

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49782
S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus
RN102959962
Docket No. 2014-1819-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Donut Plus, 4512 North Street, Nacogdoches, Nacogdoches County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: April 24, 2015

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$20,250

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$4,050

Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$450

Total Due to General Revenue: \$15,750

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$450 each

Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory

Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: April 2014

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: October 24, 2014

Date(s) of NOE(s): November 25, 2014

**Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49782
S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus
RN102959962
Docket No. 2014-1819-PST-E**

Violation Information

1. Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks (“USTs”) for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
2. Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, the statistical inventory reconciliation (“SIR”) report for May 2014 indicated a suspected release that was not reported [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72].
3. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery. Specifically, the SIR report for May 2014 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.74].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Respondent investigated the suspected release by conducting a tank tightness test with passing results and submitted a release determination report to the TCEQ on November 10, 2014.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days, implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility; and
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance with a.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A

Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A

SOAH Referral Date: N/A

Hearing Date(s): N/A

Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49782
S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus
RN102959962
Docket No. 2014-1819-PST-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Abigail Lindsey, Enforcement Division,
Enforcement Team 6, MC 169, (512) 239-2576; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,
MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Sous L. Teng, President, S.K. TENG CORP, 4512 North Street,
Nacogdoches, Texas 75961

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)

PCW Revision March 26, 2014

DATES	Assigned	1-Dec-2014	Screening	4-Dec-2014	EPA Due	
	PCW	17-Feb-2015				

RESPONDENT / FACILITY INFORMATION	
Respondent	S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN102959962
Facility/Site Region	10-Beaumont
Major/Minor Source	Minor

CASE INFORMATION			
Enf./Case ID No.	49782	No. of Violations	3
Docket No.	2014-1819-PST-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Abigail Lindsey
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$25,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$20,000
---	-------------------	----------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History	20.0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$4,000
---------------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	---------

Notes: Enhancement for one agreed order containing a denial of liability.

Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	------------------	-------------------	-----

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	-\$3,750
--	-------------------	----------

Economic Benefit	0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----

Total EB Amounts	\$134	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Estimated Cost of Compliance	\$6,600	

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$20,250
-----------------------------	-----------------------	----------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	0.0%	Adjustment	\$0
---	------	-------------------	-----

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes:

Final Penalty Amount	\$20,250
-----------------------------	----------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$20,250
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------

DEFERRAL	20.0% Reduction	Adjustment	-\$4,050
-----------------	-----------------	-------------------	----------

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$16,200
------------------------	----------

Screening Date 4-Dec-2014

Docket No. 2014-1819-PST-E

PCW

Respondent S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)

Case ID No. 49782

PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102959962

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Abigail Lindsey

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	1	20%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%

Please Enter Yes or No

Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 20%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Satisfactory Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

Enhancement for one agreed order containing a denial of liability.

Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 20%

>> Final Compliance History Adjustment

Final Adjustment Percentage *capped at 100% 20%

Screening Date 4-Dec-2014 **Docket No.** 2014-1819-PST-E **PCW**
Respondent S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus *Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)*
Case ID No. 49782 *PCW Revision March 26, 2014*
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102959962
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Abigail Lindsey

Violation Number 1
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)
Violation Description Failed to monitor the underground storage tanks ("USTs") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring).

Base Penalty \$25,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm			Percent
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Actual				15.0%
Potential	X			

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	Percent
				0.0%

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$21,250

\$3,750

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days 41

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	X
semiannual	
annual	
single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$3,750

One quarterly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the October 24, 2014 investigation date to the December 4, 2014 screening date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction \$0

	Before NOE/NOV	NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$3,750

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation **Statutory Limit Test**

Estimated EB Amount \$51 **Violation Final Penalty Total** \$4,500

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$4,500

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus
Case ID No. 49782
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102959962
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
<small>No commas or \$</small>							

Delayed Costs

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	24-Oct-2014	1-Jul-2015	0.68	\$51	n/a	\$51

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to monitor the USTs for releases. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the estimated date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$1,500	TOTAL	\$51
-----------------------------------	---------	--------------	------

Screening Date 4-Dec-2014
Respondent S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus
Case ID No. 49782
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102959962
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Abigail Lindsey

Docket No. 2014-1819-PST-E

PCW

PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Violation Number 2
Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72

Violation Description

Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, the statistical inventory reconciliation ("SIR") report for May 2014 indicated a suspected release that was not reported.

