

From: PUBCOMMENT-OPA
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Date: 1/18/2012 10:30 AM
Subject: Fwd: Public comment on Permit Number 100114
Place: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2

H

>>> PUBCOMMENT-OCC 1/18/2012 8:27 AM >>>

>>> <calldan@sbcglobal.net> 1/17/2012 5:47 PM >>>

REGULATED ENTITY NAME FREEPORT LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANT
RN NUMBER: RN103196689
PERMIT NUMBER: 100114
DOCKET NUMBER:
COUNTY: BRAZORIA
PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP
CN NUMBER: CN601720345
FROM
NAME: Dan Callahan
E-MAIL: calldan@sbcglobal.net
COMPANY:
ADDRESS: 175 KINGS DR
FREEPORT TX 77541-8938
PHONE: 2816276856
FAX:

COMMENTS: I oppose the issuance of an air emission permit to Freeport LNG because the emissions would have an unacceptable effect on the communities nearby. The prevailing winds would spread the emissions directly across our homes and subject residents to unhealthy contamination of Sulfur, Co2, Mercury and other known carcinogens. The establishment of this facility at this location will present an unacceptable threat to Human Health and Welfare. I request that a full public hearing be held in the community and effected residents and Environmental Professionals be allowed to present evidence to prove this facility will cause detrimetal health issues to local residents.

12512
00395

mw

From: PUBCOMMENT-OPA
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Date: 2/21/2012 9:40 AM
Subject: Fwd: Public comment on Permit Number 100114
Place: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2

WSR
80395

PM
H

>>> PUBCOMMENT-OCC 2/21/2012 9:11 AM >>>

>>> <kathy@bastropbayou.com> 2/19/2012 2:55 PM >>>

REGULATED ENTY NAME FREEPORT LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANT

RN NUMBER: RN103196689

PERMIT NUMBER: 100114

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: Kathy Davis

E-MAIL: kathy@bastropbayou.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 155 FOUR MASTER RD


FREEPORT TX 77541-9680

PHONE: 8326544665

FAX:

COMMENTS: I request a case hearing, a contested hearing, and a public hearing to require that Freeport LNG answer the many questions I have regarding residential emergency planning, air quality standards, health endangerment to hundreds of homeowners, contamination to Brazoria National Wildlife Refuge, and destruction of recreational boating, fishing, and hunting areas. I strongly object to the construction of heavy industrial facilities in the midst of well established communities which creates residential investment losses in terms of home values. Please rule to protect innocent homeowners from being bullied by an insensitive corporation who should move its facility to nearby non-residential acreage. Such a move would have strong community support and would create a "good neighbor" image while allowing the corporation to continue its operation without opposition and negative feelings.

MS



InterTrac[®] for Government

OPA

MAR 01 2012

USE
090395

3y

Casework Tracking Sheet

Tracking # 36818-1GD

2012 MAR -1 PM 3:47
CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

Constituent:

Davis, Kathy
Freeport
155 Four Master
Freeport, TX 77541
Brazoria County

Phone: 832-654-4665
Email: kathy@bastropbayou.com
ID:

Promoted From Correspondence

Web Mail Message

I and a few hundred other homeowners in Brazoria County are very upset and deeply concerned because an LNG pretreatment facility is planned for construction in the middle of our residential community along Oyster Creek and the Brazoria Natural Wildlife Refuge.

Ours is a residential area, not an industrial area. Residential areas should not have industrial complexes forced upon them just because a company bought land there and doesn't care at all if area residents suffer. Owning land should not grant a company the right to destroy long established lifestyles and property values of hundreds of homes in exchange for 30 full time jobs.

The company, Freeport LNG, is in process of obtaining permits to clean natural gas of toxins and chemicals on County Road 792. Please stop them. County Road 792 is a narrow road leading into our communities and is our only access in or out of our homes. Freeport LNG plans to build the facility between our homes and the main highway. Any disaster, manmade or natural, would entrap our residents leaving them with no escape to safety and without a way for first responders to rescue us.

My neighbors and I are afraid of the dangers of having such a facility so close to our homes. We live in a peaceful, clean, and quiet non-industrial area. The facility will subject us to perpetual noise, airborne particulates, the constant stench of sulphur, flares for burning offgasses, dust and dirt from construction and operations, and constant traffic of thousands of dumptrucks and other commercial vehicles.

I have serious respiratory allergies. My breathing will be seriously compromised with this facility next door. I will be forced to lose my home. The community has many retired and elderly residents whose health will also be affected.

My neighbors and I have spent our lifetimes investing in our homes. Many of us will find it very difficult to uproot at this late stage of our lives. To compound the problem, our homes will lose both property value and all appeal to potential buyers. Who wants to buy a home next to a gas treatment industrial complex? We will be trapped financially and physically.

The facility is planned for construction on designated wetlands. The area is a major migratory bird route filled with estuaries and swamplands which are essential for fish populations and other wildlife. The chemical runoff, airborne emissions, noise, will destroy these wetlands and breeding grounds.

Everything about the proposed location of the facility is wrong, way wrong. Freeport LNG has let us know that they do not care about us, our homes, our lifestyles, or the wetlands managed by the National Wildlife Refuge by their refusal to relocate to a more appropriate and less detrimental area. When we told them we would reach out to our elected officials, they let us know that they already have you in their pocket.

You have given your approval and support for Freeport LNG to operate in Brazoria County but I don't think you knew that the company planned to build in such a sensitive and populated area. Your residential constituents need your help in requiring Freeport LNG to relocate its pretreatment facility to an industrial area. There are other properties not far away that would be much more appropriate for their activity,

Handwritten signature

including property much closer to the Quintana Island LNG facility which is the ultimate destination for the treated gas. Both Port Freeport and Dow Chemical have such properties available for this industry and will gain from it being built there but Freeport LNG wants our homes instead.

Please take a look into what's about to happen to my home. We have begged Freeport LNG to move its planned operations but they unresponsive. They have not answered our questions. Their open house was a joke and the information they gave us was contradictory. They have shown absolutely no concern about the damage they are causing us. When we ask them why they wish to destroy our community, they say that you support them, that their money and 30 jobs mean more to you than we do. I don't believe that. Please prove me right and meet with Freeport LNG to discuss a better location for them.

Just because they have billions of dollars should not mean that we are without voice regarding our homes and community. Just because they are proposing 30 full time jobs should not trump our right to live in peace and security. The jobs and taxes will still be in our area if they should move a mile or so down the road to an industrial area.

Please don't allow an industrial complex to be constructed in my neighborhood. Please help!! Please?

Most sincerely,
Kathy Davis

February 26, 2014

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of the Chief Clerk, MC-105
P. O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

NSR
803915

2014 MAR -3 PM 3:18

CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

Request for a contested Case Hearing on Proposed Air Quality Permit numbers 100114, PSDTX1282, and N150

Notice was given on February 7, 2014 concerning the above mentioned permit applications of Freeport LNG Development, LP

I, Harold Doty, am a person who may be affected by emissions of air contaminants from the proposed facility. My mailing address is 111 South Lake Drive, Quintana, Texas, 77541, and I can be reached at telephone number 1-979-482-2540.

The applicant's name is Freeport LNG Development, L. P., 333 Clay Street Suite 5050, Houston, Texas 77002-4101, and permit numbers are TCEQ proposed Air Quality Permit Number 100114, Prevention of Significant Deterioration Air Quality Permit PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit Number N150.

I request a contested case hearing.


I will be adversely affected by the air emissions from the proposed facility in a way not common to the general public by virtue of being closely downwind of the Quintana proposed facility during winter months, when the prevailing winds are from the North.

My house is located less than a half mile from the center point of the proposed facility to be located on top of fresh dredge spoils on Quintana Island.

I live at 111 South Lake Drive, and will be breathing any pollutants or other releases from the facility any time the wind is from the North.

Quintana has provided an Industrial District on the North End of the Island which is the proper place for any plant expansion to be built. I would like you to deny the permit for plant placement closer to our residential areas. There are no plans for air monitoring for VOC, and/or gas release with an automatic alarm system for residents to know when a problem exists.

The natural gas to be liquefied, as well as refrigerant gases (heavier than air) are not "odorized" for our protection, as is the case with household use of such gases. **This makes for an extremely dangerous situation for residents of Quintana. We do not wish to become another "West, Texas" disaster.**




Harold Doty
111 South Lake Drive
Quintana, Texas 77541


REVIEWED

MAR 06 2014

By



*Armed Duty
111 S. Lake Dr.
Quintana Texas
77541*



NORTH HOUSTON TX 295
27 FEB 2014 PM 2:11

RECEIVED

MAR 03 2014

TCEQ MAIL CENTER
MM

*Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of the Chief Clerk, MC -105
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087*

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

2014 MAR -3 PM 3:18

CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE



78711308767

Marisa Weber

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC
Sent: Thursday, February 27, 2014 8:36 AM
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number 100114
Attachments: Request for a contested Case Hearing on Proposed Air Quality Permit numbers 100114 signed.doc

H

From: harold.doty@hotmail.com [<mailto:harold.doty@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2014 9:23 PM
To: donotReply@tceq.state.tx.us
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number 100114

*NSR
60395*

REGULATED ENTY NAME FREEPORT LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANT

RN NUMBER: RN103196689

PERMIT NUMBER: 100114

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: Harold Doty

E-MAIL: harold.doty@hotmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 111 S LAKE DR
QUINTANA TX 77541-9792

PHONE: 9792653480

FAX:

COMMENTS: February 26, 2014 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Office of the Chief Clerk, MC-105 P. O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Request for a contested Case Hearing on Proposed Air Quality Permit numbers 100114, PSDTX1282, and N150 Notice was given on February 7, 2014 concerning the above mentioned permit applications of Freeport LNG Development, LP I, Harold Doty, am a person who may

MU

be affected by emissions of air contaminants from the proposed facility. My mailing address is 111 South Lake Drive, Quintana, Texas 77541, and I can be reached at telephone number 1-979-482-2540. The applicant's name is Freeport LNG Development, L. P., 333 Clay Street Suite 5050, Houston, Texas 77002-4101, and permit numbers are TCEQ proposed Air Quality Permit Number 100114, Prevention of Significant Deterioration Air Quality Permit PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit Number N150. I request a contested case hearing. I will be adversely affected by the air emissions from the proposed facility in a way not common to the general public by virtue of being closely downwind of the Quintana proposed facility during winter months, when the prevailing winds are from the North. My house is located less than a half mile from the center point of the proposed facility to be located on top of fresh dredge spoils on Quintana Island. I live at 111 South Lake Drive, and will be breathing any pollutants or other releases from the facility any time the wind is from the North. Quintana has provided an Industrial District on the North End of the Island which is the proper place for any plant expansion to be built. I would like you to deny the permit for plant placement closer to our residential areas. There are no plans for air monitoring for VOC, and/or gas release with an automatic alarm system for residents to know when a problem exists. The natural gas to be liquefied, as well as refrigerant gases (heavier than air) are not "odorized" for our protection, as is the case with household use of such gases. This makes for an extremely dangerous situation for residents of Quintana. We do not wish to become another "West, Texas" disaster. Harold Doty 111 South Lake Drive Quintana, Texas 77541

February 26, 2014

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of the Chief Clerk, MC-105
P. O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

**Request for a contested Case Hearing on Proposed Air Quality Permit numbers 100114,
PSDTX1282, and N150**

Notice was given on February 7, 2014 concerning the above mentioned permit applications
of Freeport LNG Development, LP

I, Harold Doty, am a person who may be affected by emissions of air contaminants from the proposed
facility. My mailing address is 111 South Lake Drive, Quintana, Texas 77541, and I can be reached at
telephone number 1-979-482-2540.

The applicant's name is Freeport LNG Development, L. P., 333 Clay Street Suite 5050, Houston, Texas
77002-4101, and permit numbers are TCEQ proposed Air Quality Permit Number 100114, Prevention
of Significant Deterioration Air Quality Permit PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit Number N150.

I request a contested case hearing.


I will be adversely affected by the air emissions from the proposed facility in a way not common to the
general public by virtue of being closely downwind of the Quintana proposed facility during winter
months, when the prevailing winds are from the North.

My house is located less than a half mile from the center point of the proposed facility to be located on
top of fresh dredge spoils on Quintana Island.

I live at 111 South Lake Drive, and will be breathing any pollutants or other releases from the facility
any time the wind is from the North.

Quintana has provided an Industrial District on the North End of the Island which is the proper place for
any plant expansion to be built. I would like you to deny the permit for plant placement closer to our
residential areas. There are no plans for air monitoring for VOC, and/or gas release with an automatic
alarm system for residents to know when a problem exists.

The natural gas to be liquefied, as well as refrigerant gases (heavier than air) are not "odorized" for our
protection, as is the case with household use of such gases. **This makes for an extremely dangerous
situation for residents of Quintana. We do not wish to become another "West, Texas" disaster.**



Harold Doty
111 South Lake Drive
Quintana, Texas 77541

TCEQ Public Meeting Form
March 4, 2014

6
Harold Speaks
HR

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.
Proposed Air Quality Permits
Permit No. 100114, PSD TX1282, and N150
And
Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and N170

PLEASE PRINT

Name: Harold Doty + Deet Kordine ✓

Mailing Address: 111 S. Lake Dr.

Physical Address (if different): 11

City/State: Quintana TX Zip: 77541

This information is subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act

Email: Harold.Doty@Hotmail.com ✓

Phone Number: 979-265-3480

• Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group? Yes No
If yes, which one? City of Quintana

Please add me to the mailing list. ✓

I wish to provide formal *ORAL COMMENTS* at tonight's public meeting. ✓

I wish to provide formal *WRITTEN COMMENTS* at tonight's public meeting. ✓

} Harold Doty

(Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.

mw

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014
AT PUBLIC MEETING

February 26, 2014

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of the Chief Clerk, MC-105
P. O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Request for a contested Case Hearing on Proposed Air Quality Permit numbers 100114, PSDTX1282, and N150

Notice was given on February 7, 2014 concerning the above mentioned permit applications of Freeport LNG Development, LP

I, Harold Doty, am a person who may be affected by emissions of air contaminants from the proposed facility. My mailing address is 111 South Lake Drive, Quintana, Texas 77541, and I can be reached at telephone number 1-979-482-2540.

The applicant's name is Freeport LNG Development, L. P., 333 Clay Street Suite 5050, Houston, Texas 77002-4101, and permit numbers are TCEQ proposed Air Quality Permit Number 100114, Prevention of Significant Deterioration Air Quality Permit PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit Number N150.

I request a contested case hearing.

I will be adversely affected by the air emissions from the proposed facility in a way not common to the general public by virtue of being closely downwind of the Quintana proposed facility during winter months, when the prevailing winds are from the North.

My house is located less than a half mile from the center point of the proposed facility to be located on top of fresh dredge spoils on Quintana Island.

I live at 111 South Lake Drive, and will be breathing any pollutants or other releases from the facility any time the wind is from the North.

Quintana has provided an Industrial District on the North End of the Island which is the proper place for any plant expansion to be built. I would like you to deny the permit for plant placement closer to our residential areas. There are no plans for air monitoring for VOC, and/or gas release with an automatic alarm system for residents to know when a problem exists.

