

Buddy Garcia, *Chairman*
Larry R. Soward, *Commissioner*
Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., *Commissioner*
Glenn Shankle, *Executive Director*



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

March 10, 2007

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY
2007 MAR 10 PM 2:37
CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

LaDonna Castanuela
Chief Clerk
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087

Re: TCEQ DOCKET NUMBER 2007-1941-MWD

Dear Ms. Castanuela:

Enclosed you will find the original and eleven copies of the Executive Director's Response to Hearing Requests in the matter of City of Walnut Springs, Permit No. WQ0013436001.

Attached to the Executive Director's Response to Hearing Requests you will find the original and eleven copies of the backup filing for this matter.

The attachments include the following documents:

- Attachment A – Draft Permit
- Attachment B – Statement of Basis/Technical Summary and Executive Director's Preliminary Decision
- Attachment C – Compliance History of the Applicant and Facility
- Attachment D – Executive Director's Response to Public Comment (RTC)
- Attachment E – Maps of the Facility Site

If you have any questions about this matter, please call me at 239-0619.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Dede Sigman".

Dede Sigman
Staff Attorney
TCEQ Office of Legal Services

Attachments

TCEQ Docket Number 2007-1941-MWD

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY
2008 MAR 10 PM 4:17
CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

Application by
City of Walnut Springs
For TCEQ Permit No. WQ0013436001

§ Before the
§ TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§ ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S RESPONSE TO HEARING REQUESTS

I. Introduction

The Executive Director (ED) of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ or Commission) files this Response to Hearing Requests (Response) on the application of City of Walnut Springs (Applicant) for the amendment of Permit Number WQ0013436001. The Russell Family Trust, Steele Creek Ranch, L.P., Lindsay K. Russell, Sam Irizarry and Ann Irizarry, Phillip B. Butler, Trustee of the Lucille C. Butler Revocable Family Trust, and the Sztamenitis Family Limited Partnership, through their representative Bruce M. Flowers, submitted timely letters requesting a contested case hearing (CCH). Mr. Clay Humphries also submitted a timely letter requesting a CCH.

Attached for Commission consideration are the following:

- Attachment A – Draft Permit
- Attachment B – Statement of Basis/Technical Summary and Executive Director's Preliminary Decision
- Attachment C – Compliance History of the Applicant and Facility
- Attachment D – Executive Director's Response to Public Comment (RTC)
- Attachment E – Maps of the Facility Site

Copies of this brief and its attachments were also provided to all parties. The RTC was previously mailed by the Office of the Chief Clerk to all persons on the mailing list.

II. Facility Description

The Applicant has applied to the TCEQ for a major permit amendment to Texas Land Application Permit (TLAP) No. WQ0013436001 to change the method of disposal from irrigation to discharge. The current permit authorizes the disposal of treated effluent via irrigation of 60 acres of non-public access land at a daily average flow of 65,000 gallons per day. The Applicant proposed to discharge the treated effluent to Steele Creek; then to Whitney Lake in Segment No. 1203 of the Brazos River Basin. The unclassified receiving water uses are limited aquatic life uses for Steele Creek. The designated uses for Segment No. 1203 are high aquatic life uses, public water supply, and contact recreation. In accordance with §307.5 and the

TCEQ implementation procedures (January 2003) for the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards (TSWQS), an antidegradation review of the receiving waters was performed. A Tier 1 antidegradation review has preliminarily determined that existing water quality uses will not be impaired by this permit action. Numerical and narrative criteria to protect existing uses will be maintained. This review has preliminarily determined that no water bodies with exceptional, high, or intermediate aquatic life uses are present within the stream reach assessed; therefore, no Tier 2 degradation determination is required. No significant degradation of water quality is expected in water bodies with exceptional, high, or intermediate aquatic life uses downstream, and existing uses will be maintained and protected.

Currently, the Walnut Springs Wastewater Treatment Facility is a pond system. The treatment units include two aeration ponds and two stabilization ponds. The facility also includes a holding pond for storage of treated effluent prior to irrigation.

The effluent limitations in the draft permit, based on a 30-day average, are 30 mg/l BOD₅ (five-day Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand), 90 mg/l TSS (Total Suspended Solids) and 4.0 mg/l minimum dissolved oxygen (DO). Disinfection is accomplished through a total residence time in the wastewater treatment system of at least 21 days, based on a daily average flow of 0.065 MGD.

The facility is located approximately 1,500 feet east of the crossing of State Highway 144 over Steele Creek in the City of Walnut Springs in Bosque County, Texas. The irrigation site is located approximately one mile west-southwest of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 927 (Texas Street) and State Highway 144.

III. Procedural Background

The permit application for a major permit amendment was received on June 21, 2006 and declared administratively complete on September 5, 2006. The Notice of Receipt and Intent to Obtain a Water Quality Permit (NORI) was published on September 13, 2006 in the *Bosque County News*. The Notice of Application and Preliminary Decision (NAPD) for a Water Quality Permit was published on March 21, 2007 in the *Bosque County News*. The public comment period ended on April 20, 2007. The Executive Director's Response to Public Comment (RTC) was filed on October 29, 2007, and the period for requesting reconsideration or a contested case hearing ended on December 5, 2007. Since this application was administratively complete after September 1, 1999, it is subject to House Bill 801 (76th Legislature, 1999).

IV. The Evaluation Process for Hearing Requests

House Bill 801 established statutory procedures for public participation in certain environmental permitting proceedings. For those applications declared administratively complete on or after September 1, 1999, it established new procedures for providing public notice and public comment, and for the commission's consideration of hearing requests. The

application was declared administratively complete on September 5, 2006 and therefore is subject to the HB 801 requirements. The Commission implemented HB 801 by adopting procedural rules in 30 Texas Administrative Code (30 TAC) Chapters 39, 50, and 55.

A. Responses to Requests

"The executive director, the public interest counsel, and the applicant may submit written responses to [hearing] requests . . ." 30 TAC § 55.209(d).

Responses to hearing requests must specifically address:

- (1) whether the requestor is an affected person;
- (2) which issues raised in the hearing request are disputed;
- (3) whether the dispute involves questions of fact or of law;
- (4) whether the issues were raised during the public comment period;
- (5) whether the hearing request is based on issues raised solely in a public comment withdrawn by the commenter in writing by filing a withdrawal letter with the chief clerk prior to the filing of the Executive Director's Response to Comment;
- (6) whether the issues are relevant and material to the decision on the application; and
- (7) a maximum expected duration for the contested case hearing.

30 TAC § 55.209(e).

B. Hearing Request Requirements

In order for the Commission to consider a hearing request, the Commission must first determine whether the request meets certain requirements. "A request for a contested case hearing by an affected person must be in writing, must be filed with the chief clerk within the time provided . . . and may not be based on an issue that was raised solely in a public comment withdrawn by the commenter in writing by filing a withdrawal letter with the chief clerk prior to the filing of the Executive Director's Response to Comment."

30 TAC § 55.201(c).

A hearing request must substantially comply with the following:

- (1) give the name, address, daytime telephone number, and where possible, fax number of the person who files the request. If the request is made by a group or association, the request must identify one person by name, address, daytime telephone number, and where possible, fax number, who shall be responsible for receiving all official communications and documents for the group;
- (2) identify the person's personal justiciable interest affected by the application, including a brief, but specific, written statement explaining in plain language the requestor's location and distance relative to the proposed facility or activity that is the subject of the application and how and why the requestor believes he or she

- will be adversely affected by the proposed facility or activity in a manner not common to members of the general public;
- (3) request a contested case hearing;
 - (4) list all relevant and material disputed issues of fact that were raised during the public comment period and that are the basis of the hearing request. To facilitate the commission's determination of the number and scope of issues to be referred to hearing, the requestor should, to the extent possible, specify any of the executive director's responses to comments that the requestor disputes and the factual basis of the dispute and list any disputed issues of law or policy; and
 - (5) provide any other information specified in the public notice of application.

30 TAC § 55.201(d).

C. Requirement that Requestor be an "Affected Person"

In order to grant a contested case hearing, the Commission must determine that a requestor is an "affected person."

- (a) For any application, an affected person is one who has a personal justiciable interest related to a legal right, duty, privilege, power, or economic interest affected by the application. An interest common to members of the general public does not qualify as a personal justiciable interest.
- (b) Governmental entities, including local governments and public agencies with authority under state law over issues raised by the application may be considered affected persons.
- (c) In determining whether a person is an affected person, all factors shall be considered, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (1) whether the interest claimed is one protected by the law under which the application will be considered;
 - (2) distance restrictions or other limitations imposed by law on the affected interest;
 - (3) whether a reasonable relationship exists between the interest claimed and the activity regulated;
 - (4) likely impact of the regulated activity on the health and safety of the person, and on the use of property of the person;
 - (5) likely impact of the regulated activity on use of the impacted natural resource by the person; and
 - (6) for governmental entities, their statutory authority over or interest in the issues relevant to the application.

30 TAC § 55.203.

D. Additional Requirements if Requestor is a Group or Association

A group or association may request a contested case hearing only if the group or association meets all of the following requirements:

- (1) one or more members of the group or association would otherwise have standing to request a hearing in their own right;
- (2) the interests the group or association seeks to protect are germane to the organization's purpose; and
- (3) neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested requires the participation of the individual members in the case.

30 TAC § 55.205(a).

E. Referral to the State Office of Administrative Hearings

"When the commission grants a request for a contested case hearing, the commission shall issue an order specifying the number and scope of the issues to be referred to SOAH for a hearing." 30 TAC § 50.115(b). "The commission may not refer an issue to SOAH for a contested case hearing unless the commission determines that the issue: (1) involves a disputed question of fact; (2) was raised during the public comment period; and (3) is relevant and material to the decision on the application." 30 TAC § 50.115(c).

V. Analysis of the Requests

A. Analysis of the Hearing Requests.

The Executive Director has analyzed the hearing requests to determine whether they conform with Commission rules, who qualifies as an affected person, what issues may be referred for a contested case hearing, and what is the appropriate length of the hearing.

1. Whether the Requestors Complied With 30 TAC §§ 55.201(c) and (d).

The Russell Family Trust, Steele Creek Ranch, L.P., Lindsay K. Russell, Sam Irizarry and Ann Irizarry, Phillip B. Butler, Trustee of the Lucille C. Butler Revocable Family Trust, and the Sztamenitis Family Limited Partnership, through their representative Bruce M. Flowers, did not meet all of the requirements. 30 TAC Section 55.201(d)(2) states a hearing request must identify the person's justiciable interest affected by the application, including a brief, but specific, written statement explaining in plain language the requester's location and distance relative to the proposed facility or activity that is the subject of the application. Mr. Flowers states that a significant portion of Steele Creek runs through his clients' properties. He does not however provide a street address or explain his clients' locations and distances relative to the facility or discharge point. Therefore, the requestors have failed to identify their personal justiciable interest and their hearing request does not comply with 30 TAC Section 55.201.

The Executive Director concludes The Russell Family Trust, Steele Creek Ranch, L.P., Lindsay K. Russell, Sam Irizarry and Ann Irizarry, Phillip B. Butler, Trustee of the Lucille C. Butler Revocable Family Trust, and the Sztamenitis Family Limited Partnership's, through their representative Bruce M. Flowers, request does not comply with the requirements of 30 TAC Section 55.201 (c) and (d).

Mr. Humphries filed a timely hearing request in writing that was not based on a comment that was withdrawn prior to the filing of the Executive Director's Response to Hearing Request. Mr. Humphries's request gave the appropriate contact information, identified his personal justiciable interests alleged to be adversely affected by the application, requested a contested case hearing, and listed all relevant and material disputed issues of fact.

The Executive Director concludes Mr. Humphries does comply with the requirements of 30 TAC Section 55.201 (c) and (d).

2. *Whether the Requestors Met the Requirements of an Affected Person*

The Russell Family Trust, Steele Creek Ranch, L.P., Lindsay K. Russell, Sam Irizarry and Ann Irizarry, Phillip B. Butler, Trustee of the Lucille C. Butler Revocable Family Trust, and the Sztamenitis Family Limited Partnership, through their representative Bruce M. Flowers, requested a contested case hearing but did not provide their addresses or their proximity to the discharge point. As a result, the Executive Director could not determine the proximity to the facility or the discharge point.

The Executive Director recommends the Commission find that The Russell Family Trust, Steele Creek Ranch, L.P., Lindsay K. Russell, Sam Irizarry and Ann Irizarry, Phillip B. Butler, Trustee of the Lucille C. Butler Revocable Family Trust, and the Sztamenitis Family Limited Partnership, through their representative Bruce M. Flowers, are not an affected persons according to 30 TAC Sections 55.203(a) or (c) because their location in proximity to the facility cannot be determined since their addresses were not provided, and recommends the Commission deny the hearing requests. If The Russell Family Trust, Steele Creek Ranch, L.P., Lindsay K. Russell, Sam Irizarry and Ann Irizarry, Phillip B. Butler, Trustee of the Lucille C. Butler Revocable Family Trust, and the Sztamenitis Family Limited Partnership, through their representative Bruce M. Flowers, provide facts demonstrating a reasonable proximity to the facility, the Executive Director may amend his recommendation.

Mr. Humphries asserts that he owns 77.38 acres on Steele Creek and approximately six miles downstream from the City of Walnut Springs. Mr. Humphries is not listed on the affected landowner map. Mr. Humphries has not raised an interest that is protected by law or a distance restriction or other limitation imposed by law. Mr. Humphries did not show a reasonable relationship that exists between his interest claimed and the activity regulated, a likely impact of the regulated activity on his health and safety, a likely impact of the regulated activity on the use of his property, or a likely impact of the regulated activity on his use of an impacted natural

resource that is beyond a claim or interest made by the general public. Based on his distance from the facility, the issues he raised are common to members of the general public.

The Executive Director recommends the Commission find Mr. Humphries is not an affected person because he does not meet all the requirements in 30 TAC Section 55.203.

B. *Whether the Issues Raised are Referable to SOAH for a Contested Case Hearing*

In the event the Commission finds there is a right to a contested case hearing and that there are affected persons, the Executive Director has analyzed issues raised in accordance with the regulatory criteria. The issues raised for this application and the Executive Director's analysis and recommendations follow.

ISSUE 1: *Whether the discharge will adversely affect the wildlife and livestock?*

This issue is within TCEQ's jurisdiction and is relevant and material to TCEQ's decision on the permit application. The issue involves a question of fact, is disputed, was raised during the public comment period, and was not withdrawn.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue is referable to SOAH because it meets the relevant criteria.

