

TCEQ DOCKET NUMBER 2016-1402-MWD

APPLICATION BY 633-4S	§	BEFORE THE TEXAS
RANCH, LTD./STAHL LANE,	§	COMMISSION ON
LTD. FOR TPDES PERMIT NO.	§	ENVIRONMENTAL
WQ0015095001	§	QUALITY

APPLICANT 633-4S RANCH, LTD./STAHL LANE, LTD. RESPONSE TO HEARING REQUESTS

TO THE HONORABLE COMMISSIONERS OF THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY:

633-4S Ranch, Ltd./Stahl Lane, Ltd. (Applicant or 633) files this Response to Hearing Requests with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) requesting the TCEQ to deny the Hearing Requests. The TCEQ received 34 hearing requests. Most of these requests were from individuals but it appears 4 entities or organizations also requested a hearing.

633 will show that none of the requestors are within one downstream mile of the discharge point. There are some who are approximately ½ mile of the proposed plant site. Most requestors are well over ½ mile from the wastewater plant site and not adjacent to the discharge route. Other requestors are either several miles upstream from the discharge route and plant site or several miles downstream and the plant site. Thus, despite the large number of Requestors, the number of affected persons is relatively limited, pursuant to 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Section 55.203, due to the distance from proposed discharge point to the requestors' property and/or from proposed wastewater treatment plant location to requestors' property.

OVERVIEW

633 seeks to have development on approximately 774 acres. The planned development will have approximately 1,800 homes. As there is no regional or existing plant within three miles, 633 has opted to apply for a Texas Pollution Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) Permit. The Permit, if granted, would authorize the discharge of 480,000 Gallons Per Day (GPD) in the final phase. The discharge parameters will be, based on a 30-day *average*, 5 mg/l for both Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBOD) and Total Suspended Solids

(TSS), Ammonia-Nitrogen 2.0 mg/l and Total Phosphorous 0.5 mg/l. In addition, the permit would require Dissolved Oxygen minimum of 4.0 mg/l.

The treatment plant will be located in the central portion of the project *See Attachment 1*. The treated effluent will then be pumped to the northeast portion of the property where it will be discharged into an unnamed tributary of Lewis Creek; thence to Lewis Creek; thence to Upper Cibolo Creek in Segment No. 1908 of the San Antonio River Basin. The unclassified receiving water uses are minimal aquatic life use for unnamed tributary to Lewis Creek and limited aquatic life use for Lewis Creek. From the discharge point, treated effluent will flow for just a little over 1 mile through the project before it leaves 633 Property. After leaving 633 Property, Lewis Creek flows through the Oak Valley North subdivision.

It is important to remember that none of the requestors are within one mile of the proposed discharge point. The requestors closest to the proposed wastewater treatment plant site are nearly ½ mile away—somewhere between 2,500 yards and 3,000 yards—from that site.

GROUNDWATER AND WELLS

Certain requestors, including SouthWest Water Company (SWWC), raised a concern about groundwater wells and the possible impact the proposed discharge would have on the quality of the water. While stating their concern, none of the requestors, including SWWC, informed the TCEQ of the location of the wells in question. This would include the distance of the wells from the discharge point. 30 TAC §55.201(c)(2) requires requestor's location and distance relative to the proposed facility or activity that is the subject of the application and how and why the requestor believes he or she will be adversely affected by the proposed facility or activity in a manner not common to members of the general public.

What is clear is that any well is at over one mile from the discharge point. Since none of the requestors complaining about groundwater contamination provided the location of the alleged impacted wells, it is clear that they did not comply with §55.201(c)(2) and the TCEQ should not refer concerns about groundwater to the State Office of Administrative Hearings.

RESPONSES TO HEARING REQUESTS

30 TAC Section 55.209(e) sets out the requirements to respond to hearing requests. The response must address the following:

1. Whether the requestor is an affected person;
2. Which issues raised in the hearing request are disputed;
3. Whether the dispute involves questions of law or fact;
4. Whether the issues were raised in the public comment period;
5. Whether the basis for the hearing request was based solely on a withdrawn comment;
6. Whether the issues are relevant and material to a decision on the application;
7. Maximum expected duration for a contested case hearing.

