

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., *Chairman*  
Buddy Garcia, *Commissioner*  
Carlos Rubinstein, *Commissioner*



Blas J. Coy, Jr., *Public Interest Counsel*

**TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**  
*Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution*

October 26, 2009


LaDonna Castañuela, Chief Clerk  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Office of the Chief Clerk (MC-105)  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

**RE: QUALITY READY MIX, LTD.**  
**TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2009-1483-AIR**

Dear Ms. Castañuela:

Enclosed for filing is the Office of Public Interest Counsel's Response to Requests for Hearing in the above-entitled matter.

Sincerely,



James B. Murphy, Attorney  
Assistant Public Interest Counsel

Enclosure

REPLY TO: PUBLIC INTEREST COUNSEL, MC 103 P.O. Box 13087 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-3087 512-239-6363

P.O. Box 13087

Austin, Texas 78711-3087

512-239-1000

Internet address: [www.tceq.state.tx.us](http://www.tceq.state.tx.us)



**TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2009-1483-AIR**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE  
APPLICATION OF QUALITY  
READY MIX, LTD., FOR AIR  
QUALITY STANDARD PERMIT,  
REGISTRATION NO. 85181**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**BEFORE THE  
TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

**THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC INTEREST COUNSEL'S RESPONSE  
TO REQUESTS FOR HEARING**

TO THE HONORABLE MEMBERS OF THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY:

The Office of Public Interest Counsel (OPIC) of the Texas Commission on  
Environmental Quality (Commission or TCEQ) files this Response to Requests for Hearing in  
the above-referenced matter and respectfully shows the following.

**I. INTRODUCTION**

**A. Background of Facility**

Quality Readymix, Ltd., LLP (Applicant) has applied to the TCEQ for a standard permit  
registration under the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA), TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.05195,  
which would authorize construction of a permanent concrete batch plant located approximately  
one mile north of Farm-to-Market Road 3377 on County Road 441/15, Mathis, San Patricio  
County. The proposed facility will emit the following air contaminants: particulate matter  
including (but not limited to) aggregate, cement, road dust, and particulate matter less than 10  
microns in diameter (PM<sub>10</sub>).

**B. Procedural Background**

TCEQ received this application on September 5, 2008. On September 16, 2008, the  
Executive Director (ED) declared the application administratively complete. The Notice of

Receipt and Intent to Obtain Air Quality Standard Permit Registration (NORI) was published on October 16, 2008 and February 12, 2009 in the *Mathis News*. The Notice of Application and Preliminary Decision for an Air Quality Standard Permit for Concrete Batch Plant Registration (NAPD) was published on March 12, 2009 in the *Mathis News*. A public meeting was held on May 19, 2009 in Mathis, and the public comment period ended at the adjournment of the public meeting. On July 27, 2009, the ED filed his decision and Response to Comments, which the Chief Clerk's office mailed on July 30, 2009. The deadline to request a contested case hearing was August 31, 2009.

TCEQ received timely comments and requests for a contested case hearing from Mary Jane Robertson and Ronald Tate on February 26 and August 24, 2009 and additional comments without a hearing request on February 16, 2009; Michael Lumpkin on February 17, March 17, and August 27, 2009; and Robert Szalwinski on October 31, 2008.<sup>1</sup> On March 17, 2009, TCEQ received timely comments and requests for a contested case hearing in the form of a petition to block the proposed facility signed by numerous nearby residents (Petitioners).<sup>2</sup> OPIC recommends granting the hearing requests submitted by Mary Jane Robertson and Ronald Tate. OPIC recommends referring the issue of Michael Lumpkin's affected person status to the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH). OPIC recommends denying the hearing requests of Robert Szalwinski and the Petitioners.

---

<sup>1</sup> TCEQ also received a list of signatures of concerned area citizens in a series of form letters provided by Shawna Szalwinski on October 31, 2008. These form letters do not include a request for a contested case hearing. Robert Szalwinski describes his October 31, 2008 contested case hearing request as an addendum to these form letters, but appears to request the contested case hearing in an individual capacity, based on his statement that "I am requesting a public meeting and a contested case hearing if necessary." It does not appear the concerned area citizens intended to request a contested case hearing by signing the form letter.