ISSUE 2: *Whether the discharge will adversely affect the underground aquifer?*

Both Mr. Flowers and Mr. Humphries commented that the discharge may pollute the underground aquifer below drinking water standards. This issue is within TCEQ's jurisdiction and is relevant and material to TCEQ's decision on the permit application. The issue involves a question of fact, is disputed, was raised during the public comment period, and was not withdrawn.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue is referable to SOAH because it meets the relevant criteria.

ISSUE 3: *Whether the discharge will increase pollutant concentration levels to levels that will result in substantial and imminent harm to health and the environment?*

This issue is within TCEQ's jurisdiction and is relevant and material to TCEQ's decision on the permit application. The issue involves a question of fact, is disputed, was raised during the public comment period, and was not withdrawn.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue is referable to SOAH because it meets the relevant criteria.

ISSUE 4: Whether the applicant should use the irrigation method rather than the discharge method for the effluent?

The Texas Water Code provides that TCEQ may authorize discharges into water in the state. The Executive Director does not have the authority to mandate a different discharge location or method of disposal. The Executive Director evaluates applications for wastewater treatment plants based on the information provided in the application. Therefore, this issue is not relevant and material to a decision on the application.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue is not referable to SOAH because it is not relevant and material to the decision on this permit.

ISSUE 5: Whether the limited aquatic life classification for Steele Creek is correct?

This issue is within TCEQ's jurisdiction and is relevant and material to TCEQ's decision on the permit application. The issue involves a question of fact, is disputed, was raised during the public comment period, and was not withdrawn.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue is referable to SOAH because it meets the relevant criteria.

ISSUE 6: Whether the NAPD complied with 30 TAC Sections 39.411(c) and 39.551(c)?

Mr. Flowers alleged the NAPD does not state that the antidegradation policy will be pertinent to the permit action or that degradation of the waters found in Steele Creek is not anticipated. Mr. Flowers also alleged the NAPD does not address the impact the requested amendment would have on Steele Creeke. TCEQ adopted rules concerning information required in the NAPD, which is stated in 30 TAC Sections 39.411(c) and 39.551(c). There is no factual dispute that the NAPD complied with 30 TAC Sections 39.411(c) and 39.551(d). The issue involves a legal question and not of fact and should not be referred to SOAH.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue is not referable to SOAH because it is a legal question and not a question of fact.

ISSUE 7: Whether the discharge will degrade Steele Creek?

Mr. Flowers also asked if there was any social or economic justification for lowering of water quality and would fall under the issue if the discharge would degrade Steele Creek. The lowering of water quality and degradation issues were reviewed in the antidegradation review done by TCEQ staff. This issue is within TCEQ's jurisdiction and is relevant and material to TCEQ's decision on the permit application. The issue involves a question of fact, is disputed, was raised during the public comment period, and was not withdrawn.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue is referable to SOAH because it meets the relevant criteria.

ISSUE 8: Whether the discharge will adversely affect the recreational and aesthetic use and enjoyment of Steele Creek?

This issue is within TCEQ's jurisdiction and is relevant and material to TCEQ's decision on the permit application. The issue involves a question of fact, is disputed, was raised during the public comment period, and was not withdrawn.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue is referable to SOAH because it meets the relevant criteria.

ISSUE 9: Whether the discharge will affect property values?

The Legislature has given TCEQ the responsibility to protect water quality. However, neither chapter 26 of the Texas Water Code, nor the applicable TCEQ wastewater regulations authorize the TCEQ to consider property values when reviewing a permit application. The TCEQ therefore lacks regulatory authority to consider property values when reviewing wastewater applications and preparing draft permits.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue is not referable to SOAH because it is not relevant and material to the decision on this permit.

ISSUE 10: Whether the Applicant has obtained the proper land interests for the permit amendment?

The Texas Water Code provides that TCEQ may authorize discharges into water in the state. The Executive Director evaluates applications for wastewater treatment plants based on the information provided in the application. The applicant is responsible for obtaining the needed property interests for their permit application.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue is not referable to SOAH because it is not relevant and material to the decision on this permit.

ISSUE 11: Whether the discharge will affect protected species?

This issue was raised after the close of the comment period. Based upon 30 TAC Section 50.115(c), this issue may not be considered in a contested case proceeding.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue was raised after the close of the public comment period stated in 30 TAC Section 55.152(a) and may not be considered in a contested case proceeding based up 30 TAC Section 50,115(c).

ISSUE 12: Whether the discharge will adversely impact air quality?

This issue was raised after the close of the comment period. Based upon 30 TAC Section 50.115(c), this issue may not be considered in a contested case proceeding.

The Executive Director concludes that this issue was raised after the close of the public comment period stated in 30 TAC Section 55.152(a) and may not be considered in a contested case proceeding based up 30 TAC Section 50.115(c).

VI. Duration of the Contested Case Hearing

The Executive Director recommends nine month duration for a contested case hearing on this matter, should there be one, between preliminary hearing and the presentation of a proposal for decision before the commission.

VIII. Executive Director's Recommendation

The Executive Director recommends that the Commission find The Russell Family Trust, Steele Creek Ranch, L.P., Lindsay K. Russell, Sam Irizarry and Ann Irizarry, Phillip B. Butler, Trustee of the Lucille C. Butler Revocable Family Trust, and the Sztamenitis Family Limited Partnership, through their representative Bruce M. Flowers, and Mr. Humphries are not affected person statuses.

In the event the Commission finds there is a right to a contested case hearing and there are affected parties, the Executive Director recommends the Commission refer the following issues to SOAH for a proceeding of nine months duration:

- ISSUE 1: Whether the discharge will adversely affect the wildlife and livestock?**
- ISSUE 2: Whether the discharge will adversely affect the underground aquifer?**
- ISSUE 3: Whether the discharge will increase pollutant concentration levels to levels that will result in substantial and imminent harm to health and the environment?**
- ISSUE 5: Whether the limited aquatic life classification for Steele Creek is correct?**
- ISSUE 7: Whether the discharge will degrade Steele Creek?**
- ISSUE 8: Whether the discharge will adversely affect the recreational and aesthetic use and enjoyment of Steele Creek?**

Respectfully submitted,



Dede Sigman, Staff Attorney
Environmental Law Division

Executive Director's Response to Hearing Requests
City of Walnut Springs
TCEQ Docket No. 2007-1941-MWD

State Bar No. 24044640

Representing the Executive Director of the
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on March 10, 2008, the original and eleven copies of the "Executive Director's Response to Hearing Requests" for Permit Number WQ0013436001 were filed with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality's Office of the Chief Clerk, and a true and correct copy was served on all persons on the attached mailing list by the undersigned via deposit into the U.S. Mail, inter-agency mail, facsimile, or hand delivery.



Dede Sigman, Staff Attorney
Environmental Law Division
State Bar No. 2406440

MAILING LIST
CITY OF WALNUT SPRINGS
DOCKET NO. 2007-1941-MWD; PERMIT NO. WQ0013436001

FOR THE APPLICANT:

Charles P. Gillespie, Jr., P.E.
Consulting Environmental Engineers, Inc.
150 North Harbin Drive, Suite 408
Stephenville, Texas 76401-2800
Tel: (254) 968-8130
Fax: (254) 968-8131

The Honorable Benny Damron
City of Walnut Springs
P.O. Box 272
Walnut Springs, Texas 76690-0272
Tel: (254) 797-3721
Fax: (254) 797-7210

FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:

Mary Ann Airey, Technical Staff
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Water Quality Division, MC-148
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239-4521
Fax: (512) 239-4430

FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST COUNSEL:

Mr. Blas J. Coy, Jr., Attorney
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Public Interest Counsel, MC-103
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239-6363
Fax: (512) 239-6377

FOR OFFICE OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE:

Ms. Bridget Bohac, Director
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of Public Assistance, MC-108
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239-4000
Fax: (512) 239-4007

FOR ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE

RESOLUTION:

Mr. Kyle Lucas
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Alternative Dispute Resolution, MC-222
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239-4010
Fax: (512) 239-4015

FOR THE CHIEF CLERK:

Ms. LaDonna Castanuela
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of Chief Clerk, MC-105
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Tel: (512) 239-3300
Fax: (512) 239-3311

REQUESTORS:

Bruce M. Flowers
Kane Russell Coleman & Logan PC
3700 Thanksgiving Tower
1601 Elm Street
Dallas, Texas 75201
Tel: (214) 777-4206
Fax: (214) 777-4299

Clay Humphries
420 Throckmorton Street, Suite 710
Fort Worth, Texas 76102-3724
Tel: (817) 332-1219
Fax: (817) 332-3296

Attachment A
Draft Permit



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

TPDES PERMIT NO. WQ0013436001
[For TCEQ Office Use Only:
EPA ID No. TX0128945]

This amendment supersedes and
replaces TLAP Permit No.
WQ0013436001
issued November 15, 2004.

PERMIT TO DISCHARGE WASTES
under provisions of
Section 402 of the Clean Water Act
and Chapter 26 of the Texas Water Code

City of Walnut Springs

whose mailing address is

P.O. Box 272
Walnut Springs, Texas 76690-0272

is authorized to treat and discharge wastes from the Walnut Springs Wastewater Treatment Facility, SIC Code 4952
located approximately 1,500 feet east of the crossing of State Highway 144 over Steele Creek in the City of Walnut
Springs in Bosque County, Texas

to Steele Creek; thence to Whitney Lake in Segment No. 1203 of the Brazos River Basin

only according with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth in this permit, as well
as the rules of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the laws of the State of Texas, and other
orders of the TCEQ. The issuance of this permit does not grant to the permittee the right to use private or public
property for conveyance of wastewater along the discharge route described in this permit. This includes, but is not
limited to, property belonging to any individual, partnership, corporation or other entity. Neither does this permit
authorize any invasion of personal rights nor any violation of federal, state, or local laws or regulations. It is the
responsibility of the permittee to acquire property rights as may be necessary to use the discharge route.

This permit shall expire at midnight, July 1, 2010.

ISSUED DATE:

For the Commission

INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

1. During the period beginning upon the date of issuance and lasting through the completion of the facilities for discharge, the permittee is authorized to dispose of treated effluent via irrigation subject to the following effluent limitations:

The daily average flow of effluent shall not exceed 0.065 million gallons per day (MGD).
 See Other Requirement No. 9 for additional requirements concerning disposal via irrigation.
 No discharge of pollutants into water in the State is authorized. (See Attachment A.)

A. Effluent Limitations:

<u>Effluent Characteristic</u>	<u>Effluent Limitations</u> (Not to Exceed)	
	<u>Daily Average</u>	<u>Single Grab</u>
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-day), mg/l	N/A	100

The pH shall not be less than 6.0 standard units nor greater than 9.0 standard units.

B. Monitoring Requirements:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Monitoring Frequency</u>	<u>Sample Type</u>
Flow	Five/week	Instantaneous
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-day)	One/month	Grab
pH	One/month	Grab

The monitoring shall be done after the final treatment unit and prior to land application. These records shall be maintained on a monthly basis and be available at the plant site for inspection by authorized representatives of the Commission for at least three years.

FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Outfall Number 001

1. During the period beginning upon the date of completion of the facilities for discharge and lasting through the date of expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge subject to the following effluent limitations:

The daily average flow of effluent shall not exceed 0.065 million gallons per day (MGD).

<u>Effluent Characteristic</u>	<u>Discharge Limitations</u>				<u>Minimum Self-Monitoring Requirements</u>	
	Daily Avg mg/l(lbs/day)	7-day Avg mg/l	Daily Max mg/l	Single Grab mg/l	Report Daily Avg. & Max. Measurement Frequency	Single Grab Sample Type
Flow, MGD	Report	N/A	Report	N/A	Five/week	Instantaneous
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-day)	30 (16)	45	70	100	One/week	Grab
Total Suspended Solids	90 (49)	135	N/A	N/A	One/week	Grab

2. The total residence time in the wastewater treatment system shall be at least 21 days, based on a daily average flow of 0.065 MGD. An equivalent method of disinfection may be substituted only with prior approval of the Executive Director.
3. The pH shall not be less than 6.0 standard units nor greater than 9.0 standard units and shall be monitored once per month by grab sample.
4. There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts and no discharge of visible oil.
5. Effluent monitoring samples shall be taken at the following location(s): Following the final treatment unit.
6. The effluent shall contain a minimum dissolved oxygen of 4.0 mg/l and shall be monitored once per week by grab sample.

DEFINITIONS AND STANDARD PERMIT CONDITIONS

As required by Title 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 305, certain regulations appear as standard conditions in waste discharge permits. 30 TAC §§ 305.121 - 305.129 (relating to Permit Characteristics and Conditions) as promulgated under the Texas Water Code §§ 5.103 and 5.105, and the Texas Health and Safety Code §§ 361.017 and 361.024(a), establish the characteristics and standards for waste discharge permits, including sewage sludge, and those sections of 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 122 adopted by reference by the Commission. The following text includes these conditions and incorporates them into this permit. All definitions in Section 26.001 of the Texas Water Code and 30 TAC Chapter 305 shall apply to this permit and are incorporated by reference. Some specific definitions of words or phrases used in this permit are as follows:

1. Flow Measurements

- a. Annual average flow - the arithmetic average of all daily flow determinations taken within the preceding 12 consecutive calendar months. The annual average flow determination shall consist of daily flow volume determinations made by a totalizing meter, charted on a chart recorder and limited to major domestic wastewater discharge facilities with a 1 million gallons per day or greater permitted flow.
- b. Daily average flow - the arithmetic average of all determinations of the daily flow within a period of one calendar month. The daily average flow determination shall consist of determinations made on at least four separate days. If instantaneous measurements are used to determine the daily flow, the determination shall be the arithmetic average of all instantaneous measurements taken during that month. Daily average flow determination for intermittent discharges shall consist of a minimum of three flow determinations on days of discharge.
- c. Daily maximum flow - the highest total flow for any 24-hour period in a calendar month.
- d. Instantaneous flow - the measured flow during the minimum time required to interpret the flow measuring device.
- e. 2-hour peak flow (domestic wastewater treatment plants) - the maximum flow sustained for a two-hour period during the period of daily discharge. The average of multiple measurements of instantaneous maximum flow within a two-hour period may be used to calculate the 2-hour peak flow.
- f. Maximum 2-hour peak flow (domestic wastewater treatment plants) - the highest 2-hour peak flow for any 24-hour period in a calendar month.