Further, 30 TAC Section 55.211(c) requires a contested case to be granted if the request is made by an affected person and if that request, among other matters, raises a disputed issue(s) of fact. So, whether an issue is referred to a contested case, the issue must be raised by an affected person and it must be relevant and material to the application as well as timely filed and an interest protected by law.

633 believes that 30 TAC Section 55.211(c) prohibits the Commission from sending an issue to a contested case hearing that was not raised by an affected person.

RESPONSE SUMMARY

As stated, approximately the TCEQ received 34 hearing requests. 633 does not object to the Bulverde Neighborhood Association (BNA) status. BNA shows membership of individuals that are between more than $\frac{1}{4}$ mile but just less than $\frac{1}{2}$ mile from the proposed wastewater treatment plant. These members are Dennis McInerney, William Coe, Tom and Jeri Blacklock, Keith Markey and Patricia Haney. 633 understands that BNA also includes the following individual requestors—Maranda Alexander, Kenneth Brothers, Leslie Brothers, Erin Cantu, Carl Chapman, Yvonne Chapman, Judith Dunn, Michael Heersche, Melissa Laster, Alan Montemayor, Sonia Moore, Sara Ranzau, Nancy Sandoval, Emily Sauls, Francesca Watson and Benjamin Youngblood III, are members of BNA and does not object to their standing as members of BNA.

While not objecting to BNA's standing as an association, 633 will object to certain issues that BNA raised are irrelevant to the permit. In addition, BNA also includes members who were not individual requestors—Dennis McInerney, Tom and Jeri Blacklock, Keith Markey and Patricia Hancy. 633 does not object to these individuals standing as part of BNA but would object to their standing as individual requestors as they did not file separate hearing requests. Applicant will also object to other members of BNA having individual standing outside of their membership in BNA.

The remaining groups, entities and individuals that requested a contested case hearing do not have standing to be parties in a contested case hearing. Applicant addresses these requestors below.

REQUESTORS WHO ARE PART OF BULVERDE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION BUT SHOULD NOT HAVE INDIVIDUAL STANDING

Applicant objects to the following requestors as individual having standing outside of their membership in BNA¹:

Erin Cantu, Nancy Sandoval, Sara Ranzau, Benjamin Youngblood III, Alan Montemayor and Judith Dunn

Ms. Cantu's property is quite remote from the site so it is not shown on the map. However, an Internet search shows her property, 2595 Casey Road, Bulverde, Texas 78163, to be upstream and to the west-northwest of the proposed discharge point by approximately 4.5-5 miles. It also appears to be approximately 6 miles from the proposed wastewater treatment plant site. This distance alone precludes her from being an affected person. Similarly, Ms. Ranzau shows an address in Boerne, Texas, several miles from the project. She is also unaffected by this application.

Mr. Youngblood's, Ms. Sandoval's, Mr. Montemayor's and Ms. Dunn's respective addresses show to be in San Antonio. Outside of membership in BNA, neither Mr. Youngblood, Ms. Sandoval, Mr. Montemayor nor Ms. Dunn has any standing in this matter due to distance.

¹ Applicant does not object to these individuals having standing as part of BNA and participating as part of BNA. However, Applicant believes that these individuals do not have a justiciable interest on their own merits.

Maranda Alexandre, Kenneth and Leslie Brothers, Francesca Watson and Yvonne and Carl Chapman, Melissa Laster and Sonia Moore

Each of the above requestors, except Sonia Moore, show property that are approximately 2 miles from the proposed point of discharge, over 1 mile from proposed plant site and over ½ mile from the proposed discharge route with several properties between their respective properties and the proposed discharge route.

Michael Heersche

Mr. Heersche's property is listed as 5188 Meadow Lark Drive, Bulverde, Texas 78163. This property is approximately 1.5 miles from the proposed point of discharge and over ½ mile from the proposed treatment plant. The property is approximately to ¼ mile from the propose discharge route and separated by at least 5 properties.

Francesca Watson

Ms. Watson's address, 7264 Circle Oak Drive, Bulverde, Texas 78163 is over 2.5 miles from the proposed point of discharge and over 1 mile from the proposed treatment plant. Given the distance, Applicant believes that she is not impacted by the proposed application.

