis Determination

The commission reviewed the proposed rulemaking in light of the regulatory analysis requirements of Texas Government Code, §2001.0225, and determined that the rulemaking is not subject to §2001.0225. "Major environmental rule" means a rule, the specific intent of which is to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure, and that may adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state.

First, the proposed rulemaking does not meet the statutory definition of a "major environmental rule" because its specific intent is not to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure. The specific intent of the proposed rulemaking is implementing legislation to clarify and streamline requirements for the issuance of interbasin transfer authorizations upon application by a current or prospective water right owner.

Second, the proposed rulemaking does not meet the statutory definition of a "major environmental rule" because the proposed rulemaking would not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state. It is not anticipated that the cost of complying with the proposed rulemaking would be significant with respect to the economy as a whole or with respect to a sector of the economy; therefore, the proposed rulemaking will not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, or jobs.

Written comments on the draft regulatory impact analysis determination may be submitted to the contact person at the address listed under the Submittal of Comments section of this preamble.

#### Takings Impact Assessment

The commission evaluated this proposed rulemaking and performed an assessment of whether the proposed rules constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007. The commission proposed the rules for the specific purpose of implementing legislation to clarify and streamline requirements for the issuance of interbasin transfer authorizations. The commission would not act under the proposed rule unless an application from a current or prospective water right owner is received requesting an authorization for an interbasin transfer. Further, the rulemaking clarifying exemptions to the requirement that an interbasin transfer be authorized by the commission expands the ability of a retail public utility to transfer water owned under surface water rights within the utility's service area.

A "taking" under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007 means a governmental action that affects private real property in a manner that requires compensation to the owner under the United States or Texas Constitution, or a governmental action that affects real private property in a manner that restricts or limits the owner's right to the property and reduces the market value of affected real property by at least 25%.

Because no taking of private real property will occur by amending the definitions as proposed, the commission has determined that promulgation and enforcement of the proposed rules would be neither a statutory nor a constitutional taking of private real property. Specifically, there are no burdens imposed on private real property under the rule because the proposed rules neither relates to, nor has any impact on, the use or enjoyment of private real property, and there would be no reduction in real property value as a result of the rules. Therefore, the proposed rules would not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007.

#### Consistency with the Coastal Management Program

The commission reviewed the proposed rulemaking and found the proposal is a rulemaking identified in the Coastal Coordination Act Implementation Rules, 31 TAC §505.11(b)(4), relating to rules subject to the Coastal Management Program, and will, therefore, require that goals and policies of the Texas Coastal Management Program (CMP) be considered during the rulemaking process.

The commission reviewed this rulemaking for consistency with the CMP goals and policies in accordance with the regulations of the Coastal Coordination Advisory Committee and determined that the rulemaking is administrative and procedural in nature and will have no substantive effect on commission actions subject to the CMP and is, therefore, consistent with CMP goals and policies.

Written comments on the consistency of this rulemaking may be submitted to the contact person at the address listed under the Submittal of Comments section of this preamble.

#### Announcement of Hearing

The commission will hold a public hearing on this proposal in Austin on April 10, 2014, at 2:00 p.m. in Building E, Room 201S, at the commission's central office located at 12100 Park 35 Circle. The hearing is structured for the receipt of oral or written comments by interested persons. Individuals may present oral

statements when called upon in order of registration. Open discussion will not be permitted during the hearing; however, commission staff members will be available to discuss the proposal 30 minutes prior to the hearing.

Persons who have special communication or other accommodation needs who are planning to attend the hearing should contact Sandy Wong, Office of Legal Services, at (512) 239-1802. Requests should be made as far in advance as possible.

#### Submittal of Comments

Written comments may be submitted to Derek Baxter, MC 205, Office of Legal Services, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087 or faxed to (512) 239-2613. Electronic comments may be submitted at: <http://www5.tceq.texas.gov/rules/ecomments/>. File size restrictions may apply to comments being submitted via the eComments system. All comments should reference Rule Project Number 2013-055-295-OW. The comment period closes April 14, 2014. Copies of the proposed rulemaking can be obtained from the commission's Web site at [http://www.tceq.texas.gov/nav/rules/propose\\_adopt.html](http://www.tceq.texas.gov/nav/rules/propose_adopt.html). For further information, please contact Tracie Donnelly, Water Rights Permitting and Availability Section, (512) 239-0083.