<sup>2</sup> A list of the Petitioners is provided in Exhibit A attached to this Response.

## II. APPLICABLE LAW

This application was declared administratively complete on September 16, 2008.

Because the application was declared administratively complete after September 1, 1999, a person may request a contested case hearing on the application pursuant to the requirements of House Bill 801, Act of May 30, 1999, 76th Leg., R.S., § 5 (codified at TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.056(n)).

Under the applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, a hearing request must substantially comply with the following: give the name, address, daytime telephone number, and, where possible, fax number of the person who files the request; identify the requestor's personal justiciable interest affected by the application showing why the requestor is an "affected person" who may be adversely affected by the proposed facility or activity in a manner not common to members of the general public; request a contested case hearing; list all relevant and material disputed issues of fact that were raised during the comment period that are the basis of the hearing request; and provide any other information specified in the public notice of the application. 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE (TAC) § 55.201(d).

An "affected person" is "one who has a personal justiciable interest related to a legal right, duty, privilege, power, or economic interest affected by the application." 30 TAC § 55.203(a). This justiciable interest does not include an interest common to the general public. *Id.* Governmental entities with authority under state law over issues contemplated by the application may be considered affected persons. 30 TAC § 55.203(b). Relevant factors considered in determining whether a person is affected include:

- (1) whether the interest claimed is one protected by the law under which the application will be considered;
- (2) distance restrictions or other limitations imposed by law on the affected interest;

- (3) whether a reasonable relationship exists between the interest claimed and the activity regulated;
- (4) likely impact of the regulated activity on the health and safety of the person, and on the use of property of the person;
- (5) likely impact of the regulated activity on use of the impacted natural resource by the person; and
- (6) for governmental entities, their statutory authority over or interest in the issues relevant to the application.

30 TAC § 55.203(c).

The TCAA limits who may request a contested case hearing on a concrete plant registered under a standard permit: “[O]nly those persons actually residing in a permanent residence within 440 yards [ $\frac{1}{4}$  mile] of the proposed plant may request a hearing under [TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.056] as a person who may be affected.” TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.058(c).

The Commission shall grant an affected person’s timely filed hearing request if: (1) the request is made pursuant to a right to hearing authorized by law; and (2) the request raises disputed issues of fact that were raised during the comment period and that are relevant and material to the Commission’s decision on the application. 30 TAC § 55.211(c).

Accordingly, responses to hearing requests must specifically address:

- (1) whether the requestor is an affected person;
- (2) which issues raised in the hearing request are disputed;
- (3) whether the dispute involves questions of fact or of law;
- (4) whether the issues were raised during the public comment period;
- (5) whether the hearing request is based on issues raised solely in a public comment withdrawn by the commenter in writing by filing a withdrawal letter with the Chief Clerk prior to the filing of the Executive Director’s Response to Comment;
- (6) whether the issues are relevant and material to the decision on the application; and
- (7) a maximum expected duration for the contested case hearing.

30 TAC § 55.209(e).

### III. DISCUSSION

#### A. Determination of Affected Person Status

##### 1. Mary Jane Robertson and Robert Tate

Mary Jane Robertson and Robert Tate timely filed a request for a contested case hearing. They state their address as 23816 County Road 441/15 in Mathis, within 440 yards of the proposed facility site. They express concern about adverse effects from air contaminants on their health, drinking water, property values, animals, quality of life, and use and enjoyment of their home and property. They also express concern about adverse effects on the environment, wildlife, and a nearby cemetery. They are concerned the proposed operating hours of six days of week, sixteen hours a day, will create a constant flow of air contaminants. They are also concerned about noise created by the facility.

Ms. Robertson and Mr. Tate's permanent residence is located within 440 yards of the proposed facility. *See* Quality Ready Mix Application No. 85181, Hearing Requestors, Map Requested by TCEQ Office of Legal Services (October 13, 2009) (ED's Map). Because of the location of their property relative to the facility, there is a reasonable relationship between their interest in protecting air quality and the activities to be regulated under the permit. 30 TAC § 55.203(c)(3). Their interests in air quality are protected by the law which governs this application. 30 TAC § 55.203(c)(1). In addition, there is a likely impact on their health, safety, and use of their property and nearby natural resources due to the proximity of the proposed facility. 30 TAC § 55.203(c)(4) and (5). For these reasons, OPIC concludes Mary Jane Robertson and Ronald Tate are affected persons entitled to a contested case hearing.