2. Concentration Measurements

- a. Daily average concentration - the arithmetic average of all effluent samples, composite or grab as required by this permit, within a period of one calendar month, consisting of at least four separate representative measurements.
 - i. For domestic wastewater treatment plants - When four samples are not available in a calendar month, the arithmetic average (weighted by flow) of all values in the previous four consecutive month period consisting of at least four measurements shall be utilized as the daily average concentration.
 - ii. For all other wastewater treatment plants - When four samples are not available in a calendar month, the arithmetic average (weighted by flow) of all values taken during the month shall be utilized as the daily average concentration.
- b. 7-day average concentration - the arithmetic average of all effluent samples, composite or grab as required by this permit, within a period of one calendar week, Sunday through Saturday.
- c. Daily maximum concentration - the maximum concentration measured on a single day, by the sample type specified in the permit, within a period of one calendar month.
- d. Daily discharge - the discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling. For pollutants with limitations expressed in terms of mass, the "daily discharge" is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the sampling day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the "daily discharge" is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the sampling day.

The "daily discharge" determination of concentration made using a composite sample shall be the concentration of the composite sample. When grab samples are used, the "daily discharge" determination of concentration shall be the arithmetic average (weighted by flow value) of all samples collected during that day.

- e. Fecal coliform bacteria concentration - the number of colonies of fecal coliform bacteria per 100 milliliters effluent. The daily average fecal coliform bacteria concentration is a geometric mean of the values for the effluent samples collected in a calendar month. The geometric mean shall be determined by calculating the n th root of the product of all measurements made in a calendar month, where n equals the number of measurements made; or, computed as the antilogarithm of the arithmetic mean of the logarithms of all measurements made in a calendar month. For any measurement of fecal coliform bacteria equaling zero, a substituted value of one shall be made for input into either computation method. The 7-day average for fecal coliform bacteria is the geometric mean of the values for all effluent samples collected during a calendar week.
 - f. Daily average loading (lbs/day) - the arithmetic average of all daily discharge loading calculations during a period of one calendar month. These calculations must be made for each day of the month that a parameter is analyzed. The daily discharge, in terms of mass (lbs/day), is calculated as (Flow, MGD x Concentration, mg/l x 8.34).
 - g. Daily maximum loading (lbs/day) - the highest daily discharge, in terms of mass (lbs/day), within a period of one calendar month.
3. Sample Type
- a. Composite sample - For domestic wastewater, a composite sample is a sample made up of a minimum of three effluent portions collected in a continuous 24-hour period or during the period of daily discharge if less than 24 hours, and combined in volumes proportional to flow, and collected at the intervals required by 30 TAC § 319.9 (a). For industrial wastewater, a composite sample is a sample made up of a minimum of three effluent portions collected in a continuous 24-hour period or during the period of daily discharge if less than 24 hours, and combined in volumes proportional to flow, and collected at the intervals required by 30 TAC § 319.9 (b).
 - b. Grab sample - an individual sample collected in less than 15 minutes.
4. Treatment Facility (facility) - wastewater facilities used in the conveyance, storage, treatment, recycling, reclamation and/or disposal of domestic sewage, industrial wastes, agricultural wastes, recreational wastes, or other wastes including sludge handling or disposal facilities under the jurisdiction of the Commission.
5. The term "sewage sludge" is defined as solid, semi-solid, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in 30 TAC Chapter 312. This includes the solids which have not been classified as hazardous waste separated from wastewater by unit processes .
6. Bypass - the intentional diversion of a waste stream from any portion of a treatment facility.

MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. Self-Reporting

Monitoring results shall be provided at the intervals specified in the permit. Unless otherwise specified in this permit or otherwise ordered by the Commission, the permittee shall conduct effluent sampling and reporting in accordance with 30 TAC §§ 319.4 - 319.12. Unless otherwise specified, a monthly effluent report shall be submitted each month, to the Enforcement Division (MC 224), by the 20th day of the following month for each discharge which is described by this permit whether or not a discharge is made for that month. Monitoring results must be reported on an approved self-report form, that is signed and certified as required by Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 10.

As provided by state law, the permittee is subject to administrative, civil and criminal penalties, as applicable, for negligently or knowingly violating the Clean Water Act, the Texas Water Code, Chapters 26, 27, and 28, and Texas Health and Safety Code, Chapter 361, including but not limited to knowingly making any false statement, representation, or certification on any report, record, or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or noncompliance, or falsifying, tampering with or knowingly rendering inaccurate any monitoring device or method required by this permit or violating any other requirement imposed by state or federal regulations.

2. Test Procedures

Unless otherwise specified in this permit, test procedures for the analysis of pollutants shall comply with procedures specified in 30 TAC §§ 319.11 - 319.12. Measurements, tests and calculations shall be accurately accomplished in a representative manner.

3. Records of Results

- a. Monitoring samples and measurements shall be taken at times and in a manner so as to be representative of the monitored activity.
- b. Except for records of monitoring information required by this permit related to the permittee's sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five years (or longer as required by 40 CFR Part 503), monitoring and reporting records, including strip charts and records of calibration and maintenance, copies of all records required by this permit, records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, and the certification required by 40 CFR § 264.73(b)(9) shall be retained at the facility site, or shall be readily available for review by a TCEQ representative for a period of three years from the date of the record or sample, measurement, report, application or certification. This period shall be extended at the request of the Executive Director.
- c. Records of monitoring activities shall include the following:
 - i. date, time and place of sample or measurement;
 - ii. identity of individual who collected the sample or made the measurement.
 - iii. date and time of analysis;
 - iv. identity of the individual and laboratory who performed the analysis;
 - v. the technique or method of analysis; and
 - vi. the results of the analysis or measurement and quality assurance/quality control records.

The period during which records are required to be kept shall be automatically extended to the date of the final disposition of any administrative or judicial enforcement action that may be instituted against the permittee.

4. Additional Monitoring by Permittee

If the permittee monitors any pollutant at the location(s) designated herein more frequently than required by this permit using approved analytical methods as specified above, all results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the values submitted on the approved self-report form. Increased frequency of sampling shall be indicated on the self-report form.

5. Calibration of Instruments

All automatic flow measuring or recording devices and all totalizing meters for measuring flows shall be accurately calibrated by a trained person at plant start-up and as often thereafter as necessary to ensure accuracy, but not less often than annually unless authorized by the Executive Director for a longer period. Such person shall verify in writing that the device is operating properly and giving accurate results. Copies of the verification shall be retained at the facility site and/or shall be readily available for review by a TCEQ representative for a period of three years.

6. Compliance Schedule Reports

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of the permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date to the Regional Office and the Enforcement Division (MC 224).

7. Noncompliance Notification

- a. In accordance with 30 TAC § 305.125(9) any noncompliance which may endanger human health or safety, or the environment shall be reported by the permittee to the TCEQ. Report of such information shall be provided orally or by facsimile transmission (FAX) to the Regional Office within 24 hours of becoming aware of the noncompliance. A written submission of such information shall also be provided by the permittee to the Regional Office and the Enforcement Division (MC 224) within five working days of becoming aware of the noncompliance. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the potential danger to human health or safety, or the environment; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times; if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance, and to mitigate its adverse effects.
- b. The following violations shall be reported under Monitoring and Reporting Requirement 7.a.:

- i. Unauthorized discharges as defined in Permit Condition 2(g).
 - ii. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - iii. Violation of a permitted maximum daily discharge limitation for pollutants listed specifically in the Other Requirements section of an Industrial TPDES permit.
- c. In addition to the above, any effluent violation which deviates from the permitted effluent limitation by more than 40% shall be reported by the permittee in writing to the Regional Office and the Enforcement Division (MC 224) within 5 working days of becoming aware of the noncompliance.
- d. Any noncompliance other than that specified in this section, or any required information not submitted or submitted incorrectly, shall be reported to the Enforcement Division (MC 224) as promptly as possible. For effluent limitation violations, noncompliances shall be reported on the approved self-report form.
8. In accordance with the procedures described in 30 TAC §§ 35.301 - 35.303 (relating to Water Quality Emergency and Temporary Orders) if the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice by applying for such authorization.
9. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

All existing manufacturing, commercial, mining, and silvicultural permittees shall notify the Regional Office, orally or by facsimile transmission within 24 hours, and both the Regional Office and the Enforcement Division (MC 224) in writing within five (5) working days, after becoming aware of or having reason to believe:

- a. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge, on a routine or frequent basis, of any toxic pollutant listed at 40 CFR Part 122, Appendix D, Tables II and III (excluding Total Phenols) which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
 - i. One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);
 - ii. Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,4-dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - iii. Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application; or
 - iv. The level established by the TCEQ.
 - b. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge, on a nonroutine or infrequent basis, of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
 - i. Five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L);
 - ii. One milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - iii. Ten (10) times the maximum concentration value reported for that pollutant in the permit application; or
 - iv. The level established by the TCEQ.
10. Signatories to Reports

All reports and other information requested by the Executive Director shall be signed by the person and in the manner required by 30 TAC § 305.128 (relating to Signatories to Reports).

11. All Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) must provide adequate notice to the Executive Director of the following:
- a. Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of the CWA if it were directly discharging those pollutants;
 - b. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit; and
 - c. For the purpose of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on:
 - i. The quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW; and
 - ii. Any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

PERMIT CONDITIONS

1. General

- a. When the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in an application or in any report to the Executive Director, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.
- b. This permit is granted on the basis of the information supplied and representations made by the permittee during action on an application, and relying upon the accuracy and completeness of that information and those representations. After notice and opportunity for a hearing, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked, in whole or in part, in accordance with 30 TAC Chapter 305, Subchapter D, during its term for good cause including, but not limited to, the following:
 - i. Violation of any terms or conditions of this permit;
 - ii. Obtaining this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully all relevant facts; or
 - iii. A change in any condition that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge.
- c. The permittee shall furnish to the Executive Director, upon request and within a reasonable time, any information to determine whether cause exists for amending, revoking, suspending or terminating the permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Executive Director, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by the permit.

2. Compliance

- a. Acceptance of the permit by the person to whom it is issued constitutes acknowledgment and agreement that such person will comply with all the terms and conditions embodied in the permit, and the rules and other orders of the Commission.
- b. The permittee has a duty to comply with all conditions of the permit. Failure to comply with any permit condition constitutes a violation of the permit and the Texas Water Code or the Texas Health and Safety Code, and is grounds for enforcement action, for permit amendment, revocation or suspension, or for denial of a permit renewal application or an application for a permit for another facility.
- c. It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of the permit.
- d. The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal or other permit violation which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.
- e. Authorization from the Commission is required before beginning any change in the permitted facility or activity that may result in noncompliance with any permit requirements.
- f. A permit may be amended, suspended and reissued, or revoked for cause in accordance with 30 TAC §§ 305.62 and 305.66 and Texas Water Code Section 7.302. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit amendment, suspension and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.
- g. There shall be no unauthorized discharge of wastewater or any other waste. For the purpose of this permit, an unauthorized discharge is considered to be any discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to water in the state at any location not permitted as an outfall or otherwise defined in the Other Requirements section of this permit.
- h. In accordance with 30 TAC § 305.535(a), the permittee may allow any bypass to occur from a TPDES permitted facility which does not cause permitted effluent limitations to be exceeded or an unauthorized discharge to occur, but only if the bypass is also for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation.
- i. The permittee is subject to administrative, civil, and criminal penalties, as applicable, under Texas Water Code §§ 7.051 - 7.075 (relating to Administrative Penalties), 7.101 - 7.111 (relating to Civil Penalties), and 7.141 - 7.202 (relating to Criminal Offenses and Penalties) for violations including, but not limited to, negligently or knowingly violating the federal Clean Water Act, §§ 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405, or any condition or limitation implementing any sections in a permit issued under the CWA § 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under the CWA §§ 402 (a)(3) or 402 (b)(8).

3. Inspections and Entry

- a. Inspection and entry shall be allowed as prescribed in the Texas Water Code Chapters 26, 27, and 28, and Texas Health and Safety Code Chapter 361.
- b. The members of the Commission and employees and agents of the Commission are entitled to enter any public or private property at any reasonable time for the purpose of inspecting and investigating conditions relating to the quality of water in the state or the compliance with any rule, regulation, permit or other order of the Commission. Members, employees, or agents of the Commission and Commission contractors are entitled to enter public or private property at any reasonable time to investigate or monitor or, if the responsible party is not responsive or there is an immediate danger to public health or the environment, to remove or remediate a condition related to the quality of water in the state. Members, employees, Commission contractors, or agents acting under this authority who enter private property shall observe the establishment's rules and regulations concerning safety, internal security, and fire protection, and if the property has management in residence, shall notify management or the person then in charge of his presence and shall exhibit proper credentials. If any member, employee, Commission contractor, or agent is refused the right to enter in or on public or private property under this authority, the Executive Director may invoke the remedies authorized in Texas Water Code Section 7.002. The statement above, that Commission entry shall occur in accordance with an establishment's rules and regulations concerning safety, internal security, and fire protection, is not grounds for denial or restriction of entry to any part of the facility, but merely describes the Commission's duty to observe appropriate rules and regulations during an inspection.

4. Permit Amendment and/or Renewal

- a. The permittee shall give notice to the Executive Director as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility if such alterations or additions would require a permit amendment or result in a violation of permit requirements. Notice shall also be required under this paragraph when:
 - i. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in accordance with 30.TAC § 305.534 (relating to New Sources and New Dischargers); or
 - ii. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements in Monitoring and Reporting Requirements No. 9;
 - iii. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan.
- b. Prior to any facility modifications, additions, or expansions that will increase the plant capacity beyond the permitted flow, the permittee must apply for and obtain proper authorization from the Commission before commencing construction.
- c. The permittee must apply for an amendment or renewal at least 180 days prior to expiration of the existing permit in order to continue a permitted activity after the expiration date of the permit. If an application is submitted prior to the expiration date of the permit, the existing permit shall remain in effect until the application is approved, denied, or returned. If the application is returned or denied, authorization to continue such activity shall terminate upon the effective date of the action. If an application is not submitted prior to the expiration date of the permit, the permit shall expire and authorization to continue such activity shall terminate.
- d. Prior to accepting or generating wastes which are not described in the permit application or which would result in a significant change in the quantity or quality of the existing discharge, the permittee must report the proposed changes to the Commission. The permittee must apply for a permit amendment reflecting any necessary changes in permit conditions, including effluent limitations for pollutants not identified and limited by this permit.
- e. In accordance with the Texas Water Code § 26.029(b), after a public hearing, notice of which shall be given to the permittee, the Commission may require the permittee, from time to time, for good cause, in accordance with applicable laws, to conform to new or additional conditions.
- f. If any toxic effluent standard or prohibition (including any schedule of compliance specified in such effluent standard or prohibition) is promulgated under Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for a toxic pollutant which is present in the discharge and that standard or prohibition is more stringent than any limitation on the pollutant in this permit, this permit

shall be modified or revoked and reissued to conform to the toxic effluent standard or prohibition. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that established those standards or prohibitions, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

5. Permit Transfer

- a. Prior to any transfer of this permit, Commission approval must be obtained. The Commission shall be notified in writing of any change in control or ownership of facilities authorized by this permit. Such notification should be sent to the Applications Review and Processing Team (MC 148) of the Water Quality Division.
- b. A permit may be transferred only according to the provisions of 30 TAC § 305.64 (relating to Transfer of Permits) and 30 TAC § 50.133 (relating to Executive Director Action on Application or WQMP update).

