RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a Life or Death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need ongoing monitoring systems beginning now before ground is broken on the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]
Mr. Zeb Cook
Executive Director
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
Mr Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. 1362, PSDTX1284; and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

As a Trustee for the Brazosport Independent School District the impact for our area and our school district for this new installation would be beneficial.
In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Jerry Adkins
Brazosport ISD
Board of Trustees, District 2
Former Mayor, City of Clute
1983 - 2006

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohae, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-108
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
12/2/2013

Mr Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 109129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and 1342
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state-of-the-art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

As a Trustee for the Brazosport Independent School District the impact for our area and our school district for this new installation would be beneficial.
In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Jerry Adkins
Brazosport ISD
Board of Trustees, District 2
Former Mayor, City of Clute
1983 - 2006

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

78753-90300
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four-to-five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Jason Alexander
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]
Mr. Zak Cohen
Executive Director
2016
1210 Park Ave.
Austin, TX 78701

A 14th. Hardcopy of Sent Taxes
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fallout these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for each community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need ongoing monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Signature
Jacqueline Ayliner
Print Name

MAR 17 2014
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302; and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Anita Bonteroe

Signature

Print Name
TCEQ Public Meeting Form
March 4, 2014

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.
Proposed Air Quality Permits
Permit No. 100114, PSD TX1282, and N150
And
Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and N170

PLEASE PRINT

Name: Larry Bontekoe

Mailing Address: 203 Tuna Run

Physical Address (if different):

City/State: Freeport, TX 77541 Zip: 77541

**This information is subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act**

Email: larry.bontekoe@gmail.com

Phone Number: 932-309-9626

- Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group? □ Yes □ No
  If yes, which one?

☐ Please add me to the mailing list.

☐ I wish to provide formal ORAL COMMENTS at tonight's public meeting.

☐ I wish to provide formal WRITTEN COMMENTS at tonight's public meeting.
  (Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.
November 13, 2013

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP - Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 400428, PSD Permit No. PSDTX4284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Best regards,

Brent Bowles, AIA
Principal, iAD Architects

Freeport LNG Support Letter to TCEQ
November 20, 2013

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LLNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit NO. 1001129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N150; and

2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG's air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four-to-five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

Phone: (979) 233-8441 Fax: (979) 233-8048
In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from the other states, Primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Chief Tim Bradberry

Mr. Tim Bradberry
Oyster Creek Police Department

Cc: Ms. Bridget C Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk  
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Rs: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project: 1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 490429, PSD Permit No. PSDTX4284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and 2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $5.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Patty Brinkmeyer  
Park Manager – Quintana Beach County Park  
979-233-1461

REVIEWED

NOV 1 8 2013

By m.c.
March 5, 2014

Mr. Richard A. Hyde
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit PSDTX128, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Hyde:

As the President of the Texas Pipeline Association, I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Many of the members of the Texas Pipeline Association will benefit from this project and would like to see construction of the export terminal begin without delay.

Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. They have designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to.
produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries, which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

[Signature]

Thure Cannon
President

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Mr Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. H00129, PSD Permit V302 No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152, and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these
projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Lonnie Car
City of Oyster Creek
City Councilman

cc:  Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N52110; and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,  

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these
electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Glenn A. Carlson
Executive Port Director/CEO

/mab
From: tcarrier@tipro.org [mailto:tcarrier@tipro.org]
Sent: Monday, March 10, 2014 4:09 PM
To: donotReply@tceq.state.tx.us
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number 104840

REGULATED ENTY NAME: FREEPORT LNG PRETREATMENT FACILITY

RN NUMBER: RN106481500

PERMIT NUMBER: 104840

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: Teddy Carter

E-MAIL: tcarrier@tipro.org

COMPANY: TIPRO

ADDRESS: 919 CONGRESS AVE 1000
AUSTIN TX 78701-2102

PHONE: 5124774452

FAX:

COMMENTS: See attached PDF.
March 10, 2014

Mr. Richard A. Hyde
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSD Permit No.
PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282,
and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Hyde:

The Texas Independent Producers and Royalty Owners Association (TIPRO) is a statewide oil and
natural gas trade association with over 2,600 members ranging from the smallest family-
owned companies to the largest publicly-traded independents, and includes large and small
royalty owners. TIPRO strongly urges you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit
applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Many TIPRO
members will benefit from this project and would like to see construction of the export terminal
begin without delay.

Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process.
They have designed a state-of-the-art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction
and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is
achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. We are confident they
will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction
Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport
LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental
stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be
presented to the Commissioners for final approval and construction of this vital project can
commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over
160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is
also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and
transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.
In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries, which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

TIPRO strongly supports this project and urges you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Teddy Carter
Vice-President of Government Affairs, TIPRO

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
TCEQ Public Meeting Form  
March 4, 2014

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.  
Proposed Air Quality Permits  
Permit No. 100114, PSD TX1282, and N150  
And  
Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and N170

PLEASE PRINT  
Name: BOB CASALE  
Mailing Address: 135 SNAPPER LN  
Physical Address (if different): Freeport TX  
City/State: TX  
Zip: 77541  

**This information is subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act**

Email: 

Phone Number: 479-285-0293

- Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group?  
  Yes  No  
  If yes, which one? BH

Please add me to the mailing list. ✓

☐ I wish to provide formal ORAL COMMENTS at tonight's public meeting. ✓

☐ I wish to provide formal WRITTEN COMMENTS at tonight's public meeting.

  (Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.
TCEQ Public Meeting Form  
March 4, 2014

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.  
Proposed Air Quality Permits  
Permit No. 100114, PSD TX1282, and N150  
And  
Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and N170

**Please Print**

Name: DAVID COLE

Mailing Address: 15 St. Fours Master

Physical Address (if different): ________________________________

City/State: Freeport  Zip: 77541

**This information is subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act**

Email: ________________________________

Phone Number: ________________________________

- Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group?  □ Yes  □ No  
  If yes, which one? ____________________________________________

Please add me to the mailing list.  √

I wish to provide formal ORAL COMMENTS at tonight's public meeting.  √

□ I wish to provide formal WRITTEN COMMENTS at tonight’s public meeting.  

(Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.
REGULATED ENTITY NAME: FREEPORT LNG PRETREATMENT FACILITY

RN NUMBER: RN106481500

PERMIT NUMBER: 104840

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: David Cole

E-MAIL: misterdcole@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: 155 FOUR MASTER RD
FREEPORT TX 77541-9680

PHONE: 8324539548

FAX:

COMMENTS: please see attachment
Dear Mr. Covar,

I am a Veteran and Native Texan with 34 years of government service and have two requests. One is for air quality monitoring and the other water testing. You and your office has the authority and privilege to help safeguard this great states renewable revenue, it's wildlife, vegetation, and citizenry. The proposed Freeport LNG pretreatment project would place our community directly down wind of it's toxins along with our neighbors the Brazoria National Wildlife Refuge. This community, Hideaway on the Gulf, is comprised primarily of retired proud Texans that like myself have invested their lifesaving's to be here near nature.

In this part of Texas, it is the salt water and the gulf breeze that attracts not only the homeowners who live here but the frequent visitors that enjoy fishing, surfing, crabbing, boating, kite flying, or just sightseeing along the beaches. In the 2012, revenue for the State of Texas from fishing licenses just from this area alone totaled $11 billion dollars. I would include boat registration fees, trailer tax, boat storage, sporting goods tax, clean water fees, local tackle and bait camp sales, but defer you to Texas Parks and Wildlife Department for more accurate up to date figures.

How many portable and/or stationary CAMS Continuous Ambient Monitoring Stations and at which locations are needed based the Standard Deviation of Horizontal Wind Direction? I reference, Dr. Tracie Phillips, Ph.D. Toxicology Division, TCEQ, author of "Evaluation of the Midlothian, Texas Ambient Air Collection & Analytical Chemical Analysis Data" July 23, 2010. On page 59 of 307 it states: "Significant differences for mobile sites were observed between data from all mobile sites. When looking at the graphed data, the daily wind patterns are very different for all three sites, as would be expected since sampling was conducted in different months. The PM10 metals patterns are also different between these monitors, which would also be expected due to samplings conducted at different times." The next 50+ pages are the charts, photos, and research notes comparing sites.  

If it pleased the Commission, the Toxicology Division could use their expertise again and review this application along with the appropriate methodology of monitoring.

This region is unique because it contains a heavy concentration of industrial processes while simultaneously possessing an abundance of outdoor recreational areas. This unusual arrangement of commerce (West of FM 523) and nature (East of FM 523) has continued for decades due primarily to both having been given their own space necessary to flourish. Texas wetlands serve as nursery grounds for over 95 percent of the recreational and commercial fish species found in the Gulf of Mexico; they provide breeding, nesting, and feeding grounds for more than a third of all threatened and endangered animal species and support many endangered plant species; and they provide permanent and seasonal habitat for a great variety of wildlife, including 75 percent of North America's bird species. The average annual yield of shrimp caught in the Gulf of Mexico is highly correlated with the area of wetlands, including submerged aquatic vegetation, within an estuary. Some of the commercial fish species associated with coastal wetlands include brown, white, and pink shrimp, blue crab, stone crab, red drum, spotted sea trout, southern flounder, and croaker.
http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/celeplantx.pdf

When compiling information pertinent to this project a valuable reference is the, “Annotated Bibliography of Coastal Prairies, Freshwater Wetlands, and Wetland Functional Assessment” by Margaret G. Forbes, Baylor University under agreement with Galveston Bay Estuary Program and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. It is a compilation of studies specifically conducted on the ecosystems found in this area. A partial list of agencies include: The United States Geological Survey, the Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Natural Resource Conservation Service, the US Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Research Program, United States Federal Highway Administration, and the Texas General Land Office. Their findings cover soil nutrients, composition, water quality, air samples, runoff, pollution mitigation, vegetation, and habitat suitability for humans and wildlife.

http://www.baylor.edu/content/services/document.php/58249.pdf

“The ecological and economic benefits arising from these areas are enormous, as wetlands from nursery areas for commercial and recreational fisheries, provide critical wildlife habitat, minimize erosion, and flooding, and support excellent outdoor recreation” Gov. George W. Bush, Texas Wetlands Conservation Program, 1997.


Along with CAMS, frequent water testing in the adjacent estuary bodies would enhance the probability of perpetuation of current conditions beneficial to both humans, wildlife, and vegetation.

Allow me one paragraph that is personal should anyone feel I have an ax to grind with the Oil and Gas industry. My grandfather was a roughneck in this county working for Humble Oil Company before WWI. My father, J.D. Cole Jr., was born here in West Columbia in 1922 but grew up in tents along this coast because you move from field to field when your dad works rigs. My grandfather died while my dad was an early teen and without Humble Oil Company's support his/my family would have collapsed and for that I am eternally grateful. Those that have written TCEQ asking for quick approval are not residents that are in harms way, look at the location of their homestead exemption and the how all their words say the same thing. I have been a resident of this county for only a decade but I have never met 85 people here that had identical opinions.

