

Oil and Gas Standard Permit and Permit By Rule Refined-Screening Modeling Guidelines

The modeling tables in the Oil and Gas Standard Permit and Permit by Rule (PBR) are only one tool the applicant may use to demonstrate emissions from Oil and Gas Site (OGS) located in the Barnett Shale are acceptable under the Standard Permit and PBR. The modeling performed to create the modeling tables demonstrates the Standard Permit and PBR are protective anywhere in the Barnett Shale. In order to make the demonstration, the modeling is based on reasonably conservative assumptions and modeling techniques. If the modeling tables are too conservative for a specific OGS, the applicant may use a more refined screening modeling approach to demonstrate acceptable emissions from an OGS under the Standard Permit and PBR. The following information provides the requirements and guidance if an applicant chooses to conduct the refined screening approach. The applicant should follow the approach exactly and should not modify the approach on a case-by-case basis. However, the commission could modify the modeling guidance to resolve technical issues, clarify instructions, or allow the use of other refined dispersion models.

There are two refined screening options for demonstrating acceptable emission impacts. The first is a screening approach using the SCREEN3 model and the second is a refined screening approach using Industrial Source Complex (ISC) model. It is possible, and acceptable, that some sites may utilize a combination of SCREEN3 and ISC when completing the impacts review.

SCREEN3 Model Setup Guidelines

The information contained in this section will provide guidance for applicants utilizing SCREEN3 in the protectiveness reviews for the Oil and Gas PBR and Standard Permit. If any of the conditions outlined in this guidance cannot be met, then this approach cannot be used.

Control Options

- The Regulatory default option must be selected.
- The Flat terrain choice must be used.
- Rural or urban dispersion options may be used based on the land use in the vicinity of the sources to be permitted.
- A land use analysis must be conducted to determine the majority land-use type within 3 kilometers (km) of the sources to be permitted.
- If the land-use designation is clear (about 70 percent or more of the total land-use is either urban or rural), then no further refinement is required and the model should be run with the appropriate land-use designation.
- If the land-use designation is not clear, the model should be run twice, once with each option and the higher of the two predicted concentrations should be reported.

Source Options

- Emissions can be represented as either point sources, point source using pseudo point parameters, area source, or as a flare.
- Use a point source with pseudo-point parameters for individual fugitive sources and for any sources that do not release to the atmosphere through standard stacks (such as stacks or vents with rain caps, horizontal releases).

- Use area source to characterize emissions from fugitive sources and for any sources that do not release to the atmosphere through standard stacks. The area and release height must represent sources or activities that occur at the same time and height. The ratio of length to width for the area source cannot be greater than 10:1. Multiple area sources can be used as applicable to meet area and release height restrictions.
- Flares may be modeled using the flare source type in SCREEN3 or by calculating the effective stack diameter and using the parameters listed in the ISC model setup guideline. The SCREEN3 flare option assumes an effective stack gas exit velocity (v_s) of 20 m/s and an effective stack gas exit temperature (T_s) of 1,273 Kelvin, and calculates an effective stack diameter based on the heat release rate. Enclosed vapor combustion units should not be modeled with the preceding parameters but instead with stack parameters that reflect the physical characteristics of the unit.

Meteorology

- The SCREEN3 model defaults of full meteorology, 10-meter anemometer height, and regulatory mixing height are required.

Receptors

- Model receptors should be placed to meet the definitions listed in 30 TAC §106.352(b)(2), 30 TAC §106.352(k), and sections (b)(2) and (k) of the standard permit.
- The distance to the nearest receptor should be used to demonstrate compliance for the health effects analysis.
- The starting receptor for the state property line and NAAQS analyses should be placed at the nearest property line. The ending receptor should be located at a 1/4 mile, 1/2 mile, or 1 mile from a project for PBR level 1, PBR Level 2, or the standard permit, respectively.

Downwash

- Downwash is generally not applicable for OGS located in rural areas. Downwash may be appropriate for OGS that could be affected by large buildings located in urban areas. Generally, small tanks, storage sheds, and engines are not large enough to cause downwash effects and should not be considered in the analysis.

Output

- The maximum predicted concentration must be used to compare against the applicable ESL, NAAQS, or state ambient air standard.

- The following conversion factors can be used to convert 1-hour concentrations from SCREEN3 to averaging times greater than 1-hour:

Averaging Time	Multiplying Factor
3 hour	0.9
24 hour	0.4
Annual	0.08

ISC Model Setup Guidelines

The information contained in this section will provide guidance for applicants utilizing ISC in the protectiveness reviews for the Oil and Gas PBR and Standard Permits. The latest version of ISC-Prime must be used in the analysis. If any of the conditions outlined in this guidance cannot be met, then this approach cannot be used.