## SUBCHAPTER A. REQUIREMENTS OF WATER RIGHTS APPLICATIONS GENERAL PROVISIONS

### DIVISION 1. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

#### 30 TAC §295.13

##### Statutory Authority

The amendment is proposed under Texas Water Code (TWC), §5.102, which establishes the commission's general authority necessary to carry out its jurisdiction; §5.103, which establishes the commission's general authority to adopt rules; and §5.105, which establishes the commission's authority to set policy by rule.

The proposed amendment implements TWC, §§5.102, 5.103, 5.105 and 11.085(v)(4).

##### §295.13. *Interbasin Transfers.*

(a) An applicant seeking to transfer state water from one basin to another basin shall so state in the application. For purposes of this section, a river basin is defined and designated by the Texas Water Development Board by rule pursuant to Texas Water Code (TWC), §16.051. The application content requirements contained in this chapter for a new or amended water right, as applicable, shall apply to all applications for an interbasin transfer unless otherwise provided.

(b) In addition to the application requirements for a new or amended water right contained in this chapter, the application must also include the following unless exempted by subsection (c) of this section:

- (1) the contract price of the water to be transferred;
- (2) a statement of each general category of proposed use of the water to be transferred and a detailed description of the proposed uses and users under each category;
- (3) the cost of diverting, conveying, distributing, and supplying the water to, and treating the water for, the proposed users;
- {(4) the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of ratepayers;}

(4) [(5)] an analysis of whether and to what extent there is the need for the water in the basin of origin and in the proposed receiving basin based upon the period for which the transfer is requested, but not to exceed 50 years;

(5) [(6)] factors identified in the applicable approved regional water plans which address the following (Regional water management plans must be submitted to the Texas Water Development Board for review and approval not later than September 1, 2000. If applicable approved regional water management plans do not exist at the time the application is submitted, the following information under this paragraph is not required to be submitted.):

(A) an analysis of the availability of feasible and practicable alternative supplies in the receiving basin for which the water is needed;

(B) the amount and purposes of use in the receiving basin for which the water is needed;

(C) the proposed methods and efforts by the receiving basin to avoid waste and implement water conservation and drought contingency measures;

(D) the proposed methods and efforts by the receiving basin to put the water proposed for transfer to beneficial use;

(E) the projected economic impact that is reasonably expected to occur in each basin as a result of the transfer; and

(F) the projected impacts of the proposed transfer that are reasonably expected to occur on existing water rights, instream uses, water quality, aquatic and riparian habitat, and bays and estuaries that must be assessed under TWC, §§11.147, 11.150, and 11.152 and related commission rules contained in §§297.49 - 297.52 of this title (relating to Return and Surplus Waters;[.] Consideration of Water Conservation Plans;[.] Time Limitations for Commencement or Completion of Construction; and[.] Suppliers of Water for Agriculture) in each basin. If the water sought to be transferred is currently authorized to be used under an existing water right, such impacts shall only be considered in relation to that portion of the water right proposed for transfer and shall be based on historical uses of the water right for which amendment is sought;[.]

(6) [(7)] proposed mitigation or compensation, if any, to the basin of origin by the applicant;

(7) [(8)] the continued need to use the water for the purposes authorized under the existing water right if an amendment to an existing water right is being sought; and

(8) [(9)] any other related information the executive director or commission may require to review the application to make recommendation or determine, as applicable, whether it meets all applicable requirements of the TWC or other applicable law.

(c) Subsection (b) of this section shall not apply to:

(1) a proposed transfer which in combination with any existing transfers totals less than 3,000 acre-feet of water per annum from the same water right;

(2) a request for an emergency transfer of water under §297.17 of this title (relating to Emergency Authorization (Texas Water Code, §11.139));

(3) a proposed transfer from a basin to its adjoining coastal basin;

(4) a proposed transfer from the part of the geographic area of [a basin to] a county or municipality, or the part of the [municipality's] retail service area of a retail public utility as defined

by TWC, §13.002, that is [partially] within the basin of origin for use in that part of the geographic area of the county or municipality or that contiguous part of the retail service area of the utility, [and the municipality's retail service area] not within the basin of origin[-. For purposes of this paragraph, a county, municipality, or municipality's service area refers to a geographic area]; or

(5) a proposed transfer of water that is:

(A) imported from a source located wholly outside the boundaries of this state, except water that is imported from a source located in the United Mexican States;

(B) for use in this state; and

(C) transported by using the bed and banks of any flowing natural stream in this state.

The agency certifies that legal counsel has reviewed the proposal and found it to be within the state agency's legal authority to adopt.

Filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on February 28, 2014.

TRD-201400932

Robert Martinez

Director, Environmental Law Division

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Earliest possible date of adoption: April 13, 2014

For further information, please call: (512) 239-2613



## SUBCHAPTER C. NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR WATER RIGHT APPLICATIONS

### 30 TAC §295.155

#### Statutory Authority

The amendment is proposed under Texas Water Code (TWC), §5.102, which establishes the commission's general authority necessary to carry out its jurisdiction; §5.103, which establishes the commission's general authority to adopt rules; and §5.105, which establishes the commission's authority to set policy by rule.

The proposed amendment implements TWC, §§5.102, 5.103, 5.105, and 11.085(g) and (v)(4).

§295.155. *Notice for Interbasin Transfers.*

(a) The notice requirements of this subchapter for an application for a new or amended water right, as applicable, shall apply to an application for an interbasin transfer except as otherwise provided by this section. In addition, notice shall be given to users of record in the receiving basin who are located below the point of introduction except for interbasin transfers described under subsection (d)(2) - (5) of this section. For purposes of this section, a river basin is defined and designated by the Texas Water Development Board by rule pursuant to Texas Water Code, §16.051. An increase in the amount of water being transferred to the receiving basin under an existing water right constitutes a new interbasin basin transfer for purposes of this section.

(b) In addition to the notice requirements provided by subsection (a) of this section, notice of an application for an interbasin transfer shall also include the following unless exempted by subsection (d) of this section:

(1) notice of the application shall be mailed to:

(A) all holders of water rights located in whole or in part in the basin of origin if not already provided under subsection (a) of this section;

(B) each county judge of a county located in whole or in part in the basin of origin;

(C) each mayor of a city with a population of 1,000 or more based upon the most recent estimate of the U.S. Census Bureau located in whole or in part in the basin of origin; ~~and~~

(D) all groundwater conservation districts located in whole or in part in the basin of origin;

(E) each state legislator in both basins; and

(F) the presiding officer of each affected regional water planning group in both basins; ~~and~~

(2) the applicant shall cause notice of the application to be published in two different weeks within a 30-day period ~~[once a week for two consecutive weeks]~~ in one or more newspapers having general circulation in each county located in whole or in part in the basin of origin and the receiving basin. The published notice may not be smaller than 96.8 square centimeters or 15 square inches with the shortest dimension at least 7.6 centimeters or three inches. The notice of application and public meetings shall be combined in the mailed and published notices; and

(3) the notice of the application must state how a person may obtain from the applicant, without cost, information relating to the contract price of the water to be transferred; a statement of each general category of proposed use of the water to be transferred, and a detailed description of the proposed uses and users under each category; the cost of diverting, conveying, distributing, and supplying the water to, and treating the water for, the proposed users; and the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of ratepayers.

(c) The applicant shall pay the cost of notice required to be provided under this section.

(d) Subsection (b) of this section shall not apply to:

(1) a proposed transfer which in combination with any existing transfers totals less than 3,000 acre-feet of water per annum from the same water right;

(2) a request for an emergency transfer of water under §297.17 of this title (relating to Emergency Authorization (Texas Water Code, §11.139));

(3) a proposed transfer from a basin to its adjoining coastal basin; or

(4) a proposed transfer from the part of the geographic area of [a basin of origin to] a county or municipality, or the part of the [municipality's] retail service area of a retail public utility as defined by Texas Water Code, §13.002, that is [partially] within the basin of origin for use in that part of the geographic area of the county or municipality, or that contiguous part of the retail service area of the utility, [and the municipality's retail service area] not within the basin of origin. The further transfer and use of this water outside of such county, municipality, or the part of the retail service area of a retail public utility as defined by Texas Water Code, §13.002 [or municipal retail service area] as existing at the time of the transfer or as may exist in the future other than back to the basin of origin shall not be exempt under this paragraph;[-]. For purposes of this paragraph, a county, municipality, or municipality's retail service area refers to a geographic area;[-]

(5) a proposed transfer of water that is:

(A) imported from a source located wholly outside the boundaries of this state, except water that is imported from a source located in the United Mexican States;

(B) for use in this state; and

(C) transported by using the bed and banks of any flowing natural stream in this state.

The agency certifies that legal counsel has reviewed the proposal and found it to be within the state agency's legal authority to adopt.

Filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on February 28, 2014.