Years ago Nolan Ryan, another Native Texan and resident of Brazoria County, made TV commercials saying, "Don't Mess with Texas". I am not a famous Hall of Fame pitcher but my request to keep it clean and the love for my home state is just as sincere. I ask the TCEQ exercise the power of their office and have Freeport LNG install equipment and procedures that would appease local residents, safe guard wildlife and vegetation, while allowing industry to go about their business.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

David Cole
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]
Mr. Zack Colony
Chief Legal Office
Executive Director, MC-100
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepoinite, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepoinite. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepoinite, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Michele Collins

Print Name
Kelsey-Seybold Clinic
Your Doctors for Life

Main Campus
2727 W. Holcombe Blvd.
Houston, Texas 77025

JAN-31-14 HOUSTON TX
PRESORTED FIRST CLASS

$00.435
01/30/2014
Mailed From 77025
US POSTAGE

To Zac Corral
Executive Dir, MC-10
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSZTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSZTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA, proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1,30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year, 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 3 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Connor

CHRISS CONNER

Print Name

RECEIVED
MAR 17 2014
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
My Zilk Claim
Executive Director, 500 North Broad St. 
Philadelphia, PA 19106

A Hn: Hand copies of sent faxes
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Coats

Signature

Suzanne Coats
Print Name

FEB 24 2014
By
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Print Name

[Signature]

Print Name
TCEQ Public Meeting Form
March 4, 2014

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.
Proposed Air Quality Permits
Permit No. 100114, PSD TX1282, and N150
And
Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and N170

PLEASE PRINT
Name: Teresa Cornelison

Mailing Address: 506 Kast

Physical Address (if different):

City/State: Quintana, Texas Zip: 77541

**This information is subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act**

Email:

Phone Number:

- Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group?  □ Yes  □ No

If yes, which one?

☐ Please add me to the mailing list.

I wish to provide formal ORAL COMMENTS at tonight's public meeting.

I wish to provide formal WRITTEN COMMENTS at tonight's public meeting.

(Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.

What permits has TCEQ denied in Brazoria County?
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Teresa Cornelison
Signature

Teresa Cornelison
Print Name
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and

2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Harold D. Cox
Vice President
Caldwell Banker United Realtoos
Box 170340, Dallas, Texas 75317
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk
J.C. O.
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
737-1308787
Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. T00129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and N170.
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150.

Dear Commissioners:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens' concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state-of-the-art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good...
environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Chuish

Christi Craddick
Railroad Commissioner

cc: Mr. Richard A. Hyde, Executive Director, TCEQ
    Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Office of the Commissioners, MC-100
Attn: Chairman Bryan W. Shaw; Commissioner
Toby Baker; Commissioner Zak Covar
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753
From: christi.craddick@rrc.state.tx.us
Sent: Monday, March 10, 2014 3:44 PM
To: donotReply@tceq.state.tx.us
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number 104840

REGULATED ENTITY NAME: FREEPORT LNG PRETREATMENT FACILITY

RN NUMBER: RN106481500
PERMIT NUMBER: 104840
DOCKET NUMBER:
COUNTY: BRAZORIA
PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP
CN NUMBER: CN601720345
FROM
NAME: MS Christi Leigh Craddick
E-MAIL: christi.craddick@rrc.state.tx.us
COMPANY: Railroad Commission of Texas
ADDRESS: PO BOX 12967
AUSTIN TX 78711-2967
PHONE: 5124637140
FAX: 5124637161

COMMENTS: March 7, 2014 Chairman Bryan W. Shaw Commissioner Toby Baker Commissioner Zak Covar Office of the Commissioners, MC-100 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 12100 Park 35 Circle Austin, Texas 78753 Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project: 1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit
Number N152; and 2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150 Dear Commissioners: I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead. The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence. More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region. In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located. There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project. I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration. Best regards, Christi Craddick Railroad Commissioner cc: Mr. Richard A. Hyde, Executive Director, TCEQ Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Chairman Bryan W. Shaw  
Commissioner Toby Baker  
Commissioner Zak Covar  
Office of the Commissioners, MC-100  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Commissioners:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good
environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Christi Craddick
Railroad Commissioner

cc: Mr. Richard A. Hyde, Executive Director, TCEQ
    Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
   and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 7.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgpointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX13072, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgpointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgpointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jana Dalton
118 Crows Nest Rd.
Freeport, TX 77541

REVIEWED

FEB 24, 2014

By: [Signature]
Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS

Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSDT Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

James P. Davis
Signature

James P. Davis
Print Name
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Martha P. Davis

Signature

Martha P. Davis
Print Name
110 Sand Stables Road
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Courar
Executive Director, 1989
TCEQ
12100 Park Ed Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

78753180800
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana.
City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fallout these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Pamela Davis
Print Name

Pamela Davis
Signature
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Action Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
   and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]
Mr. Zak Cage
9900 N. Fishbone Ave
Chicago, IL 60628

TCBG
12100 Park 85 One
Austin, TX 78753
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
   and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Anne del Prado

Signature

Print Name
My Zale Chair
190 East 39 St.
New York, NY 10016

TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Blvd
Austin, TX 78753

Attached hard copies or Scan Faxes
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Greg C. Flaniken
Greg Flaniken & Associates – Real Estate
Freeport, TX 77541
Greg Flaniken
Greg Flaniken
Greg Flaniken
Greg Flaniken
1101 Brazosport Blvd.
Freeport, Texas 77541
Serving Brazoria County's Real Estate Needs Since 1975

Office (979) 233-7828
Mobile (979) 233-7846
FAX (979) 239-1816
www.flanikenrealestate.com
E-Mail: gflaniken@flanikenrealestate.com

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk, MC-105
TCEQ
P. O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]
Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 1001429, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Lisa Baker
Andrew Cone
Bill Canavell
Matt Edglet
Orren Gaspard
Justin Gilbert
Wayne Humbird
JC Kellner
Tom Morris
Mandy Neal
Harry Sargent
Stuart Sixela
Jared Paul Wilson
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I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

[Title]
TCEQ office of Chief Clerk,

As a resident of Oyster Creek I am writing this to let you know that I do support Freeport LNG Development. I believe that the proposed development would be beneficial to our community by bringing new jobs and putting money into our local economy.

Thank you for listening!

Pamela Giammarco

NSR
83497
80395

CHIEF CLERKS OFFICE

REVIEWED

NOV 25 2013

By

MS
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Signature
Eric C. Smith
Print Name

**REVIEWED**
FEB 24 2014
By **[signature]**
Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
TCEQ  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, TX 78753
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS

Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas, 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
      and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
      No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]
M. Zack Caar
Executive Director, ME-109
TCED
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78735
78753-0970
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Hunter Griffin

Signature

Hunter Griffin
Print Name
Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Paul C. J. Circle
Austin, TX 78753

78753180800
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
[Print Name]

122 Anderson Loop
Oyster Creek, TX 77541-9649
November 18, 2013

Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in the healthcare industry in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses. As the leading healthcare safety-net facility for the region, we desperately need the economic stimulus this project will have on our local economy.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project.

Sincerely yours,

Al Guevara, Jr.
President and CEO

[Signature]
Mr Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these
projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

[Signature]

Mr. Louis Guidry  
Mayor - City of Oyster Creek

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Hi,

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]
RECEIVED
FEB 18 2014
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
MM

Mr. Zack Coval
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
17100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78784-7703
Send Doc Sanderson.
523 Archer
Fayetteville, AR

Mr. Zak Cavan
Executive Director
12100 "Park 35" Circle
Aurora, TX 78753
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP - Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N182, and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.
I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Roderick M Hall
Vice President
HONDA OF
LAKE JACKSON

215 W. Hwy 332
Lake Jackson, Texas 77566

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

RECEIVED
2013 NOV 18 PM 3:01
NOV 18 2013

CHIEF CLERK'S OFFICE TCEQ MAIL CENTER

TCEQ Office of the Clerk
Ms. Bridget Bohac
MC-105

TCEQ
Post Office Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087

78711-3087
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hall
Signature

Sarah Hall
Print Name
March 3, 2014

Mr. Richard A. Hyde
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Pretreatment Facility and Liquefaction Plant (Proposed State Air Quality Permit Nos. 100429 and 100114, PSD Permit Nos. PSDTX1284 and PSDTX1282 and Nonattainment Permit Numbers N150 and N170)

Dear Mr. Hyde:

I am writing on behalf of the Texas Association of Business (TAB) to request that you and your staff move as expeditiously as possible to process and approve Freeport LNG's air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities referenced above. TAB is a broad-based, bipartisan organization representing more than 4,000 Texas employers and over 200 local chambers of commerce. As Texas' leading employer organization for more than 90 years, TAB represents some of the largest multi-national corporations as well as small businesses in almost every community in the state.

One of the fundamental principles that guide the work of TAB is the support of free markets and free trade. The Freeport LNG project clearly represents those market principles. This project will generate benefits felt throughout Texas as well as to the many members of TAB and our chamber of commerce partners. It is in the best interest of the state of Texas to have construction of the export terminal begin without delay.

Freeport has responsibly designed a state of the art facility that will ensure that operations will maximize energy efficiency at any level of production. While the design of these facilities will ensure that environmental impacts will be minimized, the construction and operation of the project will dramatically and positively affect the economy of the surrounding community as well as the state as a whole.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period. Over 160 new full-time employees will be required to meet the demands of management, operation and maintenance of the new facilities. It is also estimated that production, processing and transportation of the natural gas required to supply the facility will create between 20,000 and 25,000 additional new jobs, in the Gulf Coast region and other parts of Texas.
The economic benefits of the project go well beyond the creation of a significant number of jobs, however. In fact, it is estimated that the Freeport LNG liquefaction project will add between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year to the Texas economy. This benefit will be directly felt within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

With such benefits at stake, it should come as no surprise that there is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states. There is an equal degree of competition for the capital investment funding that is available in the market with which projects such as Freeport LNG can be financed and competition between those countries that are interested in securing LNG supplies from exporting countries. As is the case for any large capital project that requires TCEQ authorization, prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a schedule that will allow both its successful development and the realization of its significant economic benefits.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bill Hammond, President
Richard A. Hyde
Re: Freeport LNG Project
March 3, 2014
Page 3

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Mr. Richard A. Hyde
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087
March 3, 2014

Mr. Richard A. Hyde  
Executive Director, MC-109  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Pretreatment Facility and Liquefaction Plant (Proposed State-Air Quality Permit Nos. 100129 and 100114, PSD Permit Nos. PSDTX1284 and PSDTX1282 and Nonattainment Permit Numbers N152 and N150)

Dear Mr. Hyde:

I am writing on behalf of the Texas Association of Business (TAB) to request that you and your staff move as expeditiously as possible to process and approve Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities referenced above. TAB is a broad-based, bipartisan organization representing more than 4,000 Texas employers and over 200 local chambers of commerce. As Texas’ leading employer organization for more than 90 years, TAB represents some of the largest multi-national corporations as well as small businesses in almost every community in the state.