The natural gas to be liquefied, as well as refrigerant gases (heavier than air) are not "odorized" for our protection, as is the case with household use of such gases. **This makes for an extremely dangerous situation for residents of Quintana. We do not wish to become another "West, Texas" disaster.**

Harold Doty
111 South Lake Drive
Quintana, Texas 77541

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014
AT PUBLIC MEETING

Marisa Weber

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2014 8:27 AM
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number 100114
Attachments: Quintana Natural Gas release report.doc

NSR
395

From: harold.doty@hotmail.com [<mailto:harold.doty@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Sunday, January 12, 2014 3:43 PM
To: donotReply@tceq.state.tx.us
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number 100114

REGULATED ENTY NAME FREEPORT LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANT

RN NUMBER: RN103196689

PERMIT NUMBER: 100114

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: Harold Doty

E-MAIL: harold.doty@hotmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 111 S LAKE DR
QUINTANA TX 77541-9792

PHONE: 9792653480

FAX:

COMMENTS: January 12, 2014 Office of the Chief Clerk TCEQ 12100 Park 35 Circle, Bldg F Austin, TX 78753 Re: Freeport LNG (FLNG) request for Quintana permit in docket 100114 Dear Sirs/Mesdames, There has been a request for a new permit made by Freeport LNG (FLNG) to construct a new liquefaction facility in the center of the city of Quintana on land owned by the Port of Freeport. Much has been made of the benefits to

m

the local economy while under construction in the local press, but no one has published or even asked what the residents actually think about this. The change in siting made between the FERC pre-filing docket PF11-2-000 Liquefaction pre-file and the newer version CP12-509-000 Liquefaction has made the project devastating to the city of Quintana property owners, as it will continue to erode property values. FLNG has responded by attempting to buy out as many property owners as possible, which would leave those of us left with a much smaller community once construction is complete. We, the residents of Quintana, have petitioned the company to either move the site to the original location, behind a stabilized dike about 24 feet tall, or build a stabilized dike 40 feet tall around the currently planned site on top of dredge spoils, reducing the visual impact of the new facility and ensuring our safety. A copy of the petition is attached. The company likes to state that they have had no incidents since they began operations, so I have attached my copy of an incident that occurred on December 2, 2009, releasing a large volume of gas into the atmosphere with a northwest wind blowing. This fits "Murphy's Law" which states that "Anything that can go wrong, will go wrong". Quintana, the oldest community in Texas (established in 1532) is one of Texas best examples of a natural beach with bird sanctuaries and easy access for citizens. Please deny FLNG the permit they seek unless they make the facility safer for those of us who choose to remain living here. Sincerely, Harold Doty 111 South Lake Drive Quintana, TX 77541

QUINTANA FLNG GAS RELEASE DEC 2, 2009 REPORT



12/2/2009

Freeport LNG Gas Release at 10:33 AM

On December 2, 2009 at 10:31 AM a very loud noise occurred while residents and Brazoria County Shoreline Task Force members were meeting in Quintana City Hall. This is to summarize the sequence of events from the perspective of the affected meeting attendees.

Quintana FLNG Gas release Dec 2, 2009 report

EMERGENCY RESPONSE

TIMELINE FOR ACTIONS AT QUINTANA CITY HALL

10:00 Brazoria County Shoreline Task Force Meeting starts

10:31 An extremely loud noise is heard coming from the Freeport Liquefied Natural Gas (hereafter FLNG) plant. Several people, including me (Harold Doty – Quintana City Councilman, and Dude Payne - County Commissioner precinct 1, look out the window and see a vapor trail appearing within the FLNG plant boundaries. Commissioner Payne remarks that it looks like a steam relief valve blowing. I tell him that I think there is no steam within the plant, so it must be something else.

10:34 I called Robert Pate (FLNG Site manager) at his office number (979-415-8717) and get a message he is not available.

10:35 Tonya Barker – Quintana City Secretary and I call Wallace Neeley – Quintana City Mayor at his work to apprise him of the situation. I stated that I was trying to get someone from the plant to tell us what is going on and will call him back later.

10:36 I call the FLNG main phone number 979-415-8700 and get a recorded message stating that I could leave a message, or call the control center 979-415-8750 or if this is an emergency to call 979-415-8725.

10:36 I called the emergency number given above, and spoke with Mike. I thought at first that this was Mike Quilty, the safety coordinator, but discovered that it was the security guard when I asked what the emergency was and he could only tell me what I already suspected, that a safety relief valve had opened. He could not (or would not) tell me what was coming out of the valve, despite asking several times. He did tell me that the wind direction was “out of the north”. From My perspective, it looked

like that would blow the cloud of ??? at the Sand Bar and Grill. (Later I discovered that the valve was actually directly across the street from Karen Summers house)

- 10:38 I called Karen Summers and instructed her to evacuate away from the cloud of ???, towards the FM 1495 bridge off the Island. I called Melvin and Barbara Sides and instructed them to evacuate along the beach toward the FM 1495 bridge if they were driving, or toward the jettys if they were walking. I called Bob Ellis to warn him to go to the jettys, but he was already down on Deep Sea Drive. While I was trying to contact these three people, the AT&T truck that had been parked across from the Sand Bar working drove off right through the cloud of ???.
- 10:40 Something must have prompted the AT&T truck to return, as I saw him drive past City Hall again going towards the jettys. The meeting had been temporarily adjourned into the kitchen area, away from any blast zone windows.
- 10:45 Michael Johns tried to call me while I was speaking to someone else from 979-415-8720 and left a message to call him about "the alarm" (There was no alarm horn that we heard)
- 10:54 The relief of gas was stopped, as we heard an all clear horn blowing. I called everyone back and told them they could return to their houses.
- 11:00 Wallace Neeley called back to let us know that Robert Pate had called him with the message "Preventative Maintenance was being done on a safety relief valve, and they forgot to tell us"
- 11:25 I tried to call Michael Johns back after listening to his message at 979-415-8720. I left a message for him with my cell phone number (979-482-2540)
- 11:28 Michael Johns called me back again while I was on the phone and left me his cell phone number 281-731-8034
- 11:56 I called Michael Johns back on his cell phone and got him this time. He stated that "The emergency Pressure Relief Valve had tripped while doing maintenance and stuck open. This caused the block valve to the [42 inch] main export gas line to close, which allowed only a 400 foot section of [42 inch] pipe to vent all of its natural gas through the relief valve." (??? Finally became natural gas for us)
- 13:15 I calculated the amount of natural gas in a 400 foot section of pipe at 1250 psig to be 104,167 standard cubic feet, so the release was at least that much. Depending on how quickly the 42 inch

Explanation received from Freeport LNG management on Friday,
December 4, 2009.

**Event 02 December 2009
PSV-4002
Freeport LNG Terminal**

At around 10:30 a.m. CST on 12-02-2009 the Freeport LNG ("FLNG") Operations group along with Dresser Direct (PSV Contractor) was performing DOT Part 193-required Preventative Maintenance ("PM") work, including testing, on PSV-4002 which is the topmost PSV venting to atmosphere off of Vaporizer E-1A. PSV-4002 is a pilot-operated valve which is designed to be tested in-place on a live system and is not required to be blocked prior to testing. The normal set-point for PSV-4002 is 1800 psig. Per FLNG Standard Maintenance Procedures, a nitrogen hose was attached to the pig tail on the pilot operated valve and the device was pressured up to perform the test. When the test pressure achieved 1600 psig the PSV "lifted" pre-maturely. Normally, the PSV should have re-seated once the pressure on the system dropped below the set point (1800 psig) or "chattered" as the pressure relieved. However, this did not occur. Instead, the PSV remained in an open position resulting in a rapid depressuring of the affected system. A loud sound was emitted as the system depressured. Operations personnel immediately started to manually block in V-174002A which is located directly underneath PSV-4002. Once this valve was fully closed, all natural gas venting to atmosphere ceased and the noise abated. The total time elapsed from the beginning to the end of the incident was approximately 6 to 8 minutes. The estimated volume of natural gas released during this incident is approximately 0.52 MMscf and no damage to equipment or other damage was caused during the incident.

The Operations/Control Room notified the CAER system at the start of the incident as a standard precaution. During the incident FLNG staff was called to muster stations by the Operation/Control Room. At no time was there any risk to areas outside the terminal that would warrant any action on the part of the Quintana community. Moreover, at no time during this incident were the public or FLNG employees at risk. Within 8 - 10 minutes of the start of the incident the call to muster was cancelled and FLNG staff returned to their normal work stations.

The incident did not meet any of the criteria for emergency notification to either FERC or DOT PHMSA or 'reportable quantity' notification to any other agencies. FLNG however reported the incident to FERC (by phone and email) and ultimately (3 December 2009 by email) to DOT PHMSA, as a courtesy.

FLNG Operations has taken the affected system down and performed Lock Out/Tag Out in order to remove the PSV to determine why the valve opened prematurely and remained in the open position.

Newspaper Article from The Brazosport Facts December 3, 2009


6A THURSDAY
DECEMBER 3, 2009

BRAZORIA COUNTY

Freeport LNG says leak posed no danger

By NATHANIEL LUKEFAHR
nathaniel.lukefahr@brazfact.com

QUINTANA — A small amount of methane gas escaped from a pipeline at Freeport LNG on Wednesday, but company officials say crews stopped



DOI

the leak minutes after it occurred and the public was not at risk.

Freeport LNG Terminal Manager Robert Pate and Environmental Manager Michael Johns spoke with

Mayor Wallace Neeley and Town Councilman Harold Doty by phone about 30 minutes after the leak occurred. Freeport LNG Vice President of Operations and Engineering Mark Mallett said, "Also, the company posted infor-

mation on the Brazosport Industrial Community Awareness and Emergency Response telephone line about the same time town leaders, however, say they would have liked to know what was happening behind the Freeport LNG chain-link fence immediately instead of being left to question what caused the loud noise," Doty said.

Quintana officials did not hear about the release from Freeport LNG officials for more than an hour, and the emergency horns did not go off, Doty said. "The uncertainty almost led the town to call for a full-scale evacuation."

"They need to come up with something better, now that we've actually had an emergency," said Doty, who said the loud noise frightened him. "I was expecting a big fireball, and there was not a damn thing I

could do about that," Mallett said the company acted properly but will work harder to release information more quickly.

"We need to do a better job of making sure our security guards know what to answer and write down names and numbers and things," he said. "I think we responded fairly quickly — it was loud — but when it's all said and done, we'll sit down with Mayor Neeley and say, 'Is there a number we need to call or somebody we need to call if something like this happens?'"

The methane gas, a component of liquefied natural gas, began leaking about 10:30 a.m. while crews were doing annual preventative maintenance on more than 100 safety release valves within the island terminal. Freeport LNG officials said. Methane is not toxic, but it is

highly flammable and could form explosive mixtures with air, according to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

A safety release valve connected to the pipeline got stuck open for about eight minutes, allowing methane within a 400-foot section of the pipeline to release. The fact it got stuck open indicated a spring used to keep the valve closed needs to be replaced, Mallett said.

"It did make a lot of noise, but that's why we test them," Mallett said. "Now we will go back in and perform maintenance on it. Our employees were never in danger and, certainly, the public was never in danger."

Nathaniel Lukefahr covers Quintana for The Facts. Contact him at 979-237-0151.

FREEPORT LNG Development, L.P. Emergency Response Plan

Book Number:

Issued To:

Public

Document Number:

Revision: 06

Freeport LNG

Development, L.P. Date: September 2009

Document Title: Emergency Response Plan

Document Number: Page 97

Revision: 06

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.

Date: September 2009

Document Title:

Emergency Response Plan Public

APPENDIX M: FERC LNG INCIDENT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)

FERC will be notified following any emergency of sufficient magnitude to endanger the Terminal, its employees, nearby industries or nearby residences.

Significant non-scheduled events, including safety-related incidents (i.e. LNG or natural gas releases, fires, explosions, mechanical failures, unusual over pressurization, and major injuries) shall be reported to FERC staff within 24 hours. In the event an abnormality is of significant magnitude to threaten public or employee safety, cause significant property damage, or interrupt service, notification shall be made immediately, without unduly interfering with any necessary or appropriate emergency repair, alarm, or other emergency procedure. This notification shall be incorporated into the LNG facility's emergency plan. Examples of reportable LNG-related incidents include:

- a. fire;
- b. explosion;
- c. estimated property damage of \$50,000 or more;
- d. death or personal injury necessitating in-patient hospitalization;
- e. free flow of LNG for five minutes or more that results in pooling;
- f. unintended movement or abnormal loading by environmental causes, such as an earthquake, landslide, or flood, that impairs the serviceability, structural integrity, or reliability of an LNG facility that contains, controls, or processes gas or LNG;
- g. any crack or other material defect that impairs the structural integrity or reliability of an LNG facility that contains, controls, or processes gas or LNG;
- h. any malfunction or operation error that causes the pressure of a pipeline or LNG facility that contains or processes gas or LNG to rise above its maximum allowable operating pressure (or working pressure for LNG facilities) plus the build-up allowed for operation of pressure limiting

or control devices;

i. a leak in an LNG facility that contains or processes gas or LNG that constitutes an emergency;

j. any safety-related condition that could lead to an imminent hazard and cause (either directly or indirectly by remedial action of the operator), for purposes other than abandonment, and 20 percent reduction in operating pressure or shutdown of operation of a pipeline or an LNG facility that contains or processes gas or LNG;

k. safety-related incidents to LNG vessels occurring at or en route to and from the LNG facility, or

l. an event that is significant in the judgment of the operator and /or management even though it did not meet the above criteria or the guidelines set forth in an LNG facilities incident management plan.

REVIEWED

MAR 18 2014

By bl

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

In Reference to Freeport LNG Development, LP -Liquefaction Project
Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170

NSR
30395
83497

AND

Freeport LNG Development, LP Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality
Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No.
N150

I, Laura Jones, request a Contested Case Hearing. My mailing and home address are one and the same and is 190 Sky Sail Rd., Freeport, TX 77541. My phone number is 281-682-3793. My home is approximately 1 1/2 miles North of the proposed FLNG Pretreatment Facility and will be in the Fall-Out Zone of their emissions, per Windrose, as was presented in my PowerPoint presentation at the Public Meeting on March 4, 2014 in Lake Jackson, TX, in which I presented a hard Copy to the TCEQ agents at said meeting. I am making this request on my own behalf because many things from this Pretreatment Facility will affect my life, health and welfare, also as a member of Save Our Subdivisions, AKA SOS (saveoursubdivisions.com), a Core Member/Historic Representative and a Full-time Resident of Hide-A-Way on the Gulf, one of the affected subdivisions in the Fall Out Zone of the Pretreatment Facility. As a person with some respiratory, auto-immune issues, as well as a family history of heart disease and heart attacks, the threat this proposed Chemical Plant/Pretreatment Plant poses is REAL - real to me, real to my husband and real to my friends and family.

The new location of Freeport LNG's Pretreatment Facility, although more aesthetically pleasing for me, Hide-A-Way on the Gulf residents and other Save Our Subdivision (SOS) neighborhoods, has brought its own set of concerns, in addition to some of the old concerns that are still looming. I appreciate the fact that they relocated it, but it is only a very short distance from us. Now, because of the new location, the fact that the prevailing winds that will carry toxins, impurities, contaminates, and particulates directly over our homes concerns me more than ever.

The need for Air Quality Monitoring Systems: The new location of the proposed pretreatment facility, near the intersection of Highway 332 and CR 690, which is very, VERY close to several residential areas, in addition to my home, is many, MANY miles away from any of the current air quality monitoring systems -- in excess of 5 miles west of my home. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in my direction, the opposite direction of where any of the monitors are and into uncharted areas, off the monitoring grid as it now exists. So, beside the point of the Brazosport Area lagging far behind (population per monitor) other industrial cities (Texas City, Pasadena, Deer Park, La Porte, etc. in the count of air monitoring devices, the Brazosport Area monitored areas are FAR removed from my area and the location of the PROPOSED PRETREATMENT FACILITY. Also not taken into account is the HUGE visiting population to our resort/beach location. We have a very large influx of people at all

CM

RECEIVED
MAR 17 2014
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

times of the year – families, teens, sportsmen and sportswomen, boaters, birders and Winter Texans. Our population SWELLS beyond the census-driven population count, therefore we are even MORE lacking in the number of monitors for our area. Now, as for the proposed location of the plant, it will be positioned adjacent to and in the pathway of all these visitors who make their way to the beach – they have to pass directly in front of this proposed location. And, I cannot leave this topic without mentioning the *decommissioning* of an ozone monitor on the HISTORIC (since 1974) Clute ozone monitor. It had the highest readings in the NATION at the Clute location MANY times in 2003. But TCEQ struck a deal with Dow in 2004, because of lack of funding, to move the ozone monitor very far out of the range of the “reality” of what the plants were actually pumping out. It is located in FAR north Lake Jackson, now – in the Gulf Coast Bird Observatory. I am proud to have worked with Dow in my career, and maybe what I have seen makes me more “JADED” but I see this MOVE as a Deal with The Devil. No monitoring devices in the LARGEST production area of ALL of Brazoria County, and dare I say the State and Nation, and NONE in more than FIVE MILES (yes, 5 miles) of ME, here in the proposed FALL-OUT ZONE and in the opposite direction of prevailing winds – REALLY??? The Texas Air Quality SE Texas Study of 2000 (part of the Great Waters Study) revealed significantly higher pollution values for our area. And now, we will have higher emissions from construction vehicles (dare I say Hundreds of THOUSANDS of Dump Truck loads of dirt “to and from” over the 5 years of construction, the dust that this creates (you have NO IDEA), the MULTIPLE construction sites currently, increased big rig deliveries/pick ups, increased truck, car, BUS traffic from workers to and from their sites, increased “load” on power grids due to RV camps/apartments and rental homes full to capacity from construction workers, and the new plant construction and new plants (MANY here) coming on-line. And the Clute Ozone Monitor, the HEART of the PRODUCTION ZONE was relocated because it delivered TRUE but Out-of Compliance Data. So, the solution is to decommission it and move it far, far away. Hmmmmm. I request a Contested Case Hearing.

