

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table</i>	<i>Page</i>
E.1	Estimates of the Annual Economic Value of Changes in the Environmental Quality of Galveston Bay (1993 Dollars) 8
Main Report Tables	
2.1	Payment Mechanism Results, All Pretests Combined 34
2.2	Pretest Respondent Profiles 35
3.1	Schedule of Referendum Points for Double-Bounded Dichotomous Choice Elicitation Questions 42
4.1	Response Rates for Mail/In-Person Follow-up Sample (by Interview Location) 50
4.2	Response Rates for Mail-Only Sample 51
4.3	Comparison of In-Person and Mail-Only Survey Respondents With Houston Area Profile (1990 U.S.Census) 52
4.4	Social, Economic and Environmental Issues in the Greater Houston Galveston Area: Priorities for Action (Unweighted, Pooled Sample) 53
4.5	Respondents' Perceptions of the Importance of Environmental Problems Facing Galveston Bay (Unweighted, Pooled Sample) 54
4.6	Number of Person-Days Per Year Spent Fishing and Boating on Galveston Bay Per Household 56
4.7	Demographics of Galveston Bay Recreational Use(Unweighted, Mail-Only) 58
5.1	Independent Variables: Determinants of Support for the Galveston Bay Management Plan 73
5.2	Imputed Mean Income of Stated Intervals 75
5.3	Multivariate Model Results Describing Decisiveness in Responding to Referendum Questions 77
5.4	Results of the Multivariate Models of the Determinants of Households' Responses to the Valuation Question 79
6.1	Comparison of Valuation Differences Under Both Treatments for Users and Nonusers (Typical Respondent Household, Monthly Willingness to Pay) . . . 82
6.2	Unweighted Mean Willingness to Pay for Galveston Bay Management Plan By Questionnaire Type and Options for Accommodating "Not Sure" Responses. 83
6.3	Comparison of In-Person and Mail-Only Survey Respondents With Houston Area Profile (1990 Census) Showing Effect of Option 1 and Option 3 on Demographic Make-up of Sample 84
6.4	Weighted Mean Monthly Respondent Willingness to Pay for the Hypothetical Galveston Bay Management Plan By Questionnaire Type and Options for Treating Heavily Weighted Observations (Using Option 3 Treatment of "Not Sure" Responses) 86

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
6.5	Mean Annual Willingness to Pay Per Respondent Household By Questionnaire Type and Options for Treating Heavily Weighted Observations (Option 3 Only) 86
6.6	Aggregated Mean Annual Willingness to Pay (in \$ Million per Year) by Questionnaire Type, Options for Treating Heavily Weighted Observations, and Assumptions About Nonrespondents' Values (Option 3 Only) 88
7.1	Uncertainties, Assumptions, and Implications for Contingent Valuation (CV) Results, Galveston Bay Study 93
7.2	Estimated Number of Greater Houston-Galveston Area Households that Use Galveston Bay for Recreational Purposes at Least Once at Year 99
7.3	Estimates of the Annual Economic Value of Changes in the Environmental Quality of Galveston Bay (1993 Dollars) 103
Appendix Tables	
A1.I.1	Total Annual Number of Recreational Boat-Fishing Days and the Annual Economic Value of Recreational Fishing (in \$ Millions) 120
A1.I.2	Projected Net Economic Value of Recreational Fishing (in \$ Millions, 1993) 121
A1.I.3	Economic Value of Recreational Boating Based on User-Days (Derived from TPWD's 1990 Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan) 125
A1.I.4	Estimated Economic Value of Galveston Bay Wetlands for Protection from Hurricane Wind Damage 129
A1.II.1	Trends in Recreational Fish Landings for Eight Economically Important Wetland-Dependent Fish Species and Wetland Acreage on Galveston Bay 135
A1.II.2	Assumptions and Results of the Calculation of Marginal Values of Wetlands to Recreational Fishing 137
A1.III.1	Primary Productivity in Galveston Bay 142
A1.III.2	Conversion Factors from Mass to Energy 142
A1.III.3	Embodied Energy of Primary Production 143
A1.III.4	Energy Quality Conversion 143
A1.III.5	Fossil Fuel Equivalents of Gross Primary Production for Six Habitats in Galveston Bay 144
A1.III.6	Dollar-Value Equivalent of Gross Primary Production 145
A1.III.7	Dollar Value of the Annual Energy Flow 145
A1.III.8	Unit Value of Each Component of the Bay System 146
A1.III.9	The Total Present Value of Galveston Bay Using the Embodied Energy Approach to Valuation (in \$ Million, $r=0.04$) 147

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table</i>		<i>Page</i>
A2.1	Comparison of Total Study Area, Cluster Area, and Selected Model Results Means	153
A2.2	Comparison of Model Specifications for Three Best Solutions	163