

June 9, 2005

Office of Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

TEXAS  
COMMISSION  
ON ENVIRONMENTAL  
QUALITY

AM OPA  
H MAY 16 2005  
BY                     

2005 JUN 15 PM 2:42

CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

NSR  
1775H

To Whom It May Concern:

At this time, we would like to request a public meeting and a contested case hearing on permit 20011. We have been in litigation with DuPont regarding their air emission and pollution levels for several years. Allowing Invista to continue DuPont's polluting practices would severely impact the health of everyone and everything living in DuPont's maximum pollution impact area. We base this request on the following facts:

1. Between 1988 and 1999, DuPont has emitted eleven million pounds of toxic wastes into the air. This makes them the single largest polluter in the State of Texas.
2. DuPont's heavy metal emissions come from 8 hazardous waste streams burning in its boilers. DuPont has burned approximately 300 million pounds of hazardous waste every year since 1973. The boilers operate 24/7, 365 days a year. In addition, they have been doing this without a valid permit. They have been operating under a grandfather clause for almost 10 years. Burning this waste saves millions a year over methods that would be healthier and more environmentally friendly.
3. According to DuPont's own EPA prescribed air modeling (occurring in 1990), we are in the maximum pollution impact area. We have lived here for many years, but were never notified of this fact. In addition, environmental sampling confirmed the presence of heavy metals, at a higher level than in surrounding areas, on our property.
4. DuPont was found guilty of trespassing on our property through heavy metal contamination in federal court.

Given this information and other information available in the appellate brief filed on our behalf, we feel that it would be a gross act of negligence to issue Invista an air permit without documentation that it has changed DuPont's disposal practices and there is no more heavy metal contamination occurring on our property. Our deepest concern is that we cannot trust DuPont/Invista. Their own employee, who is in charge of emission levels, admitted, in federal court, that records have been falsified since 1991. Given this, why should we trust Invista to comply with any permit issued?

If a permit is granted, we can only assume that the interest of big business is of more importance to the State of Texas than the health of its residents and its environment.

Sharon Harper  
1130 FM 1432  
Victoria, Texas 77905  
361/579-9547

Steve Stevenson  
1240 FM 1432  
Victoria, Texas 77905  
361/578-1441

TEXAS  
COMMISSION  
ON ENVIRONMENTAL  
QUALITY

June 9, 2005

Office of Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

*NSR  
4775A*

*PM  
HR*

OPA

JUN 10 2005

2005 JUN -9 AM 11:21

BY *ML*

CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

To Whom It May Concern:

At this time, we would like to request a public meeting and a contested case hearing on permit 20011. We have been in litigation with DuPont regarding their air emission and pollution levels for several years. Allowing Invista to continue DuPont's polluting practices would severely impact the health of everyone and everything living in DuPont's maximum pollution impact area. We base this request on the following facts:

1. Between 1988 and 1999, DuPont has emitted eleven million pounds of toxic wastes into the air. This makes them the single largest polluter in the State of Texas.
2. DuPont's heavy metal emissions come from 8 hazardous waste streams burning in its boilers. DuPont has burned approximately 300 million pounds of hazardous waste every year since 1973. The boilers operate 24/7, 365 days a year. In addition, they have been doing this without a valid permit. They have been operating under a grandfather clause for almost 10 years. Burning this waste saves millions a year over methods that would be healthier and more environmentally friendly.
3. According to DuPont's own EPA prescribed air modeling (occurring in 1990), we are in the maximum pollution impact area. We have lived here for many years, but were never notified of this fact. In addition, environmental sampling confirmed the presence of heavy metals, at a higher level than in surrounding areas, on our property.
4. DuPont was found guilty of trespassing on our property through heavy metal contamination in federal court.

Given this information and other information available in the appellate brief filed on our behalf, we feel that it would be a gross act of negligence to issue Invista an air permit without documentation that it has changed DuPont's disposal practices and there is no more heavy metal contamination occurring on our property. Our deepest concern is that we cannot trust DuPont/Invista. Their own employee, who is in charge of emission levels, admitted, in federal court, that records have been falsified since 1991. Given this, why should we trust Invista to comply with any permit issued?

If a permit is granted, we can only assume that the interest of big business is of more importance to the State of Texas than the health of its residents and its environment.

Sharon Harper  
1130 FM 1432  
Victoria, Texas 77905  
361/579-9547

Steve Stevenson  
1240 FM 1432  
Victoria, Texas 77905  
361/578-1441

*ML*

Kathleen Hartnett White, *Chairman*  
R. B. "Ralph" Marquez, *Commissioner*  
Larry R. Soward, *Commissioner*  
Glenn Shankle, *Executive Director*



## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

*Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution*

November 8, 2005

Sharon Harper  
1130 FM 1432  
Victoria, Texas 77905

RE: Invista Sarl, Renewal Permit No. 20011

Dear Ms. Harper:

Thank you for requesting a public meeting on renewal of Air Quality Permit No. 20011. Because this application is a "no-increase" renewal, the opportunity for a public meeting is limited by the provisions of the Texas Clean Air Act. In the case of a "no-increase" renewal, the Act directs the Commission to not seek further public comment. Accordingly, the agency may not hold a public meeting.

The specific comments in your letter are being addressed in the Executive Director's Response to Public Comments. You will receive a copy of the response from the Chief Clerk's Office once it has been filed.

The TCEQ appreciates your interest in environmental issues. If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact the Office of Public Assistance at 1-800-687-4040 or me at (512) 239-1201.

Sincerely,

  
Brad Alan Patterson, Staff Attorney  
Environmental Law Division

cc: LaDonna Castañuela, TCEQ Chief Clerk, MC 105  
Jodena N. Henneke, Director, TCEQ Office of Public Assistance, MC 108  
Lon Morris, Permit Writer, TCEQ Air Permits Division, MC 148

TEXAS  
COMMISSION  
ON ENVIRONMENTAL  
QUALITY  
2005 NOV 15 AM 10:10  
CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

Handwritten initials, possibly "BP", in the bottom right corner of the page.

Kathleen Hartnett White, *Chairman*  
R. B. "Ralph" Marquez, *Commissioner*  
Larry R. Soward, *Commissioner*  
Glenn Shankle, *Executive Director*



## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

*Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution*

November 8, 2005

Steve Stevenson  
1240 FM 1432  
Victoria, Texas 77905

RE: Invista Sarl, Renewal Permit No. 20011

Dear Mr. Stevenson:

Thank you for requesting a public meeting on renewal of Air Quality Permit No. 20011. Because this application is a "no-increase" renewal, the opportunity for a public meeting is limited by the provisions of the Texas Clean Air Act. In the case of a "no-increase" renewal, the Act directs the Commission to not seek further public comment. Accordingly, the agency may not hold a public meeting.

The specific comments in your letter are being addressed in the Executive Director's Response to Public Comments. You will receive a copy of the response from the Chief Clerk's Office once it has been filed.

The TCEQ appreciates your interest in environmental issues. If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact the Office of Public Assistance at 1-800-687-4040 or me at (512) 239-1201.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Brad Alan Patterson".

Brad Alan Patterson, Staff Attorney  
Environmental Law Division

cc: LaDonna Castañuela, TCEQ Chief Clerk, MC 105  
Jodena N. Henneke, Director, TCEQ Office of Public Assistance, MC 108  
Lon Morris, Permit Writer, TCEQ Air Permits Division, MC 148

TEXAS  
COMMISSION  
ON ENVIRONMENTAL  
QUALITY  
2005 NOV 15 AM 10:10  
CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

A handwritten mark or signature in the bottom right corner of the page.