

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

CHECKLIST WORKSHEET

AIR EMISSIONS EVENT REVIEW (ON OR AFTER 01/05/2006)

Regulating Entity Name

Date :

Additional I D:

Investigator Name:

Item Number 1	Description	Was the emissions event(s) excessive? [101.222(a)]		
		Answer	Citations	Notes
Item Number 2	Description	Did the owner/operator comply with the requirements of TAC §101.201 (relating to Emissions Event Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements)? [101.222(b)(1)]		
		Answer	Citations	Notes
Item Number 3	Description	Did the owner/operator prove that the unauthorized emissions were caused by a sudden, unavoidable breakdown of equipment or process, beyond the control of the owner/operator? [101.222(b)(2)]		
		Answer	Citations	Notes
Item Number 4	Description	Did the owner/operator prove that the unauthorized emissions did not stem from any activity/event that could have been foreseen and avoided/planned for, or been avoided by better operation and maintenance practices or technically feasible design consistent with good engineering practice? [101.222(b)(3)]		
		Answer	Citations	Notes
Item Number 5	Description	Did the owner/operator prove that the air pollution control equipment/processes were maintained and operated in a manner consistent with good practice for minimizing emissions and reducing the number of emissions events? [101.222(b)(4)]		
		Answer	Citations	Notes
Item Number 6	Description	Did the owner/operator prove that prompt action was taken to achieve compliance once the operator knew or should have known that applicable emission limitations were being exceeded, and any necessary repairs were made as expeditiously as practicable? [101.222(b)(5)]		
		Answer	Citations	Notes
Item Number 7	Description	Did the owner/operator prove that the amount and duration of the unauthorized emissions and any bypass of pollution control equipment were minimized and all possible steps were taken to minimize the impact of the unauthorized emissions on ambient air quality? [101.222(b)(6)]		
		Answer	Citations	Notes
Item Number 8	Description	Did the owner/operator prove that all emission monitoring systems were kept in operation if possible? [101.222(b)(7)]		
		Answer	Citations	Notes
Item Number 9	Description	Did the owner/operator prove that the owner/operator actions in response to the unauthorized emissions were documented by contemporaneous operation logs or other relevant evidence? [101.222(b)(8)]		
		Answer	Citations	Notes
Item Number 10	Description	Did the owner/operator prove that the unauthorized emissions were not part of a frequent or recurring pattern indicative of inadequate design, operation, or maintenance? [101.222(b)(9)]		
		Answer	Citations	Notes
Item Number 11	Description	Did the owner/operator prove that the percentage of a facility's total annual operating hours during which unauthorized emissions occurred were not unreasonably high? [101.222(b)(10)]		
		Answer	Citations	Notes

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

CHECKLIST WORKSHEET

AIR EMISSIONS EVENT REVIEW (ON OR AFTER 01/05/2006) (Cont)

Item Number 12	Description	Did the owner/operator prove that the unauthorized emissions did not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS), prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) increments, or to a condition of air pollution? [101.222(b)(11)]		
	Answer	Citations	Notes	
Item Number 13	Description	Item 1		
	Answer	Citations	Notes	
Item Number 14	Description	Item 2		
	Answer	Citations	Notes	
Item Number 15	Description	Item 3		
	Answer	Citations	Notes	
Item Number 16	Description	Item 4		
	Answer	Citations	Notes	
Item Number 17	Description	Item 5		
	Answer	Citations	Notes	
Item Number 18	Description	Item 6		
	Answer	Citations	Notes	
Item Number 19	Description	Item 7		
	Answer	Citations	Notes	
Item Number 20	Description	Item 8		
	Answer	Citations	Notes	
Item Number 21	Description	Item 9		
	Answer	Citations	Notes	
Item Number 22	Description	Item 10		
	Answer	Citations	Notes	