One of the fundamental principles that guide the work of TAB is the support of free markets and free trade. The Freeport LNG project clearly represents those market principles. This project will generate benefits felt throughout Texas as well as to the many members of TAB and our chamber of commerce partners. It is in the best interest of the state of Texas to have construction of the export terminal begin without delay.

Freeport has responsibly designed a state of the art facility that will ensure that operations will maximize energy efficiency at any level of production. While the design of these facilities will ensure that environmental impacts will be minimized, the construction and operation of the project will dramatically and positively affect the economy of the surrounding community as well as the state as a whole.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period. Over 160 new full-time employees will be required to meet the demands of management, operation and maintenance of the new facilities. It is also estimated that production, processing and transportation of the natural gas required to supply the facility will create between 20,000 and 25,000 additional new jobs, in the Gulf Coast region and other parts of Texas.
Richard A. Hyde  
Re: Freeport LNG Project  
March 3, 2014  
Page 2  

The economic benefits of the project go well beyond the creation of a significant number of jobs, however. In fact, it is estimated that the Freeport LNG liquefaction project will add between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year to the Texas economy. This benefit will be directly felt within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

With such benefits at stake, it should come as no surprise that there is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states. There is an equal degree of competition for the capital investment funding that is available in the market with which projects such as Freeport LNG can be financed and competition between those countries that are interested in securing LNG supplies from exporting countries. As is the case for any large capital project that requires TCEQ authorization, prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a schedule that will allow both its successful development and the realization of its significant economic benefits.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Bill Hammond, President
Richard A. Hyde
Re: Freeport LNG Project
March 3, 2014
Page 3

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
November 16, 2013

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk
TCEQ, Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100429, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152, and

2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

As a citizen of Brazoria County, I want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project and the above referenced permits. This project consists of two facilities, the Pretreatment Facility and the Liquefaction Plant, identified above. It is my understanding Freeport LNG has cooperated with the local community and addressed whatever concerns may have been raised, particularly those associated with the original proposed location of the Pretreatment Facility. As a responsible corporate and community partner, Freeport LNG worked with the community and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG.

With the location now decided upon, we request that the air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities be granted as soon as practicable so that construction can begin without further delay. This construction project is expected to have a positive impact on our local economy as well as be environmentally sensitive. Indeed, we are informed that Freeport LNG designed a state of the art project that incorporates zero-emission electric motors and state of the art emission control equipment. This aspect of the project is particularly noteworthy given the nonattainment status of Brazoria County.

We expect Freeport LNG’s project will add a number of new jobs to our community. Reports suggest that more than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities longer-term. It has also been estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new ancillary jobs are to be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.
Freeport LNG reports that, in addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of its liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. The company further represents that many of the benefits are expected to accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Many of my friends and colleagues have grown up in Brazoria County, and after more than 13 years as a resident and former elected official in Brazoria County, I have significant ties and an appreciation for our local petrochemical industry leaders. Many of my friends and colleagues are able to provide for their families because of the positive investments our local petrochemical leaders make in our community.

I join many others in supporting the Commission’s review and approval without delay of the permits for Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,  
Chief Clerk  
TCBQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCBQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 106129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152, and

2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Joe**********
November 19, 2013

Ms. Bridget C Bohac:
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P O Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX128, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk:

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four-to-five year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast Region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse has emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to this community.

Sincerely yours,

W.M. Hartman
President
Beaedd, LP
Ms. Bridget B. Below
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC 105 TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087
February 24, 2014

Mr. Richard A. Hyde
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104180-100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTVX1284, and Nonattainment Permit M170 Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTVX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Hyde:

The Texas Oil and Gas Association ("TXOGA") is a not-for-profit corporation representing the interests of the oil and gas industry in the State of Texas. Founded in 1919, TXOGA is the largest and oldest petroleum organization in Texas, representing more than 5,000 members. The membership of TXOGA produces in excess of 90 percent of Texas's crude oil and natural gas, operates nearly 100 percent of the state's refining capacity, and is responsible for the vast majority of the state's pipelines. In state fiscal year 2013, the oil and gas industry employed 407,000 Texans, providing wages and salaries of over $49 billion in Texas alone. In addition, large associated capital investments by the oil and gas industry generate significant secondary economic benefits for Texas. TXOGA member companies produce a quarter of the nation's oil, a third of its natural gas, and account for one-fourth of the country's refining capacity.

As Executive Vice President of the TXOGA, I strongly urge you to act quickly on Freeport LNG's air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Many of the members of TXOGA will benefit from this project and would like to see construction of the export terminal begin without delay.

Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. They have designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.
The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries, which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration. Let’s keep Texas Strong.

Should you need additional information or comment, please contact me at d hastings@txoga.org or (512)478-6631.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Deb Mamula Hastings
Executive Vice President
Texas Oil and Gas Association

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Mr. Richard A. Hyde  
Executive Director, MC-109  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, Texas 78753
February 24, 2014

Mr. Richard A. Hyde
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:


2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Hyde:

The Texas Oil and Gas Association ("TXOGA") is a not-for-profit corporation representing the interests of the oil and gas industry in the State of Texas. Founded in 1919, TXOGA is the largest and oldest petroleum organization in Texas, representing more than 5,000 members. The membership of TXOGA produces in excess of 90 percent of Texas's crude oil and natural gas, operates nearly 100 percent of the state's refining capacity, and is responsible for the vast majority of the state's pipelines. In state fiscal year 2013, the oil and gas industry employed 407,000 Texans, providing wages and salaries of over $49 billion in Texas alone. In addition, large associated capital investments by the oil and gas industry generate significant secondary economic benefits for Texas. TXOGA member companies produce a quarter of the nation's oil, a third of its natural gas, and account for one-fourth of the country's refining capacity.

As Executive Vice President of the TXOGA, I strongly urge you to act quickly on Freeport LNG's air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Many of the members of TXOGA will benefit from this project and would like to see construction of the export terminal begin without delay.

Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. They have designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.
The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries, which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration. Let's keep Texas Strong.

Should you need additional information or comment, please contact me at dhastings@txoga.org or (512)478-6631.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Deb Mamula Hastings
Executive Vice President
Texas Oil and Gas Association

cc:  Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109

35 Circle

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170

2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Hawkins

[Signature]

[Print Name]

REVIEWED

FEB 24 2014

By
Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
November 13, 2013

TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Attention: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129,
   PSD Permit No. PSDTX1287, and Nonattainment Permit Number
   N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114,
   PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am an officer of a business in Brazoria County and want to see the area grow and our employees have meaningful and significant jobs for decades to come. As such, I am lending my support for the important Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project. It is a world scale project with the Pretreatment Facility and Liquefaction Plant as defined in the proposed permits referenced above.

It is exciting to have this one project put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in the County, and these jobs will cover a four- to five-year construction period, much longer than the norm. We hear the permanent jobs created will top 150. That many new employees and their good paying jobs will have a noticeable effect through positive economic impact on the Brazoria County area. To that end, and without delay, the air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted post haste.

We really take notice when we hear that beyond the jobs created, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project could reach as high as $6.2 billion per year. These benefits flow out beyond Brazoria County, throughout Texas, and specifically to the sources of the natural gas used in the facility, those being areas of major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford here in our great state.

I have watched Freeport LNG throughout its recent lifespan and found them to be environmentally sound for my County and State. They have shared plans that indicate a
continuation of this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. I have seen their plans and heard discussions about state of the art emission control equipment and early on heard about their decision to use the more costly electric motors as the primary drivers for gas liquefaction. When compared to gas turbine engines, this higher cost for electric motors rewards us all with a facility that produces no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC due to these motors. It appears the Project will have a minimum emissions footprint.

With all the merits of the Project, I would urge the Commission to move quickly and approve the requested permits, allowing construction to begin soon on this win-win project. I am always fearful that third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime could hamper this major economic driver for our area. Grant approval and watch our area grow!

Sincerely,

James B. Heath
Vice President
TIC Energy & Chemical, Inc.
304 North Gulf Blvd.
Freeport, TX 77541
TIC Energy + Chemical
304 N. Gulf Blvd.
Freeport, TX 77541

RECEIVED
NOV 25 2013
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
AR

TCEQ
Attn: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSCTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.92 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Harold Hendrickx

Signature

HAROLD HENDRICKX
Print Name
Said Oil Supply Co.
512 W. 12th St.
Buenaventura, CA

Mr. Bob Carson
Station WAXA, AM-AM

TCLG
1310 Park Bldg.
Austin, TX 78703

RECEIVED
WED 18 NOV 1958

[Stamp: Received by]
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCBQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCBQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N142, and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I own a printing business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Danny Hickey

The Blueline Print Shop
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state-of-the-art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Jerry Hernandez
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCBQ Office of the Chief Clerk
1744 West 4th Street, Suite 211 * Freeport, TX 77541 * Phone (979) 239-4111

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100123, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N155, and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Igler Infante
Business Development Manager
Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N452, and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.
More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,


Terry Jeffers, Mayor Pro Tem
Village of Jones Creek

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
November 20, 2013

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.
More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Terry Jeffers, Mayor Pro Tem
Village of Jones Creek

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk  
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. 130 2, PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and 170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

Our organization works with many businesses in Brazoria County and we want to express our support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Beth Jouneary  
President & CEO  
Greater Angleton Chamber of Commerce  
445 E. Mulberry, Angleton, TX 77515
TCEQ Public Meeting Form
March 4, 2014

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.
Proposed Air Quality Permits
Permit No. 100114, PSD TX1282, and N150
And
Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and N170

PLEASE PRINT

Name: Christopher Kaul

Mailing Address: 2550 Deep Sea Dr

Physical Address (if different):

City/State: Quintana, TX Zip: 77541

**This information is subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act**

Email: cirxin402@yahoo.com

Phone Number: 832-775-4054

- Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, which one?

☒ Please add me to the mailing list.

☒ I wish to provide formal ORAL COMMENTS at tonight’s public meeting.

☐ I wish to provide formal WRITTEN COMMENTS at tonight’s public meeting.

(Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging the fragile ecosystems. The new location is very close to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Laurie

Signature

Print Name
140 Creek Dr
Freeport, TX 77541

REVIEWED
FEB 19 2014
By

SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
   and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging the fragile ecosystems. The new location is very close to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking, to
establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected
residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]

140 Creek Dr., Freeport, TX.
Mr. Zak Leon
Operations Director
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar, Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating over our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging the fragile ecosystems. The new location is very close to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 3 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic event. Each community is unique and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low income residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport has not been a manufacturing location before; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking, to establish a baseline.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

James A. Leeper
Print Name

RECEIVED
MAR 21 2014
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Commissioners' Offices
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek,
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSD Permit No. 11302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Ray M. Lester

Signature

Ray M. Lester
Print Name

REVIEWED 2/4/2014

By: M
Mr. Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
TCEQ  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,  
   and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit  
   No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgpointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and no umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgpointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Dianna Linn

Signature
Mr. Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
TCEQ  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, TX 78753  

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, flying towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Richard D Linn.