## 2. Michael Lumpkin

Michael Lumpkin filed a timely request for a contested case hearing. He lists his address as 9541 County Road 505 in Mathis, within 440 yards of the proposed facility site. He expresses concern about adverse effects on his health and use and enjoyment of his home and property. He expresses particular concern about breathing problems and cancer risks from air contaminants from the facility. He questions whether the facility is properly located in a residential area. He also questions why Applicant is not proposing to use control measures to prevent air and water contamination, such as runoff control, a permanent concrete foundation, concrete roadways, retention ditches, and a wash down system for trucks.

There is conflicting evidence on the location of Mr. Lumpkin's permanent residence with respect to the proposed facility. He states in his hearing request that his residence is located approximately 1,000 feet from the facility, but the ED's Map shows his home outside of the 440 yard radius from the facility. Assuming Mr. Lumpkin's residence is within 440 yards of the facility, he is an affected person based on the factors in 30 TAC § 55.203(c). Because there is a question of fact as to the location of his residence in relation to the facility, OPIC recommends referring the issue of Mr. Lumpkin's affected status to SOAH.

## 3. Robert Szalwinski

Robert Szalwinski timely filed a request for a contested case hearing. He lists his address as 24515 La Ponderosa Drive in Mathis, but does not specify the location of his residence in relation to the proposed facility. He expresses concern about adverse effects on air quality, drinking water, the quality of water in Lake Corpus Christi, and wildlife.

Based on the ED's Map, it does not appear Mr. Szalwinski's residence is within 440 yards of the proposed facility as required by TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.058(c).

Although Mr. Szalwinski raises valid concerns about the proposed facility, OPIC concludes he is not entitled to a contested case hearing based on the location of his residence in relation to the facility.

#### 4. Petitioners

The Petitioners filed a timely request for a contested case hearing. They express concern about adverse effects on air quality and use and enjoyment of their homes and property. They also express concern about increased traffic and damaged roads in the area from trucks hauling materials to and from the proposed facility.

Based on the ED's Map, it does not appear any of the Petitioners live within 440 yards of the proposed facility as required by TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.058(c). Although they raise valid concerns about the proposed facility, OPIC concludes they are not entitled to a contested case hearing based on the location of their residences in relation to the facility. However, if any of the Petitioners' residences are located within 440 yards of the proposed facility, OPIC invites those persons to file a reply with the Office of Chief Clerk by November 9, 2009 stating the location of their residence in relation to the proposed facility. OPIC will reconsider its position based on any timely filed replies.

#### **B. Issues Raised in the Hearing Request**

The following issues have been raised in the hearing requests:

1. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect human health and quality of life. (Robertson and Tate, Lumpkin)
2. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect air quality. (Robertson and Tate, Lumpkin, Szalwinski, Petitioners)
3. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect wildlife and the environment. (Robertson and Tate, Szalwinski)

4. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect pets and farm animals. (Robertson and Tate)
5. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect use and enjoyment of nearby homes and property. (Robertson and Tate, Lumpkin, Petitioners)
6. Whether the permit should require restricted operating hours. (Robertson and Tate)
7. Whether the permit should contain additional conditions to control emissions, including but not limited to runoff control, a permanent concrete foundation, concrete roadways, retention ditches, and a wash down system for trucks. (Lumpkin)
8. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect use of Cenizo Hill Cemetery. (Robertson and Tate)
9. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect water wells. (Robertson and Tate, Szalwinski)
10. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect Lake Corpus Christi. (Szalwinski)
11. Whether the proposed facility will adversely affect property values. (Robertson and Tate)
12. Whether the proposed facility will create nuisance noise conditions. (Robertson and Tate)
13. Whether the proposed facility is properly sited in a residential area. (Lumpkin)
14. Whether the proposed facility will adversely affect traffic and road conditions in the area. (Petitioners)

**C. Issues Raised in the Comment Period**

All of the issues raised in the hearing request were raised in the comment period and have not been withdrawn. 30 TAC §§ 55.201(c) and (d)(4), 55.211(c)(2)(A).