Melissa Laster

Ms. Laster's property is shown as 4845 Spreading Oak Drive, Bulverde, Texas 78163. This property is also approximately 2.5 miles from the proposed point of discharge and over 1 mile from the proposed wastewater plant site. Finally, it is approximately ½ mile from the proposed discharge route.

Sonia Moore

Ms. Moore did not state any grounds for requesting a contested case hearing or describe her concerns or how she is adversely impacted. Instead, the hearing request only stated "request a contested case hearing." In addition, her property, 31109 Smithson Valley Drive, is over 1.5 miles from the proposed point of discharge.

**REQUESTORS NOT PART OF BULVERDE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION
SOUTHWEST WATER COMPANY**

SWWC has not shown proper justiciable interest to be a party in this matter. First, as discussed above, SWWC did not comply with 30 TAC §55.201(c)(2) by providing the location of their well field or distance from discharge point or discharge route. Instead, SWWC did not meet this burden but merely stated that groundwater "is pumped from 8 wells in the Lewis Creek Watershed". 633 believes that SWWC's well complex commences at least 1.7 miles downstream of the discharge point.

If SWWC is concerned about its wells being under the direct influence of surface water, it should be following the proper protocols in 30 TAC §290.38 in its water service. If, in fact that SWWC well's are under the direct influence of surface, then impact from the areas runoff and septic tanks would dwarf any concern about highly treated effluent that is discharged 1.7 miles away. However, SWWC has not shown any likelihood of these wells as being Groundwater Under the Influence.

GREATER EDWARDS AQUIFER ALLIANCE AND BEXAR AUDUBON SOCIETY

Neither the Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance (GEAA) nor the Bexar Audubon Society (BAS) qualify for group or association standing. 30 TAC §55.205 governs standing for groups or associations. These rule provide as follows:

(a) A group or association may request a contested case hearing only if the group or association meets all of the following requirements:

(1) one or more members of the group or association would otherwise have standing to request a hearing in their own right;

(2) the interests the group or association seeks to protect are germane to the organization's purpose; and

(3) neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested requires the participation of the individual members in the case.

GEAA has not alleged or named any members of the association that might have standing in their own right. Instead, GEAA provided a blanket, unsupported, statement that it does have members who would have standing. Since GEAA does not name those members, they have not

met their burden of showing that they meet 30 TAC §55.205(a)(1). As such, they should not be allowed as a party.

Similarly, BAS provides no information about any members of the association that might have standing in their own right. BAS also does not describe how a wastewater discharge permit application in Comal County might be relevant or germane to the mission of Bexar Audubon Society's purpose.

**INDIVIDUAL REQUESTORS NOT PART OF BNA
REQUESTORS NOT WITHIN OAK VALLEY NORTH SUBDIVISION**

633 will first address requestors that do not reside in the Oak Valley North subdivision, which is located south of the 633's property and who are not part of BNA. This includes David Moulton, Caryl Swann and Michael Maurer, Sr.

David Moulton

Mr. Moulton lists his address as being in Hondo, Texas. He claims no personal interest in this application or how he would be impacted. His hearing request should be denied.

Caryl Swann

Ms. Swann lists her address as being 16546 Hunting Glen Street, San Antonio, Texas 78247. An Internet map search indicates this address is near Loop 1604 in San Antonio—5-10 miles from the site. Further, Ms. Swann's request does not provide any information as to how she is impacted by this application.

Michael Maurer, Sr.

Mr. Maurer, Sr. lists his address as 16129 SH 46 W, Spring Branch, Texas 78270. An Internet map search indicates that this address is about 5 miles upstream or gradient from the discharge point. Further, Mr. Maurer, Sr. did not provide any information as to how he is impacted by this application.

REQUESTORS WHO RESIDE WITHIN OAK VALLEY NORTH SUBDIVISION

There are only four requestors who reside within Oak Valley North and who are not members of BNA. These individuals are Janice Fishlock, Theresa McClung, Cheryl Watson and Jeanne Howe. 633 believes that all own property that is outside of the proximity to the proposed

wastewater treatment plant site and discharge point to make them affected persons. The Requestors' locations are identified on Attachment 1.

