

High Tech Auto Restyling Inc.

RN104713060

Docket No. 2014-0327-AIR-E

Order Type:

Default Order

Media:

Air

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

9910 Dyer Street, El Paso, El Paso County

Type of Operation:

auto body refinishing and paint shop

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions:	None
Past-Due Penalties:	\$2,982 (2010-1210-AIR-E; referred for collection)
Past-Due Fees:	None
Other:	None
Interested Third-Parties:	None

Texas Register Publication Date: August 1, 2014**Comments Received:** None**Penalty Information****Total Penalty Assessed:** \$13,750**Total Paid to General Revenue:** \$0**Total Due to General Revenue:** \$13,750**Compliance History Classifications:**

Person/CN – Satisfactory

Site/RN – Satisfactory

Major Source: No**Statutory Limit Adjustment:** None**Applicable Penalty Policy:** September 2002

High Tech Auto Restyling Inc.

RN104713060

Docket No. 2014-0327-AIR-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A
Date(s) of Investigation: December 10, 2013
Date(s) of NOV(s): N/A
Date(s) of NOE(s): January 20, 2014

Violation Information

Failed to obtain authorization prior to conducting surface coating operations [TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §§ 382.0518(a) and 382.085(b); 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.110(a); and TCEQ Default Order Docket No. 2010-1210-AIR-E, Ordering Provisions Nos. 3.a. and 3.c.].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements**Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

None

Technical Requirements:

1. Within 30 days, either submit an administratively complete permit application or submit Form PI-7 requesting authorization to operate under a Permit By Rule.
2. If a Form PI-7 is submitted, within 75 days submit written certification either that the Plant can satisfy the conditions of and operated under a Permit By Rule, or that operations have ceased until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained.
3. If a complete permit application is submitted, within 180 days submit written certification either that authorization to operate the Plant has been obtained or that operation has ceased until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: April 24, 2014
Date Green Card(s) Signed: April 26, 2014
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorneys: Elizabeth Lieberknecht, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400
Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, (512) 239-3400
Isabel Segarra Trevino, Public Interest Counsel, (512) 239-6363

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: David Carney, Enforcement Division, (512) 239-2583

TCEQ Regional Contact: Kent Waggoner, El Paso Regional Office, (915) 834-4949

Respondent Contact: Eric Sanchez, Director, High Tech Auto Restyling Inc., 4935 Aiken Lane,
El Paso, Texas 79926

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	3-Feb-2014	Screening	25-Feb-2014	EPA Due	
	PCW	18-Mar-2014				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

Respondent	High Tech Auto Restyling Inc.		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN104713060		
Facility/Site Region	6-El Paso	Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION

Enf./Case ID No.	40089	No. of Violations	1
Docket No.	2014-0327-AIR-E	Order Type	Findings
Media Program(s)	Air	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	David Carney
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 5
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$11,000
---	-------------------	----------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History	25.0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$2,750
---------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	---------

Notes	Enhancement for one default order.
--------------	------------------------------------

Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	------------------	-------------------	-----

Notes	The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.
--------------	--

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$0
--	-------------------	-----

Economic Benefit	0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----

Total EB Amounts	\$402	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$2,500	

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$13,750
-----------------------------	-----------------------	----------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	0.0%	Adjustment	\$0
---	------	-------------------	-----

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes	
--------------	--

Final Penalty Amount	\$13,750
-----------------------------	----------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$13,750
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------

DEFERRAL	0.0% Reduction	Adjustment	\$0
-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-----

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes	No deferral is recommended for Findings Orders.
--------------	---

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$13,750
------------------------	----------

Screening Date 25-Feb-2014

Docket No. 2014-0327-AIR-E

PCW

Respondent High Tech Auto Restyling Inc.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 40089

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104713060

Media [Statute] Air

Enf. Coordinator David Carney

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	1	25%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 25%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Satisfactory Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

Enhancement for one default order.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 25%

Screening Date 25-Feb-2014 **Docket No.** 2014-0327-AIR-E **PCW**
Respondent High Tech Auto Restyling Inc. *Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)*
Case ID No. 40089 *PCW Revision October 30, 2008*
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104713060
Media [Statute] Air
Enf. Coordinator David Carney