[Signature]

Richard Linn
2093 Clemson Dr
Katy Texas. 77493
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air pollutants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 130 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119,727
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants
to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Richard D Linn
Print Name
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ, Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

As a citizen of Brazoria County I want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project and the above referenced permits. This project consists of two facilities, the Pretreatment Facility and the Liquefaction Plant, identified above. I wholeheartedly offer my support for the swift approval of Freeport LNG’s permits. Freeport LNG has cooperated with the local community and addressed our concerns, particularly those associated with the original proposed location of the Pretreatment Facility by moving the location of the Pretreatment Facility at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so construction can begin without delay. Freeport LNG has gone the extra mile in designing a state of the art project that incorporates zero-emission electric motors and state of the art emission control equipment. This aspect of the project is particularly important given the nonattainment status of Brazoria County.

In addition to significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. My family and I work in and rely upon the economic development generated by this industry. Additionally, the retail service sectors in this area make their living from the large petrochemical employers here.

I urge the Commission to vote “yes” on quick approval of the permits for Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project so we can put people back to work. The sooner this permit is issued, the sooner more jobs will be created in Brazoria County. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Robert S. Lockett, III
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ, Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152, and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

As a citizen of Brazoria County I want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project and the above referenced permits. This project consists of two facilities, the Pretreatment Facility and the Liquefaction Plant, identified above.

Freeport LNG has gone the extra mile in designing a state of the art project that incorporates zero-emission electric motors and state of the art emission control equipment. This aspect of the project is particularly important given the nonattainment status of Brazoria County.

This project brings much needed jobs to our community. More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

I urge the Commission to vote “yes” on quick approval of the permits for Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project so we can put people back to work. The sooner this permit is issued, the sooner more jobs will be created in Brazoria County. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Juan Longoria III  
Brazosport Water Authority Board President
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302; and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Signature

Print Name
Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number NH52; and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Jana Madden
FARMERS

TANA SHREVES MADDOX
PO Box 67
Clute, Tx 77531

RECEIVED

NOV 22 2013
TCEQ MAIL CENTER AR

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105 TCEQ
POB 13087
Austin, Tx 78711-3087

NORTH HOUSTON TX 773
20 NOV 2013 PN 51
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

JAMES T. MILLER
1609 SAN NOHOMES RD
FREEPORT, TX 77541
TCEQ Public Meeting Form
March 4, 2014

Freeport LNG Development, L.P.
Proposed Air Quality Permits:
Permit No. 100114, PSD TX1282, and N150
And
Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302 and N170

PLEASE PRINT
Name: Roy MARSH

Mailing Address: 1675 Blue Water Dr 77541

Physical Address (if different): ____________________________________________

City/State: ___________________________ Zip: ___________________________

**This information is subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act**

Email: Roy@esigns.com

Phone Number: 713-202-7563

• Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, which one? ____________________________________________

☒ Please add me to the mailing list.

☒ I wish to provide formal ORAL COMMENTS at tonight’s public meeting.

☒ I wish to provide formal WRITTEN COMMENTS at tonight’s public meeting.

(Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.
To TCEQ

RE: FLNG Permit H-104840 and 104841

I have a home at 1675 Blue Water Dr., Freeport, TX 77541 in Hide Away on the Gulf. I request that TCEQ delay granting the permit until such time our concerns are resolved and denied if such concerns are ignored.

Ambient Air Monitoring - There are huge petrochemical plants in Southern Brazoria County but no Ambient monitoring within 7 miles of our homes. It is imperative that TCEQ install an air monitoring station near our homes - specifically on CR 792 near Hide Away on the Gulf.

Provide Detailed Practical Emergency Evacuation and Notification Plan for all affected areas adjacent to the proposed facilities and the pipelines that will support them.

NOx Emissions - The proposed facilities will emit huge amounts of NOx. FLNG will purchase pollution credits from one area in the Houston/Galveston zone which reaches 80 miles to the north and 70 miles to the east. Our levels of NOx will be elevated to unacceptable levels. Require FLNG to purchase NOx credits from Brazoria County that will directly impact us.

Require FLNG to use BACT that will reduce emissions by 98% not the 90% that is proposed.

Roy Marsh
1675 Blue Water Dr.
Freeport, TX 77541
713-282-7563
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Director, MC-109
12100 Park 35 Circle

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSD TX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30
tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants
to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent
to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any
current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in
the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities
are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities
of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations
of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be
aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking
of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Print Name

1675 Blue Water Dr
Freeport, TX 77541
Mr Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. LA0129, PSD Permit  
No. PSDTOX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N162; and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No.  
PSDTOX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG  
liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated  
corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were  
responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment  
Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location  
of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a  
state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization  
of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved  
notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will  
continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction  
Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project,  
Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good  
environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the  
sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of  
this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and  
over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new  
facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to  
produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas  
and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG  
liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of  
the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas  
deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other  
states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these
projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Linda K. Martin
Ms. Linda Martin
City of Quintana

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Mr. Jim Martin
910 Dewey St.
Freeport, TX 77541

RECEIVED
DEC 02 2013
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
MM

Mr. Zak Coates
Executive Director, MC-101
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

79753150800
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging the fragile ecosystems. The new location is very close to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Signature

Print Name

Bill Massey
122 Kings Drive
February 11, 2014

Mr. Bryan Shaw, Ph.D., P.E., Chairman
Mr. Toby Baker, Commissioner
Mr. Zak Covar, Commissioner
Mr. Richard Hyde, P.E., Executive Director
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
   PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Gentlemen,

We are homeowners in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by
the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

We and other residents have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential
for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant. Repeated requests have been made for state
air quality monitors to be installed in and around the plant prior to groundbreaking to provide a
baseline measurement; however, to date no response has been received regarding these requests.

The new location of the proposed plant is very close to several residential areas. It is also several miles
from any of the current air quality monitoring devices and in the opposite direction. The prevailing
winds in this area will carry any emissions into these residential areas.

While FLNG states that the total permanent population is not expected to increase, it should be pointed
out that since this is a coastal area with usually moderate, warm temperatures, it experiences a large
year-round influx of vacationers as the proposed plant is in relatively close proximity to the greater
Houston metropolitan area, which means a high tourist area. Also, the permanent population is
comprised of many retired individuals, a population that has been reported as being generally more
sensitive to air quality issues.

Which begs another question, that being will air monitors ever be installed in the areas close to the
facility and the residential neighborhoods?
Given that we are located within a major refining area for the State of Texas and for the United States, we do understand and accept the reality of co-existing with the various refineries. **We need your agency to install air monitors throughout this ever-expanding industrial area.**

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

Enclosures:
Copies of Letters to Elected Officials (11)

cc w/Enclosures:
Texas State Representative Patricia Harless, Chair, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Marisa Márquez, Vice Chair, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Jason Isaac, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Kyle Kacal, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Tryon D. Lewis, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Ron Reynolds, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Ed Thompson, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Chris Turner, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Jason Villalba, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
February 7, 2014

Ms. Norma M. Garcia
Mayor
City of Freeport
200 West 2nd Street
Freeport, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
   PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Garcia,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please.

We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey
Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Senator Ted Cruz
510 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable Senator Cruz,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates in the Freeport area, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey

127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Senator Joan Huffman
P.O. Box 12068
Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable Joan Huffman,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ, on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Governor Rick Perry
P.O. Box 12428
Austin, Texas 78711

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable Rick Perry,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Lieutenant Governor David Dewhurst
Capitol Station
P.O. Box 12068
Austin, Texas 78711

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
   PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable David Dewhurst,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Donald “Dude” Payne
Precinct 1 County Commissioner
Brazoria County
P. O. Box 998.
Clute, Texas 77531

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Commissioner Payne,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Louis Guidry
Mayor
City of Oyster Creek
3210 FM 523
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
   PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Guidry,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please.

We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Joe Rinehart
Mayor - City of Lake Jackson
Lake Jackson, Texas 77566

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
   1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
      PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
      No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Rinehart,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly
affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination,
and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on
Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental
Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and
around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the
affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments
and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or,
more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect
them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax,
they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air
monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please.
We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas  77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Gary Wilson
Mayor
Town of Quintana
814 North Lamar
Quintana, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
  1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
     PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
  2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
     No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Wilson,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Larry Davison
Mayor
City of Surfside
1304 Monument Drive
Surfside Beach, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
PSD TX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No. PSD TX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Davison,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Calvin Shiflet
Mayor, City of Clute
City Hall
108 East Main Street
Clute, Texas 77531

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Shiflet,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
RECEIVED
FEB 14 2014
TOEQ MAIL CENTER
MM

MR RICHARD A HYDE, PE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, NO109
TOEQ
P O BOX 13087
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-3087
February 11, 2014

Mr. Bryan Shaw, Ph.D., P.E., Chairman
Mr. Toby Baker, Commissioner
Mr. Zak Covar, Commissioner
Mr. Richard Hyde, P.E., Executive Director
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Gentlemen,

We are homeowners in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

We and other residents have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant. Repeated requests have been made for state air quality monitors to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking to provide a baseline measurement; however, to date no response has been received regarding these requests.

The new location of the proposed plant is very close to several residential areas. It is also several miles from any of the current air quality monitoring devices and in the opposite direction. The prevailing winds in this area will carry any emissions into these residential areas.

While FLNG states that the total permanent population is not expected to increase, it should be pointed out that since this is a coastal area with usually moderate, warm temperatures, it experiences a large year-round influx of vacationers as the proposed plant is in relatively close proximity to the greater Houston metropolitan area, which means a high tourist area. Also, the permanent population is comprised of many retired individuals, a population that has been reported as being generally more sensitive to air quality issues.