Janice Fishlock

Ms. Fishlock lists her address as 5020 Hawk Eye Drive, Bulverde, Texas 78163. Ms. Fishlock filed her request on May 4, 2016, several days after the deadline of April 29, 2016. Her request for hearing should not be considered. Further, Ms. Fishlock did not comply with 30 TAC §55.201 by describing her personal justiciable interest and she is impacted by the application. Finally, she did not raise any issues over which the agency has jurisdiction.

Theresa McClung

Ms. McClung lists her address as 30584 Onion Creek, Bulverde, Texas 78163. Applicant's map indicates that her property is approximately 2.25 miles downstream of the point of discharge and approximately 1.25 miles from the proposed wastewater treatment plant. Her property is separated from the proposed plant site by well over 15 residences. She is also approximately ½ mile east of the discharge route and separated from this stream by at least 10 residences. Given her distance from the proposed discharge point, the proposed plant site and the proposed discharge route, she is not an affected person.

Her stated concerns are health, water, property values and security of the community. Two of Ms. McClung's bases for a hearing—property value and security of the community—are outside of this agency's jurisdiction. Concerning water, Ms. McClung does not allege that she is dependent on an individual well. Instead, her concern is about the water system for the community. This is not an individual concern but rather a concern for the public. Further, as stated in regard to SWWC, the well field in question appears to be at least 1.7 miles from the proposed point of discharge.

Jeanne Howe

Ms. Howe lists her address as 30722 Onion Creek, Bulverde, Texas 78163. According to Applicant's maps, her property is almost exactly two miles downstream from the proposed discharge point and is not on the proposed discharge route. The property location is approximately 350-400 yards west of Lewis Creek and separated from the discharge route by at least 2 other properties as well as Olympus Road. In addition, Ms. Howe's property shows to be

just a little more than one mile from the proposed wastewater treatment plant site. Given her distance from the proposed discharge point, the proposed plant site and the proposed discharge route, she is not an affected person. Further, the concerns she raises, discussed below, are issues relevant to the public.

Ms. Howe's stated concerns are water well contamination, bacteria in water, flooding, air contamination, soil contamination, groundwater well monitoring frequency, runoff during development and nuisance through mosquitoes. Five of these issues are not relevant to this matter. These are 1. Flooding, 2. Air contamination, 3. Groundwater well monitoring frequency, 4. Runoff during development and 5. Nuisance through mosquitoes. Concerning issues 2, 3, and 4, the TCEQ regulates through different programs. On issue 3, groundwater well monitoring is a matter for SWWC as well. The TCEQ has jurisdiction over issues 1 and 5.

Cheryl Watson

Ms. Watson did not list a physical address so Applicant cannot comment on her property location. Instead, she only lists a Post Office Box with an allegation that she lives "very close to Lewis Creek" and that she receives water from SWWC's Oak Village North system. This is not sufficient to comply with 30 TAC §55.201(d) where, in pertinent part, the protestant is required to provide a "written statement explaining in plain language the requestor's location and distance relative to the proposed facility or activity that is the subject of the application". Ms. Watson did not give her location, a distance or even an address where her location could be found.

What we can surmise is that she is likely in the Oak Village North subdivision, which, as previously discussed, is over 1 mile downstream of the proposed discharge point at its nearest point. However, we cannot tell how far her property is from the proposed discharge point or proposed discharge route.

ANALYSIS OF ISSUES

ODOR

No requestor with standing raised odor as an issue.

IMPACT TO GROUNDWATER AND WATER WELLS

BNA raised potential impact to groundwater and water wells. However, as stated, no requestor, including BNA, showed the location of a potentially impacted well. All members of BNA are over 1 mile from the proposed discharge point. Further, the SWWC well complex appears to be at least 1.7 miles from the proposed discharge point. This distance is too great for there to be an impact on the groundwater wells. Thus, this issue is not material or relevant.

IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER

633 believes that this is a relevant and material issue of fact to refer to hearing if it finds that BNA is an affected person.

PROTECTIVE OF HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY

633 believes that this is a relevant and material issue. However, 633 suggests that the issue should be phrased "is the draft permit protective of human health and the environment."

LOCATION STANDARDS AND RESTRICTIONS

633 believes this to be a relevant and material fact issue. 633 would suggest the issue be phrased as "whether the Applicant will adequately protect the plant from 100 year flood events".