My neighborhood is comprised of mostly retired or soon to be retired individuals, not unlike myself and my husband. With me and this population, in general, in mind, there are usually more respiratory issues within the population. All the emissions listed within both the EPA and the TCEQ applications indicate MANY that will either create new or acerbate existing respiratory conditions or illnesses in me and these individuals. Particulates are a MAJOR concern to all of us and our respiratory health. Without proper monitoring at the plant-site and in my neighborhood, we will be exposed to many “knowns” and “unknowns” without the awareness we need to protect ourselves and our loved ones. I am also cognizant to the fact that the chemical modeling/theorizing cannot accurately predict or consider the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. We need monitors and we need them NOW, before construction begins, so we can get BASELINE MEASUREMENTS, for the record. It could truly be a life or death decision

you, the TCEQ, are making for ME and those of us who will suffer the day in/day out toxic fall-out from these emissions.

I have yet to mention the impact these emissions will have on our wildlife and my ability to enjoy this part of my life to its fullest – the birds, fish, animals of all kinds and the vegetation and water they depend upon. With a deposition rate of 38% (as established in the Great Waters study) of all air pollutants migrating into our waters, this will be devastating to our environment. I fear for our Bays, Oceans, Rivers, Creeks, Estuaries and Nurseries/Hatcheries and the wildlife that depend upon a clean environment. And we cannot forget – our GROUND WATER – we are on community wells and our wells will be continually threatened by this proposed plant and its emissions.

Light Pollution: With no one being clear on how this plant will be situated or elevated, I am very concerned about the light necessary to illuminate this plant will spill out and over the levy and into our residential areas, therefore robbing us of our view of star-filled skies and beautiful sunsets and sunrises forever more. The FLNG Metering plant already infringes somewhat on us already. What will a plant exponentially larger create?? And how far above grade will they build, which once again will multiply the effect for those of us near to them. And it is not limited to concerns about our scenic beauty - much of the wildlife needs the dark of night-fall. Whether it is for hunting, sleeping, mating, or other purposes, they need a time of darkness not a home of perpetual light. They cannot thrive or survive in this kind of environment.

Noise pollution: A major concern to me is Noise Pollution. After returning home quickly after Hurricane Ike passed through, we came back to a surreal world of darkness, plywood covered windows, damage and PERPETUAL NOISE. The sound of generators roared through out this neighborhood. It is usually a place of peace and tranquility – a place of where you can hear a neighbor talking 4 houses away, a place you can hear a wrench drop in a driveway 3 blocks away, and barking dogs from the other side of the neighborhood. With no trees, open prairie around us, and perpetual winds to carry it, noise travels extreme distances out here. In the winter, it is magnified even more so. Reflecting back on Ike, it is the noise that sticks most in my memory and I can remember the day we got our electricity back on and the beautiful sound of silence once more when everyone turned off their generators. With FLNG building in the proposed location, the direction of the prevailing winds, and the EXTREME possibility of the plant being elevated, the noise will be heard here perpetually - 24/7, 365 days a year, day in/day out for decades to come. We will never know that welcomed sound of silence again. And here again, it is not just us humans affected by this. The wildlife will be driven from their feeding, breeding, nursery, play grounds because of the perpetual

noise and vibration. Their senses are more pronounced than ours are and the effect will be exponentially more to them.

Welfare and Safety: It has been well documented – our protest of, not only the pretreatment plant when it was to be built on CR 792, but of the pipeline, possible expansion and the safety of our homes and families in the event of an explosion/release. There is only one way in to our subdivisions, therefore there is only one way out. The pipeline parallels CR792 for some distance, which causes us grave concern. If there is an incident, we will effectively be “cut off” from any chance of rescue or escape. Getting rescue boats in-mass to our location would take hours and hours and we would be a lost cause in the event of a disaster. Because of Home Land Security’s involvement, we cannot see what kind of safe guards are in place, where the cut-offs for the pipelines are, what kind of fail-safes are utilized and whether there are sufficient amounts used to protect us from such a situation. How will we be informed, how will visitors be informed, who will train us on evacuations, who will help us?? I have GRAVE concerns about this issue.

Socio-Economic Impact: Many of the Residents now impacted by the new location of the Pretreatment Facility are retired, are on very limited incomes and some are below the poverty line. They are sentenced to live the remainder of their lives in the shadow of this plant because they have no financial means to move or the ability to relocate. What a sad thing – would you want this for your loved ones??

Highest and Best Production Equipment ONLY: We do not want TCEQ to require whatever “feasible” means in an interpretation of FLNG, in the sense of economy. We want a better definition of this!! We want what is not necessarily ECONOMICALLY feasible, but what is ENVIRONMENTALLY Feasible!! Carbon Capture, comes to mind, but there is so much more than just this that can be done. Please do what is ENVIRONMENTALLY RESPONSIBLE for this delicate, but ecologically incredible environment – demand the most environmentally advanced equipment!

Non-Resident Commentators: I have not yet mentioned the Form Letter issued by “someone” and sent to all the community/union/elected leaders here, MOST OF WHOM DO NOT LIVE IN THE TOXIC EMISSIONS FALL-OUT ZONE OF FREEPORT LNG. These “comments” were from people who listened to the “blown out-of-proportion” amount of jobs FLNG will create and rhetoric they have produced – they asked for “swift approval of all permits”. Listen to the homeowners who are BEGGING you to listen to them because they LIVE and BREATHE inside 2 miles of this Chemical Plant and live, every day, in the Fall Out Zone of this plant’s Toxic Emissions. We launched our own

letter campaign and have had NUMEROUS letters posted! Power to the Powerless People!

Exporting LNG: Lastly, what is wrong with this picture?? Why must we export our resources that are so desperately needed here? Our vehicles could be retro-fitted to run on this gas. And what about exporting this resource and we are left with the toxic fall out here, in our homes, in our wildlife, in our laps and destroying all we hold dear – our health, our homes, our wildlife , our environment – while China and the likes enjoy the benefit of our resources and the toxic fallout is left here? Jobs here at home - ??? FLNG has been misleading the community in so many ways (notification signs placed in locations that are inaccessible and signs cannot be read even with the use of high-powered binoculars, filing during the Holidays so applications will be overlooked/missed – this is just another of their attempts at smoke and mirrors. The vast majority of the jobs they “create” will be temporary, AT BEST. They will only have a handful of on-site operation personnel once they begin processing the gas. The damage to our wildlife, our homes, our health and our welfare will be immeasurable.

I, Laura Jones, request a **Contested Case Hearing** for myself and those I represent in the Save our Subdivision (SOS) group (saveoursubdivisions.com)

Respectfully,

Laura Jones

190 Sky Sail Rd



Freeport, TX 77541

Hide-A-Way on the Gulf Resident - located approximately 1 ½ miles from the proposed Pretreatment Facility and within the Fall Out Zone for all emissions

Phone # 281-682-3793

ljones7307@gmail.com

140 Skyward
Memphis, TN 38154



Mr. Zak Cavan
Executive Director, NC 109
TULQ
1210 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78733

RECEIVED
MAR 17 2011
TECHMAIL CENTER
BO

Attn: Hard copies of Sent Faxes

NSR
80395
23497

**In Reference to Freeport LNG Development, LP -Liquefaction Project
Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,PSDTX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170**

AND

**Freeport LNG Development, LP - Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality
Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No.
N150**

CHIEF CLERK OFFICE
014 MAR 13 AM 9:17

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

I, Laura Jones, request a Contested Case Hearing. My mailing and home address is one and the same and is 190 Sky Sail Rd., Freeport, TX 77541. My phone number is 281-682-3793. My home is approximately 1 1/2 miles North of the proposed FLNG Pretreatment Facility and will be in the Fall-Out Zone of their emissions, per Windrose, as was presented in my PowerPoint presentation at the Public Meeting on March 4, 2014 in Lake Jackson, TX, in which I presented a hard copy of my power point presentation to the TCEQ agents at the public meeting to become part of the record of at said meeting. I am making this request on my own behalf because many things from this Pretreatment Facility will affect my life, health and welfare, AND also as Representative and Member of Save Our Subdivisions, AKA SOS (saveoursubdivisions.com), a Core Member/Historic Representative and a Full-time Resident of Hide-A-Way on the Gulf, one of the affected subdivisions in the Fall Out Zone of the Pretreatment Facility. As a person with some respiratory, auto-immune issues, as well as a family history of heart disease and heart attacks, the threat this proposed Chemical Plant/Pretreatment Plant poses is REAL - real to me, real to my husband and real to my friends and family.

The new proposed location of Freeport LNG's Pretreatment Facility, although more aesthetically pleasing for me, Hide-A-Way on the Gulf residents and other Save Our Subdivision (SOS) neighborhoods, has brought its own set of concerns, in addition to some of the old concerns that are still looming. I appreciate the fact that they relocated it, but it is only a very short distance from us - approximately only 1 1/2 miles. Now, because of the new location, the fact that the prevailing winds that will carry toxins, impurities, contaminates, and particulates directly over our homes concerns me more than ever.

The need for Air Quality Monitoring Systems: The new location of the proposed pretreatment facility, near the intersection of Highway 332 and CR 690, which is very, VERY close to several residential areas, in addition to my home, is many, MANY miles away from any of the current air quality monitoring systems - in excess of 5 miles west of my home. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in my direction, the opposite direction of where any of the monitors are and into uncharted areas, off the monitoring grid as it now exists. So, beside the point of the Brazosport Area lagging far behind (population per monitor) other industrial cities (Texas City, Pasadena, Deer Park, La Porte, etc.) in the count of air monitoring devices, the Brazosport Area

REVIEWED H

MAR 13 2014

[Signature]

[Handwritten signature]

monitored areas are FAR removed from my area and the location of the PROPOSED PRETREATMENT FACILITY. Also not taken into account is the HUGE visiting population to our resort/beach location. We have a very large influx of people at all times of the year – families, teens, sportsmen and sportswomen, boaters, birders and Winter Texans. Our population SWELLS beyond the census-driven population count, therefore we are even MORE lacking in the number of monitors for our area. Now, as for the proposed location of the plant, it will be positioned adjacent to and in the pathway of all these visitors who make their way to the beach – they have to pass directly in front of this proposed location. And, I cannot leave this topic without mentioning the *decommissioning* of an ozone monitor at the HISTORIC (since 1974) Clute Site on Commerce. It had the highest readings of Ozone in the NATION at the Clute location MANY times in 2003. But because of lack of funding, TCEQ struck a deal with Dow in 2004, to move this CRITICAL ozone monitor very far out of the range of the “reality” of what the plants were actually pumping out. It is located in FAR north Lake Jackson, now – within the Gulf Coast Bird Observatory. I am proud to have worked with Dow in my career and maybe what I have seen makes me more “JADED” but I see this MOVE as a Deal with The Devil. No monitoring devices in the LARGEST production area of ALL of Brazoria County, and dare I say possibly the State and Nation, and NO monitors in more than FIVE MILES (yes, 5 miles) of ME, here in the proposed FALL-OUT ZONE and in the opposite direction of prevailing winds – REALLY??? The Texas Air Quality SE Texas Study of 2000 (part of the Great Waters Study) revealed significantly higher pollution values for our area. And now, we will have increasingly higher emissions from construction vehicles (THOUSANDS of Dump Truck loads of dirt moved “to and from” over the 5 years of construction, the dust that this creates (you have NO IDEA), the MULTIPLE construction sites currently from other new plant construction sites, increased big rig deliveries/pick-ups, increased truck, car, BUS traffic from workers to and from their sites, increased “load” on power grids due to RV camps/apartments and rental homes full to capacity from construction workers, and the new plant construction and new plants (MANY here) coming on-line. And the Clute Ozone Monitor, the HEART of the PRODUCTION ZONE was relocated because it delivered TRUE but Out-of Compliance Data. So, the solution is to decommission it and move it far, far away. Hmmmmm. **I request a Contested Case Hearing.**

My neighborhood is comprised of mostly retired or soon to be retired individuals, not unlike myself and my husband. With me and this population, in general, in mind, there are usually more respiratory issues within the population. All the emissions listed within both the EPA and the TCEQ applications indicate MANY emissions/pollutants that will either create new or acerbate existing respiratory conditions or illnesses in me and these individuals, as well as auto-immune disease, and premature heart attacks. Particulates are a MAJOR concern to all of us and our respiratory health and these other health hazards. Without proper monitoring at the plant-site and in my

neighborhood, we will be exposed to many "knowns" and "unknowns" without the awareness we need to protect ourselves and our loved ones - and without Freeport LNG being held accountable to Real Time Data provided by these Monitors. I am also cognizant to the fact that the chemical modeling/theorizing cannot accurately predict or consider the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create when mixed with not only their emissions, but ALL the other industrial complexes' emissions in the area/fall out zone. We need monitors and we need them NOW, before construction begins, so we can get BASELINE MEASUREMENTS, for the record. It could truly be a life or death decision you, the TCEQ, are making for ME and those of us who will suffer the day in/day out "toxic to our health" fall-out from these emissions.

I have yet to mention the impact these emissions will have on our wildlife and my ability to enjoy this part of my life to its fullest when this wonderful environment begins to suffer and feel the non-reversible effects that these emissions will cause- the birds, fish, animals of all kinds and the vegetation and water they depend upon. With a deposition rate of 38% (as established in the Great Waters study) of all air pollutants migrating into our waters, this will be devastating to our environment. I fear for our Bays, Oceans, Rivers, Creeks, Estuaries and Nurseries/Hatcheries and the wildlife that depend upon a clean environment. And we cannot forget - our GROUND WATER - we are on community wells and our wells will be continually threatened by this proposed plant and its emissions.

Light Pollution: With no one being clear on how this plant will be situated or elevated, I am very concerned about the light necessary to illuminate this plant will spill out and over the levy and into our residential areas, therefore robbing us of our view of star-filled skies and beautiful sunsets and sunrises forever more. The FLNG Metering plant already infringes somewhat on us already. What will a plant exponentially larger create?? And how far above grade will they build, which once again will multiply the effect for those of us near to them. And it is not limited to concerns about our scenic beauty - much of the wildlife needs the dark of night-fall. Whether it is for hunting, sleeping, mating, or other purposes, they need a time of darkness not a home of perpetual light. They cannot thrive or survive in this kind of environment.