6. Relationship to Hazardous Waste Activities

This permit does not authorize any activity of hazardous waste storage, processing, or disposal which requires a permit or other authorization pursuant to the Texas Health and Safety Code.

7. Relationship to Water Rights

Disposal of treated effluent by any means other than discharge directly to water in the state must be specifically authorized in this permit and may require a permit pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Texas Water Code.

8. Property Rights

A permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.

9. Permit Enforceability

The conditions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstances, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

10. Relationship to Permit Application

The application pursuant to which the permit has been issued is incorporated herein; provided, however, that in the event of a conflict between the provisions of this permit and the application, the provisions of the permit shall control.

11. Notice of Bankruptcy.

- a. Each permittee shall notify the executive director, in writing, immediately following the filing of a voluntary or involuntary petition for bankruptcy under any chapter of Title 11 (Bankruptcy) of the United States Code (11 USC) by or against:
 - i. the permittee;
 - ii. an entity (as that term is defined in 11 USC, §101(14)) controlling the permittee or listing the permit or permittee as property of the estate; or
 - iii. an affiliate (as that term is defined in 11 USC, §101(2)) of the permittee.
- b. This notification must indicate:
 - i. the name of the permittee and the permit number(s);
 - ii. the bankruptcy court in which the petition for bankruptcy was filed; and
 - iii. the date of filing of the petition.

OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS

1. The permittee shall at all times ensure that the facility and all of its systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are properly operated and maintained. This includes, but is not limited to, the regular, periodic examination of wastewater solids within the treatment plant by the operator in order to maintain an appropriate quantity and quality of solids inventory as described in the various operator training manuals and according to accepted industry standards for process control. Process control, maintenance, and operations records shall be retained at the facility site, or shall be readily available for review by a TCEQ representative, for a period of three years.

2. Upon request by the Executive Director, the permittee shall take appropriate samples and provide proper analysis in order to demonstrate compliance with Commission rules. Unless otherwise specified in this permit or otherwise ordered by the Commission, the permittee shall comply with all applicable provisions of 30 TAC Chapter 312 concerning sewage sludge use and disposal and 30 TAC §§ 319.21 - 319.29 concerning the discharge of certain hazardous metals.
3. Domestic wastewater treatment facilities shall comply with the following provisions:
 - a. The permittee shall notify the Municipal Permits Team, Wastewater Permitting Section (MC 148) of the Water Quality Division, in writing, of any facility expansion at least 90 days prior to conducting such activity.
 - b. The permittee shall submit a closure plan for review and approval to the Land Application Team, Wastewater Permitting Section (MC 148) of the Water Quality Division, for any closure activity at least 90 days prior to conducting such activity. Closure is the act of permanently taking a waste management unit or treatment facility out of service and includes the permanent removal from service of any pit, tank, pond, lagoon, surface impoundment and/or other treatment unit regulated by this permit.
4. The permittee is responsible for installing prior to plant start-up, and subsequently maintaining, adequate safeguards to prevent the discharge of untreated or inadequately treated wastes during electrical power failures by means of alternate power sources, standby generators, and/or retention of inadequately treated wastewater.
5. Unless otherwise specified, the permittee shall provide a readily accessible sampling point and, where applicable, an effluent flow measuring device or other acceptable means by which effluent flow may be determined.
6. The permittee shall remit an annual water quality fee to the Commission as required by 30 TAC Chapter 21. Failure to pay the fee may result in revocation of this permit under Texas Water Code § 7.302(b)(6).
7. Documentation

For all written notifications to the Commission required of the permittee by this permit, the permittee shall keep and make available a copy of each such notification under the same conditions as self-monitoring data are required to be kept and made available. Except for information required for TPDES permit applications, effluent data, including effluent data in permits, draft permits and permit applications, and other information specified as not confidential in 30 TAC § 1.5(d), any information submitted pursuant to this permit may be claimed as confidential by the submitter. Any such claim must be asserted in the manner prescribed in the application form or by stamping the words "confidential business information" on each page containing such information. If no claim is made at the time of submission, information may be made available to the public without further notice. If the Commission or Executive Director agrees with the designation of confidentiality, the TCEQ will not provide the information for public inspection unless required by the Texas Attorney General or a court pursuant to an open records request. If the Executive Director does not agree with the designation of confidentiality, the person submitting the information will be notified.

8. Facilities which generate domestic wastewater shall comply with the following provisions; domestic wastewater treatment facilities at permitted industrial sites are excluded.
 - a. Whenever flow measurements for any domestic sewage treatment facility reach 75 percent of the permitted daily average or annual average flow for three consecutive months, the permittee must initiate engineering and financial planning for expansion and/or upgrading of the domestic wastewater treatment and/or collection facilities. Whenever the flow reaches 90 percent of the permitted daily average or annual average flow for three consecutive months, the permittee shall obtain necessary authorization from the Commission to commence construction of the necessary additional treatment and/or collection facilities. In the case of a domestic wastewater treatment facility which reaches 75 percent of the permitted daily average or annual average flow for three consecutive months, and the planned population to be served or the quantity of waste produced is not expected to exceed the design limitations of the treatment facility, the permittee shall submit an engineering report supporting this claim to the Executive Director of the Commission.

If in the judgement of the Executive Director the population to be served will not cause permit noncompliance, then the requirement of this section may be waived. To be effective, any waiver must be in writing and signed by the Director of the Enforcement Division (MC 149) of the Commission, and such waiver of these requirements will be reviewed upon expiration of the existing permit; however, any such waiver shall not be interpreted as condoning or excusing any violation of any permit parameter.

- b. The plans and specifications for domestic sewage collection and treatment works associated with any domestic permit must be approved by the Commission, and failure to secure approval before commencing construction of such works or making a discharge is a violation of this permit and each day is an additional violation until approval has been secured.

- c. Permits for domestic wastewater treatment plants are granted subject to the policy of the Commission to encourage the development of area-wide waste collection, treatment and disposal systems. The Commission reserves the right to amend any domestic wastewater permit in accordance with applicable procedural requirements to require the system covered by this permit to be integrated into an area-wide system, should such be developed; to require the delivery of the wastes authorized to be collected in, treated by or discharged from said system, to such area-wide system; or to amend this permit in any other particular to effectuate the Commission's policy. Such amendments may be made when the changes required are advisable for water quality control purposes and are feasible on the basis of waste treatment technology, engineering, financial, and related considerations existing at the time the changes are required, exclusive of the loss of investment in or revenues from any then existing or proposed waste collection, treatment or disposal system.
9. Domestic wastewater treatment plants shall be operated and maintained by sewage plant operators holding a valid certificate of competency at the required level as defined in 30 TAC Chapter 30.
 10. For Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs), the 30-day average (or monthly average) percent removal for BOD and TSS shall not be less than 85 percent, unless otherwise authorized by this permit.
 11. Facilities which generate industrial solid waste as defined in 30 TAC § 335.1 shall comply with these provisions:
 - a. Any solid waste, as defined in 30 TAC § 335.1 (including but not limited to such wastes as garbage, refuse, sludge from a waste treatment, water supply treatment plant or air pollution control facility, discarded materials, discarded materials to be recycled, whether the waste is solid, liquid, or semisolid), generated by the permittee during the management and treatment of wastewater, must be managed in accordance with all applicable provisions of 30 TAC Chapter 335, relating to Industrial Solid Waste Management.
 - b. Industrial wastewater that is being collected, accumulated, stored, or processed before discharge through any final discharge outfall, specified by this permit, is considered to be industrial solid waste until the wastewater passes through the actual point source discharge and must be managed in accordance with all applicable provisions of 30 TAC Chapter 335.
 - c. The permittee shall provide written notification, pursuant to the requirements of 30 TAC § 335.8(b)(1), to the Environmental Cleanup Section (MC 127) of the Remediation Division informing the Commission of any closure activity involving an Industrial Solid Waste Management Unit, at least 90 days prior to conducting such an activity.
 - d. Construction of any industrial solid waste management unit requires the prior written notification of the proposed activity to the Registration and Reporting Section (MC 129) of the Registration, Review, and Reporting Division. No person shall dispose of industrial solid waste, including sludge or other solids from wastewater treatment processes, prior to fulfilling the deed recordation requirements of 30 TAC § 335.5.
 - e. The term "industrial solid waste management unit" means a landfill, surface impoundment, waste-pile, industrial furnace, incinerator, cement kiln, injection well, container, drum, salt dome waste containment cavern, or any other structure vessel, appurtenance, or other improvement on land used to manage industrial solid waste.
 - f. The permittee shall keep management records for all sludge (or other waste) removed from any wastewater treatment process. These records shall fulfill all applicable requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 335 and must include the following, as it pertains to wastewater treatment and discharge:
 - i. Volume of waste and date(s) generated from treatment process;
 - ii. Volume of waste disposed of on-site or shipped off-site;
 - iii. Date(s) of disposal;
 - iv. Identity of hauler or transporter;
 - v. Location of disposal site; and
 - vi. Method of final disposal.

The above records shall be maintained on a monthly basis. The records shall be retained at the facility site, or shall be readily available for review by authorized representatives of the TCEQ for at least five years.

12. For industrial facilities to which the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 335 do not apply, sludge and solid wastes, including tank cleaning and contaminated solids for disposal, shall be disposed of in accordance with Chapter 361 of the Texas Health and Safety Code.

SLUDGE PROVISIONS

The permittee is authorized to dispose of sludge only at a Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) authorized land application site or co-disposal landfill. **The disposal of sludge by land application on property owned, leased or under the direct control of the permittee is a violation of the permit unless the site is authorized with the TCEQ. This provision does not authorize Distribution and Marketing of sludge. This provision does not authorize land application of Class A Sludge. This provision does not authorize the permittee to land apply sludge on property owned, leased or under the direct control of the permittee.**

SECTION I. REQUIREMENTS APPLYING TO ALL SEWAGE SLUDGE LAND APPLICATION

A. General Requirements

1. The permittee shall handle and dispose of sewage sludge in accordance with 30 TAC Chapter 312 and all other applicable state and federal regulations in a manner which protects public health and the environment from any reasonably anticipated adverse effects due to any toxic pollutants which may be present in the sludge.
2. In all cases, if the person (permit holder) who prepares the sewage sludge supplies the sewage sludge to another person for land application use or to the owner or lease holder of the land, the permit holder shall provide necessary information to the parties who receive the sludge to assure compliance with these regulations.
3. The permittee shall give 180 days prior notice to the Executive Director in care of the Wastewater Permitting Section (MC 148) of the Water Quality Division of any change planned in the sewage sludge disposal practice.

B. Testing Requirements

1. Sewage sludge shall be tested prior to sludge disposal in accordance with the method specified in both 40 CFR Part 261, Appendix II and 40 CFR Part 268, Appendix I [Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP)] or other method, which receives the prior approval of the TCEQ for the contaminants listed in Table 1 of 40 CFR Section 261.24. Sewage sludge failing this test shall be managed according to RCRA standards for generators of hazardous waste, and the waste's disposition must be in accordance with all applicable requirements for hazardous waste processing, storage, or disposal. Following failure of any TCLP test, the management or disposal of sewage sludge at a facility other than an authorized hazardous waste processing, storage, or disposal facility shall be prohibited until such time as the permittee can demonstrate the sewage sludge no longer exhibits the hazardous waste toxicity characteristics (as demonstrated by the results of the TCLP tests). A written report shall be provided to both the TCEQ Registration and Reporting Section (MC 129) of the Registration, Review, and Reporting Division and the Regional Director (MC Region 9) within 7 days after failing the TCLP Test.

The report shall contain test results, certification that unauthorized waste management has stopped and a summary of alternative disposal plans that comply with RCRA standards for the management of hazardous waste. The report shall be addressed to: Director, Registration, Review, and Reporting Division (MC 129), Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, P. O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087. In addition, the permittee shall prepare an annual report on the results of all sludge toxicity testing. This annual report shall be submitted to the TCEQ Regional Office (MC Region 9) and the Water Quality Compliance Monitoring Team (MC 224) of the Enforcement Division by September 1 of each year.

2. Sewage sludge shall not be applied to the land if the concentration of the pollutants exceed the pollutant concentration criteria in Table 1. The frequency of testing for pollutants in Table 1 is found in Section I.C.

TABLE 1

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Ceiling Concentration (milligrams per kilogram)*</u>
Arsenic	75
Cadmium	85
Chromium	3000
Copper	4300
Lead	840
Mercury	57
Molybdenum	75
Nickel	420
PCBs	49
Selenium	100
Zinc	7500

* Dry weight basis

3. Pathogen Control

All sewage sludge that is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site, or a reclamation site shall be treated by one of the following methods to ensure that the sludge meets either the Class A or Class B pathogen requirements.

- a. Six alternatives are available to demonstrate compliance with Class A sewage sludge. The first 4 options require either the density of fecal coliform in the sewage sludge be less than 1000 Most Probable Number (MPN) per gram of total solids (dry weight basis), or the density of Salmonella sp. bacteria in the sewage sludge be less than three MPN per four grams of total solids (dry weight basis) at the time the sewage sludge is used or disposed. Below are the additional requirements necessary to meet the definition of a Class A sludge.

Alternative 1 - The temperature of the sewage sludge that is used or disposed shall be maintained at or above a specific value for a period of time. See 30 TAC Section 312.82(a)(2)(A) for specific information.

Alternative 2 - The pH of the sewage sludge that is used or disposed shall be raised to above 12 std. units and shall remain above 12 std. units for 72 hours.

The temperature of the sewage sludge shall be above 52 degrees Celsius for 12 hours or longer during the period that the pH of the sewage sludge is above 12 std. units.

At the end of the 72-hour period during which the pH of the sewage sludge is above 12 std. units, the sewage sludge shall be air dried to achieve a percent solids in the sewage sludge greater than 50 percent.

Alternative 3 - The sewage sludge shall be analyzed for enteric viruses prior to pathogen treatment. The limit for enteric viruses is less than one Plaque-forming Unit per four grams of total solids (dry weight basis) either before or following pathogen treatment. See 30 TAC Section 312.82(a)(2)(C)(i-iii) for specific information. The sewage sludge shall be analyzed for viable helminth ova prior to pathogen treatment. The limit for viable helminth ova is less than one per four grams of total solids (dry weight basis) either before or following pathogen treatment. See 30 TAC Section 312.82(a)(2)(C)(iv-vi) for specific information.