TRD-201400933

Robert Martinez

Director, Environmental Law Division

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Earliest possible date of adoption: April 13, 2014

For further information, please call: (512) 239-2613



## SUBCHAPTER D. CONTESTED CASE HEARING

### 30 TAC §295.177

#### Statutory Authority

The new section is proposed under Texas Water Code (TWC), §5.102, which establishes the commission's general authority necessary to carry out its jurisdiction; §5.103, which establishes the commission's general authority to adopt rules; and §5.105, which establishes the commission's authority to set policy by rule.

The proposed new section implements TWC, §§5.102, 5.103, 5.105 and 11.085(e).

§295.177. Evidentiary Hearing on Interbasin Transfer Amendments.

An evidentiary hearing on an application to transfer water authorized under an existing water right is limited to considering issues related to the requirements of Texas Water Code, §11.085.

The agency certifies that legal counsel has reviewed the proposal and found it to be within the state agency's legal authority to adopt.

Filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on February 28, 2014.

TRD-201400934

Robert Martinez

Director, Environmental Law Division

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Earliest possible date of adoption: April 13, 2014

For further information, please call: (512) 239-2613



## CHAPTER 297. WATER RIGHTS, SUBSTANTIVE

### SUBCHAPTER B. CLASSES OF WATER RIGHTS

### 30 TAC §297.18

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ, agency, or commission) proposes to amend §297.18.

#### Background and Summary of the Factual Basis for the Proposed Rule

In 2013, the 83rd Legislature passed House Bill (HB) 3233, relating to interbasin transfers of state water. HB 3233 amended Texas Water Code (TWC), §11.085, to add language to TWC, §11.085(l)(1), to specify that the commission's analysis of whether the benefits to the receiving basin are greater than the detriments to the basin of origin in an application for an interbasin transfer be based on the factors described in TWC, §11.085(k). HB 3233 also amended TWC, §11.085, to add language to TWC, §11.085(n), to clarify that interbasin transfers based on contracts can be extended as contracts are renewed or extended. Additionally, HB 3233 also amended TWC, §11.085(v)(4), which describes geographic areas exempt from the provisions of TWC, §11.085. The commission's procedural rules related to water rights are in 30 TAC Chapter 297, Water Rights, Substantive.

In a corresponding rulemaking published in this issue of the *Texas Register*, the commission proposes to also amend 30 TAC Chapter 295, Water Rights, Procedural.

#### Section Discussion

Section 297.18, Interbasin Transfers, Texas Water Code, §11.085 describes the substantive requirements and exemptions for transferring state water from one river basin to another basin.

The commission proposes to amend §297.18(d)(1), to clarify the scope of the factors considered as benefits to the receiving basin and detriments to the basin of origin are only those in TWC, §11.085(k). The commission proposes to exclude §297.18(c)(5) from the factors considered by the commission in §297.18(d)(1), because the requirement in §297.18(c)(5) is not included in the requirements listed in TWC, §11.085(k). The commission proposes this change to implement TWC, §11.085(l)(1). Additionally, the commission proposes to amend §297.18(f) to incorporate the change from TWC, §11.085(n), which clarifies that interbasin transfers based on contracts can be extended as contracts are renewed or extended. The commission also proposes to amend §297.18(k)(5) to reflect the revision to the description of exempt geographic areas as described in TWC, §11.085(v)(4). The commission proposes these amendments to implement the changes made to the TWC by HB 3233.

#### Fiscal Note: Costs to State and Local Government

Jeffrey Horvath, Analyst in the Chief Financial Officer Division, has determined that for the first five-year period the proposed rule is in effect, no significant fiscal implications are anticipated for the agency or for other units of state or local government as a result of administration or enforcement of the proposed rule.

The proposed rule would implement HB 3233, relating to the requirements for the permitting process for interbasin transfers of surface water. The bill affects the permitting process for interbasin transfers of surface water rights and removes the requirement for an application for an interbasin transfer to include the projected effect on user rates and fees for each class of rate payers. The bill also limits the issues in an evidentiary hearing for an interbasin transfer application to the requirements of TWC, §11.085, and changes the published notice requirements for an

interbasin transfer application from once a week for two consecutive weeks to two different weeks within a 30-day period. The bill specifies that when the commission analyzes interbasin transfer applications to determine whether detriments to the basin of origin are less than the benefits to the receiving basin, the determination should be based on the factors described in TWC, §11.085(k). The bill also clarifies that interbasin transfers based on contracts can be extended as contracts are renewed or extended and would substitute the term "service area of a retail water utility" for "municipality's retail service area."