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 116.110(a), TCEQ Default Order Docket No. 2010-1210-AIR-E, Ordering Provisions Nos. 3.a. and 3.c., and Tex. Health & Safety Code §§ 382.0518(a) and 382.085(b)

Violation Description Failed to obtain authorization prior to conducting surface coating operations.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual				0%
	Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

Matrix Notes	Falsification	Harm			Percent
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
		x			10%

100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 11 961 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	x
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$11,000

Eleven quarterly events are recommended from the July 10, 2011 effective date of the previous default order to the February 25, 2014 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction \$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$11,000

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation **Statutory Limit Test**

Estimated EB Amount \$402 Violation Final Penalty Total \$13,750

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$13,750

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent High Tech Auto Restyling Inc.
Case ID No. 40089
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN104713060
Media Air
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$2,500	10-Jul-2011	25-Sep-2014	3.21	\$402	n/a	\$402
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs Estimated cost to obtain the proper authorization for the auto body refinishing operations. The Date Required is the effective date of the previous default order and the Final Date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$2,500	TOTAL	\$402
----------------------------	---------	--------------	-------



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN602885923, RN104713060, Rating Year 2013 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2008, through August 31, 2013.

Customer, Respondent, or Owner/Operator:	CN602885923, High Tech Auto Restyling Inc.	Classification: SATISFACTORY	Rating: 30.00
Regulated Entity:	RN104713060, Hi Tech Auto Restyling	Classification: SATISFACTORY	Rating: 30.00
Complexity Points:	4	Repeat Violator:	NO
CH Group:	14 - Other		
Location:	9910 DYER STREET, EL PASO, TEXAS 79924-4710, EL PASO COUNTY		
TCEQ Region:	REGION 06 - EL PASO		
ID Number(s):			

Compliance History Period:	September 01, 2008 to August 31, 2013	Rating Year:	2013	Rating Date:	09/01/2013
Date Compliance History Report Prepared:	February 10, 2014				
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement				
Component Period Selected:	February 10, 2009 to February 10, 2014				

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: David Carney **Phone:** (512) 239-2583

Site and Owner/Operator History:

- 1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? YES
- 2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? NO
- 3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator? N/A
- 4) If **YES** for #2, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A
- 5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

- 1 Effective Date: 07/10/2011 ADMINORDER 2010-1210-AIR-E (Findings Order-Default)
Classification: Major
Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.110(a)
5C THSC Chapter 382 382.0518(a)
5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)
Description: Failure to obtain authorization from TCEQ prior to operating a surface coating operations.

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
HIGH TECH
AUTO RESTYLING INC.;
RN104713060**

§
§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

DEFAULT ORDER

DOCKET NO. 2014-0327-AIR-E

At its _____ agenda meeting, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered the Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition, filed pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7, TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and the rules of the TCEQ, which requests appropriate relief, including the imposition of an administrative penalty and corrective action of the respondent. The respondent made the subject of this Order is High Tech Auto Restyling Inc. ("Respondent").

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent owns and operates an auto body refinishing and paint shop located at 9910 Dyer Street in El Paso, El Paso County, Texas (the "Plant"). The Plant consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
2. During a record review conducted on December 10, 2013, TCEQ Central Office staff documented that Respondent failed to obtain authorization prior to conducting surface coating operations.
3. Respondent received notice of the violations on or about January 25, 2014.
4. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of High Tech Auto Restyling Inc." (the "EDPRP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on April 24, 2014.
5. By letter dated April 24, 2014 sent to Respondent's last known address via certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Respondent with notice of the EDPRP. According to the return receipt "green card," Respondent received notice of the EDPRP on April 26, 2014, as evidenced by the signature on the card.
6. More than 20 days have elapsed since Respondent received notice of the EDPRP. Respondent failed to file an answer and failed to request a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 1, Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and the rules of the TCEQ.
2. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 2, Respondent failed to obtain authorization prior to conducting surface coating operations, in violation of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §§ 382.0518(a) and 382.085(b); 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 116.110(a); and TCEQ Default Order Docket No. 2010-1210-AIR-E, Ordering Provisions Nos. 3.a. and 3.c.