Noise pollution: A major concern to me is Noise Pollution. After returning home quickly after Hurricane Ike passed through, we came back to a surreal world of darkness, plywood covered windows, damage and PERPETUAL NOISE. The sound of generators roared through out this neighborhood. It is usually a place of peace and tranquility - a place of where you can hear a neighbor talking 4 houses away, a place you can hear a wrench drop in a driveway 3 blocks away, and barking dogs from the other side of the neighborhood. With no trees, open prairie around us, and perpetual

winds to carry it, noise travels extreme distances out here. In the winter, it is magnified even more so. Reflecting back on Ike, it is the noise that sticks most in my memory and I can remember the day we got our electricity back on and the beautiful sound of silence once more when everyone turned off their generators. With FLNG building in the proposed location, the direction of the prevailing winds, and the EXTREME possibility of the plant being elevated, the noise will be heard here perpetually - 24/7, 365 days a year, day in/day out for decades to come. We will never know that welcomed sound of silence again. And here again, it is not just us humans affected by this. The wildlife will be driven from their feeding, breeding, nursery, play grounds because of the perpetual noise and vibration. Their senses are more pronounced than ours are and the effect will be exponentially more to them.

Welfare and Safety: Our Homes and Subdivisions were here FIRST – before FLNG's pipeline, Quintana Plant, Metering Plant – FLNG is trying to move a CHEMICAL PLANT into a highly populated RESIDENTIAL community – this is NOT and historical has not been an industrial area. It has been well documented – our protest of, not only the pretreatment plant when it was to be built on CR 792, but of the pipeline, possible expansion and the safety of our homes and families in the event of an explosion/release. There is only one way in to our subdivisions, therefore there is only one way out. The pipeline parallels CR792 for some distance, which causes us grave concern, but a bigger concern is when the gas enters the Pretreatment Facility where so many pumps, valves, flanges, etc. are a source for potential releases that could cause a Catastrophic Event. If there is an incident, we will effectively be "cut off" from any chance of rescue or escape. Getting rescue boats in-mass to our location would take hours and hours and we would be a lost cause in the event of a disaster. Because of Home Land Security's involvement, we cannot see what kind of safe guards are in place, where the cut-offs for the pipelines are, what kind of fail-safes are utilized and whether there are sufficient amounts used to protect us from such a situation. How will we be informed, how will visitors be informed, who will train us on evacuations, who will help us?? I have GRAVE concerns about this issue.

Socio-Economic Impact: Many of the Residents now impacted by the new location of the Pretreatment Facility are retired, are on very limited incomes and some are below the poverty line per the most recent US Census. They are sentenced to live the remainder of their lives in the shadow of this plant because they have no financial means to move or the ability to relocate – some are less than half a mile from this location. What a sad thing – would you want this for your loved ones??

Highest and Best Production Equipment ONLY: We do not want TCEQ to require whatever "feasible" means in an interpretation left up to FLNG, in the sense of

economics. We want a better definition of this!! We want what is not necessarily ECONOMICALLY feasible, but what is ENVIRONMENTALLY Feasible!! Carbon Capture comes to mind, but there is so much more than just this that can be done. Please do what is ENVIRONMENTALLY RESPONSIBLE for this delicate, but ecologically incredible, important, historic environment – demand the most environmentally advanced equipment!

Non-Resident Commentators: I have not yet mentioned the Form Letter issued by “someone” and sent to all the community/union/elected leaders here, MOST OF WHOM DO NOT LIVE IN THE TOXIC EMISSIONS FALL-OUT ZONE THAT FREEPORT LNG WILL CREATE. These “comments” were from people who listened to the “blown out-of-proportion” amount of jobs FLNG will create and rhetoric they have produced – they asked for “swift approval of all permits”. Listen to the homeowners who are BEGGING you to listen to them because they LIVE and BREATHE inside 2 miles of this Chemical Plant and live, every day, in the Fall Out Zone of this plant's emissions. We launched our own letter campaign and have had NUMEROUS letters posted! Power to the Powerless People! We want Air Monitors in place 1 year before any construction begins in order to obtain base-line data and we request all monitors deliver real-time data to be available to the public.

Exporting LNG: Lastly, what is wrong with this picture?? Why must we export our resources that are so desperately needed here? Our vehicles could be retro-fitted to run on this gas. And what about exporting this resource and we are left with the toxic fall out here, in our homes, in our BODIES, in our wildlife, in our laps and destroying all we hold dear – our health, our homes, our wildlife, our environment – while China, Japan, Korea and whomever enjoy the benefit of our resources BUT the toxic fallout is left here? Jobs here at home - ??? FLNG, I believe, has been misleading the community in so many ways (notification signs placed in locations that are inaccessible and signs cannot be read even with the use of high-powered binoculars, filing during the Holidays so applications will be over-looked/missed) – this is just another of their attempts at smoke and mirrors. The vast majority of the jobs they “create” will be temporary, AT BEST. They will only have a handful of on-site operation personnel once they begin processing the gas. The damage to our wildlife, our homes, our health and our welfare will be immeasurable.

I, Laura Jones, request a **Contested Case Hearing** for myself and those I represent in the Save our Subdivisions (SOS) group (saveoursubdivisions.com)

Respectfully,

Laura Jones

190 Sky Sail Rd

Freeport, TX 77541

Hide-A-Way on the Gulf Resident - located approximately 1 ½ miles from the proposed Pretreatment Facility and within the Fall Out Zone for all emissions

Phone # 281-682-3793

ljones7307@gmail.com

Marisa Weber

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2014 8:13 AM
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number 104840 (100114)
Attachments: Contested Case Hearing2.pdf

*NSR
80395*

H

From: ljones7307@gmail.com [<mailto:ljones7307@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2014 6:42 PM
To: donotReply@tceq.state.tx.us
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number 104840

REGULATED ENTY NAME FREEPORT LNG PRETREATMENT FACILITY

RN NUMBER: RN106481500

PERMIT NUMBER: 104840

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: MRS Laura Jones

E-MAIL: ljones7307@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 190 SKY SAIL RD
FREEPORT TX 77541-7911

PHONE: 2816823793

FAX:

COMMENTS: In Reference to Freeport LNG Development, LP -Liquefaction Project Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170 AND Freeport LNG Development, LP - Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150 I, Laura Jones, request a Contested Case

me

Hearing. My mailing and home address is one and the same and is 190 Sky Sail Rd., Freeport, TX 77541. My phone number is 281-682-3793. My home is approximately 1 ½ miles North of the proposed FLNG Pretreatment Facility and will be in the Fall-Out Zone of their emissions, per Windrose, as was presented in my PowerPoint presentation at the Public Meeting on March 4, 2014 in Lake Jackson, TX, in which I presented a hard copy of my power point presentation to the TCEQ agents at the public meeting to become part of the public record of said meeting. I am making this request on my own behalf because many things from this Pretreatment Facility will affect my life, health and welfare, AND also as a Representative and Member of Save Our Subdivisions, AKA SOS (saveoursubdivisions.com), a Core Member/Historic Representative and a Full-time Resident of Hide-A-Way on the Gulf, one of the affected subdivisions in the Fall Out Zone of the Pretreatment Facility. As a person with some respiratory, auto-immune issues, as well as a family history of heart disease and heart attacks, the threat this proposed Chemical Plant/Pretreatment Plant poses is REAL - real to me, real to my husband and real to my friends and family. FULL Objections and Reasons are in the attachment which was also faxed today and will be mailed tomorrow. PLEASE SEE FULL ATTACHED WORD DOCUMENT and FAXED STATEMENT THAT OUTLINES MY REASONS FOR REQUESTING A CONTESTED CASE HEARING

**In Reference to Freeport LNG Development, LP -Liquefaction Project
Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,PSDTX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170**

AND

**Freeport LNG Development, LP - Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality
Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No.
N150**

I, Laura Jones, request a Contested Case Hearing. My mailing and home address is one and the same and is 190 Sky Sail Rd., Freeport, TX 77541. My phone number is 281-682-3793. My home is approximately 1 ½ miles North of the proposed FLNG Pretreatment Facility and will be in the Fall-Out Zone of their emissions, per Windrose, as was presented in my PowerPoint presentation at the Public Meeting on March 4, 2014 in Lake Jackson, TX, in which I presented a hard copy of my power point presentation to the TCEQ agents at the public meeting to become part of the record of at said meeting. I am making this request on my own behalf because many things from this Pretreatment Facility will affect my life, health and welfare, AND also as Representative and Member of Save Our Subdivisions, AKA SOS (saveoursubdivisions.com), a Core Member/Historic Representative and a Full-time Resident of Hide-A-Way on the Gulf, one of the affected subdivisions in the Fall Out Zone of the Pretreatment Facility. As a person with some respiratory, auto-immune issues, as well as a family history of heart disease and heart attacks, the threat this proposed Chemical Plant/Pretreatment Plant poses is REAL - real to me, real to my husband and real to my friends and family.

The new proposed location of Freeport LNG's Pretreatment Facility, although more aesthetically pleasing for me, Hide-A-Way on the Gulf residents and other Save Our Subdivision (SOS) neighborhoods, has brought its own set of concerns, in addition to some of the old concerns that are still looming. I appreciate the fact that they relocated it, but it is only a very short distance from us – approximately only 1 ½ miles. Now, because of the new location, the fact that the prevailing winds that will carry toxins, impurities, contaminates, and particulates directly over our homes concerns me more than ever.

The need for Air Quality Monitoring Systems: The new location of the proposed pretreatment facility, near the intersection of Highway 332 and CR 690, which is very, VERY close to several residential areas, in addition to my home, is many, MANY miles away from any of the current air quality monitoring systems – in excess of 5 miles west of my home. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in my direction, the opposite direction of where any of the monitors are and into uncharted areas, off the monitoring grid as it now exists. So, beside the point of the Brazosport Area lagging far behind (population per monitor) other industrial cities (Texas City, Pasadena, Deer Park, La Porte, etc.) in the count of air monitoring devices, the Brazosport Area

monitored areas are FAR removed from my area and the location of the PROPOSED PRETREATMENT FACILITY. Also not taken into account is the HUGE visiting population to our resort/beach location. We have a very large influx of people at all times of the year – families, teens, sportsmen and sportswomen, boaters, birders and Winter Texans. Our population SWELLS beyond the census-driven population count, therefore we are even MORE lacking in the number of monitors for our area. Now, as for the proposed location of the plant, it will be positioned adjacent to and in the pathway of all these visitors who make their way to the beach – they have to pass directly in front of this proposed location. And, I cannot leave this topic without mentioning the *decommissioning* of an ozone monitor at the HISTORIC (since 1974) Clute Site on Commerce. It had the highest readings of Ozone in the NATION at the Clute location MANY times in 2003. But because of lack of funding, TCEQ struck a deal with Dow in 2004, to move this CRITICAL ozone monitor very far out of the range of the “reality” of what the plants were actually pumping out. It is located in FAR north Lake Jackson, now – within the Gulf Coast Bird Observatory. I am proud to have worked with Dow in my career and maybe what I have seen makes me more “JADED” but I see this MOVE as a Deal with The Devil. No monitoring devices in the LARGEST production area of ALL of Brazoria County, and dare I say possibly the State and Nation, and NO monitors in more than FIVE MILES (yes, 5 miles) of ME, here in the proposed FALL-OUT ZONE and in the opposite direction of prevailing winds – REALLY??? The Texas Air Quality SE Texas Study of 2000 (part of the Great Waters Study) revealed significantly higher pollution values for our area. And now, we will have increasingly higher emissions from construction vehicles (THOUSANDS of Dump Truck loads of dirt moved “to and from” over the 5 years of construction, the dust that this creates (you have NO IDEA), the MULTIPLE construction sites currently from other new plant construction sites, increased big rig deliveries/pick-ups, increased truck, car, BUS traffic from workers to and from their sites, increased “load” on power grids due to RV camps/apartments and rental homes full to capacity from construction workers, and the new plant construction and new plants (MANY here) coming on-line. And the Clute Ozone Monitor, the HEART of the PRODUCTION ZONE was relocated because it delivered TRUE but Out-of Compliance Data. So, the solution is to decommission it and move it far, far away. Hmmmmm. **I request a Contested Case Hearing.**

My neighborhood is comprised of mostly retired or soon to be retired individuals, not unlike myself and my husband. With me and this population, in general, in mind, there are usually more respiratory issues within the population. All the emissions listed within both the EPA and the TCEQ applications indicate MANY emissions/pollutants that will either create new or acerbate existing respiratory conditions or illnesses in me and these individuals, as well as auto-immune disease, and premature heart attacks. Particulates are a MAJOR concern to all of us and our respiratory health and these other health hazards. Without proper monitoring at the plant-site and in my

neighborhood, we will be exposed to many "knowns" and "unknowns" without the awareness we need to protect ourselves and our loved ones - and without Freeport LNG being held accountable to Real Time Data provided by these Monitors. I am also cognizant to the fact that the chemical modeling/theorizing cannot accurately predict or consider the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create when mixed with not only their emissions, but ALL the other industrial complexes' emissions in the area/fall out zone. We need monitors and we need them NOW, before construction begins, so we can get BASELINE MEASUREMENTS, for the record. It could truly be a life or death decision you, the TCEQ, are making for ME and those of us who will suffer the day in/day out "toxic to our health" fall-out from these emissions.

I have yet to mention the impact these emissions will have on our wildlife and my ability to enjoy this part of my life to its fullest when this wonderful environment begins to suffer and feel the non-reversible effects that these emissions will cause— the birds, fish, animals of all kinds and the vegetation and water they depend upon. With a deposition rate of 38% (as established in the Great Waters study) of all air pollutants migrating into our waters, this will be devastating to our environment. I fear for our Bays, Oceans, Rivers, Creeks, Estuaries and Nurseries/Hatcheries and the wildlife that depend upon a clean environment. And we cannot forget – our GROUND WATER – we are on community wells and our wells will be continually threatened by this proposed plant and its emissions.

Light Pollution: With no one being clear on how this plant will be situated or elevated, I am very concerned about the light necessary to illuminate this plant will spill out and over the levy and into our residential areas, therefore robbing us of our view of star-filled skies and beautiful sunsets and sunrises forever more. The FLNG Metering plant already infringes somewhat on us already. What will a plant exponentially larger create?? And how far above grade will they build, which once again will multiply the effect for those of us near to them. And it is not limited to concerns about our scenic beauty - much of the wildlife needs the dark of night-fall. Whether it is for hunting, sleeping, mating, or other purposes, they need a time of darkness not a home of perpetual light. They cannot thrive or survive in this kind of environment.

Noise pollution: A major concern to me is Noise Pollution. After returning home quickly after Hurricane Ike passed through, we came back to a surreal world of darkness, plywood covered windows, damage and PERPETUAL NOISE. The sound of generators roared through out this neighborhood. It is usually a place of peace and tranquility – a place of where you can hear a neighbor talking 4 houses away, a place you can hear a wrench drop in a driveway 3 blocks away, and barking dogs from the other side of the neighborhood. With no trees, open prairie around us, and perpetual

winds to carry it, noise travels extreme distances out here. In the winter, it is magnified even more so. Reflecting back on Ike, it is the noise that sticks most in my memory and I can remember the day we got our electricity back on and the beautiful sound of silence once more when everyone turned off their generators. With FLNG building in the proposed location, the direction of the prevailing winds, and the EXTREME possibility of the plant being elevated, the noise will be heard here perpetually - 24/7, 365 days a year, day in/day out for decades to come. We will never know that welcomed sound of silence again. And here again, it is not just us humans affected by this. The wildlife will be driven from their feeding, breeding, nursery, play grounds because of the perpetual noise and vibration. Their senses are more pronounced than ours are and the effect will be exponentially more to them.

Welfare and Safety: Our Homes and Subdivisions were here FIRST – before FLNG's pipeline, Quintana Plant, Metering Plant – FLNG is trying to move a CHEMICAL PLANT into a highly populated RESIDENTIAL community – this is NOT and historical has not been an industrial area. It has been well documented – our protest of, not only the pretreatment plant when it was to be built on CR 792, but of the pipeline, possible expansion and the safety of our homes and families in the event of an explosion/release. There is only one way in to our subdivisions, therefore there is only one way out. The pipeline parallels CR792 for some distance, which causes us grave concern, but a bigger concern is when the gas enters the Pretreatment Facility where so many pumps, valves, flanges, etc. are a source for potential releases that could cause a Catastrophic Event. If there is an incident, we will effectively be "cut off" from any chance of rescue or escape. Getting rescue boats in-mass to our location would take hours and hours and we would be a lost cause in the event of a disaster. Because of Home Land Security's involvement, we cannot see what kind of safe guards are in place, where the cut-offs for the pipelines are, what kind of fail-safes are utilized and whether there are sufficient amounts used to protect us from such a situation. How will we be informed, how will visitors be informed, who will train us on evacuations, who will help us?? I have GRAVE concerns about this issue.