Alternative 4 - The density of enteric viruses in the sewage sludge shall be less than one Plaque-forming Unit per four grams of total solids (dry weight basis) at the time the sewage sludge is used or disposed. The density of viable helminth ova in the sewage sludge shall be less than one per four grams of total solids (dry weight basis) at the time the sewage sludge is used or disposed.

Alternative 5 (PFRP) - Sewage sludge that is used or disposed of shall be treated in one of the processes to Further Reduce Pathogens (PFRP) described in 40 CFR Part 503, Appendix B. PFRP include composting, heat drying, heat treatment, and thermophilic aerobic digestion.

Alternative 6 (PFRP Equivalent) - Sewage sludge that is used or disposed of shall be treated in a process that has been approved by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency as being equivalent to those in Alternative 5.

- b. Three alternatives are available to demonstrate compliance with Class B criteria for sewage sludge.

Alternative 1 -

- i. A minimum of seven random samples of the sewage sludge shall be collected within 48 hours of the time the sewage sludge is used or disposed of during each monitoring episode for the sewage sludge.
- ii. The geometric mean of the density of fecal coliform in the samples collected shall be less than either 2,000,000 MPN per gram of total solids (dry weight basis) or 2,000,000 Colony Forming Units per gram of total solids (dry weight basis).

Alternative 2 - Sewage sludge that is used or disposed of shall be treated in one of the Processes to Significantly Reduce Pathogens (PSRP) described in 40 CFR Part 503, Appendix B, so long as all of the following requirements are met by the generator of the sewage sludge.

- i. Prior to use or disposal, all the sewage sludge must have been generated from a single location, except as provided in paragraph v. below;
- ii. An independent Texas Licensed Professional Engineer must make a certification to the generator of a sewage sludge that the wastewater treatment facility generating the sewage sludge is designed to achieve one of the PSRP at the permitted design loading of the facility. The certification need only be repeated if the design loading of the facility is increased. The certification shall include a statement indicating the design meets all the applicable standards specified in Appendix B of 40 CFR Part 503;
- iii. Prior to any off-site transportation or on-site use or disposal of any sewage sludge generated at a wastewater treatment facility, the chief certified operator of the wastewater treatment facility or other responsible official who manages the processes to significantly reduce pathogens at the wastewater treatment facility for the permittee, shall certify that the sewage sludge underwent at least the minimum operational requirements necessary in order to meet one of the PSRP. The acceptable processes and the minimum operational and record keeping requirements shall be in accordance with established U. S. Environmental Protection Agency final guidance;
- iv. All certification records and operational records describing how the requirements of this paragraph were met shall be kept by the generator for a minimum of three years and be available for inspection by commission staff for review; and
- v. If the sewage sludge is generated from a mixture of sources, resulting from a person who prepares sewage sludge from more than one wastewater treatment facility, the resulting derived product shall meet one of the PSRP, and shall meet the certification, operation, and record keeping requirements of this paragraph.

Alternative 3 - Sewage sludge shall be treated in an equivalent process that has been approved by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, so long as all of the following requirements are met by the generator of the sewage sludge.

- i. Prior to use or disposal, all the sewage sludge must have been generated from a single location, except as provided in paragraph v. below;
- ii. Prior to any off-site transportation or on-site use or disposal of any sewage sludge generated at a wastewater treatment facility, the chief certified operator of the wastewater treatment facility or other responsible official who manages the processes to significantly reduce pathogens at the wastewater treatment facility for the permittee, shall certify that the sewage sludge underwent at least the minimum operational requirements necessary in order to meet one of the PSRP. The acceptable processes and the minimum operational and record keeping requirements shall be in accordance with established U. S. Environmental Protection Agency final guidance;
- iii. All certification records and operational records describing how the requirements of this paragraph were met shall be kept by the generator for a minimum of three years and be available for inspection by commission staff for review;
- iv. The executive director will accept from the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency a finding of equivalency to the defined PSRP; and

- v. If the sewage sludge is generated from a mixture of sources resulting from a person who prepares sewage sludge from more than one wastewater treatment facility, the resulting derived product shall meet one of the Processes to Significantly Reduce Pathogens, and shall meet the certification, operation, and record keeping requirements of this paragraph.

In addition, the following site restrictions must be met if Class B sludge is land applied:

- i. Food crops with harvested parts that touch the sewage sludge/soil mixture and are totally above the land surface shall not be harvested for 14 months after application of sewage sludge.
- ii. Food crops with harvested parts below the surface of the land shall not be harvested for 20 months after application of sewage sludge when the sewage sludge remains on the land surface for 4 months or longer prior to incorporation into the soil.
- iii. Food crops with harvested parts below the surface of the land shall not be harvested for 38 months after application of sewage sludge when the sewage sludge remains on the land surface for less than 4 months prior to incorporation into the soil.
- iv. Food crops, feed crops, and fiber crops shall not be harvested for 30 days after application of sewage sludge.
- v. Animals shall not be allowed to graze on the land for 30 days after application of sewage sludge.
- vi. Turf grown on land where sewage sludge is applied shall not be harvested for 1 year after application of the sewage sludge when the harvested turf is placed on either land with a high potential for public exposure or a lawn.
- vii. Public access to land with a high potential for public exposure shall be restricted for 1 year after application of sewage sludge.
- viii. Public access to land with a low potential for public exposure shall be restricted for 30 days after application of sewage sludge.
- ix. Land application of sludge shall be in accordance with the buffer zone requirements found in 30 TAC Section 312.44.

4. Vector Attraction Reduction Requirements

All bulk sewage sludge that is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site, or a reclamation site shall be treated by one of the following alternatives 1 through 10 for Vector Attraction Reduction.

Alternative 1 - The mass of volatile solids in the sewage sludge shall be reduced by a minimum of 38 percent.

Alternative 2 - If Alternative 1 cannot be met for an anaerobically digested sludge, demonstration can be made by digesting a portion of the previously digested sludge anaerobically in the laboratory in a bench-scale unit for 40 additional days at a temperature between 30 and 37 degrees Celsius. Volatile solids must be reduced by less than 17 percent to demonstrate compliance.

Alternative 3 - If Alternative 1 cannot be met for an aerobically digested sludge, demonstration can be made by digesting a portion of the previously digested sludge with a percent solids of two percent or less aerobically in the laboratory in a bench-scale unit for 30 additional days at 20 degrees Celsius. Volatile solids must be reduced by less than 15 percent to demonstrate compliance.

Alternative 4 - The specific oxygen uptake rate (SOUR) for sewage sludge treated in an aerobic process shall be equal to or less than 1.5 milligrams of oxygen per hour per gram of total solids (dry weight basis) at a temperature of 20 degrees Celsius.

Alternative 5 - Sewage sludge shall be treated in an aerobic process for 14 days or longer. During that time, the temperature of the sewage sludge shall be higher than 40 degrees Celsius and the average temperature of the sewage sludge shall be higher than 45 degrees Celsius.

- Alternative 6 - The pH of sewage sludge shall be raised to 12 or higher by alkali addition and, without the addition of more alkali shall remain at 12 or higher for two hours and then remain at a pH of 11.5 or higher for an additional 22 hours at the time the sewage sludge is prepared for sale or given away in a bag or other container.
- Alternative 7 - The percent solids of sewage sludge that does not contain unstabilized solids generated in a primary wastewater treatment process shall be equal to or greater than 75 percent based on the moisture content and total solids prior to mixing with other materials. Unstabilized solids are defined as organic materials in sewage sludge that have not been treated in either an aerobic or anaerobic treatment process.
- Alternative 8 - The percent solids of sewage sludge that contains unstabilized solids generated in a primary wastewater treatment process shall be equal to or greater than 90 percent based on the moisture content and total solids prior to mixing with other materials at the time the sludge is used. Unstabilized solids are defined as organic materials in sewage sludge that have not been treated in either an aerobic or anaerobic treatment process.
- Alternative 9 -
 - i. Sewage sludge shall be injected below the surface of the land.
 - ii. No significant amount of the sewage sludge shall be present on the land surface within one hour after the sewage sludge is injected.
 - iii. When sewage sludge that is injected below the surface of the land is Class A with respect to pathogens, the sewage sludge shall be injected below the land surface within eight hours after being discharged from the pathogen treatment process.
- Alternative 10-
 - i. Sewage sludge applied to the land surface or placed on a surface disposal site shall be incorporated into the soil within six hours after application to or placement on the land.
 - ii. When sewage sludge that is incorporated into the soil is Class A with respect to pathogens, the sewage sludge shall be applied to or placed on the land within eight hours after being discharged from the pathogen treatment process.

C. Monitoring Requirements

- Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) Test - prior to sludge disposal
- PCBs - prior to sludge disposal

All metal constituents and Fecal coliform or Salmonella sp. bacteria shall be monitored at the appropriate frequency shown below, pursuant to 30 TAC Section 312.46(a)(1):

<u>Amount of sewage sludge (*) metric tons per 365-day period</u>	<u>Monitoring Frequency</u>
0 to less than 290	Once/Year
290 to less than 1,500	Once/Quarter
1,500 to less than 15,000	Once/Two Months
15,000 or greater	Once/Month

(*) The amount of bulk sewage sludge applied to the land (dry weight basis).

Representative samples of sewage sludge shall be collected and analyzed in accordance with the methods referenced in 30 TAC Section 312.7.

SECTION II. REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIC TO BULK SEWAGE SLUDGE FOR APPLICATION TO THE LAND MEETING CLASS A or B PATHOGEN REDUCTION AND THE CUMULATIVE LOADING RATES IN TABLE 2, OR CLASS B PATHOGEN REDUCTION AND THE POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS IN TABLE 3

For those permittees meeting Class A or B pathogen reduction requirements and that meet the cumulative loading rates in Table 2 below, or the Class B pathogen reduction requirements and contain concentrations of pollutants below listed in Table 3, the following conditions apply:

A. Pollutant Limits

Table 2

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Cumulative Pollutant Loading Rate (pounds per acre)</u>
Arsenic	36
Cadmium	35
Chromium	2677
Copper	1339
Lead	268
Mercury	15
Molybdenum	Report Only
Nickel	375
Selenium	89
Zinc	2500

Table 3

<u>Pollutant</u>	<u>Monthly Average Concentration (milligrams per kilogram)*</u>
Arsenic	41
Cadmium	39
Chromium	1200
Copper	1500
Lead	300
Mercury	17
Molybdenum	Report Only
Nickel	420
Selenium	36
Zinc	2800

* Dry weight basis

B. Pathogen Control

All bulk sewage sludge that is applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site, a reclamation site, shall be treated by either Class A or Class B pathogen reduction requirements as defined above in Section I.B.3.

C. Management Practices

1. Bulk sewage sludge shall not be applied to agricultural land, forest, a public contact site, or a reclamation site that is flooded, frozen, or snow-covered so that the bulk sewage sludge enters a wetland or other waters in the State.
2. Bulk sewage sludge not meeting Class A requirements shall be land applied in a manner which complies with the Management Requirements in accordance with 30 TAC Section 312.44.
3. Bulk sewage sludge shall be applied at or below the agronomic rate of the cover crop.

4. An information sheet shall be provided to the person who receives bulk sewage sludge sold or given away. The information sheet shall contain the following information:
 - a. The name and address of the person who prepared the sewage sludge that is sold or given away in a bag or other container for application to the land.
 - b. A statement that application of the sewage sludge to the land is prohibited except in accordance with the instruction on the label or information sheet.
 - c. The annual whole sludge application rate for the sewage sludge application rate for the sewage sludge that does not cause any of the cumulative pollutant loading rates in Table 2 above to be exceeded, unless the pollutant concentrations in Table 3 found in Section II above are met.

D. Notification Requirements

1. If bulk sewage sludge is applied to land in a State other than Texas, written notice shall be provided prior to the initial land application to the permitting authority for the State in which the bulk sewage sludge is proposed to be applied. The notice shall include:
 - a. The location, by street address, and specific latitude and longitude, of each land application site.
 - b. The approximate time period bulk sewage sludge will be applied to the site.
 - c. The name, address, telephone number, and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit number (if appropriate) for the person who will apply the bulk sewage sludge.
2. The permittee shall give 180 days prior notice to the Executive Director in care of the Wastewater Permitting Section (MC 148) of the Water Quality Division of any change planned in the sewage sludge disposal practice.

E. Record keeping Requirements

The sludge documents will be retained at the facility site and/or shall be readily available for review by a TCEQ representative. The person who prepares bulk sewage sludge or a sewage sludge material shall develop the following information and shall retain the information at the facility site and/or shall be readily available for review by a TCEQ representative for a period of five years. If the permittee supplies the sludge to another person who land applies the sludge, the permittee shall notify the land applier of the requirements for record keeping found in 30 TAC Section 312.47 for persons who land apply.

1. The concentration (mg/kg) in the sludge of each pollutant listed in Table 3 above and the applicable pollutant concentration criteria (mg/kg), or the applicable cumulative pollutant loading rate and the applicable cumulative pollutant loading rate limit (lbs/ac) listed in Table 2 above.
2. A description of how the pathogen reduction requirements are met (including site restrictions for Class B sludges, if applicable).
3. A description of how the vector attraction reduction requirements are met.
4. A description of how the management practices listed above in Section II.C are being met.
5. The following certification statement:

"I certify, under penalty of law, that the applicable pathogen requirements in 30 TAC Section 312.82(a) or (b) and the vector attraction reduction requirements in 30 TAC Section 312.83(b) have been met for each site on which bulk sewage sludge is applied. This determination has been made under my direction and supervision in accordance with the system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information used to determine that the management practices have been met. I am aware that there are significant penalties for false certification including fine and imprisonment."
6. The recommended agronomic loading rate from the references listed in Section II.C.3. above, as well as the actual agronomic loading rate shall be retained.

The person who applies bulk sewage sludge or a sewage sludge material shall develop the following information and shall retain the information at the facility site and/or shall be readily available for review by a TCEQ representative indefinitely. If the permittee supplies the sludge to another person who land applies the sludge, the permittee shall notify the land applier of the requirements for record keeping found in 30 TAC Section 312.47 for persons who land apply.

1. A certification statement that all applicable requirements (specifically listed) have been met, and that the permittee understands that there are significant penalties for false certification including fine and imprisonment. See 30 TAC Section 312.47(a)(4)(A)(ii) or 30 TAC Section 312.47(a)(5)(A)(ii), as applicable, and to the permittee's specific sludge treatment activities.
2. The location, by street address, and specific latitude and longitude, of each site on which sludge is applied.
3. The number of acres in each site on which bulk sludge is applied.
4. The date and time sludge is applied to each site.
5. The cumulative amount of each pollutant in pounds/acre listed in Table 2 applied to each site.
6. The total amount of sludge applied to each site in dry tons.