3. As evidenced by Findings of Fact Nos. 4 and 5, the Executive Director timely served Respondent with proper notice of the EDPRP, as required by TEX. WATER CODE § 7.055 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.104(b)(1).
4. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 6, Respondent failed to file a timely answer as required by TEX. WATER CODE § 7.056 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.105. Pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 7.057 and 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.106, the Commission may enter a Default Order against Respondent and assess the penalty recommended by the Executive Director.
5. Pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against Respondent for violations of state statutes within TCEQ's jurisdiction, for violations of rules adopted under such statutes, or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of thirteen thousand seven hundred fifty dollars (\$13,750.00) is justified by the facts recited in this Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in TEX. WATER CODE § 7.053.
7. TEX. WATER CODE §§ 5.102 and 7.002 authorize the Commission to issue orders and make determinations necessary to effectuate the purposes of the statutes within its jurisdiction.

ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. Respondent is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of thirteen thousand seven hundred fifty dollars (\$13,750.00) for violations of state statutes and rules of the TCEQ. The payment of this administrative penalty and Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order completely resolve the matters set forth by this Order in this action. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations which are not raised here.
2. The administrative penalty assessed by this Order shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order. All checks submitted to pay the penalty imposed by this Order shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: High Tech Auto Restyling Inc.; Docket No. 2014-0327-AIR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

3. Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall either submit an administratively complete permit application, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE ch. 116, Subchapter B, or submit Form PI-7 requesting authorization to operate under a Permit By Rule, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE ch. 106, to:

Air Permits Division, MC 162
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- b. Respondent shall respond completely and adequately, as determined by the TCEQ, to all requests for information concerning the permit application or registrations within 30 days after the date of such requests, or by any other deadline specified in writing by the TCEQ.
- c. If a Form PI-7 is submitted, then within 75 days after the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall submit written certification, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 3.e. below, either that the Plant can satisfy the conditions of and operate under a Permit by Rule, or that operation has ceased until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained.
- d. If a complete permit application is submitted, then within 180 days after the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall submit written certification, in accordance with Ordering Provision No. 3.e. below, that either authorization to operate the Plant has been obtained or that operation has ceased until such time that appropriate authorization is obtained.
- e. The certifications required by these Ordering Provisions shall be accompanied by detailed supporting documentation, including photographs, receipts, and/or other records, shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public, and shall include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Respondent shall submit the written certifications and supporting documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with these Ordering Provisions to:

Order Compliance Team
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

and:

Kent Waggoner, Air Section Manager
El Paso Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
401 East Franklin Avenue, Suite 560
El Paso, Texas 79901-1206

4. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.
5. The provisions of this Order shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent. Respondent is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Plant operations referenced in this Order.
6. If Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive

Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

7. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director. Extension requests shall be sent to the Order Compliance Team at the address listed in Ordering Provision No. 3.e.
8. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to Respondent if the Executive Director determines that Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
9. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
10. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Order shall be the date the Order is final, as provided by 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.106(d) and TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.144.

S I G N A T U R E P A G E

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

AFFIDAVIT OF ELIZABETH LIEBERKNECHT

STATE OF TEXAS

§

COUNTY OF TRAVIS

§

§

"My name is Elizabeth Lieberknecht. I am of sound mind, capable of making this affidavit, and the facts stated in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against and Requiring Certain Actions of High Tech Auto Restyling Inc." (the "EDPRP") was filed in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on April 24, 2014.

The EDPRP was mailed to Respondent's last known address on April 24, 2014, via certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card," Respondent received notice of the EDPRP on April 26, 2014, as evidenced by the signature on the card.

More than 20 days have elapsed since Respondent received notice of the EDPRP. Respondent failed to file an answer and failed to request a hearing."




Elizabeth Lieberknecht, Staff Attorney
Office of Legal Services, Litigation Division
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Elizabeth Lieberknecht, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that she executed the same for the purposes and consideration herein expressed.

Given under my hand and seal of office this 9th day of July, A.D. 2014.



Notary Signature



Notary without Bond