**D. Disputed Issues**

There is no agreement between the hearing requesters and the ED on the issues raised in the hearing requests.

**E. Issues of Fact**

If the Commission considers an issue to be one of fact, rather than one of law or policy, it is appropriate for referral to hearing if it meets all other applicable requirements. 30 TAC § 55.211(c)(2)(A). All of the issues presented are issues of fact appropriate for referral to SOAH.

**F. Relevant and Material Issues**

The hearing requests raise issues relevant and material to the Commission's decision under the requirements of 30 TAC §§ 55.201(d)(4) and 55.211(c)(2)(A). In order to refer an issue to SOAH, the Commission must find that the issue is relevant and material to the Commission's decision to issue or deny this permit. *See Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.*, 477 U.S. 242, 248–251 (1986) (in discussing the standards applicable to reviewing motions for summary judgment the Court stated “[a]s to materiality, the substantive law will identify which facts are material . . . it is the substantive law’s identification of which facts are critical and which facts are irrelevant that governs”). Relevant and material issues are those governed by the substantive law under which this permit is to be issued. *Id.*

In general, most of the issues are relevant and material because they are governed by the substantive law under which this permit is issued and raise specific questions about the proposed facility, permit, or application that ultimately relate to air quality. TCEQ is responsible for the protection of air quality under the TCAA and accompanying administrative rules. The purpose of the TCAA is “to safeguard the state’s air resources from pollution by controlling or abating air pollution and emission of air contaminants, consistent with the protection of public health, general welfare, and physical property, including the esthetic enjoyment of air resources by the public and the maintenance of adequate visibility.” TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.002. In

addition, “[n]o person shall discharge from any source whatsoever one or more air contaminants or combinations thereof, in such concentration and of such duration as are or may tend to be injurious to or to adversely affect human health or welfare, animal life, vegetation, or property, or as to interfere with the normal use and enjoyment of animal life, vegetation, or property.”

30 TAC § 101.4.

Specifically, Issue Nos. 1–5 raise relevant and material issues related to air quality and effects on human health or property. Issue Nos. 6–7 raise relevant and material issues related to additional permit conditions to minimize adverse effects on air quality, human health, and property. Accordingly, Issue Nos. 1–7 are appropriate for referral to SOAH.

Issue No. 8 related to adverse effects on the nearby cemetery is not relevant and material because the hearing requesters have not articulated a basis for standing to protest effects on the cemetery. Issue Nos. 9–14 related to adverse effects on water and property values, noise, the location of the facility, and traffic and related road conditions are not relevant and material because these issues do not pertain to air quality and are outside the jurisdiction of the Commission in processing this air permit registration. Although traffic hazards are within the Commission’s jurisdiction under 30 TAC § 101.5, this provision refers to hazards created by air emissions, not from increased use of the roadway by trucks entering and leaving the facility. Accordingly, Issue Nos. 8–14 are inappropriate for referral to SOAH.

#### **G. Issues Recommended for Referral**

OPIC recommends that the following disputed issues of fact be referred to SOAH for a contested case hearing:

1. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect human health and quality of life.
2. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect air quality.

3. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect wildlife and the environment.
4. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect pets and farm animals.
5. Whether emissions from the proposed facility will adversely affect use and enjoyment of nearby homes and property.
6. Whether the permit should require restricted operating hours.

If the Commission or SOAH determines Michael Lumpkin is an affected person, OPIC recommends an additional issue be referred to SOAH for a contested case hearing:

7. Whether the permit should contain additional conditions to control emissions, including but not limited to runoff control, a permanent concrete foundation, concrete roadways, retention ditches, and a wash down system for trucks.

#### **H. Maximum Expected Duration of Hearing**

Commission Rule 30 TAC § 55.115(d) requires that any Commission order referring a case to SOAH specify the maximum expected duration of the hearing by stating a date by which the judge is expected to issue a proposal for decision. The rule further provides that no hearing shall be longer than one year from the first day of the preliminary hearing to the date the proposal for decision is issued. To assist the Commission in stating a date by which the judge is expected to issue a proposal for decision, and as required by 30 TAC § 55.209(d)(7), OPIC estimates that the maximum expected duration of a hearing on this application would be nine months from the first date of the preliminary hearing until the proposal for decision is issued.