The above records shall be maintained on-site on a monthly basis and shall be made available to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality upon request.

F. Reporting Requirements

The permittee shall report annually to the TCEQ Regional Office (MC Region 9) and Water Quality Compliance Monitoring Team (MC 224) of the Enforcement Division, by September 1 of each year the following information:

1. Results of tests performed for pollutants found in either Table 2 or 3 as appropriate for the permittee's land application practices.
2. The frequency of monitoring listed in Section I.C. which applies to the permittee.
3. Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) results.
4. Identity of hauler(s) and TCEQ transporter number.
5. PCB concentration in sludge in mg/kg.
6. Date(s) of disposal.
7. Owner of disposal site(s).
8. Texas Commission on Environmental Quality registration number, if applicable.
9. Amount of sludge disposal dry weight (lbs/acre) at each disposal site.
10. The concentration (mg/kg) in the sludge of each pollutant listed in Table 1 (defined as a monthly average) as well as the applicable pollutant concentration criteria (mg/kg) listed in Table 3 above, or the applicable pollutant loading rate limit (lbs/acre) listed in Table 2 above if it exceeds 90% of the limit.
11. Level of pathogen reduction achieved (Class A or Class B).
12. Alternative used as listed in Section I.B.3.(a. or b.). Alternatives describe how the pathogen reduction requirements are met. If Class B sludge, include information on how site restrictions were met.
13. Vector attraction reduction alternative used as listed in Section I.B.4.
14. Annual sludge production in dry tons/year.

15. Amount of sludge land applied in dry tons/year.
16. The certification statement listed in either 30 TAC Section 312.47(a)(4)(A)(ii) or 30 TAC Section 312.47(a)(5)(A)(ii) as applicable to the permittee's sludge treatment activities, shall be attached to the annual reporting form.
17. When the amount of any pollutant applied to the land exceeds 90% of the cumulative pollutant loading rate for that pollutant, as described in Table 2, the permittee shall report the following information as an attachment to the annual reporting form.
 - a. The location, by street address, and specific latitude and longitude.
 - b. The number of acres in each site on which bulk sewage sludge is applied.
 - c. The date and time bulk sewage sludge is applied to each site.
 - d. The cumulative amount of each pollutant (i.e., pounds/acre) listed in Table 2 in the bulk sewage sludge applied to each site.
 - e. The amount of sewage sludge (i.e., dry tons) applied to each site.

The above records shall be maintained on a monthly basis and shall be made available to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality upon request.

SECTION III. REQUIREMENTS APPLYING TO ALL SEWAGE SLUDGE DISPOSED IN A MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILL

- A. The permittee shall handle and dispose of sewage sludge in accordance with 30 TAC Chapter 330 and all other applicable state and federal regulations to protect public health and the environment from any reasonably anticipated adverse effects due to any toxic pollutants that may be present. The permittee shall ensure that the sewage sludge meets the requirements in 30 TAC Chapter 330 concerning the quality of the sludge disposed in a municipal solid waste landfill.
- B. If the permittee generates sewage sludge and supplies that sewage sludge to the owner or operator of a Municipal Solid Waste Landfill (MSWLF) for disposal, the permittee shall provide to the owner or operator of the MSWLF appropriate information needed to be in compliance with the provisions of this permit.
- C. The permittee shall give 180 days prior notice to the Executive Director in care of the Wastewater Permitting Section (MC 148) of the Water Quality Division of any change planned in the sewage sludge disposal practice.
- D. Sewage sludge shall be tested prior to sludge disposal in accordance with the method specified in both 40 CFR Part 261, Appendix II and 40 CFR Part 268, Appendix I (Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure) or other method, which receives the prior approval of the TCEQ for contaminants listed in Table 1 of 40 CFR Section 261.24. Sewage sludge failing this test shall be managed according to RCRA standards for generators of hazardous waste, and the waste's disposition must be in accordance with all applicable requirements for hazardous waste processing, storage, or disposal.

Following failure of any TCLP test, the management or disposal of sewage sludge at a facility other than an authorized hazardous waste processing, storage, or disposal facility shall be prohibited until such time as the permittee can demonstrate the sewage sludge no longer exhibits the hazardous waste toxicity characteristics (as demonstrated by the results of the TCLP tests). A written report shall be provided to both the TCEQ Registration and Reporting Section (MC 129) of the Registration, Review, and Reporting Division and the Regional Director (MC Region 9) of the appropriate TCEQ field office within 7 days after failing the TCLP Test.

The report shall contain test results, certification that unauthorized waste management has stopped and a summary of alternative disposal plans that comply with RCRA standards for the management of hazardous waste. The report shall be addressed to: Director, Registration, Review, and Reporting Division (MC 129), Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, P. O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087. In addition, the permittee shall prepare an annual report on the results of all sludge toxicity testing. This annual report shall be submitted to the TCEQ Regional Office (MC Region 9) and the Water Quality Compliance Monitoring Team (MC 224) of the Enforcement Division by September 1 of each year.

- E. Sewage sludge shall be tested as needed, in accordance with the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 330.
- F. Record keeping Requirements

The permittee shall develop the following information and shall retain the information for five years.

1. The description (including procedures followed and the results) of all liquid Paint Filter Tests performed.
2. The description (including procedures followed and results) of all TCLP tests performed.

The above records shall be maintained on-site on a monthly basis and shall be made available to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality upon request.

G. Reporting Requirements

The permittee shall report annually to the TCEQ Regional Office (MC Region 9) and Water Quality Compliance Monitoring Team (MC 224) of the Enforcement Division by September 1 of each year the following information:

1. Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) results.
2. Annual sludge production in dry tons/year.
3. Amount of sludge disposed in a municipal solid waste landfill in dry tons/year.
4. Amount of sludge transported interstate in dry tons/year.
5. A certification that the sewage sludge meets the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 330 concerning the quality of the sludge disposed in a municipal solid waste landfill.
6. Identity of hauler(s) and transporter registration number.
7. Owner of disposal site(s).
8. Location of disposal site(s).
9. Date(s) of disposal.

The above records shall be maintained on-site on a monthly basis and shall be made available to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality upon request.

OTHER REQUIREMENTS

1. The permittee shall employ or contract with one or more licensed wastewater treatment facility operators or wastewater system operations companies holding a valid license or registration according to the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 30, Occupational Licenses and Registrations and in particular 30 TAC Chapter 30, Subchapter J, Wastewater Operators and Operations Companies.

This Category D facility must be operated by a chief operator or an operator holding a Category D license or higher. The facility must be operated a minimum of five days per week by the licensed chief operator or an operator holding the required level of license or higher. The licensed chief operator or operator holding the required level of license or higher must be available by telephone or pager seven days per week. Where shift operation of the wastewater treatment facility is necessary, each shift which does not have the on-site supervision of the licensed chief operator must be supervised by an operator in charge who is licensed not less than one level below the category for the facility.

2. The facility is not located in the Coastal Management Program boundary.
3. The permittee is hereby placed on notice that this permit may be reviewed by the TCEQ after the completion of any new intensive water quality survey on Segment No. 1203 of the Brazos River Basin and any subsequent updating of the water quality model for Segment No. 1203, in order to determine if the limitations and conditions contained herein are consistent with any such revised model. The permit may be amended, pursuant to 30 TAC Section 305.62, as a result of such review. The permittee is also hereby placed on notice that effluent limits may be made more stringent at renewal based on, for example, any change to modeling protocol approved in the TCEQ Continuing Planning Process.
4. The permittee shall comply with the requirements of 30 TAC Section 309.13 (a) through (d). In addition, by ownership of the required buffer zone area, the permittee shall comply with the requirements of 30 TAC Section 309.13(e).
5. Prior to operation in the final phase, the permittee shall submit to the TCEQ Wastewater Permitting Section (MC 148) a summary submittal letter in accordance with the requirements in 30 TAC Section 317.1. If requested by the Wastewater Permitting Section, the permittee shall submit plans, specifications and a final engineering design report which comply with 30 TAC Chapter 317, Design Criteria for Sewerage Systems. The permittee shall clearly show how the treatment system will meet the final permitted effluent limitations required on Page 2a of the permit.
6. Reporting requirements according to 30 TAC Sections 319.1-319.11 and any additional effluent reporting requirements contained in this permit are suspended from the effective date of the permit until operation of the final phase. The permittee shall provide written notice to the TCEQ Regional Office (MC Region 9) and the Applications Review and Processing Team (MC 148) of the Water Quality Division at least forty-five (45) days prior to the anticipated discharge or operation in the final phase.
7. Holding or storage ponds shall conform to the design criteria for stabilization ponds with regard to construction and levee design and shall maintain a minimum freeboard of two feet according to 30 TAC Chapter 317, Design Criteria for Sewerage Systems.

8. Facilities for the retention of treated or untreated wastewater shall be adequately lined to control seepage. The following methods of pond lining are acceptable.
- a. In-situ clay soils or placed and compacted clay soils meeting the following requirements:
 - 1) More than 30% passing a No. 200 mesh sieve
 - 2) Liquid limit greater than 30%
 - 3) Plasticity index greater than 15
 - 4) A minimum thickness of 2 feet
 - 5) Permeability equal to or less than 1×10^{-7} cm/sec (*)
 - 6) Soil compaction will be 95% standard proctor at optimum moisture content (*)
(*). For new and/or modified ponds only.
 - b. Membrane lining with a minimum thickness of 20 mils, and an underdrain leak detection system.
 - c. An alternate method of pond lining may be utilized with prior approval from the Executive Director.

The permittee shall furnish certification by a Texas Licensed Professional Engineer that the completed pond lining meets the appropriate criteria above prior to utilization of the facilities. The certification shall be sent to the TCEQ Regional Office (MC Region 9) and Water Quality Compliance Monitoring Team (MC 224) of the Enforcement Division.

9. During the interim phase, the permittee is authorized to dispose of treated effluent via irrigation of non-public access land that consists of 60 acres located approximately one mile west-southwest of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 927 (Texas Street) and State Highway 144, according to the following conditions:
- a. The permittee shall maintain and operate the treatment facility in order to achieve optimum efficiency of treatment capability. This shall include required monitoring of effluent flow and quality as well as appropriate grounds and building maintenance.
 - b. Irrigation practices shall be designed and managed so as to prevent ponding of effluent or contamination of ground and surface waters and to prevent the occurrence of nuisance conditions in the area. Tailwater control facilities shall be provided as necessary to prevent the discharge of any wastewater from the irrigated land.
 - c. Wastewater shall not be applied for irrigation during rainfall events or when the ground is frozen or saturated.
 - d. Application rates for the 60-acre irrigation site shall not exceed 2.6 acre-feet per year per acre irrigated. The permittee is responsible for providing equipment to determine application rates and maintaining accurate records of the volume of effluent applied. These records shall be made available for review by the TCEQ and shall be maintained for at least three years.
 - e. The permittee shall obtain representative soil samples from the root zones of the disposal site and analyze the samples as outlined in the following paragraph.

An annual analysis of a representative soil sample taken from the root zone of the irrigated site shall be made. Each soil boring shall be separated into three samples according to the following depth zones: 0 to 6 inches, 6 to 18 inches and 18 to 30 inches below the ground surface. Each zone shall be thoroughly mixed prior to being analyzed. Sampling procedures shall employ accepted techniques of soil science for obtaining representative analytical results. Analysis shall be performed for pH, total nitrogen, potassium, phosphorus and conductivity.

The permittee shall submit the results of the soil sample analyses to the TCEQ Regional Office (MC Region 9) and Water Quality Compliance Monitoring Team (MC 224) of the Enforcement Division during September of each year.

- f. The permittee shall maintain a long term contract with the owner(s) of the land application site which is authorized for use in this permit, or own the land authorized for land application of treated effluent.
- g. The permittee shall provide a storage pond with a minimum volume of 3 acre-feet.
- h. Adequate signs shall be erected stating that the irrigation water is from a non-potable water supply. Said signs shall consist of a red slash superimposed over the international symbol for drinking water accompanied by the message "DO NOT DRINK THE WATER" in both English and Spanish. All piping transporting the effluent shall be clearly marked with these same signs.
- i. Spray fixtures for the irrigation system shall be of such design that they cannot be operated by unauthorized personnel. Irrigation with effluent shall be accomplished only when the area specified is not in use.
- j. Permanent transmission lines shall be installed from the holding pond to each tract of land to be irrigated utilizing effluent from that pond.

CONTRIBUTING INDUSTRIES AND PRETREATMENT REQUIREMENTS

1. The following pollutants may not be introduced into the treatment facility:
 - a. Pollutants which create a fire or explosion hazard in the publicly owned treatment works (POTW), including, but not limited to, wastestreams with a closed cup flashpoint of less than 140 degrees Fahrenheit (60 degrees Celsius) using the test methods specified in 40 CFR §261.21;
 - b. Pollutants which will cause corrosive structural damage to the POTW, but in no case shall there be discharges with pH lower than 5.0 standard units, unless the works are specifically designed to accommodate such discharges;
 - c. Solid or viscous pollutants in amounts which will cause obstruction to the flow in the POTW, resulting in Interference;
 - d. Any pollutant, including oxygen demanding pollutants (e.g., BOD), released in a discharge at a flow rate and/or pollutant concentration which will cause Interference with the POTW;
 - e. Heat in amounts which will inhibit biological activity in the POTW resulting in Interference but in no case shall there be heat in such quantities that the temperature at the POTW treatment plant exceeds 104 degrees Fahrenheit (40 degrees Celsius) unless the Executive Director, upon request of the POTW, approves alternate temperature limits;
 - f. Petroleum oil, nonbiodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause Interference or Pass Through;
 - g. Pollutants which result in the presence of toxic gases, vapors, or fumes within the POTW in a quantity that may cause acute worker health and safety problems; and
 - h. Any trucked or hauled pollutants, except at discharge points designated by the POTW.
2. The permittee shall require any indirect discharger to the treatment works to comply with the reporting requirements of Sections 204(b), 307, and 308 of the Clean Water Act, including any requirements established under 40 CFR Part 403.
3. The permittee shall provide adequate notification to the Executive Director in care of the Wastewater Permitting Section (MC 148) of the Water Quality Division within 30 days subsequent to the permittee's knowledge of either of the following:
 - a. Any new introduction of pollutants into the treatment works from an indirect discharger which would be subject to Sections 301 and 306 of the Clean Water Act if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
 - b. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into the treatment works by a source introducing pollutants into the treatment works at the time of issuance of the permit.