In order to implement provisions of the bill, the commission is proposing to amend Chapter 297, Water Rights Substantive, and in a corresponding rulemaking, Chapter 295, Water Rights Procedural. This fiscal note addresses proposed changes to Chapter 297.

The proposed rulemaking for Chapter 297 would clarify that the scope of the factors considered as detriments to the basin of origin are only those in TWC, §11.085(k). The proposed rule would also add the descriptions of geographic areas that are exempt from the interbasin transfer provisions and add language to clarify that interbasin transfers based on contracts can be extended as contracts are renewed or extended.

The proposed rule would clarify that the factors described in TWC, §11.085(k), would be used to determine whether the detriments to the basin of origin are less than the benefits to the receiving basin. This clarification of the scope of the factors to be used in this determination could simplify the application process by describing more specifically the factors that are to be addressed in the application process and at the evidentiary hearing. Cities, counties, water districts, river authorities, or utility districts who apply for an interbasin transfer authorization from the TCEQ could experience some limited cost savings as a result of limiting the issues at evidentiary hearings.

The proposed language clarifying that interbasin transfers based on contracts can be extended as contracts are renewed or extended will make processing and consideration of these types of contracts more consistent with TCEQ practice on other permits which are based on contracts. Cities, counties, water districts, river authorities, or utility districts who apply for an interbasin transfer authorization from the TCEQ could experience some limited cost savings due to the implementation of the proposed rule.

The proposed changes to geographic areas that are exempt from the interbasin transfer provisions could increase the number of utilities that would be eligible for the exemption because the term "service area of retail water utility" encompasses more utility service areas than the existing language of "municipality's retail service area." However, the number of applications for interbasin transfers affected by this rulemaking is expected to be very small and any impact on those applicants is also expected to be very small. Therefore, any cost savings resulting from the new exemptions are not expected to be significant.

#### Public Benefits and Costs

Mr. Horvath has also determined that for each year of the first five years the proposed rule is in effect, the public benefit anticipated from the changes seen in the proposed rule will be compliance with state law and the potential for a more efficient interbasin transfer permit application process.

The proposed rule is not expected to have fiscal implications for businesses or individuals. The proposed rule would implement

requirements for the permitting process for interbasin transfers of surface water. Businesses or individuals do not generally apply for interbasin transfer authorizations. Cities, counties, water districts, river authorities, or utility districts who apply for an interbasin transfer authorization from the TCEQ could experience some limited cost savings due to the implementation of the proposed rule, but the proposed rule is not expected to directly affect businesses or individuals.

#### Small Business and Micro-Business Assessment

No adverse fiscal implications are anticipated for small or micro-businesses due to the implementation or administration of the proposed rule for the first five-year period the proposed rule is in effect. The proposed rule would implement requirements for the permitting process for interbasin transfers of surface water. Businesses do not generally apply for interbasin transfer authorizations. Cities, counties, water districts, river authorities, or utility districts who apply for an interbasin transfer authorization from the TCEQ could experience some limited cost savings due to the implementation of the proposed rule, but the proposed rule is not expected to directly affect small or micro-businesses.

#### Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The commission has reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that a small business regulatory flexibility analysis is not required because the proposed rule does not adversely affect small or micro-businesses and are required to implement state law and therefore is consistent with the health, safety, or environmental and economic welfare of the state.

#### Local Employment Impact Statement

The commission has reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that a local employment impact statement is not required because the proposed rule does not adversely affect a local economy in a material way for the first five years that the proposed rule is in effect.

#### Draft Regulatory Impact Analysis Determination

The commission reviewed the proposed rulemaking in light of the regulatory analysis requirements of Texas Government Code, §2001.0225, and determined that the rulemaking is not subject to §2001.0225. "Major environmental rule" means a rule, the specific intent of which is to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure, and that may adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state.

First, the proposed rulemaking does not meet the statutory definition of a "major environmental rule" because its specific intent is not to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure. The specific intent of the proposed rulemaking is implementing legislation to clarify and streamline requirements for the issuance of interbasin transfer authorizations upon application by a current or prospective water right owner.

Second, the proposed rulemaking does not meet the statutory definition of a "major environmental rule" because the proposed rule would not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state. It is not anticipated that the cost of complying with the proposed rule would be significant with respect to the economy as a whole or with respect to a sector of the economy; therefore,

the proposed amendment will not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, or jobs.