Socio-Economic Impact: Many of the Residents now impacted by the new location of the Pretreatment Facility are retired, are on very limited incomes and some are below the poverty line per the most recent US Census. They are sentenced to live the remainder of their lives in the shadow of this plant because they have no financial means to move or the ability to relocate – some are less than half a mile from this location. What a sad thing – would you want this for your loved ones??

Highest and Best Production Equipment ONLY: We do not want TCEQ to require whatever "feasible" means in an interpretation left up to FLNG, in the sense of

economics. We want a better definition of this!! We want what is not necessarily ECONOMICALLY feasible, but what is ENVIRONMENTALLY Feasible!! Carbon Capture comes to mind, but there is so much more than just this that can be done. Please do what is ENVIRONMENTALLY RESPONSIBLE for this delicate, but ecologically incredible, important, historic environment – demand the most environmentally advanced equipment!

Non-Resident Commentators: I have not yet mentioned the Form Letter issued by “someone” and sent to all the community/union/elected leaders here, MOST OF WHOM DO NOT LIVE IN THE TOXIC EMISSIONS FALL-OUT ZONE THAT FREEPORT LNG WILL CREATE. These “comments” were from people who listened to the “blown out-of-proportion” amount of jobs FLNG will create and rhetoric they have produced – they asked for “swift approval of all permits”. Listen to the homeowners who are BEGGING you to listen to them because they LIVE and BREATHE inside 2 miles of this Chemical Plant and live, every day, in the Fall Out Zone of this plant’s emissions. We launched our own letter campaign and have had NUMEROUS letters posted! Power to the Powerless People! We want Air Monitors in place 1 year before any construction begins in order to obtain base-line data and we request all monitors deliver real-time data to be available to the public.

Exporting LNG: Lastly, what is wrong with this picture?? Why must we export our resources that are so desperately needed here? Our vehicles could be retro-fitted to run on this gas. And what about exporting this resource and we are left with the toxic fall out here, in our homes, in our BODIES, in our wildlife, in our laps and destroying all we hold dear – our health, our homes, our wildlife, our environment – while China, Japan, Korea and whomever enjoy the benefit of our resources BUT the toxic fallout is left here? Jobs here at home - ??? FLNG, I believe, has been misleading the community in so many ways (notification signs placed in locations that are inaccessible and signs cannot be read even with the use of high-powered binoculars, filing during the Holidays so applications will be over-looked/missed) – this is just another of their attempts at smoke and mirrors. The vast majority of the jobs they “create” will be temporary, AT BEST. They will only have a handful of on-site operation personnel once they begin processing the gas. The damage to our wildlife, our homes, our health and our welfare will be immeasurable.

I, Laura Jones, request a **Contested Case Hearing** for myself and those I represent in the Save our Subdivisions (SOS) group (saveoursubdivisions.com)

Respectfully,

Laura Jones

190 Sky Sail Rd

Freeport, TX 77541

Hide-A-Way on the Gulf Resident - located approximately 1 ½ miles from the proposed Pretreatment Facility and within the Fall Out Zone for all emissions

Phone # 281-682-3793

ljones7307@gmail.com

TCEQ Public Meeting Form
March 4, 2014

3

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.
Proposed Air Quality Permits
Permit No. 100114, PSD TX1282, and N150
And
Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and N170

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014
AT PUBLIC MEETING

PLEASE PRINT

Name: Laura Jones

Mailing Address: 190 Sky Sail Rd Freeport, TX
77541

Physical Address (if different): _____

City/State: Freeport Zip: 77541

This information is subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act

Email: Ljones7307@gmail.com ✓

Phone Number: 281-682-3793

• Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group? Yes No

If yes, which one? SOS Save Our Subdivisions

Please add me to the mailing list. ✓


I wish to provide formal *ORAL COMMENTS* at tonight's public meeting. ✓

I wish to provide formal *WRITTEN COMMENTS* at tonight's public meeting. ✓

(Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.

CM



OUR SUBDIVISIONS

- HIDE-A-WAY ON THE GULF
- OYSTER CREEK ESTATES
- BRIDGEPOINTE
- TURTLE COVE

OUR MISSION

Request tools necessary to
keep our families, our homes,
and the environment safe from
toxins and pipeline
emergencies and accidents!

PURPOSE OF PROPOSED

PRETREATMENT PLANT: Treat gas

to remove contaminants

- **Remove mercury then store in carbon absorbent that is changed every 5-50 years**
- **Remove Carbon Dioxide in amine system then release via flare into air**
- **Remove Hydrogen Sulfide in amine system and incinerate in an on site thermal oven**
- **To prepare for liquefaction process for**

EXPORT to FOREIGN MARKETS

AFFECTED STAKEHOLDERS

- Hide-A-Way on the Gulf
- Oyster Creek Estates
- Bridge Pointe
- Turtle Cove
- City Of Oyster Creek
- Bridge Harbor
- Kirby Boat Marina
- Estuaries
- Waterways
- Refuge Areas



delaysphoto

**RISK TO SAFETY AND
WELFARE**

CR 792
45

CR 792

**A NARROW DEAD END ROAD
WITH 1 WAY IN & 1 WAY OUT**

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Oyster Creek

- **Intracoastal Waterway**
- **The BAYS: Drum, Christmas, Bastrop, West**

Brazoria National Wildlife Refuge

- **The Slough**
- **The Slop Bowl**

Particulate Matter and Its Affect on Your Health

The size of particles is directly linked to their potential for causing health problems. Small particles less than 10 micrometers in diameter pose the greatest problems, because they can get deep into your lungs, and some may even get into your bloodstream.

Exposure to such particles can affect both your lungs and your heart. Small particles of concern include "inhalable coarse particles" (such as those found near roadways and dusty industries), which are larger than 2.5 micrometers and smaller than 10 micrometers in diameter; and "fine particles" (such as those found in smoke and haze), which are 2.5 micrometers in diameter and smaller.

Particle pollution - especially fine particles - contains microscopic solids or liquid droplets that are so small that they can get deep into the lungs and cause serious health problems. Numerous scientific studies have linked particle pollution exposure to a variety of problems, including:

Premature death in people with heart or lung disease
Nonfatal heart attacks,
Irregular heartbeat,
Aggravated asthma,
Decreased lung function, and
Increased respiratory symptoms

People with heart or lung diseases, children and older adults are the most likely to be affected by particle pollution exposure. However, even if you are healthy, you may experience temporary symptoms from exposure to elevated levels of particle pollution.

Environmental Damage

Particles can be carried over long distances by wind and then settle on ground or water. The effects of this settling include: making lakes and streams acidic; changing the nutrient balance in coastal waters and large river basins; depleting the nutrients in soil; damaging sensitive forests and farm crops; and affecting the diversity of ecosystems.

TCEQ EMISSIONS APPLICATION

- Nitrous Oxide - 65.8 TONS per year
- Carbon Monoxide - 94.2 TONS per year
- Volatile Organic Carbons - 24.96 TONS per year
- Airborne Particulates smaller than 10 μm - 87.2 TONS per year
- Airborne Particulates smaller than 2.5 μm - 87.2 TONS per year
- Sulfuric Acid - 2.04 TONS per year
- Sulfur Dioxide - 24.8 TONS per year
- Hydrogen Sulfide - 1.86 TONS per year
- Ammonia - 74.62 TONS per year

GHG EMISSIONS EPA APPLICATION

Compound	CR 792	Quintana	Total
CO2 (Carbon Dioxide)	1,567,302	11,719	1,579,026
CH4 (Methane)	33.52	9.86	43.38
N2O (Nitrous Oxide)	1.27	0.02	1.29
SF6 (Sulfur Hexafluoride)	0.002	0.015	0.017
CO2e (Carbon Dioxide Equivalent)	1,568,464	12,273	1,580,737

Oyster Creek, TX Air Quality - Mozilla Firefox
 File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help
 Air Data - jones7307@gmail.com - G...
 Oyster Creek, TX Air Quality


www.usa.com/oyster-creek-tx-air-quality.htm
 Most Visited Getting Started Dogpile Web Search Facebook Freeport, Texas (2) Co... Suggested Sites Web Slice Gallery
 Ask Search

17.5 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009

Particulate Matter (PM)2.5

Particulate Matter (PM)2.5 is used to describe particles of 2.5 micrometers or less.

Average Particulate Matter (PM)2.5 by Years



RECEIVED
 MAR 04 2014
 AT PUBLIC MEETING


Oyster Creek, TX Air Quality - Mozilla Firefox
 File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help
 Air Data - Jones307@gmail.com - G
 www.usa.com/oyster-creek-bx-air-quality.htm
 Most Visited Getting Started Dogpile Web Search Facebook Freeport, Texas (2) Co. Suggested Sites Web Slice Gallery
 Ask Search

0.035 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009

Particulate Matter (PM)₁₀

Particulate Matter (PM)₁₀ is used to describe particles of 10 micrometers or less.

Average Particulate Matter (PM)₁₀ by Years




RECEIVED
 MAR 04 2014
 AT PUBLIC MEETING

[File](#) [Edit](#) [View](#) [History](#) [Bookmarks](#) [Tools](#) [Help](#)
[Air Data - joncs307@gmail.com - G...](#) [USA](#) [Oyster Creek, TX Air Quality](#) [PowerPoint Presentation - Save Our...](#) [SOS August.pptx - Microsoft PowerP...](#)
[www.usa.com/oyster-creek-tx-air-quality.htm](#) [Ask Search](#)
[Most Visited](#) [Getting Started](#) [Dogpile Web Search](#) [Facebook](#) [Freeport, Texas \(2\) Co...](#) [Suggested Sites](#) [Web Slice Gallery](#)

Air Quality Index (AQI), #937

Average Air Quality Index (AQI) by Years



RECEIVED
 MAR 04 2014
 AT PUBLIC MEETING

Air quality indices (AQI) are numbers used by government agencies to characterize the quality of the air at a given location. As the AQI increases, an increasingly large percentage of the population is likely to experience increasingly severe adverse health effects. Air quality index values are divided into ranges, and each range is assigned a descriptor and a color code. Standardized public health advisories are

Today's Texas Air Quality Forecast - Mozilla Firefox

File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help

Letters to TCEQ not posted yet - Jones

Today's Texas Air Quality Forecast

www.tceq.state.tx.us/cgi-bin/compliance/monops/agg_daily_summary.pl

Most Visited Getting Started Dogpile Web Search Facebook Freeport, Texas (2) Con... Suggested Sites Web Slice Gallery

AutoGC Data by Day by Site (all parameters)

Use this form to retrieve hourly data collected at TCEQ Automated Gas Chromatograph (AutoGC) monitoring stations. Current data, it is not considered official until it has been certified by our technical staff. This information is updated daily. This web page provides the most current hourly measurement data available. Our convention for time-tagging data is as follows:

For example, values shown for the noon hour represent a sample collected during the noon hour (noon to 1:00 p.m. to 2:00 pm). The noon values will not be available from the site until after 2:00 p.m. The data. We also present our data in Local Standard Time for each measuring site in Texas this is Central Standard Time (sites in El Paso will be in Mountain Standard Time) and one hour time lag in the data.

Click on the site name below to select which date you want reported.

- Odessa-Midland
- Odessa Hays [N]
- Houston-Galveston-Brazoria
- Channelview [R]
- Houston Milby Park A169 [K]
- Wallisville Road [24]
- HRM-3 Haden Road [B] [22]
- Lynchburg Ferry [23]
- Clinton [A]
- Hou.DeerPrk2
- Cesar Chavez [M]
- BeaumontPort Arthur
- BeaumontDowntown [4]
- Nederland High School [M]
- El Paso-Juarez
- Chamizal [D]
- El Paso Delta [34]
- Dallas-Fort Worth
- Dallas Hinton St [E]
- Dallas Elm Fork [2C]
- Houston-Galveston-Brazoria

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2004
AT PUBLIC MEETING

Click on the site name below to select which date you want reported. Select the site you are interested in from the list below. The site name will be shown at the selected monitoring site (if that site measures the parameter you are interested in).

Measured in: ppb-Volume ppb-Carbon

Air Monitoring Comparison Value Highlights: Odor Short-Term Vegetation Short-Term Health (if define)

Report Format: Tabular (webified) Comma-delimited

Once you have made your selections above, click on the Generate Report button.

FOUR HIGHEST 24 HOUR PM2.5 CONCENTRATIONS IN 2014 as of March 2, 2014

[Today's Texas Air Quality Forecast - Mozilla Firefox](#) | [File](#) | [Edit](#) | [View History](#) | [Bookmarks](#) | [Tools](#) | [Help](#) | [Let's Go! \(IE8 not supported yet\)](#) | [Today's Texas Air Quality Forecast](#)

[www.tceq.state.tx.us/cgi-bin/compliance/menops/pm25_24hr_4highest.pl](#) | [Most Visited](#) | [Getting Started](#) | [Doogle Web Search](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Freeport, Texas \(2\) Co...](#) | [Suggested Sites](#) | [Web Slice Gallery](#) | [Ask Search](#)

Location	3 N	02/25/2014	17.8	02/24/2014	16.6	02/08/2014	14.3	01/01/2014	12.9
Austin									
Austin Northwest C3/A322	3 N	02/24/2014	16.1	02/16/2014	13.7	01/01/2014	13.0	02/08/2014	12.6
Audubon C38	3 N	02/24/2014	15.2	02/16/2014	13.1	02/18/2014	11.6	02/19/2014	11.1
Austin Webberville Road AF171	3 N	01/01/2014	25.9	01/18/2014	16.3	02/24/2014	15.9	02/16/2014	14.9
Zavala C326	3 N	01/01/2014	22.4	02/24/2014	16.2	01/18/2014	13.3	02/16/2014	13.1
Fayette County C601	3 N	02/17/2014	16.6	02/24/2014	14.8	02/18/2014	9.6	02/16/2014	9.6
Houston-Galveston-Brazoria									
Houston East C1/G316	3 N	02/24/2014	17.1	02/23/2014	15.9	02/17/2014	15.6	02/08/2014	15.4
Houston Aldine C8/AF108/X150	3 N	01/01/2014	24.1	01/12/2014	19.0	01/19/2014	18.4	02/24/2014	17.0
Hou.DeerPrk2 C35/235/1001/AFH139FP239	3 N	02/08/2014	15.6	02/23/2014	14.7	01/18/2014	14.4	02/17/2014	14.3
Seabrook Friendship Park C45	3 N	02/08/2014	13.6	02/07/2014	11.8	02/06/2014	11.3	02/24/2014	10.9
Conroe Relocated C78/A321	3 N	01/01/2014	24.7	02/24/2014	16.8	02/17/2014	16.5	02/25/2014	12.9
Kingwood C309	3 N	02/24/2014	18.7	01/12/2014	17.0	01/18/2014	16.3	01/17/2014	14.3
Clinton C403/C304/AH113	3 N	01/12/2014	17.9	02/17/2014	17.0	02/13/2014	17.0	02/08/2014	15.7
Park Place C416	3 N	02/24/2014	15.3	02/08/2014	14.8	01/01/2014	13.8	02/17/2014	13.7
Galveston 99th St. C1034/A320/X183	3 N	02/08/2014	11.9	02/09/2014	10.3	02/24/2014	9.3	02/07/2014	9.3
San Antonio									
San Antonio Northwest C23	3 N	01/01/2014	17.1	02/16/2014	15.8	02/24/2014	14.3	02/18/2014	14.1
Calaveras Lake C59	3 N	02/17/2014	17.5	02/16/2014	15.6	01/01/2014	15.6	03/02/2014	14.0
Selma C301	3 N	01/01/2014	28.5	02/16/2014	15.5	02/17/2014	13.8	02/24/2014	13.5
Heritage Middle School C622	3 N	01/01/2014	19.6	02/16/2014	14.9	03/02/2014	14.8	02/17/2014	14.4
Palo Alto C676	3 N	01/01/2014	17.9	02/16/2014	16.5	02/17/2014	16.2	01/18/2014	15.2
Old Highway 90 C677/A319	3 N	01/01/2014	33.1	02/16/2014	16.4	01/26/2014	15.4	02/17/2014	15.1
CPS Pecan Valley C678	3 N	01/01/2014	15.1	01/18/2014	19.4	02/16/2014	17.7	01/26/2014	17.7


RECEIVED
 MAR 04 2014
 AT PUBLIC MEETING

[Today's Texas Air Q...](#) | [Documents - Micro...](#) | [Microsoft Powerp...](#)


WindRose

AT&T 5:59 PM 71%

Figure 11-4. Frequency of Wind Speed and Direction for Meteorological Year 2009




RECEIVED
 MAR 04 2014
 AT PUBLIC MEETING



**IMAGINE THOSE EMISSIONS
CONTAINED IN A 7 STORY HIGH
HOT AIR BALLOON**

RECEIVED
MAR 14 2011
AT PUBLIC MEETING




**GHG EMISSIONS PER FLNG
EPA APPLICATION**

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014
AT PUBLIC MEETING

**Equivalent: 1,045,266.9 Hot Air Balloons per year
2,863.74 Hot Air Balloons per day**

**119.32 SEVEN story Hot Air Balloons per
HOUR**

FLING GHG EMISSIONS OVER HIDEAWAY




Equivalent: 1,045,266.9 Hot Air Balloons per year
2,863.74 Hot Air Balloons per day

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014
AT PUBLIC MEETING

119.32 Hot Air Balloons per HOUR

Balloon Size: 55 feet wide and 70 feet high!