Any notice shall include information on the quality and quantity of effluent to be introduced into the treatment works, and any anticipated impact of the change on the quality or quantity of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

Attachment B
Statement of Basis/Technical Summary
and Executive Director's Preliminary
Decision

**STATEMENT OF BASIS/TECHNICAL SUMMARY
AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S PRELIMINARY DECISION**

DESCRIPTION OF APPLICATION

Applicant: City of Walnut Springs;
Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) Permit No.
WQ0013436001, (TX0128945)

Regulated Activity: Domestic Wastewater Permit

Type of Application: Major Amendment

Request: Major Amendment

Authority: Federal Clean Water Act, Section 402; Texas Water Code Section 26.027; 30
TAC Chapters 305, 307, 309, 312, 319, 30; Commission policies; and EPA
guidelines.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR RECOMMENDATION

The executive director has made a preliminary decision that this permit, if issued, meets all statutory and regulatory requirements. The proposed permit includes an expiration date of July 1, 2010 according to 30 TAC Section 305.71, Basin Permitting.

REASON FOR PROJECT PROPOSED

The applicant has applied to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for an amendment of the existing Texas Land Application Permit (TLAP) No. WQ0013436001 to change the method of disposal from irrigation to discharge of treated domestic wastewater at a daily average flow not to exceed 65,000 gallons per day. The current permit authorizes the disposal of treated effluent via irrigation of 60 acres of non-public access land at a daily average flow of 65,000 gallons per day.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The Walnut Springs Wastewater Treatment Facility is a pond system; treatment units include two aeration ponds and two stabilization ponds. The facility also includes a holding pond for storage of treated effluent prior to irrigation. The facility is operating in the interim phase of the draft permit.

The facility is a pond system and sludge from the ponds has not been removed for sludge disposal to date. The draft permit authorizes the disposal of sludge at a TCEQ authorized land application site or co-disposal landfill.

The facility is located approximately 1,500 feet east of the crossing of State Highway 144 over Steele Creek in the City of Walnut Springs in Bosque County, Texas. The irrigation site is located approximately one mile west-southwest of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 927 (Texas Street) and State Highway 144.

The treated effluent is discharged to Steele Creek; thence to Whitney Lake in Segment No. 1203 of the Brazos River Basin. The unclassified receiving water uses are limited aquatic life uses for Steele Creek. The designated uses for Segment No. 1203 are high aquatic life uses, public water supply and contact recreation. The effluent limitations in the draft permit will maintain and protect the existing instream uses. In accordance with §307.5 and the TCEQ implementation procedures (January 2003) for the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards, an antidegradation review of the receiving waters was performed. A Tier 1 antidegradation review has preliminarily determined that existing water quality uses will not be impaired by this permit action. Numerical and narrative criteria to protect existing uses will be maintained. This review has preliminarily determined that no water bodies with exceptional, high, or intermediate aquatic life uses are present within the stream reach assessed; therefore, no Tier 2 degradation determination is required. No significant degradation of water quality is expected in water bodies with exceptional, high, or intermediate aquatic life uses downstream, and existing uses will be maintained and protected. The preliminary determination can be reexamined and may be modified if new information is received.

Effluent limitations for the conventional effluent parameters (i.e., Biochemical Oxygen Demand or Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand, Ammonia Nitrogen, etc.) are based on stream standards and waste load allocations for water quality limited streams as established in the Texas Water Quality Standards and the water quality management plan.

The effluent limitations in the draft permit have been reviewed for consistency with the State of Texas Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP). The proposed effluent limitations are not contained in the approved WQMP. However, these limits will be included in the next WQMP update. A Waste Load Evaluation has not been completed for the segment.

The discharge from this permit action is not expected to have an effect on any federal endangered or threatened aquatic or aquatic dependent species or proposed species or their critical habitat. This determination is based on the United States Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) biological opinion on the State of Texas authorization of the Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES, September 14, 1998; October 21, 1998 update). To make this determination for TPDES permits, TCEQ and EPA only considered aquatic or aquatic dependent species occurring in watersheds of critical concern or high priority as listed in Appendix A of the USFWS biological opinion. The determination is subject to reevaluation due to subsequent updates or amendments to the biological opinion. The permit does not require EPA review with respect to the presence of endangered or threatened species.

Segment No. 1203 is not currently listed on the State's inventory of impaired and threatened waters (the Clean Water Act Section 303(d) list).

SUMMARY OF EFFLUENT DATA

The following is a summary of the applicant's effluent data for the period from May 2004 through April 2006 for BOD and TSS and for the period of October 2005 through April 2006 for flow. The average values for BOD and TSS is computed by averaging the monthly values for these parameters and the average for flow is computed by averaging the 30-day average values for each month.

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Average</u>
Flow, MGD	0.034
BOD ₅ , mg/l	27
TSS, mg/l	61

PROPOSED PERMIT CONDITIONS

The draft permit authorizes a discharge of treated domestic wastewater at a volume not to exceed a daily average flow of 0.065 million gallons per day.

In the interim phase of the draft permit that authorizes disposal via irrigation, the effluent limitation, based on a single grab, is 100 mg/l BOD₅. The draft permit authorizes the disposal of treated effluent via irrigation of 60 acres of non-public access land at a maximum application rate not to exceed 2.6 acre-feet per year per acre irrigated.

In the final phase of the draft permit that authorizes discharge of treated effluent, the effluent limitations, based on a 30-day average, are 30 mg/l BOD₅, 90 mg/l TSS and 4.0 mg/l minimum dissolved oxygen (DO). Disinfection is accomplished through a total residence time in the wastewater treatment system of at least 21 days, based on a daily average flow of 0.065 MGD.

The permittee shall comply with the requirements of 30 TAC Section 309.13 (a) through (d). In addition, by ownership of the required buffer zone area, the permittee shall comply with the requirements of 30 TAC Section 309.13(e).

The draft permit includes pretreatment requirements that are appropriate for a facility of this size and complexity. The facility does not appear to receive significant industrial wastewater contributions.

The draft permit includes Sludge Provisions according to the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 312, Sludge Use, Disposal and Transportation. The facility is a pond system and sludge from the ponds has not been removed for sludge disposal to date. The draft permit authorizes the disposal of sludge at a TCEQ authorized land application site or co-disposal landfill.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES FROM APPLICATION

None; see the next section for changes from the existing permit.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES FROM EXISTING PERMIT

The draft permit includes authorization to discharge treated effluent as requested by the applicant. Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements in the interim phase of the draft permit for disposal via irrigation remain the same as the existing permit requirements. More stringent effluent limitations are required in the final phase of the draft permit for discharge of the treated effluent.

The Standard Permit Conditions, Sludge Provisions, and Other Requirements sections of the draft permit have been updated. Pretreatment requirements have been added to the draft permit.

BASIS FOR PROPOSED DRAFT PERMIT

The following items were considered in developing the proposed permit draft:

City of Walnut Springs

TPDES Permit No. WQ0013436001

Statement of Basis/Technical Summary and Executive Director's Preliminary Decision

1. Application received June 21, 2006 and additional information received July 24, and December 12, 2006.
2. TLAP Permit No. WQ0013436001 issued November 15, 2004.
3. The effluent limitations and/or conditions in the draft permit comply with the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards, 30 TAC Sections 307.1 - 307.10.
4. The effluent limitations in the draft permit meet the requirements for secondary treatment and the requirements for disinfection according to 30 TAC Chapter 309, Subchapter A: Domestic Wastewater Effluent Limitations.
5. Interoffice memoranda from the Water Quality Assessment Section of the TCEQ Water Quality Division. Interoffice memorandum from the Storm Water & Pretreatment Team of the TCEQ Water Quality Division.
6. Consistency with the Coastal Management Plan: The facility is not located in the Coastal Management Program boundary.
7. "Procedures to Implement the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards," Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, January 2003.
8. Texas 2004 Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, May 13, 2005; approved by USEPA on May 8, 2006.
9. "TNRCC Guidance Document for Establishing Monitoring Frequencies for Domestic and Industrial Wastewater Discharge Permits," Document No. 98-001.000-OWR-WQ, May 1998.

PROCEDURES FOR FINAL DECISION

When an application is declared administratively complete, the Chief Clerk sends a letter to the applicant advising the applicant to publish the Notice of Receipt of Application and Intent to Obtain Permit in the newspaper. In addition, the Chief Clerk instructs the applicant to place a copy of the application in a public place for review and copying in the county where the facility is or will be located. This application will be in a public place throughout the comment period. The Chief Clerk also mails this notice to any interested persons and, if required, to landowners identified in the permit application. This notice informs the public about the application, and provides that an interested person may file comments on the application or request a contested case hearing or a public meeting.

Once a draft permit is completed, it is sent, along with the Executive Director's preliminary decision, as contained in the technical summary or fact sheet, to the Chief Clerk. At that time, Notice of Application and Preliminary Decision will be mailed to the same people and published in the same newspaper as the prior notice. This notice sets a deadline for making public comments. The applicant must place a copy of the Executive Director's preliminary decision and draft permit in the public place with the application. This notice sets a deadline for public comment.

Any interested person may request a public meeting on the application until the deadline for filing public comments. A public meeting is intended for the taking of public comment, and is not a contested case proceeding.


After the public comment deadline, the Executive Director prepares a response to all significant public comments on the application or the draft permit raised during the public comment period. The Chief Clerk then mails the Executive Director's Response to Comments and Final Decision to people who have filed comments, requested a

contested case hearing, or requested to be on the mailing list. This notice provides that if a person is not satisfied with the Executive Director's response and decision, they can request a contested case hearing or file a request to reconsider the Executive Director's decision within 30 days after the notice is mailed.

The Executive Director will issue the permit unless a written hearing request or request for reconsideration is filed within 30 days after the Executive Director's Response to Comments and Final Decision is mailed. If a hearing request or request for reconsideration is filed, the Executive Director will not issue the permit and will forward the application and request to the TCEQ Commissioners for their consideration at a scheduled Commission meeting. If a contested case hearing is held, it will be a legal proceeding similar to a civil trial in state district court.

If the Executive Director calls a public meeting or the Commission grants a contested case hearing as described above, the Commission will give notice of the date, time, and place of the meeting or hearing. If a hearing request or request for reconsideration is made, the Commission will consider all public comments in making its decision and shall either adopt the Executive Director's response to public comments or prepare its own response.

For additional information about this application contact Mary Ann Dimakos Airey, P.E. at (512) 239-4521.



Mary Ann Dimakos Airey, P.E.
Municipal Permits Team
Wastewater Permitting Section (MC 148)

12-19-06

Date

Attachment C
Compliance History of the Applicant and
Facility

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN600625776 City of Walnut Springs	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 22.25
Regulated Entity:	RN101918472 CITY OF WALNUT SPRINGS	Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 41.50
ID Number(s):	WASTEWATER PERMIT	WQ0013436001	
	WASTEWATER PERMIT	TX0128945	
	WASTEWATER LICENSING LICENSE	WQ0013436001	
Location:	one mile west-southwest of the intersection of FM 927 and HWY 144, Walnut Springs, TX	Rating Date: 9/1/2006	Repeat Violator: NO
TCEQ Region:	REGION 09 - WACO		
Date Compliance History Prepared:	February 27, 2008		
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Permit - Issuance, renewal, amendment, modification, denial, suspension, or revocation of a permit.		
Compliance Period:	February 27, 2003 to February 27, 2008		
TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History			
Name:	Phone:		

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

- A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Effective Date: 12/30/2005 ADMINORDER 2005-0819-MWD-E
 Classification: Major
 Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)
 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(4)
 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)
 Rqmt Prov: Standard Provision (2)(a), Pg. 19 PERMIT
 Description: Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to water in the State.

- B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

- C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

- D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

... 1 04/06/2005 (374945)

- E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 11/12/2004 (339849)

Self Report? NO

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Rqmt Prov: PERMIT Standard Provisions, No. 2(b) Pg. 19

Description: Failure by the permittee to prevent the discharge of wastewater into or adjacent to water in the state without authorization from the Commission.

- F. Environmental audits.

N/A

- G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

Attachment D
Executive Director's Response to Public
Comments

TCEQ PROPOSED PERMIT NO. WQ0013436001

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
WALNUT SPRINGS
FOR PERMIT NO. WQ0013436001
JAN 10 10 51 AM '03
OFFICE OF CHIEF CLERKS

APPLICATION BY
WALNUT SPRINGS
FOR PERMIT NO. WQ0013436001

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

BEFORE THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENT

The Executive Director (ED) of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the commission or TCEQ) files this Response to Public Comment (Response) on the City of Walnut Springs (Applicant) application and ED's preliminary decision. As required by 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Section 55.156, before a permit is issued, the ED prepares a response to all timely, relevant and material, or significant comments. The Office of Chief Clerk timely received comment letters from the following persons: Mr. Bruce M. Flowers and Mr. Clay Humphries. Mr. Flowers submitted comments on behalf of his clients who consist of the The Russell Family Trust, Steele Creek Ranch, L.P., Lindsay K. Russell, Sam Irizarry and Ann Irizarry, Phillip B. Butler, Trustee of the Lucille C. Butler Revocable Family Trust, and The Sztamenitis Family Limited Partnership. This response addresses all such timely public comments received, whether or not withdrawn. If you need more information about this permit application or the wastewater permitting process, please call the TCEQ Office of Public Assistance at 1-800-687-4040. General information about the TCEQ can be found at our website at www.tceq.state.tx.us.

BACKGROUND

Description of Facility

The Applicant has applied to the TCEQ for a major permit amendment to Texas Land Application Permit (TLAP) No. WQ0013436001 to change the method of disposal from irrigation to discharge. The current permit authorizes the disposal of treated effluent via irrigation of 60 acres of non-public access land at a daily average flow of 65,000 gallons per day.

The treated effluent will be discharged to Steele Creek; then to Whitney Lake in Segment No. 1203 of the Brazos River Basin. The unclassified receiving water uses are limited aquatic life uses for Steele Creek. The designated uses for Segment No. 1203 are high aquatic life uses, public water supply, and contact recreation. In accordance with §307.5 and the TCEQ implementation procedures (January 2003) for the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards (TSWQS), an antidegradation review of the receiving

waters was performed. A Tier 1 antidegradation review has preliminarily determined that existing water quality uses will not be impaired by this permit action. Numerical and narrative criteria to protect existing uses will be maintained. This review has preliminarily determined that no water bodies with exceptional, high, or intermediate aquatic life uses are present within the stream reach assessed; therefore, no Tier 2 degradation determination is required. No significant degradation of water quality is expected in water bodies with exceptional, high, or intermediate aquatic life uses downstream, and existing uses will be maintained and protected. The preliminary determination can be reexamined and may be modified if new information is received.