### **IV. CONCLUSION**

OPIC recommends granting the hearing requests from Mary Jane Robertson and Ronald Tate on the issues referenced in Section III.G above. OPIC recommends referring the issue of

Michael Lumpkin's affected person status to SOAH. OPIC recommends denying the hearing requests of Robert Szalwinski and the Petitioners. OPIC further recommends a hearing duration of nine months.


Respectfully submitted,

Blas J. Coy, Jr.  
Public Interest Counsel

By:   
James B. Murphy  
Assistant Public Interest Counsel  
State Bar No. 24067785  
P.O. Box 13087, MC 103  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  
(512) 239-4014 Phone  
(512) 239-6377 Fax

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 26, 2009 the original and seven true and correct copies of the Office of Public Interest Counsel's Response to Requests for Hearing was filed with the Chief Clerk of the TCEQ and a copy was served to all persons listed on the attached mailing list via hand delivery, facsimile transmission, Inter-Agency Mail, electronic mail, or by deposit in the U.S. Mail.

  
James B. Murphy

**Exhibit A**  
**List of Petitioners**

John Allbright	Rev. Patti Herndon
Hazel Baggs	Cristi Hilzinger
Alexis Barksdale (address not found)	Lou Hilzinger
Coy Barksdale (address not found)	Ann Hinton
Wesley Bauch	Ronnie Ingleston
Harold Bennett	Laura Karkoska
Arty Berthold	Thomas Karkoska
W. Bland	Billie Kidd
Cheryl Braun	Lois King (address illegible)
Nicholas Braun, Jr.	Ron LeBoeuf
Clarence Brissard	Dan Lechner
Susan Brissard	Denise Lechner
Dalton Brown	Pat Lemmons
Ina Brown	Paul Lemmons
Lloyd Buff	Alberto Lopez, Jr.
Rita Buff	Rosemary Lopez
Joe Burkhart	Bill Luhall
Susan Burkhart	Linda Lumpkin
Clarence Chopelas	Michael Lumpkin
Darryl Cummings	C. McElhaney
Sally Cummings	Ray McKillor
L.E. Daley	Steff McKillor
Darvin Dieringer	Cathy Miller
Thomas Dirckene	Cheryl Miller
James Eramest	Joyce Miller
Kathy Finch	Tamaia Miller
Patrick Foye	Gary Moreno
Tommie Foye	Bob Morse
Audrey Galloway	Dorothy Murray
Concerned Citizen Getzelman	Erwin Murray
Cynthia Getzelman	Mary Mustyl
Cheryl Gillenwater	Gay Nichols
Michael Gray	David Ortmyer
Bill Green	Ulrike Ortmyer
John Hammon	Tammy Peterman (address illegible)
Lisa Hammon	John Pham
John Hawkins	Marneta Pickard
Norma Hawkins	B. Porch
John Hedgcoth	Christin Rodgers
Regina Hedgcoth	Richard Rodgers
Chester Heflin	Beverly Ross
Patty Heflin	Michael Ross

Cynthia Simons  
Sylvia Smith  
Martin Stewart (address illegible)  
Adrienne Strong  
Steve Strong  
Patty Tracy  
Henry Trevino  
Rickey Ussery  
Clara VanBlarcum  
Jim VanBlarcum  
Fay Walters  
Paulette Warner  
Gail West

**MAILING LIST**  
**QUALITY READY MIX, LTD.**  
**TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2009-1483-AIR**

FOR THE APPLICANT:

Henry Lozano  
Quality Ready Mix, Ltd.  
333 McBride Lane  
Corpus Christi, Texas 78408-2339  
Tel: (361) 289-2515  
Fax: (361) 299-2004

FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:

Chisum Cooke, Staff Attorney  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Environmental Law Division, MC-173  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  
Tel: (512) 239-0600  
Fax: (512) 239-0606

FOR OFFICE OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE:

Bridget Bohac, Director  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Office of Public Assistance, MC-108  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  
Tel: (512) 239-4000  
Fax: (512) 239-4007