Written comments on the draft regulatory impact analysis determination may be submitted to the contact person at the address listed under the Submittal of Comments section of this preamble.

#### Takings Impact Assessment

The commission evaluated this proposed rulemaking and performed an assessment of whether the proposed rule constitutes a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007. The commission proposed the rule for the specific purpose of implementing legislation to clarify and streamline requirements for the issuance of interbasin transfer authorizations. The commission would not act under the amended rule unless an application from a current or prospective water right owner is received requesting an authorization for an interbasin transfer. Further, the amendment clarifying exemptions to the requirement that an interbasin transfer be authorized by the commission expands the ability of a retail public utility to transfer water owned under surface water rights within the utility's service area.

A "taking" under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007 means a governmental action that affects private real property in a manner that requires compensation to the owner under the United States or Texas Constitution, or a governmental action that affects real private property in a manner that restricts or limits the owner's right to the property and reduces the market value of affected real property by at least 25%.

Because no taking of private real property will occur by amending the definitions as proposed, the commission has determined that promulgation and enforcement of the proposed rule would be neither a statutory nor a constitutional taking of private real property. Specifically, there are no burdens imposed on private real property under the rule because the proposed rule neither relates to, nor has any impact on, the use or enjoyment of private real property, and there would be no reduction in real property value as a result of the rule. Therefore, the proposed rule would not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007.

#### Consistency with the Coastal Management Program

The commission reviewed the proposed rulemaking and found the proposal is a rulemaking identified in the Coastal Coordination Act Implementation Rules, 31 TAC §505.11(b)(4), relating to rules subject to the Coastal Management Program, and will, therefore, require that goals and policies of the Texas Coastal Management Program (CMP) be considered during the rulemaking process.

The commission reviewed this rulemaking for consistency with the CMP goals and policies in accordance with the regulations of the Coastal Coordination Advisory Committee and determined that the rulemaking is administrative and procedural in nature and will have no substantive effect on commission actions subject to the CMP and is, therefore, consistent with CMP goals and policies.

Written comments on the consistency of this rulemaking may be submitted to the contact person at the address listed under the Submittal of Comments section of this preamble.

#### Announcement of Hearing

The commission will hold a public hearing on this proposal in Austin on April 10, 2014, at 2:00 p.m. in Building E, Room 201S,

at the commission's central office located at 12100 Park 35 Circle. The hearing is structured for the receipt of oral or written comments by interested persons. Individuals may present oral statements when called upon in order of registration. Open discussion will not be permitted during the hearing; however, commission staff members will be available to discuss the proposal 30 minutes prior to the hearing.

Persons who have special communication or other accommodation needs who are planning to attend the hearing should contact Sandy Wong, Office of Legal Services, at (512) 239-1802. Requests should be made as far in advance as possible.

#### Submittal of Comments

Written comments may be submitted to Derek Baxter, MC 205, Office of Legal Services, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087 or faxed to (512) 239-2613. Electronic comments may be submitted at: <http://www5.tceq.texas.gov/rules/ecomments/>. File size restrictions may apply to comments being submitted via the eComments system. All comments should reference Rule Project Number 2013-055-295-OW. The comment period closes April 14, 2014. Copies of the proposed rule-making can be obtained from the commission's Web site at [http://www.tceq.texas.gov/nav/rules/propose\\_adapt.html](http://www.tceq.texas.gov/nav/rules/propose_adapt.html). For further information, please contact Tracie Donnelly, Water Rights Permitting and Availability Section, (512) 239-0083.

#### Statutory Authority

The amendment is proposed under Texas Water Code (TWC), §5.102, which establishes the commission's general authority necessary to carry out its jurisdiction; §5.103, which establishes the commission's general authority to adopt rules; and §5.105, which establishes the commission's authority to set policy by rule.

The amendment implements TWC, §§5.102, 5.103, 5.105, 11.085(l)(1), 11.085(n), and 11.085(v)(4).

§297.18. *Interbasin Transfers, Texas Water Code, §11.085.*

(a) No person may take or divert any state water from a river basin and transfer such water to any other river basin without first applying for and receiving a water right or an amendment to a water right authorizing the transfer.

(b) An increase in the authorized amount of water being transferred to the receiving basin under an existing water right constitutes a new interbasin transfer for purposes of this section.

