A Guide to the Data Sheet for the 2002 Water Quality Inventory

The Data Sheet is designed to present the results of the 2002 water quality assessment. Results from previous assessments are not presented here. The Data Sheet does not provide the individual water quality measurements used in the assessment; rather, it summarizes the monitoring data into information used to make water quality determinations. For more information about assessment procedures, please see the document *Guidance for Assessing Texas Surface and Finished Drinking Water Quality Data (Guidance)* on the TCEQ Web site at http://www.tnrcc.state.tx.us/water/quality/02_twqmar/index.html.

The page header identifies the water body and provides a limited amount of descriptive information. For a more detailed description of the water body, please see the Fact Sheet.

DRAFT 2002 Water Quality Inventory (data from 03/01/1996 to 02/28/2001)					
Segment ID: 0610	Water body name: Sam Rayburn Reservoir				
Reservoir	Neches River Basin	Total size:	114,500 Acres		

The main section of the report describes the designated uses and secondary concerns, the assessment methods, and the results of the assessment for one or more areas of the water body.

Explanation of Column	n Headings
Assessment Method:	One or more assessment methods are used to determine if the use is supported by comparing monitoring data against specific criteria. For example, the method "Dissolved oxygen grab average" indicates that instantaneous (grab sample) dissolved oxygen measurements for a specific assessment area were compared against the average dissolved oxygen criterion for the water body. Methods described as "Overall," such as "Overall Aquatic Life Use," summarize the overall results for each use by area. For more information about assessment methods, see the <i>Guidance</i> .
Status of Use Support	
or Concern:	The level of use support or concern status indicates the degree to which the water body area meets the assessment method requirements. For evaluation of use support, the status levels are Fully Supporting, Use Concern-Limited Data (Tier 1 concern), Use Concern (Tier 2 concern), Partially Supporting, Not Supporting, and Not Assessed. For evaluation of secondary concern status, the levels are No Concern, Concern, and Not Assessed. For more information about use support and concern status, please see the <i>Guidance</i> .
Location:	The area assessed for each method. Specific TCEQ monitoring stations for each area are identified on the Fact Sheet.
Location Size:	The size of the assessment area. The unit of measure is shown in the header.
# of samples:	Each method, when assessed, will show the number of the samples (measurements) considered. Exceptions include fish consumption advisories, public water supply use, and the overall use summaries.
# of exceedances:	For methods which are evaluated based on how often a measurement exceeds criteria, the number of measurements that exceed criteria will be shown. Exceptions include methods which are evaluated by comparing measurement averages to the criteria.
Mean:	For methods which are evaluated by comparing an average of the water quality measurements to the average criterion, the mean of the measurements will be shown. Examples include bacterial geometric means, chronic criteria, and the dissolved solids.

	Status of Use Support		Location	# of	# of	
Assessment Method	or Concern	Location	size	samples	exceedances	Mean

	Status of Use Support		Location	# of	# of	
Assessment Method	or Concern	Location	size	samples	exceedances	Mean

The assessment results are sorted by designated use and secondary concern. For each, one or more methods are used to evaluate the use or concern by comparing data values to numerical criteria described in the *Texas Surface Water Quality Standards* and in the *Guidance*. There are also "Overall Use Support" and "Overall Secondary Concern" sections, which do not have assessment methods; these sections simply summarize the use or concern results by assessment area.

Aquatic Life Use

Dissolved Oxygen grab average	No Concern	Bear Creek arm	6,106	25	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab average	Not Assessed	Extreme upper Angelina River arm	3,759	9	2	
Dissolved Oxygen grab average	No Concern	Lower Angelina River arm	27,621	22	1	
Dissolved Oxygen grab average	No Concern	Lower Attoyac Bayou arm	6,206	25	1	
Dissolved Oxygen grab average	No Concern	Lower Ayish Bayou arm	9,676	35	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab average	No Concern	Main pool by the dam	16,372	43	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab average	No Concern	Mid-Angelina River arm (SH 147)	20,331	27	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab average	Use Concern	Upper Angelina River arm	7,967	18	4	
Dissolved Oxygen grab average	Use Concern	Upper Attoyac Bayou arm	4,261	13	3	
Dissolved Oxygen grab average	No Concern	Upper Ayish Bayou arm	3,365	17	2	
Dissolved Oxygen grab average	No Concern	Upper mid-Angelina River arm	8,836	39	1	
Dissolved Oxygen grab minimum	Fully Supporting	Bear Creek arm	6,106	25	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab minimum	No Concern-Limited Data	Extreme upper Angelina River arm	3,759	9	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab minimum	Fully Supporting	Lower Angelina River arm	27,621	22	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab minimum	Fully Supporting	Lower Attoyac Bayou arm	6,206	25	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab minimum	Fully Supporting	Lower Ayish Bayou arm	9,676	35	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab minimum	Fully Supporting	Main pool by the dam	16,372	43	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab minimum	Fully Supporting	Mid-Angelina River arm (SH 147)	20,331	27	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab minimum	Fully Supporting	Upper Angelina River arm	7,967	18	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab minimum	Fully Supporting	Upper Attoyac Bayou arm	4,261	13	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab minimum	Fully Supporting	Upper Ayish Bayou arm	3,365	17	0	
Dissolved Oxygen grab minimum	Fully Supporting	Upper mid-Angelina River arm	8,836	39	0	
Dissolved Oxygen 24hr average	Not Assessed	Bear Creek arm	6,106	0		
Dissolved Oxygen 24hr average	Not Assessed	Extreme upper Angelina River arm	3,759	0		
Dissolved Oxygen 24hr average	Not Assessed	Lower Angelina River arm	27,621	0		