FOR ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE  
RESOLUTION:

Kyle Lucas  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Alternative Dispute Resolution, MC-222  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  
Tel: (512) 239-4010  
Fax: (512) 239-4015

FOR THE CHIEF CLERK:

LaDonna Castañuela  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Office of Chief Clerk, MC-105  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  
Tel: (512) 239-3300  
Fax: (512) 239-3311

REQUESTERS:

*See attached list.*

JOHN A ALLBRIGHT  
9587 COUNTY ROAD 249  
MATHIS TX 78368-4057

INA BROWN  
PO BOX 388  
MATHIS TX 78368-0388

DARRYL CUMMINGS  
337 STEPHENS LOOP  
LAKE CITY TX 78368-9410

HAZEL BAGGS  
BAGGS LANE 3  
MATHIS TX 78368

LLOYD BUFF  
9505 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4323

SALLY CUMMINGS  
337 STEPHENS LOOP  
LAKE CITY TX 78368-9410

WESLEY BAUCH  
9381 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4321

RITA BUFF  
9505 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4323

L E DALEY  
PO BOX 342  
MATHIS TX 78368-0342

HAROLD E BENNITT  
120 BAYVIEW DR  
LAKE CITY TX 78368-9413

JOE BURKHART  
24849 COUNTY ROAD 350  
MATHIS TX 78368-4003

DARVIN DIERINGER  
9796 FM 888  
SKIDMORE TX 78389-3629

W KATHERINE BLAND  
24763 COUNTY ROAD 360  
MATHIS TX 78368-4007

SUSAN BURKHART  
24849 COUNTY ROAD 350  
MATHIS TX 78368-4003

THOMAS DIRCKENE  
9884 FM 3377  
MATHIS TX 78368-4255

CHERYL BRAUN  
PO BOX 519  
MATHIS TX 78368-0519

CLARENCE CHOPELAS  
9547 COUNTY ROAD 325  
MATHIS TX 78368-4009

KATHY FINCH  
124 LAKEMONT LN  
MATHIS TX 78368-4071

NICHOLAS L BRAUN, JR  
PO BOX 519  
MATHIS TX 78368-0519

PATTY TRACY  
880 FM 888  
MATHIS TX 78368-4072

PATRICK FOYE  
225 BAYVIEW DR  
LAKE CITY TX 78368-9468

CLARENCE & SUSAN BRISSARD  
9481 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4322

JAMES ERAMEST  
525 VISTA DR  
ODEM TX 78370-4321

TOMMIE J FOYE  
225 BAYVIEW DR  
LAKE CITY TX 78368-9468

ARTY BERTHOLD  
288 CR 372  
SANDIA TX 78383

CONCERNED CITIZENS VAN BLARCUM  
21485 COUNTY ROAD 1136  
MATHIS TX 78368-4226

AUDREY GALLOWAY  
24677 COUNTY ROAD 370  
MATHIS TX 78368-4013

DALTON BROWN  
120 LAKE ST  
LAKE CITY TX 78368-9433

CONCERNED CITIZENS GETZELMAN  
152 ZENNA DR  
MATHIS TX 78368-3408

CHERYL GILLENWATER  
PO BOX 975  
MATHIS TX 78368-0975

MICHAEL GRAY  
106 MCGLOIN LN  
MATHIS TX 78368

CRISTI J HILZINGER  
9371 COUNTY ROAD 509  
MATHIS TX 78368-4467

PAUL LEMMONS  
24747 COUNTY ROAD 360  
MATHIS TX 78368-4007

BILL GREEN  
24663 COUNTY ROAD 330  
MATHIS TX 78368-4011

LOU E HILZINGER  
9371 COUNTY ROAD 509  
MATHIS TX 78368-4467

ALBERTO LOPEZ, JR  
212 S DUVAL ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-2624

JOHN & LISA HAMMON  
9401 PR 512  
MATHIS TX 78368-4445

ANN HINTON  
PO BOX 956  
MATHIS TX 78368-0956

ROSEMARY LOPEZ  
212 S DUVAL ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-2624

