

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., P.E., *Chairman*  
Toby Baker, *Commissioner*  
Jon Niermann, *Commissioner*  
Richard A. Hyde, P.E., *Executive Director*



*Vic McWherter, Public Interest Counsel*

## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

*Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution*

April 4, 2016

Bridget Bohac, Chief Clerk  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
Office of the Chief Clerk (MC-105)  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

RE: **BP AMOCO CHEMICAL COMPANY**  
**TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2016-0037-WR**

Dear Ms. Bohac:

Enclosed for filing is the Office of Public Interest Counsel's Response to Request for Hearing in the above-entitled matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Eli Martinez".

Eli Martinez, Attorney  
Assistant Public Interest Counsel

cc: Mailing List

Enclosure

**TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2016-0037-WR**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE  
APPLICATION BY BP AMOCO  
CHEMICAL COMPANY FOR WATER  
RIGHTS PERMIT NO. WRPERM  
13158**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**BEFORE THE  
TEXAS COMMISSION ON  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

**THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC INTEREST COUNSEL'S  
RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR HEARING**

COMES NOW, the Office of Public Interest Counsel (OPIC) of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or TCEQ) and files this Response to Request for Hearing in the above-referenced matter. OPIC recommends denying the request for a contested case hearing filed by the City of Houston.

**I. INTRODUCTION**

BP Amoco Chemical Company (BP or Applicant) seeks authorization to divert and use not to exceed 100 acre-feet of water per year from three points on the Texas City Ship Channel, San Jacinto – Brazos Coastal Basin at a maximum combined diversion rate of 5.35 cfs (2,400 gpm) for industrial purposes (hydrostatic testing) in Galveston County. Applicant indicates that all water not consumed will be returned to the Texas City Ship Channel.

Diversion Point No. 1 is located on the Texas City Ship Channel approximately 8 miles northeasterly of the nearby city of Galveston at Latitude 29.363828° N, Longitude 94.906989° W, also bearing S 85° W, 5,006 feet from the northeast corner of the H. Littlefield Survey, No. 17, Abstract No. 143, in Galveston County. Diversion Point No. 2 is located on the Texas City Ship Channel approximately 8 miles northeasterly of the nearby city of Galveston at Latitude

29.363872° N, Longitude 94.905833° W, also bearing S 85° W, 4,638 feet from the northeast corner of the H. Littlefield Survey, No. 17, in Galveston County.

Diversion Point No. 3 is located on the Texas City Ship Channel approximately 8 miles northeasterly of the nearby city of Galveston at Latitude 29.363947° N, Longitude 94.905086° W, also bearing S 85° W, 4,399 feet from the northeast corner of the H. Littlefield Survey, No. 17, in Galveston County.

The application was received on August 28, 2014 and declared administratively complete on May 20, 2015. Notice of the application was mailed on August 28, 2015. Notice was published in the *Houston Chronicle* on September 17, 2015. The deadline to request a contested case hearing was October 19, 2015.<sup>1</sup>

One governmental entity, the City of Houston, requested a contested case hearing before the deadline and has not withdrawn its request. OPIC recommends that the Commission deny the hearing request submitted by the City of Houston.

## II. APPLICABLE LAW

Section 11.022 of the Texas Water Code (TWC) provides that “the right to the use of state water may be acquired by appropriation in the manner and for the purposes provided in this chapter.” Further, no person may appropriate any state water or begin construction of any work designed for storage, taking, or diversion of water without first obtaining a permit to make the appropriation.<sup>2</sup> Section 11.134(b) provides in pertinent part that the Commission shall grant an application to use state water only if:

- (2) unappropriated water is available in the source of supply;
- (3) the proposed appropriation:

<sup>1</sup> 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE (TAC) §§ 1.7, 55.251(d), 295.171.

<sup>2</sup> TEX. WATER CODE (TWC) § 11.121.

- (A) is intended for a beneficial use
  - (B) does not impair existing water rights or vested riparian rights;
  - (C) is not detrimental to the public welfare;
  - (D) considers the assessments performed under Sections 11.147(d) and (e) and Sections 11.150, 11.151, and 11.152;
  - (E) addresses a water supply need in a manner that is consistent with the state water plan and the relevant approved regional water plan for any area in which the proposed appropriation is located, unless the commission determines that conditions warrant waiver of this requirement; and
- (4) the applicant has provided evidence that reasonable diligence will be used to avoid waste and achieve water conservation...

Section 11.147(d) and (e) of the Water Code also requires the Commission to consider the effect of a proposed permit on existing instream uses and water quality as well as impacts on fish and wildlife.

#### **A. Requirements for Contested Case Hearing Requests**

As the application was declared administratively complete after September 1, 1999 and was not filed under Texas Water Code, §§11.036, 11.041, or 12.013, it is subject to the requirements of Title 30, Chapter 55, Subchapter G, sections 55.250-55.256 of the Texas Administrative Code (TAC).<sup>3</sup> Under those provisions, a contested case hearing may be requested by the Commission, the ED, the applicant, and affected persons.<sup>4</sup> A hearing requestor must make their request as specified in the notice of the application.<sup>5</sup> The hearing request must be submitted to the commission within 30 days after the publication of the notice of application.<sup>6</sup>

A hearing request must “substantially comply” with the requirements of 30 TAC § 55.251(c). A request should “identify the person's personal justiciable interest affected by the application including a brief, but specific, written statement explaining in plain language the requestor's location and distance relative to the activity that is the subject of the application and

<sup>3</sup> 30 TAC § 55.250.