Which begs another question, that being will air monitors ever be installed in the areas close to the facility and the residential neighborhoods?
Given that we are located within a major refining area for the State of Texas and for the United States, we do understand and accept the reality of co-existing with the various refineries. **We need your agency to install air monitors throughout this ever-expanding industrial area.**

Sincerely,

\[Bill \text{ and } Susan \text{ Massey}\]

Bill and Susan Massey  
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates  
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

Enclosures:  
Copies of Letters to Elected Officials (11)

cc w/Enclosures:  
Texas State Representative Patricia Harless, Chair, Environmental Regulation Committee  
Texas State Representative Marisa Márquez, Vice Chair, Environmental Regulation Committee  
Texas State Representative Jason Isaac, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee  
Texas State Representative Kyle Kacal, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee  
Texas State Representative Tryon D. Lewis, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee  
Texas State Representative Ron Reynolds, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee  
Texas State Representative Ed Thompson, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee  
Texas State Representative Chris Turner, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee  
Texas State Representative Jason Villaiba, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
February 7, 2014

Senator Ted Cruz
510 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
   1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
      PSDTX1302; and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
      No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable Senator Cruz,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates in the Freeport area, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey

Bill & Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Senator Joan Huffman
P.O. Box 12068
Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
   PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable Joan Huffman,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Governor Rick Perry
P.O. Box 12428
Austin, Texas 78711

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
   1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
      PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
      No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable Rick Perry,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Lieutenant Governor David Dewhurst
Capitol Station
P.O. Box 12068
Austin, Texas 78711

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
    1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
       PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
    2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
       No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable David Dewhurst,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Donald “Dude” Payne
Precinct 1 County Commissioner
Brazoria County
P. O. Box 998.
Clute, Texas 77531

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
   PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Commissioner Payne,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly
affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination,
and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on
Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental
Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and
around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the
affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments
and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or,
more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect
them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax,
they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air
monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please.
We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Louis Guidry
Mayor
City of Oyster Creek
3210 FM 523
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Guidry,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please.

We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Joe Rinehart
Mayor - City of Lake Jackson
Lake Jackson, Texas 77566

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Rinehart,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Ms. Norma M. Garcia
Mayor
City of Freeport
200 West 2nd Street
Freeport, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor García,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to groundbreaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please.

We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Gary Wilson
Mayor
Town of Quintana
814 North Lamar
Quintana, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Wilson,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Larry Davison
Mayor
City of Surfside
1304 Monument Drive
Surfside Beach, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
   PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Davison,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly
affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination,
and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission
on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental
Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and
around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the
affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments
and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or,
more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect
them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax,
they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air
monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please.
We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Calvin Shiflet
Mayor, City of Clute
City Hall
108 East Main Street
Clute, Texas 77531

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project

1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Shiflet,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please.

We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
RECEIVED
FEB 14 2014
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
MM

MR ZAK COVAR
COMMISSIONER, MC 100
TCEQ
P O BOX 13087
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-3087
February 11, 2014

Mr. Bryan Shaw, Ph.D., P.E., Chairman
Mr. Toby Baker, Commissioner
Mr. Zak Covar, Commissioner
Mr. Richard Hyde, P.E., Executive Director
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
   PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Gentlemen,

We are homeowners in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by
the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

We and other residents have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential
for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant. Repeated requests have been made for state
air quality monitors to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking to provide a
baseline measurement; however, to date no response has been received regarding these requests.

The new location of the proposed plant is very close to several residential areas. It is also several miles
from any of the current air quality monitoring devices and in the opposite direction. The prevailing
winds in this area will carry any emissions into these residential areas.

While FLNG states that the total permanent population is not expected to increase, it should be pointed
out that since this is a coastal area with usually moderate, warm temperatures, it experiences a large
year-round influx of vacationers as the proposed plant is in relatively close proximity to the greater
Houston metropolitan area, which means a high tourist area. Also, the permanent population is
comprised of many retired individuals, a population that has been reported as being generally more
sensitive to air quality issues.

Which begs another question, that being will air monitors ever be installed in the areas close to the
facility and the residential neighborhoods?
Given that we are located within a major refining area for the State of Texas and for the United States, we do understand and accept the reality of co-existing with the various refineries. *We need your agency to install air monitors throughout this ever-expanding industrial area.*

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

Enclosures:
Copies of Letters to Elected Officials (11)

cc w/Enclosures:
Texas State Representative Patricia Harless, Chair, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Marisa Márquez, Vice Chair, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Jason Isaac, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Kyle Kacal, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Tryon D. Lewis, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Ron Reynolds, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Ed Thompson, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Chris Turner, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
Texas State Representative Jason Villalba, Member, Environmental Regulation Committee
February 7, 2014

Senator Ted Cruz
510 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
   1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
      PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
      No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable Senator Cruz,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates in the Freeport area, one of the communities that will be
directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and
the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on
Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental
Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the
plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected
communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a
public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air
monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them.
FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors
and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate
your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Senator Joan Huffman
P.O. Box 12068
Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable Joan Huffman,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Governor Rick Perry
P.O. Box 12428
Austin, Texas 78711

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
   1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
      PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
      No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable Rick Perry,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

 Lieutenant Governor David Dewhurst
 Capitol Station
 P.O. Box 12068
 Austin, Texas 78711

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
  1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
     PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
  2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
     No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Honorable David Dewhurst,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill & Susan Massey

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Donald “Dude” Payne
Precinct 1 County Commissioner
Brazoria County
P. O. Box 998.
Clute, Texas 77531

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
   PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Commissioner Payne,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ

REVIEWED
FEB 18 2014
By [signature]
February 7, 2014

Mr. Louis Guildry
Mayor
City of Oyster Creek
3210 FM 523
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
   PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Guildry,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please.

We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Joe Rinehart  
Mayor - City of Lake Jackson  
Lake Jackson, Texas 77566

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project  
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Rinehart,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey  
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates  
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541  

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Ms. Norma M. Garcia
Mayor
City of Freeport:
200 West 2nd Street
Freeport, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840,
   PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
   No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor García,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please.
We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Gary Wilson
Mayor
Town of Quintana
814 North Lamar
Quintana, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Wilson,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Larry Davison
Mayor
City of Surfside
1304 Monument Drive
Surfside Beach, Texas 77541

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Davison,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to ground-breaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please. We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ
February 7, 2014

Mr. Calvin Shiflet
Mayor, City of Clute
City Hall
108 East Main Street
Clute, Texas 77531

Reference: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project

1) Pre-Treatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mayor Shiflet,

My husband and I have a home in Oyster Creek Estates, one of the communities that will be directly affected by the Freeport LNG Pre-Treatment Facility.

You may or may not be aware that many of us have been expressing our concerns about air contamination, and the potential for our air quality to be significantly affected by this plant, to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). We have asked for state-monitored air quality analyzers to be installed in and around the plant prior to groundbreaking so that we may have a baseline measurement.

We have just learned that some people who have great civic influence, but who do not live in any of the affected communities, are lobbying for fast action from the TCEQ on the time frame for making comments and holding a public meeting on this specific issue. These people will not be affected by the facility or, more importantly, by air monitoring or lack thereof.

We do not appreciate elected officials inserting their opinions on this issue when it does not directly affect them. FLNG may or may not pay a property tax but not only do the affected residents pay property tax, they are voters.

We ask you to use the voice of your office to help us get the word out that we really do need these air monitors and/or hold a public meeting on this matter. Would be very much appreciated. Help us, please.

We appreciate your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill and Susan Massey
127 Kings Drive, Oyster Creek Estates
Oyster Creek, Texas 77541

cc: TCEQ

[Signature]

REVIEWED
FEB 18 2014

By [Signature]
RECEIVED
FEB 14 2014
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
MM

MR BRYAN W SHAW, Ph.D., PE
CHAIRMAN, NC 100
TCEQ
P O BOX 13087
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-3087
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100-Park-35 Circle-
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
      and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
      No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; .30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants
to settle on land or in water damaging the fragile ecosystems. The new location is very close to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking, to
establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected
residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

By,

Susan Massey
Signature
Print Name

Oyster Creek Estates
129 Kings Drive
Freeport, Texas 77541
Mr. Zolkower, Executive Director
TCEDQ
12000 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
REGULATED ENTY NAME: FREEPORT LNG PRETREATMENT FACILITY

RN NUMBER: RN106481500

PERMIT NUMBER: PSDTX1302

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTRY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: Nathan Matthews

E-MAIL: nathan.matthews@sierraclub.org

COMPANY: Sierra Club

ADDRESS: 85 2ND ST 2nd Floor
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105-3459

PHONE: 4159775695

FAX:

COMMENTS: Sierra Club Comments
March 10, 2014

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of the Chief Clerk, MC-105
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Sierra Club Comments on:
- Proposed State Air Quality Permit Number 100114, PSD Permit Number PSDTX1282 and Nonattainment Permit Number N150 for the Emission of Air Pollutants from the Proposed Freeport LNG Liquefaction Plant in Quintana, Texas
- Proposed State Air Quality Permit Number 104840, PSD Permit Number PSDTX1302 and Nonattainment Permit Number N170 for the Emission of Air Pollutants from the Proposed Freeport LNG Pretreatment Facility near Quintana, Texas

Sierra Club offers the following comments on the application for the above-captioned permits. Sierra Club reserves the right to request a contested case hearing after TCEQ issues its response to comments, on the basis of this comment or any other public comments received.

All contact with the Sierra Club in this matter should be through:

Nathan Matthews
Associate Attorney
Sierra Club Environmental Law Program
85 2nd St., Second Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 977-5695 (tel)
(415) 977-5793 (fax)

Natalie Spiegel
Legal Assistant
Sierra Club Environmental Law Program
85 2nd St., Second Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 977-5638 (tel)

I. Public Comments

Freeport LNG Development, L.P., has requested that the above applications be treated as a single application for purposes of major source new source review permitting. Sierra Club agrees that combined treatment is necessary. Sierra Club is concerned, however, that the draft permits understate the potential to emit volatile organic chemicals (VOC). Notably, the pretreatment facility will incorporate 115 pressure relief valves, and the liquefaction facility will incorporate another 60 pressure relief valves. Although the emissions calculations include estimates of fugitive emissions from these pressure relief valves, it appears that all emissions calculations exclude emissions associated with venting through these valves—I.e., the pollutants these valves will emit when they are actually operating to release pressure, according to their intended function. As demonstrated in the fugitive emissions calculations,
the gas coming to these valves contains VOC, so venting through these valves will be an additional source of VOC emissions. It may be that these valves are intended to operate only in unusual conditions, such as startups, shutdowns, or malfunctions. As with flares and other such equipment, however, these emissions must nonetheless be included in emission totals.

Inclusion of these emissions is especially important because the draft permit finds that the project will have a potential to emit 24.96 tons per year (tpy) of VOC – only 80 pounds of VOC below the major modification threshold. See Preliminary Determination Summary for Permit 100114 at 2, Preliminary Determination Summary for Permit 104840 at 3. Accordingly, it is extraordinarily likely that when the emissions from the project are properly calculated, the project will be subject to the additional requirements imposed on major modifications, providing potentially significant emission reduction benefits.

II. Conclusion

It appears that the applications and draft permits improperly excluded a source of VOC emissions, and that if these additional emissions are considered, the project will be a major modification for purposes of the applicable Nonattainment New Source Review program.