JOHN R HAWKINS  
132 COUNTY ROAD 181  
SANDIA TX 78383-4049

RONNIE INGLESTON  
2403 HIDEAWAY RANCHETTES  
SKIDMORE TX 78389-3836

BILL LUHALL  
PO BOX 519  
MATHIS TX 78368-0519

NORMA J HAWKINS  
132 COUNTY ROAD 181  
SANDIA TX 78383-4049

LAURA KARKOSKA  
PO BOX 146  
MATHIS TX 78368-0146

LINDA LUMPKIN  
9541 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4323

JOHN HEDGCOTH  
24937 COUNTY ROAD 350  
MATHIS TX 78368-4004

THOMAS KARKOSKA  
PO BOX 146  
MATHIS TX 78368-0146

MICHAEL T LUMPKIN  
PO BOX 176  
MATHIS TX 78368-0176

REGINA HEDGCOTH  
24937 COUNTY ROAD 350  
MATHIS TX 78368-4004

BILLIE KIDD  
139 LAKE BROOK LN  
MATHIS TX 78368-4040

C MCELHANEY  
613 HUTCHINS ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-3227

CHESTER HEFLIN  
101 KELLY LN  
LAKE CITY TX 78368-9432

RON LEOEUF  
9632 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4324

RAY MCKILLOR  
5837 HAMPSHIRE RD  
CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78408-2209

PATTY HEFLIN  
101 KELLY LN  
LAKE CITY TX 78368-9432

DAN & DENISE LECHNER  
150 ZENNA DR  
MATHIS TX 78368-3408

STEFF M MCKILLOR  
5837 HAMPSHIRE RD  
CORPUS CHRISTI TX 78408-2209

PATTI HERNDON  
422 S DUVAL ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-2908

PAT LEMMONS  
24747 COUNTY ROAD 360  
MATHIS TX 78368-4007

CATHY MILLER  
9475 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4322

CHERYL MILLER  
PO BOX 87  
SANDIA TX 78383-0087

ULRIKE ORTMAYER  
9700 COUNTY ROAD 301  
MATHIS TX 78368-4016

CYNTHIA SIMONS  
9325 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4321

JOYCE MILLER  
9475 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4322

JOHN PHAM  
9825 FM 3377 NO 1  
MATHIS TX 78368-4255

SYLVIA SMITH  
24758 COUNTY ROAD 330  
MATHIS TX 78368-4011

TAMAIA MILLER  
9475 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4322

MARNETA J PICKARD  
110 CENTURY DR  
MATHIS TX 78368-3401

ADRIENNE STRONG  
103 CANAL ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-4052

GARY L MORENO  
104 LAKE BROOK LN  
MATHIS TX 78368-4037

B PORCH  
613 HUTCHINS ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-3227

STEVE STRONG  
103 CANAL ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-4052

BOB MORSE  
PO BOX 519  
MATHIS TX 78368-0519

MARY JANE ROBERTSON  
PO BOX 65  
MATHIS TX 78368

ROBERT W SZALWINSKI  
24515 LA PONDEROSA LN  
MATHIS TX 78368-4166

DOROTHY MURRAY  
9515 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4323

RONALD V TATE  
PO BOX 65  
MATHIS TX 78368-0065

HENRY TREVINO  
1012 BLUEBONNET ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-3312

ERWIN MURRAY  
9515 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4323

CHRISTIN RODGERS  
112 ROYAL ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-4073

RICKEY L USSERY  
9579 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4323

MARY MUSTYL  
22575 COUNTY ROAD 924  
MATHIS TX 78368-4118

RICHARD RODGERS  
112 ROYAL ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-4073

FAY WALTERS  
121 REDWOOD DR  
MATHIS TX 78368-1529

GAY NICHOLS  
868 FM 888  
MATHIS TX 78368-4072

BEVERLY ROSS  
402 E MESQUITE ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-2907

PAULETTE WARNER  
9494 COUNTY ROAD 505  
MATHIS TX 78368-4322

DAVID ORTMAYER  
9700 COUNTY ROAD 301  
MATHIS TX 78368-4016

MICHAEL ROSS  
402 E MESQUITE ST  
MATHIS TX 78368-2907

GAIL WEST  
PO BOX 163  
TYNAN TX 78391-0163