The Walnut Springs Wastewater Treatment Facility is a pond system; treatment units include two aeration ponds and two stabilization ponds. The facility also includes a holding pond for storage of treated effluent prior to irrigation.

The facility is located approximately 1,500 feet east of the crossing of State Highway 144 over Steele Creek in the City of Walnut Springs in Bosque County, Texas. The irrigation site is located approximately one mile west-southwest of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 927 (Texas Street) and State Highway 144.

Procedural Background

The permit application for a major permit amendment was received on June 21, 2006 and declared administratively complete on September 5, 2006. The Notice of Receipt and Intent to Obtain a Water Quality Permit (NORI) was published on September 13, 2006 in the *Bosque County News*. The Notice of Application and Preliminary Decision (NAPD) for a Water Quality Permit was published on March 21, 2007 in the *Bosque County News*. The public comment period ended on April 20, 2007. This application was administratively complete on or after September 1, 1999; therefore, this application is subject to the procedural requirements adopted pursuant to House Bill 801, 76th Legislature, 1999.

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

COMMENT 1:

Mr. Humphries and Mr. Flowers state that during periods of drought, when the creek is not running, the concentration of pollutants may adversely affect the wildlife and livestock that depend on the creek for drinking water. Mr. Humphries and Mr. Flowers state that since Steele Creek is spring fed, pollution which enters the stream may also pollute the underground aquifer that supplies drinking water. Mr. Flowers states that the increase in pollutant concentration levels may result in substantial and imminent harm to health and the environment.

RESPONSE 1:

The Executive Director bases his recommended effluent limits on low flow conditions. In the assessment of the wastewater treatment plant's effects on the receiving stream, the intermittent flow nature of the stream was taken into consideration. The effluent limits in the draft permit are founded on the understanding that there are pooled areas in an intermittent flow situation, and have been drafted to be protective of human health, aquatic life and associated domestic livestock and wildlife. The Executive Director has determined that the proposed draft permit will be protective of the environment,

water quality and human health and that it meets TCEQ rules. Given the small amount of effluent proposed to be discharged, during these periods of no flow, most of the effluent will evaporate, be taken up by plants through transpiration, or infiltrate into the stream bed prior to traveling any appreciable distance.

Since the permit amendment changes effluent disposal from irrigation to discharge, more stringent effluent limitations are required in the final phase of the draft permit for discharge of the treated effluent. Also, pretreatment requirements have been added to the draft permit. Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements in the interim phase of the draft permit for disposal via irrigation remain the same as the existing permit requirements.

According to the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB), the facility and its discharge point overlies the Trinity Aquifer. The formations which make up the Trinity Aquifer are the Travis Peak, Glen Rose, and Paluxy formations (from oldest to youngest). Recharge to the Trinity Aquifer generally occurs through the infiltration of precipitation where the formations that make up the aquifer are exposed at the surface and through the interaction between surface-water bodies (streams, rivers, lakes) and the underlying aquifers. According to the Geological Atlas of Texas, Dallas Sheet, Steele Creek overlies the Walnut Clay (Kwa). The Walnut Clay formation consists of clay and resistant, bench-forming, fossiliferous limestone. The Walnut Formation is generally considered to be a confining unit above the Trinity Aquifer, and is not considered to be an area of active recharge to the Trinity Aquifer. No faults are mapped along Steele Creek near discharge point, nor are any other potential recharge features evident from the review of geological maps and soils survey for the area.

A search of the Texas Water Development Board Water Information Integration & Dissemination (WIID) web site found three wells nearby, all belonging to the City of Walnut Springs. The wells were drilled to depths of 540-830 feet below ground level, and appear to be withdrawing ground water from the Travis Peak Formation of the Trinity Aquifer. The potential for contamination of these wells is negligible.

In the wastewater permitting process, TCEQ is tasked by the Legislature with protecting the quality of the water in the state. The draft permit includes effluent limits based on this criterion for the protection of human health and aquatic life, i.e. fish and associated wildlife. The Executive Director has determined that the proposed draft permit will be protective of the environment, water quality and human health and that it meets TCEQ rules. The permit limits given to the Applicant, if followed, are protective of the existing conditions, and should not degrade water quality. Noncompliance with the permit may result in enforcement action against the permittee.

COMMENT 2:

Mr. Humphries indicates that with the drought conditions in Texas, the Applicant would have no shortage of land in need of irrigation. He does not think there is any legitimate reason for the creek to be affected by the proposed discharge.

RESPONSE 2:

Texas Water Code Section 26.027 authorizes the commission to issue permits for wastewater discharges. The ED reviews the application to determine if the proposed discharge will violate the TSWQS in 30 TAC Chapter 307. The ED does not have the authority to require a different discharge location, different type of wastewater treatment plant or to require the Applicant to dispose of treated effluent via land

application or irrigation if the proposed discharge complies with the TSWQS. The ED evaluates applications for wastewater treatment plants, based on the information provided in the application. The ED can recommend denial if discharge does not comply with the TCEQ rules.

COMMENT 3:

Mr. Flowers indicates Steele Creek has been classified to have only limited aquatic life uses, the NAPD does not address the impact the requested amendment would have on Steele Creek. He also questions why the aquatic life uses for Steele Creek are classified as "limited," and there is no valid reason for this vibrant, historical creek to be classified so low. He also appears to disagree with the antidegradation review. See Comment 4.

RESPONSE 3:

The ED's preliminary decision contains the draft permit and the technical summary. The technical summary describes all the technical elements of the application reviewed, such as the antidegradation review.

A Tier 1 antidegradation review has preliminarily determined that existing water quality uses will not be impaired by this permit action. Numerical and narrative criteria to protect existing uses will be maintained. The water bodies that receive the effluent from a wastewater treatment plant are called receiving waters. Receiving waters can be assigned several different aquatic life uses based on the best available information obtained by the ED staff assessing the receiving water body. These aquatic life uses in order of increasing quality are; no significant, limited, intermediate, high and exceptional. The immediate receiving stream, Steele Creek, is listed in appendix D of the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards as a perennial water body with a high aquatic life use beginning approximately 3.3 miles downstream of the wastewater treatment plant outfall location and extending downstream to Lake Whitney. The portion of Steele Creek receiving the effluent and upstream of the perennial portion is presumed to be intermittent with perennial pools and having a limited aquatic life use. The TCEQ document, Procedures to Implement the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards, states that if available information indicates that the presumed uses and criteria in the standards for the receiving waters may be inappropriate, additional data may be obtained by the TCEQ or the Applicant in the form of a "receiving water assessment" before the permit issuance. TCEQ staff reviewed the classification in September 2006 and determined that the classification was sufficient for this portion of Steele Creek.

In TCEQ's document Procedures to Implement the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards, a Tier 2 antidegradation review is only needed on streams that have been assessed an intermediate aquatic life use or higher. The portion of Steele Creek receiving effluent receiving stream is classified as having a limited aquatic life use.

The proposed discharge is predicted to maintain the 3.0 mg/L dissolved oxygen criterion associated with the limited aquatic life use presumed for Steele Creek upstream of the Texas Surface Water Quality Standards' Appendix D listed portion of Steele Creek which has been assigned a high aquatic life use. The draft permit includes effluent limits based on this criterion for the protection of human health and aquatic life, i.e. fish and associated wildlife. The Executive Director has determined that the proposed draft permit will be protective of the environment, water quality and human health and that it meets TCEQ rules.

COMMENT 4:

Mr. Flowers states that the NAPD does not state that the antidegradation policy that will be pertinent to the permit action, degradation of the waters found in Steele Creek is not anticipated, or the impact the permit amendment would have on Steele Creek. Mr. Flowers states that the NAPD does not state that lowering of the water quality in Steele Creek is justified by important economic or social development and therefore is not a basis for the permit amendment to be granted.

RESPONSE 4:

TCEQ has adopted rules concerning the NAPD. These rules require the NAPD to contain certain information. In 30 TAC Section 39.411(c), the NAPD must contain a summary of the ED's preliminary decision, public location where a copy of the complete application and the ED's preliminary decision are available, and brief description of the location and nature of the proposed activity. The NAPD contained the required information for this permit.

COMMENT 5:

Mr. Humphries indicates the proposed discharge will adversely affect himself, his family and friends since they will no longer be able to wade, swim, fish, tube or enjoy the aesthetic value of the creek. Mr. Flowers indicates that degradation of the water quality in Steele Creek will damage his clients' and their families' ability to use and enjoy the creek; they will no longer be able to swim, fish or enjoy the aesthetic value of Steele Creek.

RESPONSE 5:

The permit limits given to the Applicant, if followed, are protective of the existing conditions, will not degrade water quality, and will not hinder the ability of Mr. Humphries, his family and friends to safely enjoy recreation within water bodies along the discharge route.

The proposed draft permit includes effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for 5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), and pH to ensure that the proposed wastewater treatment plant meets water quality standards for the protection of surface water quality and human health according to TCEQ rules. The proposed draft permit includes additional requirements for the wastewater treatment system to ensure the protection of water quality and human health. The Executive Director has determined that the proposed draft permit is protective of the environment, water quality, and human health and that it meets TCEQ rules and requirements.

COMMENT 6:

Mr. Humphries questions the legality of authorizing a discharge into Steele Creek because he indicates that Steele Creek is privately owned unlike the Brazos River whose bed is owned by the State of Texas. He indicates that he and his wife hold a deed to the bed itself as private property that is not open to the public. He also indicates that he will not give permission to the Applicant to discharge sewage to the state by crossing his property.

RESPONSE 6:

The issuance of this permit does not grant to the permittee the right to use private or public property for

conveyance of wastewater along the discharge route described in this permit. This includes property belonging to any individual, partnership, corporation or other entity. Neither does this permit authorize any invasion of personal rights nor any violation of federal, state, or local laws or regulations. It is the responsibility of the permittee to acquire any property rights as may be necessary to use the discharge route.

COMMENT 7:

Mr. Humphries indicates that the total value of his land and home will be substantially damaged and decreased due to the proposed discharge into Steele Creek. Mr. Flowers indicates that degradation of the water quality in Steele Creek will greatly damage the value of his clients' properties. He states that the market and intrinsic value of his clients' properties will be substantially damaged and materially decreased.

RESPONSE 7:

In the wastewater permitting process, TCEQ is tasked by the Legislature with protecting the quality of the water in the state. TCEQ has not been granted the authority to assess property values in determining whether an Applicant has met all of the statutory and regulatory criteria applicable to a wastewater permit. The TCEQ does not have zoning authority, and it is beyond the agency's power to address an Applicant's site selection and its effect on property values.

CHANGES MADE TO THE DRAFT PERMIT IN RESPONSE TO COMMENT

No changes to the draft permit have been made in response to public comment.

Respectfully submitted,

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Glenn Shankle
Executive Director

Robert Martinez, Director
Environmental Law Division




Dede Sigman, Staff Attorney
Environmental Law Division
State Bar No. 24044640
P.O. Box 13087, MC 173
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
(512) 239-0619

REPRESENTING THE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on October 29, 2007, the "Executive Director's Response to Public Comment" for Proposed Permit No. WQ 0013436001 was filed with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality's Office of the Chief Clerk.



Dede Sigman, Staff Attorney
Environmental Law Division
State Bar. No. 24044640
P.O. Box 13087, MC-173
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Telephone: (512) 239-0619
Fax: (512) 239-0606

REPRESENTING THE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE
TEXAS COMMISSION ON
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Attachment E
Maps of the Facility Site

Amendment Application for City of Walnut Springs

WQ0013436001

Map Requested by TCEQ Office of Legal Services



Protecting Texas by
Reducing and
Preventing Pollution

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
GIS Team (Mail Code 197)
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

December 10, 2007

0 0.2 0.4 Miles

Projection: Texas Statewide Mapping System
(TSMS)

Scale 1:21,748

Legend

○ Wastewater Point of Discharge

Source: The location of the facility was provided by the TCEQ Office of Legal Services (OLS). OLS obtained the site location information and the requestor information from the applicant. The counties are U.S. Census Bureau 1992 TIGER/Line Data (1:100,000). The background of this map is a source photograph from the 2004 U.S. Department of Agriculture Imagery Program. The imagery is one-meter Color-Infrared (CIR). The image classification number is tx029_1-1.

This map depicts the following:

- (1) The approximate location of the facility. This is labeled "WW Facility".
- (2) Circle and arrow depicting 1-mile radius. This is labeled "1-Mile Radius".
- (3) Discharge Point. This is labeled "WW Point of Discharge".



City of Walnut Springs



The facility is located in Bosque County. The red square in the first inset map represents the approximate location of the facility. The second inset map represents the location of Bosque County in the state of Texas; Bosque County is shaded in red.

This map was generated by the Information Resources Division of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. This map was not generated by a licensed surveyor, and is intended for illustrative purposes only. No claims are made to the accuracy or completeness of the data or to its suitability for a particular use. For more information concerning this map, contact the Information Resource Division at (512) 239-0800.

Amendment Application for City of Walnut Springs

WQ0013436001

Map Requested by TCEQ Office of Legal Services



Protecting Texas by
Reducing and
Preventing Pollution

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
GIS Team (Mail Code 197)
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

December 10, 2007

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 Miles

Projection: Texas Statewide Mapping System
(TSMS)

Scale 1:55,952


Legend

- Wastewater Point of Discharge
- Estimated location of hearing requestor, Clay Humphries

Source: The location of the facility was provided by the TCEQ Office of Legal Services (OLS). OLS obtained the site location information and the requestor information from the applicant. The counties are U.S. Census Bureau 1992 TIGER/Line Data (1:100,000). The background of this map is a source photograph from the 2004 U.S. Department of Agriculture Imagery Program. The imagery is one-meter Color-Infrared (CIR). The image classification number is tx029_1-1.

This map depicts the following:

- (1) The approximate location of the facility. This is labeled "WW Facility".
- (2) Circle and arrow depicting 1-mile radius. This is labeled "1-Mile Radius".
- (3) Discharge Point. This is labeled "WW Point of Discharge".
- (4) Approximate location of the requestor. This is labeled "Requestor".



City of Walnut Springs



The plant is located in Bosque County; The red square in the first inset map represents the approximate location of the facility. The second inset map represents the location of Bosque County in the state of Texas; Bosque County is shaded in red.



This map was generated by the Information Resources Division of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. This map was not generated by a licensed surveyor, and is intended for illustrative purposes only. No claims are made to the accuracy or completeness of the data or to its suitability for a particular use. For more information concerning this map, contact the Information Resource Division at (512) 239-0800.

Distance from point of discharge to requestor is approx. 6.3 miles.