Base Penalty \$25,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent 0.0%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
	x		

Percent 5.0%

Matrix Notes

100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$23,750

\$1,250

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events

Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$1,250

One single event is recommended.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0%

Reduction \$0

	Before NOE/NOV	NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	x	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$1,250

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$2

Violation Final Penalty Total \$1,500

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$1,500

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus
Case ID No. 49782
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102959962
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	16-Jun-2014	10-Nov-2014	0.40	\$2	n/a	\$2

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated delayed cost to report a suspected release (\$100 per event). The date required is the date the report was due and the final date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$100

TOTAL

\$2

Screening Date 4-Dec-2014
Respondent S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus
Case ID No. 49782
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102959962
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Abigail Lindsey

Docket No. 2014-1819-PST-E

PCW

PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Violation Number 3
Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.74

Violation Description

Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery. Specifically, the SIR report for May 2014 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated.

Base Penalty \$25,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential	x		

Percent 15.0%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0.0%

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$21,250

\$3,750

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 4

118 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x	daily	
	weekly	
	monthly	x
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$15,000

Four monthly events are recommended from the release investigation due date of July 15, 2014 to the November 10, 2014 compliance date.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0%

Reduction \$3,750

	Before NOE/NOV	NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	x	
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent came into compliance on November 10, 2014, before the Notice of Enforcement date of November 25, 2014.

Violation Subtotal \$11,250

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$81

Violation Final Penalty Total \$14,250

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$14,250

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus
Case ID No. 49782
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102959962
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Cost **Date Required** **Final Date** **Yrs** **Interest Saved** **Onetime Costs** **EB Amount**
Item Description No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$5,000	15-Jul-2014	10-Nov-2014	0.32	\$81	n/a	\$81

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to investigate a suspected release and file a release determination report. The date required is the date the release determination report was due and the final date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$5,000

TOTAL

\$81

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



TCEQ Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN602929879, RN102959962, Rating Year 2014 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2014.

Customer, Respondent, or Owner/Operator: CN602929879, S.K. TENG CORP.

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 45.00

Regulated Entity: RN102959962, DONUT PLUS

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 45.00

Complexity Points: 2

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group: 01 - Gas Stations with convenience Stores and other Gas Stations

Location: 4512 NORTH STREET, NACOGDOCHES, NACOGDOCHES COUNTY, TEXAS

TCEQ Region: REGION 10 - BEAUMONT

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION
REGISTRATION 27908

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2009 to August 31, 2014

Rating Year: 2014

Rating Date: 09/01/2014

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: December 04, 2014

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: December 04, 2009 to December 04, 2014

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Abigail Lindsey

Phone: (512) 239-2576

Site and Owner/Operator History:

- 1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? YES
- 2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? NO
- 3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator? N/A
- 4) If **YES** for #2, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A
- 5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

1 Effective Date: 06/17/2012 ADMINORDER 2011-2125-PST-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.3475(d)
30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.49(a)(1)

Description: Failure to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system.

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.3475(c)(1)
30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.50(b)(1)(A)

Description: Failure to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once per month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring).

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.3475(a)
30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.50(b)(2)

Description: Failed to provide proper release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation

conducted on March 23, 2011 and during a record review conducted on October 28, 2011. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness and line leak detector tests for the piping associated with the UST system.
Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter A 334.10(b)

Description: Failure to maintain the required UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
S.K. TENG CORP. DBA DONUT
PLUS
RN102959962**

§
§
§
§
§
§

**BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

**AGREED ORDER
DOCKET NO. 2014-1819-PST-E**

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _____, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 4512 North Street in Nacogdoches, Nacogdoches County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. The Respondent's two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about November 30, 2014.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Twenty Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$20,250) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged

in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Four Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$450) of the administrative penalty and Four Thousand Fifty Dollars (\$4,050) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Fifteen Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$15,750) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of Four Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$450) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent investigated the suspected release by conducting a tank tightness test with passing results and submitted a release determination report to the TCEQ on November 10, 2014.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on October 24, 2014.
2. Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72, as documented during an investigation conducted on October 24, 2014. Specifically, the statistical inventory reconciliation ("SIR") report for May 2014 indicated a suspected release that was not reported.
3. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.74, as documented during an investigation conducted on October 24, 2014. Specifically, the SIR report for May 2014 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus, Docket No. 2014-1819-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and

- b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager
Beaumont Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
3870 Eastex Freeway
Beaumont, Texas 77703-1892

3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director.

The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

Pam Mori
For the Executive Director

7/17/15
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Sous L. Teng
Signature

3.18.15
Date

Sous L. Teng
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
S.K. TENG CORP. dba Donut Plus

President
Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.