

Marisa Weber

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2013 9:14 AM
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number 53A

*MSW
79923*

From: longgca@aol.com [<mailto:longgca@aol.com>]
Sent: Sunday, September 29, 2013 8:20 PM
To: donotReply@tceq.state.tx.us
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number 53A

REGULATED ENTY NAME LOOKOUT DRIVE TRANSFER STATION

RN NUMBER: RN102778438

PERMIT NUMBER: 53A

DOCKET NUMBER: 2013-1406-MSW

COUNTY: COLLIN

PRINCIPAL NAME: NORTH TEXAS MWD

CN NUMBER: CN601365448

FROM

NAME: Gerald Long

E-MAIL: longgca@aol.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 1512 BRAEBURN DR
RICHARDSON TX 75082-3038

PHONE: 9722900840

FAX:

COMMENTS: I believe the proposed transfer station will lower our property values due to noise pollution and odor pollution due to the 50' requirement being totally insufficient. The standards for effective distance should be at least tripled and there should be weekly monitoring performed for noise decibels at the new limits as well as odor causing molecules at the new limits. Additionally, if this project is completed, there will be increased risk of traffic accidents, traffic jams and levels of fuel emissions in the area, with a potential 600 garbage trucks

MSW

idling while they wait in line. This project is not beneficial to the nearby residential areas and will adversely affect property values. There is high risk of potential harm to the Richardson citizens in the affected areas and for anyone using roads and intersections that feed the dump. There is also a watershed creek that borders the project and has already proven to suffer from water pollution from NTMWD and City of Richardson having to pay fines. This project greatly increases the potential for additional pollution of that surface water. Why would a project that will increase the volume of garbage being dumped in that area make sense for the City that approved the building of a large new upscale mixed use area right down the road (less than a mile)? And the residents that have homes don't want to see what could be the most important investment in their lives actually lose value as a result of this project. How do all the "green" initiatives of the city fit with a project with all of these environmental risks and harm to residents in the area and the neighboring city park. I would ask that this project be re-considered for relocation. I would also ask that the distance standards for odor and noise impacts be increased, and decible testing be performed for acceptable levels at the new limits. Also, that noise barriers be erected to mitigate current noise. We can easily hear the "white noise" backup signals which ironically, sound like pig squeals from the neighboring sausage plant to the uninitiated.