Respectfully submitted,

Nathan Matthews
Associate Attorney
Sierra Club
85 2nd St., Second Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 977-5695
(415) 977-5793 (fax)
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1303
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Henry & Magdelene McClendon
626 Jefferson Rd.
Freeport, Texas 77541 - Ph: 979-230-6108

[Signature]

Henry & Magdelene McClendon
Print Name

REVIEWED
FEB 24 2014

By [Signature]
Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RECEIVED
FEB 21 2014
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
CS
Please Print

Name: Magdalene McClendon

Mailing Address: 626 Jeffers Rd.

Physical Address (if different): 

City/State: Oyster Creek, Texas  Zip: 77541

Email: magdalene.mccleod@aol.com

Phone Number: 979 230 6108

Are you here today representing a municipality, legislator, agency, or group? ☐ Yes ☑ No

If yes, which one? homeowner

☐ Please add me to the mailing list. ✓

☐ I wish to provide formal ORAL COMMENTS at tonight’s public meeting. ✓

☐ I wish to provide formal WRITTEN COMMENTS at tonight’s public meeting.

(Written comments may be submitted at any time during the meeting)

Please give this form to the person at the information table. Thank you.
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Mark McClendon

Mark McClendon
Signature
Print Name

REVIEWED
FEB 24 2014
By
Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
TCEQ  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, TX 78753

RECEIVED  
FEB 21 2019  
TCEQ MAIL CENTER CS
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 11,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 1139.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxins cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Regina McCoy

Signature

Regina McCoy
Print Name
Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
TCEQ  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, TX 78753

RECEIVED  
FEB 21 2014  
TCEQ MAIL CENTER  
CS
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
      and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
      No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
erdly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]

[Address]

[Date]
Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
TCEQ  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, TX 78753
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Brian Melass
B&M THERMAL CONTROL INC.
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk:
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 4,330 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.38 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Mims RN
Signature

Rebecca Mims RN
Print Name
Mr. Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
TCEQ  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar,

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

118 Four Martin Rd
Rebecca Mims
Print Name
Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
TCEQ  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, TX 78753
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS

Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Signature

James Moon
Print Name
Mr. Zak Lewis
Executive Director
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 400129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX124, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four-to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.
I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Evelyn Moore/President & CEO
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX13026 and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Juanita Moreno
Signature

Print Name

138 Sand Shool L
Freeport 77541
Hide-A-Way 77541
Mr. Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MCUQ  
TCEQ  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, TX 78753
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am a business owner in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Kevin Moriarty
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
[Print Name]
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and a lifelong citizen of the Brazosport Area and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Respectfully,

L.G. Murrell, Jr.  
Chairman-05, 06, 07  
Brazosport Chamber of Commerce
Mr Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit
TQ52 No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No.
PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG
liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated
corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were
responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment
Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of
the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a
state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization
of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved
notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will
continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction
Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project,
Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good
environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the
sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of
this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and
over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new
facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to
produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas
and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG
liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of
the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

[Signature]

Marinell Music
City of Oyster Creek
City Councilman

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RECEIVED
DEC. 16 2013
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
CS
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Shayna Maguire
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state-of-the-art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Brady Odom
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and

2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four-to-five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state-of-the-art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Shin-Etsu Chemical

Shin-etsu Silicones
5650 Highway 332 East
Freeport, TX 77541

11-22-13

[Signature]

Howard Provine
Site Manager
Shin-etsu Chemical
November 15, 2013

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freepor LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129; 104840
   PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284; and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; 1362
   
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD
   Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am a banker doing business in Brazoria County and want to express my support
for the Freepor LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment
Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities
should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of
this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria
County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-
time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities.
This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant,
positive impact on the local economy and businesses including First State Bank.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the
Freepor LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2
billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf
Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and
Eagle Ford, are located.
Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed, which could jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Robert A. Perryman
President
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar, Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1362, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 130 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating over our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging the fragile ecosystems. The new location is very close to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic event. Each community is unique and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low income residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport has not been a manufacturing location before; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking, to establish a baseline.

Sincerely,

Clarence Phang
Signature

Print Name
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac  
Chief Clerk  
TCBQ, Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCBQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  

November 12, 2013  

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 400129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX124, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152, and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

As a citizen of Brazoria County I want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project and the above referenced permits. This project consists of two facilities, the Pretreatment Facility and the Liquefaction Plant, identified above. I have been monitoring the progress of this project with great interest and wholeheartedly offer my support for the swift approval of Freeport LNG’s permits. Freeport LNG has cooperated with the local community and addressed our concerns, particularly those associated with the original proposed location of the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the community and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so construction can begin without delay. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic viability of the area while also being responsible environmental stewards. Freeport LNG has gone the extra mile in designing a state of the art project that incorporates zero-emission electric motors and state of the art emission control equipment. This aspect of the project is particularly important given the nonattainment status of Brazoria County.

This project brings much needed jobs to our community. More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Many of us have grown up here and have significant ties and an appreciation for the petrochemical industry. I have several members of my family that work in and rely upon the
economic development generated by this industry. Additionally, the retail service sectors in this area make their living from the large petrochemical employers here.

I urge the Commission to vote "yes" on quick approval of the permits for Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project so we can put people back to work. The sooner this permit is issued, the sooner more jobs will be created in Brazoria County. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Linda L. Pirtle
133 Arrowwood St.
Lake Jackson, TX 77566
November 12, 2013

Mr Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state-of-the-art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of
the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

[Signature]

Shane W. Pirtle P.E.
Port of Freeport Commissioner

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Shane W. Pirtle P.E.
Port Freeport Commissioner
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
      and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
      No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Ronald E. Poehepler
1671 Blue Water Dr,
Freeport, TX 77541

[Signature]

Print Name
February 20, 2014

Chairman Bryan W. Shaw
Commissioner Toby Baker
Commissioner Zak Covar
Office of the Commissioners, MC-100
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100429, PSD Permit PSDTX1302, PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Commissioners:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new
facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed, as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

[Signature]

David J. Porter
Texas Railroad Commissioner

cc: Mr. Richard A. Hyde, Executive Director, TCEQ
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
February 20, 2014

Chairman Bryan W. Shaw
Commissioner Toby Baker
Commissioner Zak Covar
Office of the Commissioners, MC-100
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit PSDTX1302, No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Commissioners:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new
facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed, as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration:

Best regards,

David J. Porter
Texas Railroad Commissioner

cc: Mr. Richard A. Hyde, Executive Director, TCEQ
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
February 20, 2014

Chairman Bryan W. Shaw  
Commissioner Toby Baker  
Commissioner Zak Covar  
Office of the Commissioners, MC-100  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and N170

2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Commissioners:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new
facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed, as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

[Signature]

David J. Porter
Texas Railroad Commissioner

cc: Mr. Richard A. Hyde, Executive Director, TCEQ
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

2/25/04 11:17 AM

CHIEF CERK'S OFFICE

INTERAGENCY

Commissioner Toby Baker
Office of the Commissioners, MC-100
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

RECEIVED

2/25/04

TCEQ MAIL CENTER

DAVID PORTER
Texas Railroad Commissioner

455-28
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,862 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Signature

Print Name

106 Mariner
Freeport, TX 77541
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride, and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]

106 Mariner Rd (Hide Away On the Creek)
Freeport TX 77541
Mr. Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP - Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100127, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1287, and Nonattainment Permit Number NL6247 and N170;  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these
projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

[Signature]

City Councilman Chote, Tx,

12-3-13

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 130 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Print Name
Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
November 15, 2013

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk
TCEQ, Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N122; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

As a citizen of Brazoria County I want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project and the above referenced permits. This project consists of two facilities, the Pretreatment Facility and the Liquefaction Plant, identified above. I have been monitoring the progress of this project with great interest and wholeheartedly offer my support for the swift approval of Freeport LNG's permits. Freeport LNG has cooperated with the local community and addressed our concerns, particularly those associated with the original proposed location of the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the community and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so construction can begin without delay. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic viability of the area while also being responsible environmental stewards. Freeport LNG has gone the extra mile in designing a state of the art project that incorporates zero-emission electric motors and state of the art emission control equipment. This aspect of the project is particularly important given the nonattainment status of Brazoria County.

This project brings much needed jobs to our community. More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of
the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Many of us have grown up here and have significant ties and an appreciation for the petrochemical industry. I have several members of my family that work in and rely upon the economic development generated by this industry. Additionally, the retail service sectors in this area make their living from the large petrochemical employers here.

I urge the Commission to vote "yes" on quick approval of the permits for Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project so we can put people back to work. The sooner this permit is issued, the sooner more jobs will be created in Brazoria County. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

A.J. Reixach
Executive Port Director/CEO (Ret'd)
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk
TCEQ, Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

NORTH HOUSTON TX 773
15 Nov 2013 PM 5 L

RECEIVED
Nov 18 2013
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
BC
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgelpointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per hour, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgelpointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgelpointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Johnny L. Richy

Signature

Print Name
Mr. Zak Coon
Executive Director
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

__________________________
Lin V. Richley

__________________________
Lin V. Richley
Signature
Print Name
Mr. Zak Cohen
Executive Director
CFA
12100 Park 25 Circle
Houston, TX 77073

FCE
Travel Letter
Project #120341

Send this specification
FCE, Ancher Rd
Drexport, PA 120341

RECEIVED
The Houston
City Hall Center
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ, Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project: 
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152, and 
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

As a citizen of Brazoria County I want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project and the above referenced permits. This project consists of two facilities, the Pretreatment Facility and the Liquefaction Plant, identified above. I have been monitoring the progress of this project with great interest and wholeheartedly offer my support for the swift approval of Freeport LNG’s permits. Freeport LNG has cooperated with the local community and addressed our concerns, particularly those associated with the original proposed location of the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the community and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so construction can begin without delay. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic viability of the area while also being responsible environmental stewards. Freeport LNG has gone the extra mile in designing a state of the art project that incorporates zero-emission electric motors and state of the art emission control equipment. This aspect of the project is particularly important given the nonattainment status of Brazoria County.

This project brings much needed jobs to our community. More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Many of us have grown up here and have significant ties and an appreciation for the petrochemical industry. I have several members of my family that work in and rely upon the
economic development generated by this industry. Additionally, the retail service sectors in this area make their living from the large petrochemical employers here.

I urge the Commission to vote “yes” on quick approval of the permits for Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project so we can put people back to work. The sooner this permit is issued, the sooner more jobs will be created in Brazoria County. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joe R. Apple
512 Oak Dr.
Lake Jackson, TX 77566

hm 979-480-0576
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk
TCEQ, Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
P.O. Box 13089
Pinehurst, TX 78640-0889
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1230 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Denise Robino

Signature

Denise Robino

Print Name

15 Jeffers Rd.
Freeport, TX 77541

REVIEWS
FEB 24 2014

By
Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
November 25, 2013

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk
TCEQ, Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

As a citizen of Brazoria County I want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project and the above referenced permits. This project consists of two facilities, the Pretreatment Facility and the Liquefaction Plant, identified above. I have been monitoring the progress of this project with great interest and wholeheartedly offer my support for the swift approval of Freeport LNG’s permits. Freeport LNG has cooperated with the local community and addressed our concerns, particularly those associated with the original proposed location of the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the community and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so construction can begin without delay. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic viability of the area while also being responsible environmental stewards. Freeport LNG has gone the extra mile in designing a state of the art project that incorporates zero-emission electric motors and state of the art emission control equipment. This aspect of the project is particularly important given the nonattainment status of Brazoria County.