FLOODING OR ADEQUATELY PROTECTIVE OF USE AND ENJOYMENT

BNA and other requestors raised the issue of flooding, even if tangentially. 633 believes that this fact issue is outside of the Commission's jurisdiction in reviewing a discharge permit and is not relevant and material issue. Applicant also believes that this issue, minus flooding component, is included in the impact on surface water since compliance with water quality standards will protect use and enjoyment of property.

NEED FOR PERMIT OR ALTERNATIVES TO DISCHARGE PERMIT

BNA questioned the need for the permit or whether there were better alternatives for 633 than seeking a TPDES permit. BNA correctly points out that an existing land application permit is serving the property at present. However, the project is looking to grow to approximately 2,000 homes. The growth in the property satisfies the need for this permit amendment. Whether there are alternatives, such as additional TLAP, is not a relevant and material issue.

SUFFICIENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The draft permit contains the monitoring requirements required in TCEQ rules. Nonetheless, 633 believes that this could be a relevant and material issue. However, BNA suggests that the wording should be “determine proper monitoring requirements for the permit”.

COMPLIANCE HISTORY

Compliance history is always a relevant and material issue. BNA notes that there is an existing wastewater treatment plant providing service to the area. This plant that will operate pursuant to this permit will be operated by the same entity as currently operates. That compliance history is known. As such, there are no facts to be found by referring this as an issue to SOAH.

WASTEWATER PLANT DESIGN

Plant design is not a relevant and material issue at this stage of the process. The design phase comes after permitting so including in a hearing would be premature.

FINAL THOUGHTS

It is always intimidating to address the issues and complaints of a large number of protestants. It is easy to allow the weight of the number of opponents and issues to appear to have more impact than what they may merit. 633 urges the Commission to remain mindful that, as owner of the project, it is equally concerned about the quality of treated effluent discharge. 633 is not asking the Requestors to accept any burden that it is unwilling to accept. That is, the discharge point is located so that the treated effluent will flow through almost the entirety of the project before exiting onto someone else’s property. That distance is over one mile.

IF REFERRED TO SOAH, EXPECTED MAXIMUM DURATION SHOULD BE SIX MONTHS

633 realizes that, at first blush, this might seem an ambitious request. However, given that the discharge should not be an issue given the distance from the discharge point to any affected party, the issues should be limited to those involving the plant and its operations. We would be remiss if not admitting that delay is the enemy of a developer—something opponents know too well and couch their concerns in water quality.

Respectfully submitted,

Andy Barrett & Associates, PLLC

By: Andrew Barrett

Andrew N. Barrett

State Bar No. 01808900

3300 Bee Cave Road, Suite 650 #189

Austin, Texas 78746


512-600-3800

512-330-0499 FAX

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

633-4S RANCH, LTD./STAHL LANE, LTD.

ATTACHMENT 1



South Texas Wastewater Treatment
 Texas Registered Engineering Firm F-10100
 227 Commerce
 Houston, TX 77002

Professional Engineer
 Oscar D. Gramann
 License No. 61171
 State of Texas
 Registered Professional Engineer
 4 Nov 2014