BUT, GASES MIX TO FORM A TOXIC COCKTAIL



RECEIVED
MAR 04 2011
AT PUBLIC MEETING

GAS INTERACTIONS FORM PARTICLES

- CARRIED LONG DISTANCES BY WIND**
- CAN PENETRATE INDOOR AREAS**
- INCREASED RESPIRATORY ILLNESSES
AND PREMATURE DEATH**

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2002
AT PUBLIC MEETING

<http://www.epa.gov/acidrain/effects/health.html>

RECEIVED

MAR 04 2014

AT PUBLIC MEETING




Up to a 38% decrease in deposition of air pollutants in our area

FWS Photo



RECEIVED
MAY 12 1984
AT PUBLIC MEETING

THIS BECOMES TOXIC RUN-OFF




Toxins in rain and/or storm runoffs will pollute:

- **Oyster Creek**
- **Our canals**
- **Brazoria National Wildlife Refuge**

RECEIVED
PUBLIC MEETING

Oyster Creek, less than 1/2 mile away, is a bottomland forest with associated wetlands and high biodiversity of fish.



BRAZORIA NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE BOUNDARY

ESPORNENS

BRAZORIA
National Wildlife Refuge

NEW FLNG SITE

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014
AT PUBLIC MEETING

FWS Photo





RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014
AT PUBLIC MEETING

NEW PLANT SITE LESS THAN MILE AWAY FROM REFUGE

**BRAZORIA NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE IS A
VITAL COMPLEX OF COASTAL WETLANDS
HARBORING MORE THAN
300 BIRD SPECIES**



RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014
AT PUBLIC MEETING

AP Photo

**TOXINS HARM THE SALT MARSHES
AND PRAIRIES - HOMES OF PRIORITY
AND ONCE ENDANGERED SPECIES**

RECEIVED
JAN 12 2011
PUBLIC MEETINGS

Freeport LNG:

- Be “socially responsible”
- Be good corporate neighbors
- Practice environmental sustainability

RECEIVED
MAY 16 2012
AT PUBLIC MEETING


SOS EXPECTATIONS

- In place prior to construction of CR690 pre-treatment facility: Air Monitoring stations for Hide-Away and other SOS subdivisions!
- Shut-Off and Fail-Safe valves for ALL pipelines!
- An Official, Dedicated, and Practiced Evacuation Plan/Route for ALL CR 792 residents!
- Donate previous CR792 site to Brazoria National Wildlife Refuge

COMMENT AT TCEQ

<http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/about/comments.html>

**Proposed State Air Quality
Permit No. 104840 and 100114**



If you have an important point to make, don't try to be subtle or clever. Use a simple driver. Hit the point once. Then come back and hit it again. Then hit it a third time – a tremendous whack.

Winston Churchill

SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS

Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

REVIEWED *mm*
FEB 19 2014
By *[Signature]*

RECEIVED
FEB 18 2014
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

NJR
80395
83497

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project

- 1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
- 2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO₂; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO₂e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature] Signature
Laura Jones Print Name

*190 Sky Sail Rd
Freeport, TX 77541*

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY
2014 FEB 19 AM 11:41
CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

[Handwritten mark]

Smile Our Smiles
603 Lincoln Dr
Grupepa, TX 77591



RECEIVED
FEB 18 2014
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
MT

Mr. Zak Coon
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 85 Circle
Austin, TX 78763



Marisa Weber

From: PUBCOMMENT
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 3:25 PM
To: Laura Jones
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number WQ0015143001....Air permit 100114 and 104840

Thank you. We will attribute your comments to Freeport LNG Development LP, Air permit 100114 and 104840 in Brazoria County.

From: Laura Jones [<mailto:ljones7307@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 10:22 AM
To: PUBCOMMENT
Subject: Re: Public comment on Permit Number WQ0015143001

NSR
80395

Yes - you are correct - it is Freeport LNG of which I would like to post my comments to! I forgot that there is another docket that comes up! Thank you!

Best Regards, Laura Jones

Laura Jones
190 Sky Sail Rd
Freeport, TX 77541
281-682-3793
ljones7307@gmail.com

On Tue, Jan 14, 2014 at 8:42 AM, PUBCOMMENT <pubcomment@tceq.texas.gov> wrote:
Mrs. Jones,

We have received your comment below. It appears from your comments that you are wishing to comment on the application submitted by Freeport LNG Development LP, Air permit 100114 and 104840 in Brazoria County. However, as you can see below, you have attached your comment to Walton Texas LP, Water Quality permit WQ0015143001 in Grayson County. Please respond to this email and verify which application you would like your comments attached to. Also, you state that you would like a "public hearing." Could you also clarify whether you are requesting a "public meeting" to ask questions of the applicant and TCEQ staff or a "contested case hearing" which is a legal proceeding similar to a civil trial in state district court.

Sincerely,

Tara Drissell
Office of Chief Clerk

me

Marisa Weber

From: Tara Drissell
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 3:24 PM
To: Laura Jones
Subject: RE: Public comment on Permit Number WQ0015143001....Air permit 100114 and 104840

Thank you we will attribute your request for a public meeting to Freeport LNG Development LP, Air permit 100114 and 104840 in Brazoria County.

PM

From: Laura Jones [mailto:ljones7307@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 12:22 PM
To: PUBCOMMENT
Subject: Re: Public comment on Permit Number WQ0015143001

MSR
80395

I also wish only to have a Public Meeting, held here, locally, in the Lake Jackson/Freeport Area. Thank you!

Best Regards,
Laura Jones

Laura Jones
190 Sky Sail Rd
Freeport, TX 77541
281-682-3793
ljones7307@gmail.com

On Tue, Jan 14, 2014 at 8:42 AM, PUBCOMMENT <pubcomment@tceq.texas.gov> wrote:
Mrs. Jones,

We have received your comment below. It appears from your comments that you are wishing to comment on the application submitted by Freeport LNG Development LP, Air permit 100114 and 104840 in Brazoria County. However, as you can see below, you have attached your comment to Walton Texas LP, Water Quality permit WQ0015143001 in Grayson County. Please respond to this email and verify which application you would like your comments attached to. Also, you state that you would like a "public hearing." Could you also clarify whether you are requesting a "public meeting" to ask questions of the applicant and TCEQ staff or a "contested case hearing" which is a legal proceeding similar to a civil trial in state district court.

Sincerely,

Tara Drissell
Office of Chief Clerk

MSR

February 26, 2014

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of the Chief Clerk, MC-105
P. O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

*NSR
803915*

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

2014 MAR 10 PM 3:19

CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE

Request for a contested Case Hearing on Proposed Air Quality Permit numbers 100114, PSDTX1282, and N150

Notice was given on February 7, 2014 concerning the above mentioned permit applications of Freeport LNG Development, LP

I, Christopher Kall, am a person who may be affected by emissions of air contaminants from the proposed facility. My mailing address is 2550 Deep Sea Drive, Quintana, Texas 77541, and I can be reached at telephone number 1-713-249-4912.

The applicant's name is Freeport LNG Development, L. P., 333 Clay Street Suite 5050, Houston, Texas 77002-4101, and permit numbers are TCEQ proposed Air Quality Permit Number 100114, Prevention of Significant Deterioration Air Quality Permit PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit Number N150.

I request a contested case hearing.

I will be adversely affected by the air emissions from the proposed facility in a way not common to the general public by virtue of being closely downwind of the Quintana proposed facility during winter months, when the prevailing winds are from the North.

My house is located less than a half mile from the center point of the proposed facility to be located on top of fresh dredge spoils on Quintana Island.

I live at 2550 Deep Sea Drive, and will be breathing any pollutants or other releases from the facility any time the wind is from the Northeast.

The current quality of the air in this area is already in poor condition and being in a nonattainment area, cannot afford any additional releases. There are no air monitoring stations on the island of Quintana and there is a lack of monitors in the area (I could only find 2 on your website for this area). This facility along with its pre-treatment facility is simply too close to residential areas and will pose a health risk.

The natural gas to be liquefied, as well as refrigerant gases (heavier than air) are not "odorized" for our protection, as is the case with household use of such gases. **This makes for an extremely dangerous situation for residents of Quintana. We do not wish to become another "West, Texas" disaster.**


Christopher Kall
2550 Deep Sea Drive
Quintana, Texas 77541

Kall

REVIEWED

H

MAR 11 2014

By 

mw

C. Fall
2550 Deep Sea Dr
Quintana, TX 77541

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

2014 MAR 10 PM 3:19

CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE

TCEQ

Office of the Chief Clerk, MC-105

P.O. Box 13087

Austrian, TX 78711-3087

neopostSM
03/05/2014

US POSTAGE

\$00.04⁸

RECEIVED

MAR 10 2014

TCEQ MAIL CENTER
JH

ZIP 77507
041110226909



TCEQ Public Meeting Form
March 4, 2014

7

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.
Proposed Air Quality Permits
Permit No. 100114, PSD TX1282, and N150
And
Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and N170

PLEASE PRINT

Name: Christopher Fall

Mailing Address: 2550 Deep Sea Dr

Physical Address (if different): _____

City/State: Quintana, TX Zip: 77541

This information is subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act

Email: cirwin402@yahoo.com ✓

Phone Number: 832-775-4054

- Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group? Yes No

If yes, which one? _____

Please add me to the mailing list. ✓

I wish to provide formal *ORAL COMMENTS* at tonight's public meeting. ✓

I wish to provide formal *WRITTEN COMMENTS* at tonight's public meeting.

(Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.

cm

February 27, 2014

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of the Chief Clerk, MC-105
P. O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

RECEIVED
MAR 3 2014
BY [Signature]

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

2014 MAR -3 AM 10:12

CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE

NSR
80395

Request for a contested Case Hearing on Proposed Air Quality Permit numbers 100114, PSDTX1282, and N150

Notice was given on February 7, 2014 concerning the above mentioned permit applications of Freeport LNG Development, LP

I, James Kall, feel that we may be affected by emissions of air contaminants from the proposed facility. My mailing address is 5522 Walnut Glen Lane Rosenberg TX.77471 I can be reached by telephone at 281-642-8773.

The applicant's name is Freeport LNG Development, L. P., 333 Clay Street Suite 5050, Houston, Texas 77002-4101, and permit numbers are TCEQ proposed Air Quality Permit Number 100114, Prevention of Significant Deterioration Air Quality Permit PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit Number N150.

I request a contested case hearing.

We will be adversely affected by the air emissions from the proposed facility in a way not common to the general public by virtue of my close proximity to the proposed facility. My house is located approximately 1 mile from the center of the proposed facility to be located on top of fresh dredge spoils on Quintana Island.

My family and I live at 707 Burnett on weekends and holidays and I am worried that we will be breathing any pollutants or other releases from the facility.

There are no plans for air monitoring for VOC, and/or gas release with an automatic alarm system for residents to know when a problem exists.

The natural gas to be liquefied, as well as refrigerant gases (heavier than air) are not "odorized" for our protection, as is the case with household use of such gases. **This makes for an extremely dangerous situation for residents of Quintana. We do not wish to become another "West, Texas" disaster.**

James Kall Jr.
707 Burnett
Quintana, Texas 77541

[Handwritten signature]

REVIEWED

MAR 7 2014 H

Pg. 1

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

By fl

March 12, 2014

2014 MAR 17 AM 11:01

CHIEF COMPLAINTS OFFICE

NSR
80395
83497

I, Melanie Oldham, request a contested case hearing on Freeport LNG's Proposed Air Quality Permit # 100114 (PSD), PSD TX 1282, permit # N150, permit # 104840, PSD TX 1302, N170.

I, Melanie Oldham, am a person who may be adversely affected by emissions of air contaminants from the FLNG Pre-treatment Plant, Freeport, Tx.

I live at 603 W 7th St. in Freeport, Tx 77541, near the proposed FLNG Pretreatment Plant on CR 690 and CR 891, in Freeport, Tx. I will be adversely affected by the air emissions from the proposed FLNG Pre-treatment Chemical plant in a way not common to the general public by being near and downwind of this pretreatment plant which will emit nitrogen oxides, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} - which can cause premature heart attacks and respiratory problems; ammonia, sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, sulfuric acid.


Please deny these permits until there is proper air monitors for all non-^{mu}GHG's, with an automatic alarm (next)
Melanie Oldham 979-481-2723

* Request For Contested Case Hearing
For: Permit 100114, PSDTX 1282, N150,
permit 104840, PSDTX 1302, N170

system For local residents to know
when there is a problem at the
plant. Please deny these permits at
this time. Melanie Oldham
Melanie Oldham
603 W. 7th St.
Freeport, Tx 77541

TCEQ
{ FAX # (512) 239-3311

Oldham
603 W. 7th St.
Angleton, Tx 77515



HEBERT INDUSTRIES TX 77515

13 MAR 2014 PM 5:11

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of the Chief Clerk
MC - 105
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

2014 MAR 17 AM 10:14

RECEIVED

MAR 17 2014

TCEQ MAIL CENTER
CS OFFICE

78711308757

REVIEWED

MAR 13 2014

BY

Handwritten signature

Handwritten: NSR
8346588
8839357

CHIEF CLERK OFFICE

2014 MAR 12 PM 2:55

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

March 12, 2014

I, Melanie Oldham, request a contested case hearing on Freeport LNG's Proposed Air Quality Permit # 100114 (PSD), PSD TX 1282, permit # N150, permit # 104840, PSD TX 1302, N170.

I, Melanie Oldham, am a person who may be adversely affected by emissions of air contaminants from the FLNG Pre-treatment Plant, Freeport, Tx.

I live at 603 W 7th St. in Freeport, Tx 77541, near the proposed FLNG Pretreatment Plant on CR 690 and CR 891, in Freeport, Tx. I will be adversely affected by the air emissions from the proposed FLNG Pre-treatment chemical plant in a way not common to the general public by being near and downwind of this pretreatment plant which will emit nitrogen oxides, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} - which can cause premature heart attacks and respiratory problems; ammonia, sulfur dioxide, carbon monoxide, sulfuric acid.