This project brings much needed jobs to our community. More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.
Many of us have grown up here and have significant ties and an appreciation for the petrochemical industry. I have several members of my family that work in and rely upon the economic development generated by this industry. Additionally, the retail service sectors in this area make their living from the large petrochemical employers here.

I urge the Commission to vote “yes” on quick approval of the permits for Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project so we can put people back to work. The sooner this permit is issued, the sooner more jobs will be created in Brazoria County. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sharon Rogers
220 Huckleberry Drive
Lake Jackson, TX 77566
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
  1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
  2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
On The River Restaurant
River Point Restaurant
919 W. 2nd St.
Freeport, TX 77541

Ms. Bridget Bohac
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP -- Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
November 13, 2013

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105, TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 1501212, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N132, and

2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely,

Mike Scarborough
President
November 14, 2013

Mr Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1. Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100119, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N155; and
2. Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be
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developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Daniel A. Schaefer
Chief Business and Govt. Affairs Officer

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 circle
Austin, TX 78753

Attn: Mr Zak Covar, Executive Director, MC-109
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]
Mr. Zakk Corn
Executive Director
PEA
3100 Park Ave
Austin, TX 78752

Attn: Hard copies of sent faxes
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS

Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar

Executive
TCEQ

Director, MC-109
12100 Park 35 Circle

Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO₂; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO₂e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Alphonse Schwenke

Signature

Alphonse Schwenke
Print Name
November 18, 2013

Mr Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit Number N150
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of
the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

[Signature]

Scott Schwertner
CTMI, LLC, Sr. Manager Sales Tax

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753
Attn: Mr Zak Covar, Executive Director, MC-109
Mr. Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152, and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state-of-the-art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

Zak
More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Kind regards,

Matt

Matt Sebesta
Commissioner Precinct 2
Brazoria County

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Mr Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
  1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
  2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
RECEIVED
NOV 22 2013
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
CS

76711306787
Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N42, and TDO

2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above. As the former mayor of the city of Lake Jackson, I feel strongly this project will provide vital economic and social benefits to our community and to the state of Texas.

Therefore, I believe the air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.
I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have for the community.

Sincerely yours,

Robert H-Sippis
Owner
JBS Industrial Sales, LLC
227B Parking Way
Lake Jackson, TX 77566
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
PO Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS

Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Coyer
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Coyer:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Greg Smith
Signature

GREG SMITH
Print Name
Mr. Zak Chan
Executive Director
TED
215 East 21st Street
New York, NY 10010

Attention: Hard copies of sent faxes
From: barry.smitherman@rrc.state.tx.us [mailto:barry.smitherman@rrc.state.tx.us]
Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2014 9:16 AM
To: donotReply@tceq.state.tx.us
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number 104840

REGULATED ENTY NAME: FREEPORT LNG PRETREATMENT FACILITY
RN NUMBER: RN106481500
PERMIT NUMBER: 104840
DOCKET NUMBER:
COUNTY: BRAZORIA
PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP
CN NUMBER: CN601720345
FROM
NAME: Barry T. Smitherman
E-MAIL: barry.smitherman@rrc.state.tx.us
COMPANY: Railroad Commission of Texas
ADDRESS: 1701 CONGRESS AVE 12th Floor
AUSTIN TX 78701-1402
PHONE: 5124637144
FAX:

COMMENTS: Dear Mr. Covar: I write you today to communicate my support for the Freeport LNG Terminal. I believe the terminal expansion will fiscally benefit Texas in a multitude of ways for many years to come. More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that
between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region. In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located. Zak, I thank you for your hard work on behalf of Texas. Your commitment and talents will serve our great state in a moment in time when Texas is experiencing a boom in oil and gas development. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Barry Smitherman, Chairman Railroad Commission of Texas
November 14, 2013

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, L.P. - Liquefaction Project

Dear Mr. Covar:

I write you today to communicate my support for the Freeport LNG Terminal. I believe the terminal expansion will fiscally benefit Texas in a multitude of ways for many years to come.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Zak, I thank you for your hard work on behalf of Texas. Your commitment and talents will serve our great state in a moment in time when Texas is experiencing a boom in oil and gas development. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Barry Smitherman, Chairman
Railroad Commission of Texas
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1254, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152, and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

[Signature]
[Name]
Ms. Bridget L Bahac
PO Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152, and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Ms. Bridget C. Rahac
PO Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N52, and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am a resident of Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Larry Stanley
123 Rosewood St.
Lake Jackson, Texas 77566
123 Rosewood St
Lake Jackson, TX 77566

RECEIVED

Nov 20, 2013

TCEQ Mail Center

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
From: PUBLCOMMENT-OCC
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 8:31 AM
To: PUBLCOMMENT-OCC2
Subject: FW: Public comment on Permit Number 104840

PM

From: flightfreeporting@gmail.com [mailto:flightfreeporting@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 8:28 AM
To: donotReply@tceq.state.tx.us
Subject: Public comment on Permit Number 104840

REGULATED ENTRY NAME FREEPORT LNG PRETREATMENT FACILITY

RN NUMBER: RN106481500

PERMIT NUMBER: 104840

DOCKET NUMBER:

COUNTY: BRAZORIA

PRINCIPAL NAME: FREEPORT LNG DEVELOPMENT LP

CN NUMBER: CN601720345

FROM

NAME: James Stokes

E-MAIL: flightfreeporting@gmail.com

COMPANY:

ADDRESS: PO BOX 98
LAKE JACKSON TX 77566-0098

PHONE: 2817567408

FAX:

COMMENTS: As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our
communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging the fragile ecosystems. The new location is very close to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.
Ms. Bridget C. Bohao  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ, Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP - Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129; PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284; and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150  

Dear Chief Clerk,  

My name is Miguel M. Suarez, I live at 700 Burnett Street, Quintana, TXb 77541-9112. As a citizen of Brazoria County I want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project and the above referenced permits. This project consists of two facilities, the Pretreatment Facility and the Liquefaction Plant, identified above. I have been monitoring the progress of this project with great interest and wholeheartedly offer my support for the swift approval of Freeport LNG's permits. Freeport LNG has cooperated with the local community and addressed our concerns, particularly those associated with the original proposed location of the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the community and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG.  

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so construction can begin without delay. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic viability of the area while also being responsible environmental stewards. Freeport LNG has gone the extra mile in designing a state of the art project that incorporates zero-emission electric motors and state of the art emission control equipment. This aspect of the project is particularly important given the nonattainment status of Brazoria County.  

This project brings much needed jobs to our community. More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.  

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.  

Many of us have grown up here and have significant ties and an appreciation for the petrochemical industry. I have several members of my family that work in and rely upon the
economic development generated by this industry. Additionally, the retail service sectors in this area make their living from the large petrochemical employers here.

I urge the Commission to vote "yes" on quick approval of the permits for Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project so we can put people back to work. The sooner this permit is issued, the sooner more jobs will be created in Brazoria County. Thank you for your consideration.

Finally, in my family we believe that this project will be a considerable benefit to our Country since it will improve our balance of payments helping us to export an American product thus bringing dollars to our economy and reducing our deficit in the balance of payments. We also believe that this project will be a stimulus to the production of natural gas both for export and internal consumption.

I strongly reject the absurd statements made by some of my neighbors opposing this project. Several times I have debated and demonstrated by scientific arguments based on elementary Physics and Chemistry the absurdity of these fallacies and see no reasonable argument in their ideas other than unrestrained greed and desire to obtain monetary advantages from this situation.

Sincerely,
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ, Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284; and Nonattainment Permit Number N12; and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150  

Dear Chief Clerk,

As a citizen of Brazoria County I want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project and the above referenced permits. This project consists of two facilities, the Pretreatment Facility and the Liquefaction Plant, identified above. I have been monitoring the progress of this project with great interest and wholeheartedly offer my support for the swift approval of Freeport LNG’s permits. Freeport LNG has cooperated with the local community and addressed our concerns, particularly those associated with the original proposed location of the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the community and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so construction can begin without delay. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic viability of the area while also being responsible environmental stewards. Freeport LNG has gone the extra mile in designing a state of the art project that incorporates zero-emission electric motors and state of the art emission control equipment. This aspect of the project is particularly important given the nonattainment status of Brazoria County.

This project brings much needed jobs to our community. More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Many of us have grown up here and have significant ties and an appreciation for the petrochemical industry. I have several members of my family that work in and rely upon the
economic development generated by this industry. Additionally, the retail service sectors in this area make their living from the large petrochemical employers here.

I urge the Commission to vote "yes" on quick approval of the permits for Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project so we can put people back to work. The sooner this permit is issued, the sooner more jobs will be created in Brazoria County. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Printed Name]
Ms. Bridget C. Bohen
Chief Clerk
TCEQ, Office of the Chief
P.O. Box 15087
Austin, TX 78761-5087

NORTH HASSAN Dr.
23 NORTH 2013 PM 23

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

RECEIVED
NDV 20 2013
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
GS

Since 1987

Financial Planning Partners
P.O. Box 658
Lake Jackson, Texas 77566
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP — Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No.
PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No.
PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

David W. Terry
President
VIT, Inc
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX13023 and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
HAREEN P. TOWNSEND

Print Name

FEB 24 2014
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven-story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating toward our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.

Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]

FEB 2 4 2014

REVIEWED / M

By / M
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state-of-the-art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Mark Troyer
Lake Jackson, TX
TCEQ
C/O BRIEGER & HAC
D.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711

78711308787
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
   1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
   2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

(John Doe)
November 13, 2013

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP — Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100929, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimuli of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.
I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Millicent M. Valek, President
Brazosport College
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS

Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 159.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Print Name

Hide Away on the Gulf - 119 Admiral Lane
Freeport, TX

[Signature]
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302,
and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit
No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about
air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following
totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons
of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is
equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day. 119,32
seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause
human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to
settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to
residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current
air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the
direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are
located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid.
Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these
emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for
those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring
systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an
acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each
community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high
population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of
elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware
that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential
communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of
the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the
affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

Randall Volk

Print Name

Hide-A-Way Property Owner
Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP - Liquefaction Project: Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 409229, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1184, and Nonattainment Permit Number N150; and Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

J. Arthur (Art) Vandaveer
120 Strawberry Drive
Lake Jackson, TX 77566
979-248-8783 [cell phone]

J. Arthur (Art) Vandaveer
120 Strawberry Drive
Lake Jackson, TX 77566
979-248-8783 [cell phone]

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP - Liquefaction Project: Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 409229, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1184, and Nonattainment Permit Number N150; and Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

J. Arthur (Art) Vandaveer
120 Strawberry Drive
Lake Jackson, TX 77566
979-248-8783 [cell]

J. Arthur (Art) Vandaveer
120 Strawberry Drive
Lake Jackson, TX 77566
979-248-8783 [cell]
Mr Zak Covar  
Executive Director, MC-109  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, Texas 78753

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. 352-1 No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG’s air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens’ concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these
projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Eddie Venne
Eddie Venne
City of Oyster Creek
City Councilman
Oyster Creek Community VFD Chief

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
Mr. Zak Cover  
Executive Director, MC-109  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, TX 78753

RECEIVED  
DEC 16 2013  
TCEQ MAIL CENTER  
CS
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]

131 Sand Shoals
Freeport TX 77541
To: Mr. Zab Caro
Executive Director, M-1092
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 West
Austin, TX 78753
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]
Mr. Zilkha
Coxwell
P.O. Box 5002
McLean, VA 22105

TELEX
12100
Park 35 Cable
(Altair) TX 78753
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,  
Chief Clerk  
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk  
MC-105  
TCEQ  
P.O. Box 13087  
Austin, Texas 78711-3087  

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Mr. Zak Covar  
Chief Clerk's Office  
Executive Director, MC-109  
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, Texas 78753  

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP — Liquefaction Project:  
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit Number N150.  
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150  

Dear Mr. Covar:  

I strongly urge you to act swiftly on Freeport LNG's air quality permit applications for the LNG liquefaction and pretreatment facilities identified above. Freeport LNG has demonstrated corporate and social awareness throughout the permitting process. As you know, they were responsive to citizens' concerns expressed over the initial selected site for the Pretreatment Facility. Freeport LNG worked with the concerned citizens and ultimately moved the location of the Pretreatment Facility, at a considerable expense to Freeport LNG. Freeport LNG designed a state of the art facility that incorporates electric motors to drive liquefaction and a modularization of the pretreatment units and liquefaction trains so that energy efficiency is achieved notwithstanding the varying throughputs the project may encounter. I am confident they will continue to be responsible neighbors in the years ahead.  

The processing of the air quality permit applications for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be completed as soon as possible. With the construction of this project, Freeport LNG will drastically impact the economic vitality of the area while also being good environmental stewards. The sooner the processing of these applications can be completed, the sooner they can be presented to the Commissioners for final approval and so that construction of this vital project can commence.  

More than 3,500 workers will be employed during the four- to five-year construction period and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. It is also estimated that between 20,000 and 25,000 new jobs will be created to produce, process and transport the natural gas that the project will require, primarily in Texas and the Gulf Coast region.  

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.  

There is considerable competition for the development of LNG export terminals from other states, primarily Louisiana. Moreover, there is competition for the funds from which these
projects can be developed as well as worldwide competition to secure LNG supplies from the
countries which produce it. Prompt approval of the air quality permits will help keep Freeport
LNG on a timeline that supports the successful development of its liquefaction project.

I strongly support this project and urge you to ensure the processing and review of these
applications is completed as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

[Signature]

Mr. Gary Wilson
City of Quintana

cc: Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk, TCEQ
7753180800
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG’s Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG’s November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

________________________________________
Floyd Winkler Jr.
Print Name

________________________________________
Signature

127 Loop Deck Lane
Freeport, TX 77541
RECEIVED
FEB 03, 2014
TCEQ MAIL CENTER
CS
Mr. Zak Covaci
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753
78753-8080
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS
Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Print Name]

[Address]

[City, TX 77541]
Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

The Brazosport Area Chamber of Commerce and want to express our support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

We urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Nancy Wollam
Chairman of the Board
November 18, 2013

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk
TCEQ, MC-105
P. O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 108129,
   PSD Permit No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit No. N152; and
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114,
   PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Ms. Bohac:

On behalf of the members and Board of Directors of the Economic Development Alliance for Brazoria County, I want to express support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits reference above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and high-wage jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Worley
President/CEO
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac, Chief Clerk
TCEQ, MC-105
P. O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCBQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCBQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP — Liquefaction Project:

1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100725, PSD Permit No. 1302, No. PSDTX1284, and Nonattainment Permit Number NJ-62, and T0
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am in business in Brazoria County and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Sincerely yours,

Thomas Yandre
Plant Manager — Freeport ASU
AIR LIQUIDE LARGE INDUSTRIES U.S. LP • 1711 FM 528, FREEPORT, TX 77541
P.O. Box: P.O BOX 2487, FREEPORT, TX 77542
Phone: 979-239-5260 • Fax: 979-239-5252
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087
March 4, 2014

Re: Freeport LNG Air Permit Applications

To whom it may concern,

As 14-year residents of Freeport Texas, and 46-year residents of Southern Brazoria County, we wish to express our support Freeport LNG and their planned plant expansion in Southern Brazoria County. This project means more jobs, more tax receipts for all County entities, and a better standard of living for many in the area. In addition, it will bring benefits to the United States as a country and to the State of Texas in the form of an improved balance of foreign trade and increased development of U.S. natural gas supplies. Freeport LNG is a good community citizen and supports community endeavors.

Respectfully,

[Signature]
Peggy S. Yates

[Signature]
Roy E. Yates
314 Mystery Harbor Lane
Freeport, Texas 77541
979-373-0848
March 4, 2014

Re: Freeport LNG Air Permit Applications

To whom it may concern,

Please consider this a letter of support for Freeport LNG and their planned plant expansion in Southern Brazoria County. This project means more jobs, more tax receipts for all County entities, and a better standard of living for many in the area. In addition, it will bring benefits to the United States as a country and to the State of Texas in the form of an improved balance of foreign trade and increased development of U.S. natural gas supplies.

Freeport LNG is a good community citizen and supports community endeavors.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Roy B. Yates
President
Freeport Welding & Fabricating, Inc.

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014
AT PUBLIC MEETING
November 15, 2013

Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TECQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TECQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Re: Freeport LNG Development, LP – Liquefaction Project:
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. TSD-129, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1294, and Nonattainment Permit Number N132;
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Nonattainment Permit No. N150

Dear Chief Clerk,

I am a business owner in, and a resident of, Freeport, Brazoria County Texas, and want to express my support for the Freeport LNG Liquefaction Project, which consists of a Pretreatment Facility and a Liquefaction Plant, and the proposed permits referenced above.

The air quality permits for the LNG Pretreatment and Liquefaction Facilities should be granted so that construction may start without delay. Development of this project will put more than 3,500 construction workers to work in Brazoria County during the four- to five-year construction period, and over 160 new full-time employees will be hired to manage, operate and maintain the new facilities. This influx of new employees and good paying jobs will have a significant, positive impact on the local economy and businesses such as mine.

In addition to the significant job creation, the total economic stimulus of the Freeport LNG liquefaction project is estimated to be between $4.3 billion and $6.2 billion per year. Much of the benefits would accrue within Texas and the Gulf Coast region, where major shale gas deposits, such as the Barnett, Haynesville and Eagle Ford, are located.

Freeport LNG has historically been a strong environmental steward in Brazoria County, and they continue this stewardship in the design of the liquefaction project. For example, the project incorporates state of the art emission control equipment and utilizes electric motors as the primary drivers for its liquefaction process (in lieu of gas turbine engines). Importantly, these electric motors produce no greenhouse gas emissions or emissions of NOx or VOC, thereby minimizing the emissions footprint of the project.

I would urge the Commission to quickly approve the above-referenced permits so that construction can begin on this well-designed project. We do not want this opportunity to be delayed due to third-party abuse of the current regulatory regime, which could prolong or jeopardize the significant benefits this project could have to the community.

Best Regards,

Roy B. Yates
President
Freeport Welding & Fabricating, Inc.

When nothing but top quality, performance, & know-how will do
Ms. Bridget C. Bohac,
Chief Clerk
TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk
MC-105
TCEQ
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
SAVE OUR SUBDIVISIONS

Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Turtle Cove, Bridgepointe, Quintana, City of Oyster Creek
Freeport, Texas 77541

Mr. Zak Covar
Executive Director, MC-109
TCEQ
12100 Park 35 Circle
Austin, TX 78753

RE: Freeport LNG Development, LP-Liquefaction Project
1) Pretreatment Facility: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 104840, PSDTX1302, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N170
2) Liquefaction Plant: Proposed State Air Quality Permit No. 100114, PSD Permit No. PSDTX1282, and Non-Attainment Permit No. N150

Dear Mr. Covar:

As a resident of a community affected by FLNG's Pretreatment Facility, I am concerned about air contaminants. FLNG's November 14, 2012, application to the EPA proposes the following totals of GHG emissions per year: 1,579,155.21 tons of CO2; 43.39 tons of Methane; 1.30 tons of Nitrous Oxide; 0.017 tons of Sulfur Hexafluoride; and 1,580,866 tons of CO2e. This is equivalent to 1,045,266.9 hot air balloons per year; 2,863.74 hot air balloons per day; 119.32 seven story hot air balloons per HOUR, floating towards our communities. Air toxics cause human health problems and environmental damage. Atmospheric deposition cause pollutants to settle on land or in water damaging fragile ecosystems. The proposed location is adjacent to residential areas in the city of Oyster Creek and Turtle Cove and over 5 miles from any current air quality monitoring systems. Also, the prevailing winds will carry the emissions in the direction of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, and Bridgepointe. These communities are located in the opposite direction of the current monitors and are off the monitoring grid. Chemical modeling and theorizing cannot accurately predict the Toxic Chemical Cocktails these emissions will create. It could truly be a life or death decision that you, TCEQ, are making for those of us who will suffer daily from the toxic fall-out these emissions create. Air monitoring systems need to be placed near these communities, and FLNG must be required to develop an acceptable plan of action for EACH community in preparation for a catastrophic release. Each community is unique, and one umbrella plan will not work. The city of Oyster Creek with a high population of low socioeconomic residents is closest to the planned facility. The communities of Hide-A-Way, Oyster Creek Estates, Bridgepointe, and Turtle Cove have large populations of elderly residents living with the health issues that develop with age. TCEQ should also be aware that this area of Freeport is traditionally a non-industrial area; we are primarily residential communities. We need on-going monitoring systems beginning now, before ground-breaking of the plant, to establish a baseline. Additionally, I request TCEQ hold a public meeting for the affected residents of these communities.

Sincerely,

A. Paul Zuma

A. Paul Zuma

Starlet C. Zuma

Print Name

FEB 24 2014

By

Signature

107 Driftwood Rd

Freeport, TX, 77541
Zak Covar  
Executive Director, M.C.-109  
TCEQ  
12100 Park 35 Circle  
Austin, TX 78753