Allmon Comments						
	Name		Address	Zip		Property ID
	Last	First				
1	McInerney	Dennis	31458 Sunlight Dr	78163		
2	Coe	William	31434 Sunlight Dr	78163		
3	Blacklock	Tom Jeri	31404 Sunlight Dr	78163		
4	Markey	Keith	5685 Arroyo Luis Dr	78163	Noth of 4S near discharge point	
5	Haney	Patricia	5160 FM 1863	78163	South of 1863 in Flood	
OVN	Oak Village North					
OM	Off Map					
	Name		Address	Zip		Property ID
	Last	First				
1	Alexandre	Maranda	30812 Sunlight Dr	78163	MJ14_si@yahoo.com	42595
	Barton	Bob	2962 Barton Hill Dr	78613		
	Boyd	Robert	4620 Blue Sky Dr	78613	bobbyd@gvtc.com	
OVN	Brinks	Jack	31151 Smithson Valley	78163	jh77cj7@gmail.com	
OVN	Brodeur	Chris	30670 Smithson Valley	78163	Cbrodeurtx@gmail.com	
2	Brothers	Leslie	30803 Sunlight Dr	78163	LesliBrothers@twc.com	42480
OVN	Brown	Marilee	30219 Twln Ridge Dr	79163	marilee@hazelbrownlaw.com	
OVN	Burrer	Dennis	5578 Circle Oak Dr	78163	cburrer@gvtc.com	830-980-31
	Butler	Kimberly	440 Old Boerne Rd	78163	lynnfaye08@email.phoenix.com	
OM	Cantu	Erin	2595 Casey Rd	78163	erin@erincantu.com	830-438-34
3	Chapman	Carl				42481
3	Chapman	Yvonne	30809 Sunlight Dr	78163	ChapmanY@ci.bulverde.tx.us	
	Clark	Bill	PO Box 591012	78259	good4@satx.rr.com	
4	Coe	William	31434 Sunlight Dr	78163	wmcoe@wesco.com	42648
	Cunningham	Joel	6408 Circle Oak	78163	joelc@gvtc.com	
OVN	Davis	Brenda	5470 Meadow Lark	78163	Bpatitz@aol.com	
OM	Dunn	Judith	24335 Cibolo VW	78266	judie.dunn@yahoo.com	
	Fisher	Carol	1809 Blanco	78212		
OVN	Fishlock	Donald				
OVN	Fishlock	Ernest			mefishlock@gmail.com	
OVN	Fishlock	Janice	5020 Hawk Eye Dr	78163	fishlock5020@gmail.com	
	Garvin	James	PO Box 4188	78630	james.garvin@ymail.com	
OVN	Gordon	Carolina	5257 Meadow Lark Dr	78163	Cvogordon55@gmail.com	
	Graham	P	18645 state HWY 239 W	78119	pgraham031@gmail.com	
	Graham	Terrell	7527 County Rd 160	78119	bigcompasscorp@gmail.com	
OVN	Grothues	Henry	5435 Circle Oak Dr	78163	hgrpthues@earthlink.net	
	Hall	Drew	1144 Cypress Pass Dr	78070	drew_hall81@yahoo.com	
OVN	Hall	Gary	30149 Twin Ridge Dr	78163	nancyhex1@gmail.com	
	Hall	Terri	5002 Cornwall Dr	78070	terri@texasturf.org	210-275-06
OVN	Hanley	Patricia	5160 FM 1863	78163	pahaney@me.com	
5	Heersche	Michael	5188 Meadow Lark Dr	78163	MIKE.HEERSCHHE@gmail.com	
OVN	Hilburn	Connie	31151 Smithson Valley	78613		
OVN	Hojnacki	Kathy	30657 Blue Martin	78163	hojnack@gvtc.com	
OVN	Hojnacki	Tony				
	Holt	Brad	560 Oak Cir	78070	bholt625@gmail.com	
	Houser-Amaya	Sabrina	1741 Herbelin RD	78132	sabrina@drycomalcreek.com	
OVN	Howard	Donald	30390 Olympus	78163	kenk@icscentral.com	
6	Howe	Jeanne	30722 Onion Crk	78163	winning1@gvtc.com	42420
OVN	Johnson	Cynthia	30109 Twin Ridge Dr	78163	gritca@msn.com	
OVN	Kainer	Ann	4509 Evening Star dr	78163	ajkainer@hotmail.com	
	Keith	Richard				
OVN	Keith	Carol	5577 Circle Oak Dr	78163	ckeith1251@aol.com	