(c) In addition to the other requirements of this chapter relating to the review of and action on an application for a new or amended water right, the commission shall weigh the effects of the proposed transfer by considering:

(1) the need for the water in the basin of origin and in the proposed receiving basin based on the period for which the water supply is requested, but not to exceed 50 [fifty] years;

(2) factors identified in the applicable approved regional water plans which address the following:

(A) the availability of feasible and practicable alternative supplies in the receiving basin to the water proposed for transfer;

(B) the amount and purposes of use in the receiving basin for which the water is needed;

(C) proposed methods and efforts by the receiving basin to avoid waste and implement water conservation and drought contingency measures;

(D) proposed methods and efforts by the receiving basin to put the water proposed for transfer to beneficial use;

(E) the projected economic impact that is reasonably expected to occur in each basin as a result of the transfer; and

(F) the projected impacts of the proposed transfer that are reasonably expected to occur on existing water rights, instream uses, water quality, aquatic and riparian habitat, and bays and estuaries in each basin. If the water sought to be transferred is currently authorized to be used under an existing water right in the basin of origin, such impacts shall only be considered in relation to that portion of the water right proposed for transfer and shall be based on the historical uses of the water right for which amendment is sought.

(3) proposed mitigation or compensation, if any, to the basin of origin by the applicant;

(4) the continued need to use the water for the purposes authorized under the existing water right if an amendment to an existing water right is sought;

(5) comments received from county judges required to be provided notice of the application as provided by §297.17 of this title (relating to Emergency Authorization (Texas Water Code, §11.139)); and

(6) information required to be submitted by the applicant.

(d) The commission may grant, in whole or in part, an application for an interbasin transfer only to the extent that:

(1) the detriments to the basin of origin during the proposed transfer period are less than the benefits to the receiving basin during the proposed transfer period, as determined by the commission based on consideration of the factors described by [as defined by the factors provided in] subsection (c)(1) - (4) and (6) [(e)] of this section; and

(2) the applicant for the interbasin transfer has prepared drought contingency and water conservation plans meeting the requirements of Chapter 288 of this title (relating to Water Conservation Plans, Drought Contingency Plans, Guidelines and Requirements) and has implemented a water conservation plan that will result in the highest practicable levels of water conservation and efficiency achievable within the jurisdiction of the applicant.

(e) The commission may grant new or amended water rights under this section with or without specific terms or periods of use and with specific conditions under which a transfer of water may occur.

(f) If an interbasin transfer of water is based on a contractual sale of water, the new or amended water right authorizing the transfer shall contain a condition for a term or period not greater than the [contract] term of the contract, including any extension or renewal of the contract [term].

(g) The parties to a contract for an interbasin transfer of water may include provisions for compensation and mitigation. If the party from the basin of origin is a governmental entity, each county judge located in whole or in part in the basin of origin may provide comment on the appropriate compensation and mitigation for the interbasin transfer.

(h) A new water right or amendment to an existing water right for a proposed interbasin transfer of water is junior in priority to water rights in the basin of origin granted before the time an administratively complete application for the transfer is filed with the chief clerk in accordance with §281.17 of this title (relating to Notice of Receipt of

Application and Declaration of Administrative Completeness). If an amendment is made to the water right to effectuate an interbasin transfer of water for a term, the affected portion of the water right shall be junior to all existing water rights in the basin of origin only for the term of the amendment.

(i) A new water right or amendment to an existing water right for a transfer of water from a river basin in which two or more river authorities or water districts have written agreements or permits that provide for the coordinated operation of their respective reservoirs to maximize the amount of water for beneficial use within their respective water service areas shall be junior in priority to water rights granted in that basin before the time an administratively complete application for the interbasin transfer is filed with the chief clerk in accordance with §281.17 of this title. If an amendment is made to the water right to effectuate an interbasin transfer of water for a term, the affected portion of the water right shall be junior to all existing water rights in the basin of origin only for the term of the amendment.

(j) An appropriator of water for municipal purposes in the basin of origin may, at the appropriator's option, be a party in any hearings under this section. Nothing in this provision shall be construed as adversely affecting the ability of any other potentially affected person to obtain party status.