Please deny these permits until there is proper air monitors for all non-

GetG's, with an automatic alarm (next)
Melanie Oldham 979-481-2727

Handwritten: MW

(2)

* Request For Contested Case Hearing
For: Permit 100114, PSDTX1282, N150,
permit 104840, PSDTX1302, N170

system for local residents to know
when there is a problem at the
plant. Please deny these permits at
this time. Melanie Oldham
Melanie Oldham
603 W. 7th St.
Freeport, Tx 77541

FAX # (512) 239-3311

Marisa Weber

From: PUBCOMMENT-OCC
Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2014 8:02 AM
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number 100114

100114
80395

From: oldham_melanie@yahoo.com [mailto:oldham_melanie@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2014 4:11 PM
To: donotReply@tceq.state.tx.us
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number 100114

REGULATED ENTITY NAME FREEPORT LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANT

RN NUMBER: RN103196689

PERMIT NUMBER: 100114

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: Melanie Oldham

E-MAIL: oldham_melanie@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 603 W 7TH ST
FREEPORT TX 77541-5627

PHONE: 9794812723

FAX:

COMMENTS: Im a citizen of Freeport Tx and will be effected by this permit. This FLNG Pretreatment plant permit can be improved, to decrease PM, hydrogen sulfide, ammonia, sulfuric acid and other hazardous air pollution: 1)by explaining to the public how these emissions were calculated and modeled? 2)by fully explaining to the public what FLNG claims is the BACT "lowest achievable emission rate"? Will the proposed BACT keep the plant in compliance for the lifetime of the facility? 3)by putting air quality monitoring system to

MW

measure their pollution..why is there not a monitor in more than 5 miles from the plant and the monitor is in the opposite direction of the prevailing winds? Will new monititors be put in operation in a timely manner inorder to get baseline data?..Will real-time continuous monitors be put in at all the emission points at the pretreatment plant?.. will TCEQ consider the cummualtive effect of emissions from FLNG and all the numerous sources located or being built near this site?4)What is the ESLs for all the various emissions and how will we be assured our health and safety will be protected at the optimal level by the pollution controls? technology? 5)explain FLNGs criteria for BACT? Please answer my questions and concerns

TCEQ Public Meeting Form
March 4, 2014

4

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.
Proposed Air Quality Permits
Permit No. 100114, PSD TX1282, and N150
And
Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and N170

PLEASE PRINT

Name: Melanie Oldham

Mailing Address: 603 W. 7th St.

Physical Address (if different): _____

City/State: Freeport, Tx Zip: 77541

This information is subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act

Email: oldham_melanie@yahoo.com ✓

Phone Number: (979) 481-2723

- Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group? Yes No

If yes, which one? _____

Please add me to the mailing list. ✓

I wish to provide formal *ORAL COMMENTS* at tonight's public meeting. ✓

I wish to provide formal *WRITTEN COMMENTS* at tonight's public meeting.

(Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.

mw

From: PUBCOMMENT-OPA
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Date: 3/29/2012 8:05 AM
Subject: Fwd: Public comment on Permit Number 100114
Place: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2

WSE
80395

PM
H

>>> PUBCOMMENT-OCC 3/28/2012 4:58 PM >>>

>>> <rockyrio57@yahoo.com> 3/28/2012 4:57 PM >>>

REGULATED ENTY NAME FREEPORT LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANT

RN NUMBER: RN103196689

PERMIT NUMBER: 100114

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: Robin Rio

E-MAIL: rockyrio57@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 114 SAND SHOALS RD


FREEPORT TX 77541-7909

PHONE: 9792332257

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am writing to ask TECQ to deny the above mentioned permit. FLNG is proposing to construct a huge pretreatment plant less than 1/2 mile in between three residential areas. They stand to pollute our air with their emissions. We have asked them to consider the lives of those that would be directly impacted by the close proxmity of this plant to our homes and they have turned a blind eye to our concerns. This plant WILL POLLUTE the air we breath! It is my understanding that a Texas statute of the Health and Safety code states that it the responsibility of the TCEQ to protect that every Texan has clean air quality. The close proxmity of this plant will eliminate this right and since many of those living here are older or very young, they are being unnecessarily compromised for the sake of corporate greed. We request that the TCEQ hold a contestes hearing and a public hearing between FLNG and the residents it is trying to endanger. Please deny the permit for FLNG. Thank you. Robin Rio

CRW



InterTrac[®]
for Government

By _____

MAR 01 2012

OPA

MSR
80395

Casework Tracking Sheet

Tracking # 35892-160

CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

MAR 1 1 PM 3:46

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

Constituent

Rio, Robin	Phone: 979-233-2257
Freeport	Email: rockyrio57@yahoo.com
114 Sand Shoals	ID:
Freeport, TX 77541	Promoted From Correspondence
Brazoria County	

Web Mail Message

Senator,

I am writing in an effort to make you aware of a battle currently being waged in Freeport Texas. The battle of which I refer is between the residents of Hide-a-way on the Gulf and Freeport Liquefied Natural Gas. This unique waterfront community and its neighbors Bridgepointe and Oyster Creek Estates make up approximately 200 residences. We have been made aware that FLNG has purchased property less than 1/2 mile from each subdivision and its intention of erecting a 50 acre plant right in the middle of these residential zones. FLNG did not notify the residents of this intention to erect the plant prior to the purchase of the property due to the resistance it knew it would face. The first time a representative of FLNG came to speak with the residents was this past December 13th.

The residents of the communities have filed motions to intervene and comments to the FERC. They have a petition of 325 signatures demanding that this plant not be built in the planned area. They have spoken out vehemently against this proposed plant for the following reasons:

1. Imminent threat to personal safety. The proposed site road access is CR 792- the only road in and OUT of these communities. In the event of a plant accident, if the residents are not immediately killed they have NO WAY TO ESCAPE! FLNG state they have not yet determined the KILL ZONE, but be assured if an explosion occurs we will most definitely be killed.
2. Devaluation of property. Most of these homes are in the 180's-400K value. With the establishment of the plant these home values will plummet. Insurance rates will skyrocket for being in a Danger Zone.
3. Destruction of environment- The noise from the turbines used in this plant will be a constant roar day and night. The lights from the facility and the flares that invariably are present will impact the community negatively. Air pollution and the smell produced from this plant will make it intolerable to enjoy the outdoors. And then there is the water pollution- everytime there is a heavy rain or tropical storm the field in which this plant would be situated drains directly into the canals from which we catch fish, crab etc. Contamination of our ground water is a very real possibility.

In essence, the lives of these residents will be permanently and horribly altered. The quality of life we currently enjoy is being destroyed for corporate greed. FLNG has admitted that they have alternate sites for this plant- in fact sites that already contain industry and would be suitable for placement of this plant. Instead, because they were able to purchase this property in such a cheap manner they have elected to destroy these communities because they are a huge corporation and have deep pockets. We in turn have nothing but our guts and the willingness to try and fight this takeover of our lives. Truly a David and Goliath situation.

Senator, would you please help us? We know that jobs are important and we are not disputing the need- all we are disputing is the site proposed. We need to move it away from the homes and the people whose lives will be so negatively impacted unnecessarily. We need to act quickly, before these permits are issued. Senator won't you please help?

Respectfully,

Handwritten initials: RW

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., *Chairman*
Buddy Garcia, *Commissioner*
Carlos Rubinstein, *Commissioner*
Mark R. Vickery, P.G., *Executive Director*



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

March 1, 2012

Robin Rio
114 Sand Shoals
Freeport, Texas 77541

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP/Air Quality Permits #100129 and #100114

Dear Ms. Rio:

Thank you for your concerns regarding Freeport LNG Development, LP/Air Quality Permits #100129 and #100114. Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison has forwarded a copy of your correspondence to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for a response.

These permit applications were received by the TCEQ on December 20, 2011 and are currently under technical review. Please be assured that your letter will be forwarded to the TCEQ staff responsible for reviewing the permit application. At the conclusion of the technical review, all timely filed comments will be considered by the staff prior to the final decision on the application. In addition, you will be added to the mailing list and receive a copy of the formal written response to all timely filed comments.

The TCEQ appreciates your interest in environmental issues. If you have any further questions or concerns regarding this permitting issue or the permitting process, please feel free to contact TCEQ staff at 1-800-687-4040.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Bridget C. Bohac".

Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk

cc: Beryl Thatcher, Air Permitting
Booker Harrison, Environmental Law Division

CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

2012 MAR - 1 PM 3:46

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

* Comments can also be submitted online at www.tceq.texas.gov/goto/comments *

Handwritten initials in cursive script, possibly "CR" or "BR", located in the bottom right corner of the page.

From: PUBCOMMENT-OPA
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Date: 2/10/2012 2:31 PM
Subject: Fwd: Public comment on Permit Number 100114
Place: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2

NSR
80395

>>> PUBCOMMENT-OCC 2/10/2012 2:19 PM >>>

>>> <rockyrio57@yahoo.com> 2/10/2012 1:26 PM >>>

REGULATED ENTY NAME FREEPORT LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANT

RN NUMBER: RN103196689

PERMIT NUMBER: 100114

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: MRS Robin Rio

E-MAIL: rockyrio57@yahoo.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 114 SAND SHOALS RD

FREEPORT TX 77541-7909

PHONE: 9792332257

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am writing to request that you deny this permit for Freeport LNG. I am a resident in one of the communities that FLNG is trying to destroy. They purchased land 1/2 mile from over 200 homes and intend to build a natural gas pretreatment plant in our backyard. This will destroy our environment, home values and place us in immediate physical danger as there is only one road in and out. We will not have an escape route should a plant accident occur. FLNG has been very deceptive to this public about the environmental damage it will cause. They have touted that this plant which will cover 50 acres and be elevated 20 feet in the air will be as quiet "as a running refrigerator". They must think the public is really stupid. But this is the type of hype they are putting out there. They have alternate sites available but they have elected to utilize this land and place us directly in harms way. Please help the citizens in the communities of CR 792 by denying this permit be granted for this construction site. Thank you. Robin Rio

CRW

From: PUBCOMMENT-OPA
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Date: 2/15/2012 8:13 AM
Subject: Fwd: Public comment on Permit Number 100114
Place: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2

*NSR
80395*

H

>>> PUBCOMMENT-OCC 2/14/2012 4:59 PM >>>

>>> <fightfreeportlng@gmail.com> 2/14/2012 4:50 PM >>>

REGULATED ENTY NAME FREEPORT LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANT

RN NUMBER: RN103196689

PERMIT NUMBER: 100114

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: Diana Stokes

E-MAIL: fightfreeportlng@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 98

LAKE JACKSON TX 77566-0098

PHONE: 2814193440

FAX:

COMMENTS: I request a hearing on this permit. Due to the interdependence of the Liquefaction Plant and the Pretreatment Facility on CR 792, CO2 and CO2e emission will detrimentally affect the air quality and health of area residents. Higher temperatures due to carbon dioxide will increase the chemical rate of ozone production in surrounding areas. Increased water vapor due to carbon dioxide will induce higher temperatures which in turn will boost chemical ozone production even more. Higher emissions of organic gases also increase the quantity of particles in the air, as organic gases can chemically react to form particles. In general where there is an increase in water vapor, particles that are present became more deadly as they swell from absorption of water. Acid gases dissolve in the particles with added moisture. That increases the toxicity of the particles which are already a harmful component of air pollution. Air temperatures rise more rapidly due to carbon dioxide than ground temperatures changing the vertical temperature profile. This decreases pollution dispersion thereby concentrating particles where they form. Ultimately, residents will inhale a greater abundance of deleterious chemicals due to carbon dioxide. These carbon dioxide emissions will exacerbate or cause air pollution related health problems. Fish and fowl will also be affected when the toxic particles bind with water vapor and return to earth in rainfall. Sensitive estuarine areas in this part of the Gulf Coast will suffer.

MW

Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months By sex by age
Oyster Creek city, Texas

Powered by The American Community Survey

	Total**	One Race		Black or African American
		White		
	Estimate	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate
Total:	1,139	1,031	(+/-162)	23
Income in the past 12 months below poverty level:	277	265	(+/-130)	0
Male:	132	132	(+/-69)	0
Under 5 years	0	0	(+/-13)	0
5 years	0	0	(+/-13)	0
6 to 11 years	47	47	(+/-46)	0
12 to 14 years	4	4	(+/-7)	0
15 years	0	0	(+/-13)	0
16 and 17 years	2	2	(+/-4)	0
18 to 24 years	12	12	(+/-18)	0
25 to 34 years	30	30	(+/-25)	0
35 to 44 years	14	14	(+/-13)	0
45 to 54 years	0	0	(+/-13)	0
55 to 64 years	19	19	(+/-13)	0
65 to 74 years	4	4	(+/-5)	0
75 years and over	0	0	(+/-13)	0
Female:	145	133	(+/-69)	0
Under 5 years	9	9	(+/-14)	0
5 years	0	0	(+/-13)	0
6 to 11 years	15	15	(+/-17)	0
12 to 14 years	3	3	(+/-5)	0
15 years	18	18	(+/-28)	0
16 and 17 years	3	3	(+/-6)	0
18 to 24 years	2	2	(+/-3)	0
25 to 34 years	22	22	(+/-21)	0
35 to 44 years	22	22	(+/-20)	0
45 to 54 years	40	36	(+/-33)	0

51 E. MA 01 2012
 CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE
 ALTMAN
 TALENT DEVELOPMENT
 AND TRAINING
 SERVICES

55 to 64 years	3	0	(+/-13)	0
65 to 74 years	8	3	(+/-4)	0
75 years and over	0	0	(+/-13)	0
Income in the past 12 months at or above poverty level:	862	766	(+/-132)	23
Male:	469	419	(+/-83)	9
Under 5 years	22	15	(+/-13)	0
5 years	9	9	(+/-10)	0
6 to 11 years	17	17	(+/-14)	0
12 to 14 years	3	3	(+/-4)	0
15 years	5	0	(+/-13)	5
16 and 17 years	34	34	(+/-28)	0
18 to 24 years	43	35	(+/-24)	0
25 to 34 years	31	26	(+/-17)	0
35 to 44 years	83	64	(+/-34)	0
45 to 54 years	74	70	(+/-26)	4
55 to 64 years	80	80	(+/-31)	0
65 to 74 years	43	41	(+/-18)	0
75 years and over	25	25	(+/-15)	0
Female:	393	347	(+/-65)	14
Under 5 years	28	24	(+/-15)	4
5 years	10	10	(+/-10)	0
6 to 11 years	33	23	(+/-14)	2
12 to 14 years	12	8	(+/-8)	0
15 years	6	6	(+/-10)	0
16 and 17 years	15	15	(+/-14)	0
18 to 24 years	22	18	(+/-17)	0
25 to 34 years	37	29	(+/-18)	2
35 to 44 years	35	35	(+/-25)	0
45 to 54 years	61	55	(+/-26)	3
55 to 64 years	52	45	(+/-20)	3
65 to 74 years	64	61	(+/-22)	0
75 years and over	18	18	(+/-13)	0

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.				
Except where noted, 'race' refers to people reporting only one race. 'Hispanic' refers to an ethnic category; Hispanics may be of any race.				
An entry of '+/0' in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.				
A 'Z' entry in the estimate or margin of error column indicates that the estimate or margin of error is not applicable or not available.				
* Margins of Error are not provided for Totals but may be found for those estimates where available in American FactFinder or our FTP server. See Appen				

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

2014 MAR 10 PM 3:14

CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

Handwritten:
P.O. Box 98
Lake Jackson, TX 77566

Handwritten:
Chief Clerk's Office, MC 105

Handwritten:
TCEQ

Handwritten:
P.O. Box 13087

Handwritten:
Austin, TX 78711-3087

787113087

787113087

TCEQ MAIL CENTER
JH

MAR 10 2014

RECEIVED

NORTH HULSTON TX 773

07 MAR 2014 PM 2 L



TCEQ Public Meeting Form
March 4, 2014

12

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.
Proposed Air Quality Permits
Permit No. 100114, PSD TX1282, and N150
And
Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and N170

PLEASE PRINT

Name: Diana Stokes

Mailing Address: PO Box 98

Physical Address (if different): 130 Sky Sail Freeport

City/State: Lake Jackson Zip: 77564

This information is subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act

Email: fightfreeportlng@gmail.com ✓

Phone Number: 281-756 7488

- Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group? Yes No

If yes, which one? _____

Please add me to the mailing list.