OVN	Kirby	John	6664 Circle oak dr	78163	tkirby1226@gmail.com
OVN	Knight	Kelly	4527 Evening Star Dr	78163	rusticobound@yahoo.com
7	Laster	Melissa	4845 Spreading Oak	78163	melissajlaster@gmail.com
OVN	Leas	Deborah			
OVN	Leas	Rodney	5023 Hawk Eye Dr	78163	rodleas@aol.com
	Lively	Christina	30822 Blueberry Ridge Dr	78163	texasangell11411@gmail.com
OVN	Lord	Jay	30860 Setting Sun Dr	78163	
OVN	Maldonado	Manual	4852 Spreading Oak Dr	78163	manny@mjmworks.com
	Mann	Ralph	31317 Falling Shadow	79163	Ralph@bulverdeumc.com
OM	Maurer	Michael	PO Box 700606	78270	stgcdtax@gvtc.com
	Maurer	Roseann	16901 Highway 46 W	78070	roseann.maurer@yahoo.com
	Maurer	Tessye	17055 Highway 46 W	78070	
8	McClung	Theresa	30584 Onion Crk	78163	tmcclung@ix.netcom.com
OVN	McDougall	Douglas	4883 Spreading Oak Dr	78163	dorisanddoug@gvtc.com
OVN	Merlino	Luana	31019 Sunlight	78163	lumerlino@gmail.com
OVN	Miller	Weslea	5345 Hawk Eye Dr	78163	sandwmiller@msn.com
OVN	Miller	Georgia	30906 Sunset Dr	78163	JMILLER89@satx.rr.com
OVN	Molina	Terrilyn	4511 Evening Star	78163	terrilynmolina@yahoo.com
OM	Montemayor	Alan	2186 Jackson Keller Ste 432	78213	alan.montemayor@sbcglobal.net
9	Moore	Sonia	31109 Smithson Valley	78163	smoore59@aol.com
OVN	Mote	Albert	6122 circle Oak	78163	amote@gvtc.com
OM	Moulton	David	PO Box 103	78861	stan,moulton@gmail.com
	Murphy	Marcus	1320 S Florida st	79007	MarcusMurphy13@hotmail.com
OVN	Olvera	George	5042 Hawk Eye Dr	78163	
OVN	Olvera	Nancy			
OM	Pasztor	Gregory	PO Box 6084	78209	bexaraudubon@earthlink.com
OM	Peace	Annalisa	PO Box 15618	78121	annalisa@aquiferalliance.org
OVN	Perry	Robert	30544 Olympus	78163	reperry28@gmail.com
OM	Ranzau	Sara	325 Plant Ave	78006	sararanzau@gmail.com
	Rice	George	414 E French Place 78212		
OVN	Rich	Estella	31345 Sunlight Dr	78163	jrich781@hotmail.com
OVN	Richards	Keith	5577 Circle Oak Dr	78163	brrkeith@gmail.com
OVN	Rodgers	Elizabeth	31227 Setting Sun	78163	ElizabethRogers66@yahoo.com
OVN	Rose	Jomar	5219 Hawk Eye Dr	78163	jomar_rose@att.com
OVN	Rust	Kathy	5277 Meadow Lark Dr	78163	rdrust@gvtc.com
OVN	Rust	Rocky			
OM	Sandoval	Nancy	1931 Silver Mountain	78264	texas.wildflowers@yahoo.com
10	Sauls	Emily	5374 Fallen Oak	78163	kivull@msn.com
OVN	Schroeder	Philip	6308 Circle Oak Dr	78163	philip.schroeder777@gmail.com
OVN	Smith	Carol	30030 Twin Ridge Dr	78163	cadjs@gvtc.com
OVN	Smith	David	30030 Twin Ridge Dr	79163	cadjs@gvtc.com
OVN	Smith	Weldon	5052 Honeysuckle Dr	78163	weldon.smith@gmail.com
	Stevick	Sarah	31118 Wildcat Dr	78163	stevick@gvtc.com
OVN	Stoever	Paul	30871 Blue Martin	78163	paul.stover@att.com
OM	Swann	Caryl	16546 Hunting glen	78247	c.swann@att.net
OVN	Thompson	Jeff	6692 Circle Oak Dr	78163	jetskinow@gmail.com
OVN	Warner	Stacy	5348 Hawk Eye Dr	78163	stacyleewarner@yahoo.com
11	Watson	Cheryl	PO Box 184	78163	watsfamily@yahoo.com
11	Watson	Francesca	7264 Circle Oak Dr	78163	Francesca@francescawatson.com
	White	Debra	31320 Falling Shadow	78163	dwhite106@satx.rr.com
	Williams	Nancy	5347 Prancing Deer Dr	78163	nldwilliams@gmail.com
	Wooten	Chad	2370 Casey Rd	78163	Chad@fire-esc.com
	Wooten	Dina			
OM	Youngblood	Benjamin	8207 Callaghan Rd ste 10	78230	bfy@prodigy.com

Chapman

Florida

4-5

Lark
Ave



1863

5-12

Comal ISD

Texas Parks & Wildlife Esri, HERE, DeLorme, INCREMENT...