Control Options

- The Regulatory default option must be selected.
- The Flat terrain choice must be used.
- Plume depletion and deposition options are not allowed
- Rural or urban dispersion options may be used based on the land use in the vicinity of the sources to be permitted.
- A land use analysis must be conducted to determine the majority land-use type within 3 km of the sources to be permitted.
- If the land-use designation is clear (about 70 percent or more of the total land-use is either urban or rural), then no further refinement is required and the model should be run with the appropriate land-use designation.
- If the land-use designation is not clear, the model should be run twice, once with each option and the higher of the two predicted concentrations should be reported.

Source Options

- Emissions can be represented as either point sources, point source using pseudo point parameters, area source, or as a flare.
- Use a point source with pseudo-point parameters for individual fugitive sources and for any sources that do not release to the atmosphere through standard stacks (such as stacks or vents with rain caps, horizontal releases).
- Use area source to characterize emissions from fugitive sources and for any sources that do not release to the atmosphere through standard stacks. The area and release height must represent sources or activities that occur at the same time and height. The ratio of length to width for the area source cannot be greater than 10:1. Multiple area sources can be used as applicable to meet area and release height restrictions.

- Flares should be modeled with the following parameters: effective stack exit velocity of 20 meters per second; effective stack exit temperature of 1273 Kelvin; actual height of the flare tip. The effective stack diameter (in meters) should be calculated using the following equation: $D = \sqrt{(10-6qn)}$ and $qn = q(1 - 0.048\sqrt{MW})$ Where: q = gross heat release in cal/sec; qn = net heat release in cal/sec; and MW = weighted (by volume) average molecular weight of the compound being flared.

Meteorology

- The ADMT prepared meteorological data sets available at www.tceq.state.tx.us/permitting/air/modeling/admtmet.html must be used in the modeling analysis.
- The following table lists the meteorological data sets that should be used for projects located in the corresponding County

Counties	Surface Data	Upper-air Data
Cooke, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Hood, Johnson, Parker, Somervell, Tarrant, Wise	Dallas-Fort Worth	Stephenville
Archer, Clay, Montague	Wichita Falls	Stephenville
Bosque, Coryell, Hill	Waco	Stephenville
Comanche, Hamilton	San Angelo	Stephenville
Eastland, Erath, Jack, Palo Pinto, Shackelford, Stephens	Abilene	Stephenville

- The required year is 1988 when using one year of meteorology data,
- Only one year of data is required. However, the entire five year data set may be used for NAAQS pollutants.
- The actual anemometer height must be used for each airport location. Anemometer heights can be found at the following URL: www.tceq.state.tx.us/assets/public/permitting/air/memos/anemom96.pdf

Receptors

- Model receptors should be placed to meet the definitions listed in 30 TAC §106.352(b)(2), 30 TAC §106.352(k), and sections (b)(2) and (k) of the standard permit.
- Model receptors should be placed at all locations defined as a receptor within a 1/4 mile, 1/2 mile, or 1 mile from a project for PBR level 1, PBR Level 2, or the standard permit, respectively, to demonstrate compliance with the health effects analysis.
- In addition to meeting the requirements in 30 TAC §106.352(b)(2), 30 TAC §106.352(k), and sections (b)(2) and (k) of the standard permit, the following

receptor grid design should be used when conducting a NAAQS or state property line analysis:

PBR Level 1

- Tight receptors - receptors beginning at the property line and spaced 50 feet apart extending out to a distance of 1/4 mile (1320 feet) from the property line

PBR Level 2

- Tight receptors - receptors beginning at the property line and spaced 50 feet apart extending out to a distance of 1/4 mile (1320 feet) from the property line
- Fine receptors - receptors spaced 300 feet apart beginning at 1/4 mile (1320 feet) from the property line and extending out to a distance of 1/2 mile (2640 feet) from the property line

Standard Permit

- Tight receptors - receptors beginning at the property line and spaced 50 feet apart extending out to a distance of 1/4 mile (1320 feet) from the property line
- Fine receptors - receptors spaced 300 feet apart beginning at 1/4 mile (1320 feet) from the property line and extending out to a distance of 1/2 mile (2640 feet) from the property line
- Medium receptors - receptors spaced 1500 feet apart beginning at 1/2 mile (2640 feet) from the property line and extending out to a distance of extending out to a distance of 1 mile (5280 feet)

Downwash

- Downwash is generally not applicable for OGS located in rural areas. Downwash may be appropriate for OGS that could be affected by large buildings located in urban areas. Generally, small tanks, storage sheds, and engines are not large enough to cause downwash effects and should not be considered in the analysis.
- The latest version of BPIP-Prime should be used to calculate downwash parameters if downwash is appropriate.