<sup>4</sup> 30 TAC § 55.251(a).

<sup>5</sup> 30 TAC § 55.251(c)(4).

<sup>6</sup> 30 TAC § 295.171.

how and why the requestor believes he or she will be affected by the activity in a manner not common to members of the general public.”<sup>7</sup>

An affected person is “one who has a personal justiciable interest related to a legal right, duty, privilege, power, or economic interest affected by the application.”<sup>8</sup> 30 TAC § 55.256(c) provides relevant factors to be considered in determining whether a person is affected. These factors include, but are not limited to:

- (1) whether the interest claimed is one protected by the law under which the application will be considered;
- (2) distance restrictions or other limitations imposed by law on the affected interest;
- (3) whether a reasonable relationship exists between the interest claimed and the activity regulated;
- (4) likely impact of the regulated activity on the health, safety, and use of property of the person;
- (5) likely impact of the regulated activity on use of the impacted natural resource by the person; and
- (6) for governmental entities, their statutory authority over or interest in the issues relevant to the application.<sup>9</sup>

The Commission shall grant a request for a contested case hearing if (1) the request is made by the applicant, or (2) the request is made by an affected person, timely filed with the chief clerk, and made pursuant to a right to hearing authorized by law.<sup>10</sup>

### III. HEARING REQUEST

The Chief Clerk received one timely request from the City of Houston for a contested case hearing on September 30, 2015. The City of Houston states in its hearing request that it relies upon the San Jacinto River, as well as other water resources, to provide municipal water

---

<sup>7</sup> 30 TAC § 55.251(b), (c).

<sup>8</sup> 30 TAC § 55.256(a).

<sup>9</sup> 30 TAC § 55.256(c).

<sup>10</sup> 30 TAC § 55.255(b).

for its more than 3 million customers. Additionally, the City of Houston holds multiple water rights in the San Jacinto River Basin, including Lake Conroe (Certificate of Adjudication and Amendment Nos. 10-4963 and 10-4963A) and Lake Houston (Certificate of Adjudication No. 10-4965). The City of Houston is concerned that BP's proposed permit may negatively impact its water rights, and therefore the health, safety, and well-being of the people and environment reliant on the San Jacinto River Basin.

The Commission may grant an application only when the proposed use will not impair existing water rights.<sup>11</sup> The City of Houston states that it holds multiple water rights in the San Jacinto River Basin that may be impacted by BP's proposed permit. Although the proposed appropriation in this application is relatively small, any diversion that may impact water availability<sup>12</sup> and the City of Houston's use of its own appropriations<sup>13</sup> would be sufficient to confer standing. However, the Executive Director has produced a map of the City of Houston's water rights in this case, demonstrating that the proposed diversion would take place downstream of all of Houston's water rights, and therefore would not impact availability with respect to those rights. OPIC concludes that a reasonable relationship therefore does not exist between the interest claimed and the activity regulated as required by 30 TAC § 55.256(c)(3) and that the City of Houston has not stated a personal justiciable interest.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, OPIC respectfully recommends that the Commission deny the contested case hearing request of the City of Houston.

---


<sup>11</sup> TWC § 11.134(b)(3)(B).

<sup>12</sup> See 30 TAC § 297.42. "An application for a new or increased appropriation will be denied unless there is a sufficient amount of unappropriated water available for a sufficient amount of the time to make the proposed project viable and ensure the beneficial use of water without waste."

<sup>13</sup> 30 TAC § 55.256(c)(4), (5).


Respectfully submitted,

Vic McWherter  
Public Interest Counsel

By   
Eli Martinez  
Assistant Public Interest Counsel  
State Bar No. 24056591  
P.O. Box 13087 MC 103  
Austin, Texas 78711  
(512) 239-3974 PHONE  
(512) 239-6377 FAX

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 4, 2016, the original and seven true and correct copies of the *Office of Public Interest Counsel's Response to Request for Hearing* were filed with the Chief Clerk of the TCEQ and a copy was served to all persons listed on the attached mailing list via hand delivery, facsimile transmission, Inter-Agency Mail or by deposit in the U.S. Mail.

  
Eli Martinez

**MAILING LIST**  
**BP AMOCO CHEMICAL COMPANY**  
**TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2016-0037-WR**

FOR THE APPLICANT:

Mesha Jones  
BP Amoco Chemical Company  
P.O. Box 1688  
Texas City, Texas 77592-1688  
Tel: 409/655-3326 Fax: 409/655-3703

FOR THE CHIEF CLERK:

Bridget Bohac  
TCEQ Office of Chief Clerk, MC-105  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  
Tel: 512/239-3300 Fax: 512/239-3311

FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:

Todd Galiga, Staff Attorney  
TCEQ Environmental Law Division  
MC-173  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  
Tel: 512/239-0600 Fax: 512/239-0606

REQUESTER:

Edmond R. McCarthy, Jr.  
Jackson, Sjoberg, McCarthy &  
Townsend, L.L.P.  
711 West 7th Street  
Austin, Texas 78701-2785

Brent Rougeau, Technical Staff  
TCEQ Water Availability Division,  
MC- 160  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  
Tel: 512/239-0321 Fax: 512/239-2214

Brian Christian, Director  
TCEQ Environmental Assistance  
Division, MC-108  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  
Tel: 512/239-4000 Fax: 512/239-5678

FOR ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE  
RESOLUTION

Kyle Lucas  
TCEQ Alternative Dispute Resolution,  
MC-222  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  
Tel: 512/239-4010 Fax: 512/239-4015