I wish to provide formal *ORAL COMMENTS* at tonight's public meeting. ✓

I wish to provide formal *WRITTEN COMMENTS* at tonight's public meeting.

(Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.

mw

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., *Chairman*
Buddy Garcia, *Commissioner*
Carlos Rubinstein, *Commissioner*
Mark R. Vickery, P.G., *Executive Director*



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

March 1, 2012

D. Stokes
130 Sky Sail Road
Freeport, Texas 77541

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP/Air Quality Permits #100129 and #100114

Dear D. Stokes:

Thank you for your concerns regarding Freeport LNG Development, LP/Air Quality Permits #100129 and #100114. Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison has forwarded a copy of your correspondence to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for a response.

These permit applications were received by the TCEQ on December 20, 2011 and are currently under technical review. Please be assured that your letter will be forwarded to the TCEQ staff responsible for reviewing the permit application. At the conclusion of the technical review, all timely filed comments will be considered by the staff prior to the final decision on the application. In addition, you will be added to the mailing list and receive a copy of the formal written response to all timely filed comments.

The TCEQ appreciates your interest in environmental issues. If you have any further questions or concerns regarding this permitting issue or the permitting process, please feel free to contact TCEQ staff at 1-800-687-4040.

Sincerely,

Bridget C. Bohac

Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk

cc: Beryl Thatcher, Air Permitting
Booker Harrison, Environmental Law Division

CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

2012 MAR - 1 PM 3:46

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

* Comments can also be submitted online at www.tceq.texas.gov/goto/comments *

mw



NSR
80395

Casework Tracking Sheet

Tracking # 36195-1GD

Constituent

Stokes, Diana
Freeport
PO Box 98
Lake Jackson, TX 77566
Brazoria County

Phone: 713-203-9845
Email: fightfreeportlng@gmail.com
ID:

Promoted From Correspondence

Web Mail Message

As a resident of a small coastal canal community located in Southern Brazoria County, I am deeply concerned about Freeport LNG's plan to locate a liquid natural gas pretreatment facility on CR 792. This proposed site is located within 1/2 mile or less of four established neighborhoods, some dating back to the 1960's. Also, within this 1/2 range is Oyster Creek and The Brazoria County National Wildlife Refuge. By its own admission, some of the property on the tract purchased by Freeport LNG is located within a wetlands area.

Not only am I concerned about the daily toxic emissions that will affect us, the humans, I dread the results of a coastal tidal event on the environment. Sea waters pushed inland will be pulled back over the 25 acre retention pond at Freeport LNG's plant washing toxic pollutants under our homes, into our canals, and into Oyster Creek. Both Oyster Creek and The Brazoria County National Wildlife Refuge are located in a sensitive estuarine environment that impacts pristine Christmas Bay and the Texas Gulf Coast. In addition, every rainfall will bring toxic particulates into these areas.

The plant will also have a devastating economic effect. Many of us are retired and live on a fixed income. The majority of our net worth is invested in our homes. One local executive when contacted with our concern about the location of this dangerous plant, suggested, "If it started encroaching on my chosen location I would cut my losses and move." That's much easier said than done when most of our money is invested in a home that no one will purchase.

Most importantly, Freeport LNG's disregard of public safety is alarming. The noise, air, water pollution, and the economic impact will be devastating, but the idea of being trapped during a plant "event" is more frightening. CR 792, the only access to three communities, is one-way. If a plant accident closes the road, we will have no evacuation route, and first responders will have no access to us. Just imagine: a liquid natural gas explosion, plus burning prairie grass and homes, and no evacuation route for the residents. A good day for the media, but a bad day for the residents!

Please understand we do not oppose Freeport LNG's plans to build a pre-treatment plant; we are just opposed to the location of this plant. It's in the middle of our neighborhoods. There are other more appropriate sites for Freeport LNG to build. We know the value of jobs; however, we also have a hyper-sensitive awareness of the dangers to all human, bird, marine, and plant life living in such close proximity to a Liquid Natural Gas facility. Help us preserve our communities!

Thank you,
Diana Stokes, Resident Hide-Away on the Gulf

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

2012 MAR - 1 PM 3:45
CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

OPA

MAR 01 2012

BY

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., *Chairman*
Buddy Garcia, *Commissioner*
Carlos Rubinstein, *Commissioner*
Mark R. Vickery, P.G., *Executive Director*



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

March 1, 2012

Diana Stokes
P. O. Box 98
Lake Jackson, Texas 77566

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP/Air Quality Permits #100129 and #100114

Dear Ms. Stokes:

Thank you for your concerns regarding Freeport LNG Development, LP/Air Quality Permits #100129 and #100114. Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison has forwarded a copy of your correspondence to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for a response.

These permit applications were received by the TCEQ on December 20, 2011 and are currently under technical review. Please be assured that your letter will be forwarded to the TCEQ staff responsible for reviewing the permit application. At the conclusion of the technical review, all timely filed comments will be considered by the staff prior to the final decision on the application. In addition, you will be added to the mailing list and receive a copy of the formal written response to all timely filed comments.

The TCEQ appreciates your interest in environmental issues. If you have any further questions or concerns regarding this permitting issue or the permitting process, please feel free to contact TCEQ staff at 1-800-687-4040.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Bridget C. Bohac".

Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk

cc: Beryl Thatcher, Air Permitting
Booker Harrison, Environmental Law Division

CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

2012 MAR - 1 PM 3:45

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY

* Comments can also be submitted online at www.tceq.texas.gov/goto/comments *

Handwritten initials in cursive script, possibly "CW".

From: PUBCOMMENT-OPA
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Date: 1/19/2012 11:42 AM
Subject: Fwd: Public comment on Permit Number 100114
Place: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2

NSR
80395

H

>>> PUBCOMMENT-OCC 1/18/2012 1:28 PM >>>

>>> <offduty@surfsidetx.net> 1/18/2012 1:14 PM >>>

REGULATED ENTITY NAME FREEPORT LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANT

RN NUMBER: RN103196689

PERMIT NUMBER: 100114

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: MR Floyd Winkler, JR

E-MAIL: offduty@surfsidetx.net

COMPANY: Commodore Cove Improvement District

ADDRESS: 103 ANCHOR DR

FREEPORT TX 77541-9648

PHONE: 9792338782

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am the Secretary /Treasurer of Commodore Cove Improvement District (CCID). CCID is State of Texas regulated water district servicing Hide-A-Way on the Gulf subdivision, Brazoria County, Texas. The Board of Directors of CCID adamantly opposes the proposed Freeport LNG pretreatment facility to be located on CR 792, Brazoria County, and requests a formal hearing to be held in the community. 1. CCID's fresh water supply for Hide-A-Way on the Gulf is obtained from a well drilled down into an aquifer. The well, along with a water treatment facility and storage tank, is located on CCID property at the entrance to Hide-A-Way on the Gulf. CCID does not have any means to measure the amount of water in the aquifer and is greatly concerned that the water demands of the proposed Freeport LNG facility could put a strain on the available water source. Especially since the proposed LNG facility would be approximately a half mile north of the CCID well and water treatment facility. 2. The proposed Freeport LNG facility will be built on land that is subject to flooding, especially during a tropical storm or a hurricane. During these flooding events water recedes west to Oyster Creek and south through CCID's water facility, through Hide - A - Way on the Gulf community and then through the US Fish and Wildlife Preserve. In the event of an industrial accident the underground aquifer could possibly be contaminated above stream of CCID's well. In the event of flooding, any contaminants from the LNG plant could contaminate not only the CCID water treatment facility and well, but the entire Hide-A-Way on the Gulf community, as well as the US Fish and Wildlife Preserve. 3. The Freeport LNG pretreatment facility will be removing water, carbon dioxide, heavy natural gas liquids, sulfur compounds and mercury. It is our understanding that sulfur and mercury will be taken away in trucks. Trucks transporting hazardous materials are often involved in accidents and/or have mechanical problems resulting in a spill. CR 792 is the only road going in and out of the area. A spill would leave residents with no way out of the area. Furthermore, the drainage ditch on each side of CR 792 drains out into Oyster Creek at the entrance to Hide Away on the Gulf. The result is that any hazardous chemical that flowed or was washed down the drainage ditch would go directly by the CCID water facility and within twenty five feet of the well head. 4. The proposed LNG facility would also use a flare to burn off contaminants. These remains would fall back

mm

to earth. CCID is concerned about the long term effect this would cause to the community drinking water. Especially since the flare would be within 1/2 mile of the community water treatment plant. The ground where the LNG plant would be located and the land between the LNG plant and CCID's water well is often saturated by water, intensifying the rate of infiltration towards the aquifer. 5. While most LNG plants report few incidents, one incident is too much considering where Freeport LNG wants to place the facility. The U.S. Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration reported 29 significant pipeline incidents resulting in serious or fatal injuries and \$50,000.00 or more in property damage in 1984 dollars. In 2010 the serious incident rate increased to 75. 6. In conclusion the CCID Board of Directors feels that the potential dangers to our water system, neighborhood and wildlife associated with the proposed Freeport LNG facility outweigh any mitigating contribution the company has to offer. This is a for profit company. It is not being built at this location to add value, benefits or any contribution to the Water District or the community. See attached proposed LNG site.

From: PUBCOMMENT-OPA
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Date: 1/19/2012 11:41 AM
Subject: Fwd: Public comment on Permit Number 100114
Place: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2

*NSR
80395*

H

>>> PUBCOMMENT-OCC 1/18/2012 1:28 PM >>>

>>> <offduty@surfsidetx.net> 1/18/2012 1:15 PM >>>

REGULATED ENTY NAME FREEPORT LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANT

RN NUMBER: RN103196689

PERMIT NUMBER: 100114

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: MR Floyd Winkler, JR

E-MAIL: offduty@surfsidetx.net

COMPANY: Commodore Cove Improvement District

ADDRESS: 103 ANCHOR DR

FREEPORT TX 77541-9648

PHONE: 9792338782

FAX:

COMMENTS: I am the Secretary /Treasurer of Commodore Cove Improvement District (CCID). CCID is State of Texas regulated water district servicing Hide-A-Way on the Gulf subdivision, Brazoria County, Texas. The Board of Directors of CCID adamantly opposes the proposed Freeport LNG pretreatment facility to be located on CR 792, Brazoria County, and requests a formal hearing to be held in the community. 1. CCID's fresh water supply for Hide-A-Way on the Gulf is obtained from a well drilled down into an aquifer. The well, along with a water treatment facility and storage tank, is located on CCID property at the entrance to Hide-A-Way on the Gulf. CCID does not have any means to measure the amount of water in the aquifer and is greatly concerned that the water demands of the proposed Freeport LNG facility could put a strain on the available water source. Especially since the proposed LNG facility would be approximately a half mile north of the CCID well and water treatment facility. 2. The proposed Freeport LNG facility will be built on land that is subject to flooding, especially during a tropical storm or a hurricane. During these flooding events water recedes west to Oyster Creek and south through CCID's water facility, through Hide - A - Way on the Gulf community and then through the US Fish and Wildlife Preserve. In the event of an industrial accident the underground aquifer could possibly be contaminated above stream of CCID's well. In the event of flooding, any contaminants from the LNG plant could contaminate not only the CCID water treatment facility and well, but the entire Hide-A-Way on the Gulf community, as well as the US Fish and Wildlife Preserve. 3. The Freeport LNG pretreatment facility will be removing water, carbon dioxide, heavy natural gas liquids, sulfur compounds and mercury. It is our understanding that sulfur and mercury will be taken away in trucks. Trucks transporting hazardous materials are often involved in accidents and/or have mechanical problems resulting in a spill. CR 792 is the only road going in and out of the area. A spill would leave residents with no way out of the area. Furthermore, the drainage ditch on each side of CR 792 drains out into Oyster Creek at the entrance to Hide Away on the Gulf. The result is that any hazardous chemical that flowed or was washed down the drainage ditch would go directly by the CCID water facility and within twenty five feet of the well head. 4.

mw

The proposed LNG facility would also use a flare to burn off contaminants. These remains would fall back to earth. CCID is concerned about the long term effect this would cause to the community drinking water. Especially since the flare would be within 1/2 mile of the community water treatment plant. The ground where the LNG plant would be located and the land between the LNG plant and CCID's water well is often saturated by water, intensifying the rate of infiltration towards the aquifer. 5. While most LNG plants report few incidents, one incident is too much considering where Freeport LNG wants to place the facility. The U.S. Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration reported 29 significant pipeline incidents resulting in serious or fatal injuries and \$50,000.00 or more in property damage in 1984 dollars. In 2010 the serious incident rate increased to 75. 6. In conclusion the CCID Board of Directors feels that the potential dangers to our water system, neighborhood and wildlife associated with the proposed Freeport LNG facility outweigh any mitigating contribution the company has to offer. This is a for profit company. It is not being built at this location to add value, benefits or any contribution to the Water District or the community. See attached proposed LNG site.

SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS

Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RECEIVED

FEB 03 2014

NSR
83497
880395
By *[Signature]*

RECEIVED
FEB 03 2014
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project

- 1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
- 2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO₂; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO₂e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Floyd Winkler Jr Signature
FLOYD WINKLER JR Print Name


*127 Poop Deck Lane
 Freeport, TX 77541*

Hide Away on the Gulf
 CHIEF COUNSEL
 2014 FEB -11 PM 11:13

TEXAS
 COMMISSION
 ON ENVIRONMENTAL
 QUALITY

[Handwritten initials]

Winkler
127 Deep Deck Lane
Freerport, TX 77541



RECEIVED
FEB 03 2014 4 PM 14

FEB 03 2014

Mr. Zak Covatta
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
CS

Executive Director, MC-109
CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

2014 FEB -4 PM 14:14

TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

TEXAS
COMMISSION
ON ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY



From: PUBCOMMENT-OPA
To: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2
Date: 2/27/2012 12:06 PM
Subject: Fwd: Public comment on Permit Number 100114
Place: PUBCOMMENT-OCC2

NSR
00395

H
PM

>>> PUBCOMMENT-OCC 2/27/2012 8:43 AM >>>

>>> <PaulZuma@att.net> 2/24/2012 9:59 PM >>>

REGULATED ENTITY NAME FREEPORT LNG LIQUEFACTION PLANT

RN NUMBER: RN103196689

PERMIT NUMBER: 100114

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: MR Anthony Paul Zuma, SR

E-MAIL: PaulZuma@att.net

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 107 DRIFTWOOD RD

FREEPORT TX 77541-9677

PHONE: 8322052216

FAX:

COMMENTS: Subject: Request for a Contested Hearing and a Public Hearing. I hereby request that FERC hold a meeting to include an open question/answer forum regarding the proposed LNG facility to be constructed along CR792 on the Pybus tract. As of this date, no such meeting has been provided. Previous meetings have been informational only and have not taken into account the concerns of residents of Hideaway and other nearby residential areas which are located very near the proposed site. Our serious concerns include but are not limited to: 1.) Air Quality--Emissions of carcinogens into the atmosphere will add pollutants to the the air, a large percentage of which will end up in the nearby bays and the adjacent wild life refuge and further pollute the marine and wild life in the area. 2.) Water Quality--Seepage and Drainage from the proposed retention area will add pollutants to the water including such carcinogens as mercury and others to which any marine scientist will attest is already a problem. This will become especially problematic in the case of a Hurricane which is bound to occur sooner or later. 3.) Noise and Traffic Control--We are not sure these potential problems have been adequately addressed. 4.) Safety--What about potential gas leaks, ingress and egress which will be a major problem as there is currently only one road, CR792, a two lane road which presents an obvious problem in the event of a catastrophe. 5.) Home Values--will obviously drop greatly and many elderly residents will have no recourse other than expensive litigation to recoup their losses. For these and other reasons, we have a serious protest to the location of the proposed LNG support facility in Freeport near Oyster Creek.

mw