Coordinate System

- Enter receptor locations, source locations, and building location (if necessary) in UTM coordinates
- UTM coordinates in datum NAD27 or NAD83 must be used. Make certain that all of the coordinates originated in, or are converted to, the same horizontal datum. Applicable UTM zone for the Barnett Shale is zone 14 (between 102 and 96 degrees longitude).
- Coordinate systems based on plant coordinates, applicant-developed coordinate systems, or polar grids will not be accepted.

Output

- The maximum predicted concentration must be used to compare against the applicable ESL, NAAQS, or state ambient air standard when using one year of meteorological data.
- The *high*, second high may be used when modeling with 5 years of meteorology data for the SO₂ 3-hr, SO₂ 24-hr, SO₂ annual, and NO₂ annual NAAQS.
- The form of the standard may be used when modeling with 5 years of meteorology data for the SO₂ and NO₂ 1-hr NAAQS.
- The modeling form of the standard for the 1-hr NO₂ NAAQS is based on the 5-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the daily maximum 1-hour concentrations.
- The modeling form of the standard for the 1-hr SO₂ is based on the 5-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the daily maximum 1-hour concentrations.

Review Type Guidelines

The following section contains the required procedures necessary to complete a health effects, NAAQS, and state property line evaluations. The applicant should follow the steps exactly and should not modify the approach on a case-by-case basis. However, the commission could modify the guidance to resolve technical issues, clarify instructions, or allow the use of more refined models.

In addition to following the approaches below, the evaluations must meet the requirements listed in 30 TAC §106.352(k) and section (k) of the standard permit, as appropriate.

Health Effects Analysis

- Compliance with the hourly ESL for benzene and annual ESL for benzene must be demonstrated at receptors within 1/4 mile, 1/2 mile, or 1 mile of a project for PBR Level 1, PBR Level 2, or the standard permit, respectively
- Model all new and modified sources -- the project.
- If the project's air contaminant maximum predicted concentration is equal to or less than 10% of the appropriate ESL, no further review is required.
- If a project's air contaminant maximum predicted concentration is greater than 10% of the appropriate ESL, compare the project's air contaminant maximum predicted concentration combined with project increases for that contaminant over a 60-month period to 25% of the appropriate ESL. If the resulting concentration is less than 25% of the appropriate ESL, no further review is required.
- A site wide analysis, including all sources emitting the regulated contaminant, must be conducted if the above requirements are not met. Multiple scenarios may be necessary to represent sources that may not operate simultaneously.
- All sources must be modeled at the maximum allowable emission rate.
- The maximum predicted concentration at each receptor should be compared to the ESL and included in the modeling report.

State Property Line Analysis

- Compliance with the state ambient air standard for SO₂ and H₂S must be demonstrated at any property line within 1/4 mile, 1/2 mile, or 1 mile of a project for PBR level 1, PBR Level 2, or the standard permit, respectively
- Model all new and modified sources-- the project.
- Compare the maximum predicted concentration from the project to the appropriate de minimis level. Compliance with the state property line standards is demonstrated if the maximum predicted concentration from the project is less than or equal to de minimis listed in the following table:

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Location	De Minimis (µg/m ³)
SO ₂	1-hr	All locations	20
H ₂ S	1-hr	If property is residential, recreational, business, or commercial	2
H ₂ S	1-hr	If property is other than residential, recreational, business, or commercial	3

- If the maximum predicted concentration from the project is greater than de minimis, a site wide analysis must be conducted.
- Model the allowable emission rate of all sources on site that emit the regulated pollutant.
- Compliance with the state property line standard is demonstrated if the maximum predicted site-wide concentration is less than or equal to the state property line standards listed in the following table:

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Location	State Property Line Standard (µg/m ³)
SO ₂	1-hr	All Locations	1021
H ₂ S	1-hr	If property is residential, recreational, business, or commercial	108
H ₂ S	1-hr	If property is other than residential, recreational, business, or commercial	162

NAAQS Analysis

- Compliance with federal ambient air standards for NO₂ and SO₂ must be demonstrated at any property line within 1/4 mile, 1/2 mile, or 1 mile of a project for PBR Level 1, PBR Level 2, or the standard permit, respectively
- Model all new and modified sources-- the project.
- Compare the maximum predicted concentration from the project to the appropriate de minimis level. Compliance with the NAAQS is demonstrated if the maximum predicted concentration from the project is less than or equal to the de minimis level listed in the following table:

Pollutant	Averaging Time	De Minimis (µg/m ³)
SO ₂	1-hr	7.8
SO ₂	3-hr	25
SO ₂	24-hr	5
SO ₂	Annual	1
NO ₂	1-hr	7.5
NO ₂	Annual	1

- If the maximum predicted concentration from the project is greater than de minimis, a site wide analysis must be conducted.
- Model the allowable emission rate of all sources on site that emit the regulated pollutant
- The maximum predicted concentration must be used when modeling with one year of meteorology data.
- The *high*, second high may be used when modeling with 5 years of meteorology data for the SO₂ 3-hr, SO₂ 24-hr, SO₂ annual, and NO₂ annual NAAQS.
- The form of the standard may be used when modeling with 5 years of meteorology data for the SO₂ and NO₂ 1-hr NAAQS.

- Add a background concentration to the predicted site wide concentration and compare the total concentration to the NAAQS. Compliance with the NAAQS is demonstrated if the total concentration is less than NAAQS listed in the following table:

Pollutant	Averaging Time	NAAQS ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
SO ₂	1-hr	196
SO ₂	3-hr	1300
SO ₂	24-hr	365
SO ₂	Annual	80
NO ₂	1-hr	188
NO ₂	Annual	100

- Screening background concentration values can be found at www.tceq.texas.gov/permitting/air/memos/interim_guidance_naaqs.html
- If the screening background concentration values are too conservative, contact the Air Dispersion Modeling Team at 512-239-1250 for further guidance. The applicant should be prepared to present and discuss alternative background concentrations.

Streamlining Techniques

The following section contains approaches that may be used to streamline the modeling required to demonstrate compliance with the health effects, NAAQS, or state property line analysis. The streamlining techniques are **NOT** required, but may be used to streamline the analyses.

Controlling Concentrations

Short-term standards are usually the controlling concentrations; that is, if the standard is met for the shortest time period, standards for longer averaging periods will also be met. Therefore, if the predicted concentrations from the maximum 1-hour emissions for a NAAQS or applicable state standard are at or lower than the concentrations from a longer averaging period, the demonstration is complete. For example, if the predicted 1-hour SO₂ concentration is 150 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, the demonstration for all SO₂ NAAQS and state standards except the annual NAAQS is complete. However, the screening conversion factor of 0.08 can be used to convert the hourly concentration to an annual concentration, and in this case, the annual NAAQS will not be exceeded. Document the use of this technique in the modeling report.

Collocation of Emission Points

Collocating stacks may be appropriate for both screening and refined analyses if the individual emission points emit the same pollutant(s); have stack heights, volumetric flow rates, or stack gas exit temperatures that do not differ by more than about 20 percent; and are within about 100 meters of each other.

- Use the following equation to determine the worst-case stack: $M = (h_s V T_s)/Q$
- Where:
 - M = a parameter that accounts for the relative influence of stack height, plume rise, and emission rate on concentrations;
 - h_s = the physical stack height in meters;
 - $V = (\pi/4)d^2v_s$ = the stack gas flow rate in cubic meters per second.
 - $\Pi = \pi d$
 - d = inside stack diameter in meters;
 - v_s = stack gas exit velocity in meters per second;
 - T_s = the stack gas exit temperature in Kelvin;
 - Q = pollutant emission rate in grams per second.
- The stack that has the lowest value of M is used as a representative stack.
- The sum of the emissions from all stacks is assumed to be emitted from the representative stack.

Generic Modeling Approach

This technique uses a unit emission rate (1 pound per hour) to determine if the maximum contribution from each permitted source when added together, independent of time and space, could exceed a standard or ESL. This is a conservative procedure since the maximum concentration from all sources modeled concurrently cannot be more than the sum of the maximum concentration from each source modeled separately.

- Determine a generic impact for each source by modeling each source with a unit emission rate of 1 pound per hour; the source's actual location; and the source's proposed stack parameters represented in the permit application.
- In ISC this is done by setting up a separate source group for each source.
- The SCREEN3 model can also be used for this demonstration with a separate SCREEN3 model run for each source.
- Multiply the predicted generic impact by the proposed pollutant specific emission rate for each source to calculate a maximum predicted concentration for each source.
- Sum the maximum predicted concentration for each source to get a total predicted concentration for each pollutant.
- The sum of the maximum concentrations (for each pollutant, independent of time and space) is then compared with the threshold of concern for each pollutant.

Reporting Requirements

Once the modeling exercise is complete, the modeling approach and results should be summarized in a modeling report. The modeling report should be sent to the TCEQ permit reviewer and include a CD with all modeling input files, plot files, output files and all other files of supporting information used in the modeling demonstration.