(k) The provisions that are contained in subsections (b) - (j) of this section that are in addition to those generally required for an application for a new or amended water right do not apply to:

(1) a proposed transfer which in combination with any existing transfers totals less than 3,000 acre-feet of water per annum from the same water right;

(2) a request for an emergency transfer of water as provided by §297.17 of this title;

(3) a proposed transfer from a basin to its adjoining coastal basin;

(4) a proposed transfer of water that is:

(A) imported from a source located wholly outside the boundaries of this state; except water that is imported from a source located in the United Mexican States;

(B) for use in this state; and

(C) transported by using the bed and banks of any flowing natural stream in this state; or

(5) a proposed [interbasin] transfer from the part of the geographic area of [basin of origin to] a county or municipality, or the part of the retail service area of a retail public utility as defined by Texas Water Code, §13.002, [municipality's retail service area] that is [partially] within the basin of origin for use in the part of the geographic area of the county or municipality, or that contiguous part of the retail service area of the utility, [and the municipality's retail service area] not within the basin of origin. The further transfer and use of this water outside of such county, municipality, or the part of the retail service area of a retail public utility as defined by Texas Water Code, §13.002 [or municipal retail service area] as existing at the time of the transfer or as may exist in the future other than back to the basin of origin shall not be exempt under this paragraph. [For purposes of this paragraph, a county, municipality, or municipality's retail service area refers to a geographic area.]

The agency certifies that legal counsel has reviewed the proposal and found it to be within the state agency's legal authority to adopt.

Filed with the Office of the Secretary of State on February 28, 2014.

TRD-201400935

Robert Martinez

Director, Environmental Law Division

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Earliest possible date of adoption: April 13, 2014

For further information, please call: (512) 239-2613

◆ ◆ ◆

## CHAPTER 321. CONTROL OF CERTAIN ACTIVITIES BY RULE

### SUBCHAPTER B. CONCENTRATED ANIMAL FEEDING OPERATIONS

#### **30 TAC §§321.32 - 321.34, 321.36 - 321.40, 321.44, 321.46, 321.47**

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ, agency, commission) proposes amendments to §§321.32 - 321.34, 321.36 - 321.40, 321.44, 321.46, and 321.47.

#### Background and Summary of the Factual Basis for the Proposed Rules

These rules implement the federal Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) Regulations and Effluent Guidelines in accordance with the Texas Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regarding delegation of the federal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) CAFO Program.

The primary purpose of the proposed amendments is to implement revised federal CAFO Regulations and Effluent Guidelines in this subchapter that were published in the *Federal Register* on November 20, 2008, and were effective on December 22, 2008, in accordance with the MOA with the EPA regarding delegation of the federal NPDES CAFO Program. Due to court challenges that successfully vacated portions of the rules, EPA did not finalize these rules until July 19, 2012.

The commission adopted this subchapter in July 2004 for NPDES purposes and to make the Texas rules consistent with federal regulations. The commission modified the CAFO rules in October 2006 to allow dry litter poultry operations located in a sole-source surface drinking water protection zone to obtain authorization under the CAFO general permit rather than by individual permit, to remove the duty to apply for permit coverage for other dry litter poultry CAFOs based on a potential to discharge, and to add a requirement for all CAFOs to develop and implement a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP). The EPA adopted changes to the federal CAFO Regulations and Effluent Guidelines in response to the order issued by the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in *Waterkeeper Alliance, et al. v. EPA*, 399 F.3d 486 (2d Cir. 2005). The federal rules became effective on December 22, 2008, changing the requirements to operate CAFOs under the Federal Clean Water Act (See 73 *Federal Register* 70418 (November 20, 2008) (to be codified at 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 9, 122, and 412)). Due to various court challenges that vacated portions of the new rules, the new CAFO rules were not finalized until July 19, 2012. Specifically, the new federal regulations: 1) require permitted CAFOs to submit their NMPs with their applications for individual permits or notices of intent for authorization under

# Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



## ORDER ADOPTING NEW AND AMENDED RULES

**Docket No. 2013-1976-RUL**

**Rule Project No. 2013-055-295-OW**

On August 6, 2014, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (Commission) adopted a new rule in 30 TAC Chapter 295, concerning Water Rights, Procedural and adopted amended rules in 30 TAC Chapters 295 and 297, concerning Water Rights, Procedural and Water Rights, Substantive, respectively. The proposed rules were published for comment in the March 14, 2014 issue of the *Texas Register* 39 TexReg 1860.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE COMMISSION that the new and amended rules are hereby adopted. The Commission further authorizes staff to make any non-substantive revisions to the rules necessary to comply with *Texas Register* requirements. The adopted rules and the preamble to the adopted rules are incorporated by reference in this Order as if set forth at length verbatim in this Order.

This Order constitutes the Order of the Commission required by the Administrative Procedure Act, Government Code, § 2001.033.

If any portion of this Order is for any reason held to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the invalidity of any portion shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions.

Date Issued:

TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

---

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., P.E., Chairman