

# Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP

3711 S. MoPac Expressway Building One, Suite 300 Austin, Texas 78746 (512) 472-8021 Fax (512) 320-5638 www.bickerstaff.com

June 21, 2012

*Via Courier*

Bridget C. Bohac  
Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC 105  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

RE: Petition for Rulemaking

Dear Ms. Bohac:

Enclosed please find for filing the original Petition for Rulemaking submitted on behalf of the City of Irving, Texas. Please file-stamp the extra copy of the Petition and return it to our courier.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please contact us, at (512) 472-8021 or at [dearoom@bickerstaff.com](mailto:dearoom@bickerstaff.com) and [smaxwell@bickerstaff.com](mailto:smaxwell@bickerstaff.com), if any additional information or documentation is required or if we can be of assistance in any way.

Sincerely,



Susan M. Maxwell

SM/cg

Enclosure

cc: Todd Reck, City of Irving  
David Cardenas, City of Irving  
Charles Anderson, City of Irving  
Kuruvilla Oommen, City of Irving

CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE  
JUN 21 10 39 57  
TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
IRVING

# Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP

3711 S. MoPac Expressway Building One, Suite 300 Austin, Texas 78746 (512) 472-8021 Fax (512) 320-5638 www.bickerstaff.com

June 21, 2012

*Via Courier*

Mr. Zak Covar  
Executive Director  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Petition for Rulemaking

Dear Mr. Covar:

Enclosed please find a Petition for Rulemaking submitted on behalf of the City of Irving, Texas, pursuant to Texas Government Code § 2001.021 and 30 TAC § 20.15. As set forth in the Petition, the City petitions the Commission to amend its current definition of "Municipal Use," 30 TAC § 297.1 (32).

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please contact us, at (512) 472-8021 or at [dcaroom@bickerstaff.com](mailto:dcaroom@bickerstaff.com) and [smaxwell@bickerstaff.com](mailto:smaxwell@bickerstaff.com), if any additional information or documentation is required or if we can be of assistance in any way.

Sincerely,



Susan M. Maxwell

SM/cg  
Enclosure

cc: Todd Reck, City of Irving  
David Cardenas, City of Irving  
Charles Anderson, City of Irving  
Kuruvilla Oommen, City of Irving

DOCKET NO. \_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE  
TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

PETITION FOR RULEMAKING

TO THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY:

Pursuant to Texas Government Code § 2001.021 and 30 TAC §20.15, the City of Irving (“Petitioner”) petitions the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (the “Commission”) to adopt an amendment to its current definition of “Municipal Use.” In support of its petition, Irving would show the following:

1. **Petitioner:**

The City of Irving is the Petitioner. Its address is City of Irving, 825 West Irving Boulevard, Irving, Texas 75060. Petitioner may be contacted regarding this petition through counsel, undersigned below.

2. **Proposed Rule:**

Petitioner requests that the definition of “Municipal Use,” 30 TAC 297.1(32), be modified as reflected below:

(32) Municipal use--The use of

(A) potable water within a community or municipality and its environs for domestic, recreational, commercial, or industrial purposes or for the watering of golf courses, parks and parkways, or

(B) ~~or~~ the use of reclaimed water or reuse authorized pursuant to § 297.16 of this title in lieu of potable water for the preceding purposes, or

(C) ~~or~~ the application of municipal sewage effluent on land, under a Texas Water Code, Chapter 26, permit where:

(i) (A) the application site is land owned or leased by the Chapter 26 permit holder; or

(ii) (B) the application site is within an area for which the commission has adopted a no-discharge rule.

3. **Explanation of Proposed Rule:**

As the rule is currently drafted direct reuse of treated wastewater effluent for watering municipal parks, golf courses, parkways and other purposes is considered a municipal use. This promotes conservation by allowing the substitution of treated wastewater for potable

water from the municipal supply. However, indirect reuse of treated wastewater for many of these same purposes is not considered a “municipal use” under the current definition because the definition of “reclaimed water,” 30 TAC § 297.1(39), requires that the treated wastewater be “under the direct control of the treatment plant operator.”

The proposed amendment of the “Municipal Use” definition would allow indirect reuse of treated wastewater effluent for watering of parks, golf courses, and parkways as a municipal use, after that indirect reuse had been authorized by the Commission pursuant to Texas Water Code § 11.042 and 30 TAC § 297.16. All appropriate environmental and water availability reviews would have already occurred as part of obtaining the “bed and banks” authorization. Like the existing authorization for direct reuse of treated effluent for these purposes, the proposed amendment would promote conservation by allowing indirect reuse of treated effluent, thus relieving demands that otherwise might have to be supplied from potable municipal water.

**4. Statutory Authority:**

The Commission has authority to adopt the proposed rule amendment under Texas Water Code § 5.103.

**5. Injury or Inequity Resulting from Failure to Adopt the Proposed Rule:**

Without the proposed amendment, municipalities desiring to indirectly utilize treated wastewater effluent for watering golf courses, parks and parkways are required to amend their underlying water right authorization to include “agricultural use.” Not only is obtaining such an amendment potentially time consuming and expensive for the municipality, and burdensome on the TCEQ staff, it is not logical – watering such municipal facilities with reuse water in order to relieve the potable water demand on the municipal supply system *is* a municipal use, not an agricultural use.

**6. Alternative Language:**

The purpose of the proposed amendment is to modify the “Municipal Use” definition to allow indirect reuse of treated wastewater effluent to meet a demand that otherwise might have had to be satisfied from the municipal potable water supply, much as direct reuse of treated effluent is already authorized for this purpose. The language proposed by this Petition, above, is not the only way to accomplish this goal. Petitioner would not object to alternative language or modification of the proposed language, if such is determined to be preferable by TCEQ staff, so long as it accomplishes the intended purpose.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Petitioner respectfully prays that the Commission adopt the proposed revision of the "Municipal Use" definition to allow indirect reuse of treated effluent to qualify as a municipal use.

Respectfully submitted,

BICKERSTAFF HEATH  
DELGADO ACOSTA LLP  
Douglas G. Caroom  
Texas Bar No. 03832700  
Susan M. Maxwell  
Texas Bar No. 24026869  
3711 S. MoPac Expressway  
Building One, Suite 300  
Austin, Texas 78746  
Telephone: (512) 472-8021  
Facsimile: (512) 320-5638

By: Susan Maxwell  
Douglas G. Caroom *For*

*Attorneys for City of Irving, Texas*